arXiv:1501.04146v2 [math.AG] 21 Dec 2015

Twistor property of GKZ-hypergeometric systems

Takuro Mochizuki

Abstract

We study the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to meromorphic functions. In particular, we describe their push-forward and specialization under some situations. We apply the results to study the twistor property of a type of better behaved GKZ-hypergeometric systems, and to study their specializations. As a result, we obtain some isomorphisms of mixed TEP-structures in the local mirror symmetry.

Keywords: mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module, generalized Hodge structure, polarization, GKZ-hypergeometric system, local mirror symmetry.
MSC2010: 14F10 32C38 32S35

1 Introduction

1.1 Mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules

In [59], C. Simpson introduced the concept of mixed twistor structure as a generalization of mixed Hodge structure. According to his principle, called Simpson's meta theorem, most objects and theorems concerning with mixed Hodge structure should have their counterparts in the context of mixed twistor structure.

The concept of mixed Hodge module due to M. Saito [53, 57] is one of the ultimate in the Hodge theory. Roughly speaking, mixed Hodge modules are regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules equipped with mixed Hodge structure. One of the main results in the theory is the functoriality of mixed Hodge modules. Namely, we have the standard operations on the derived category of algebraic mixed Hodge modules such as push-forward, pull back, duality, inner homomorphism, tensor product, nearby cycle functor, vanishing cycle functors, which are compatible with the standard operations for algebraic regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules.

According to Simpson's meta theorem, we should have a twistor version of mixed Hodge modules, that is the concept of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module. The concept of pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module was introduced by C. Sabbah [47, 50], and studied by himself and the author [35, 37]. The mixed case was studied in [40].

As in the Hodge case, we have the standard functors on the derived category of algebraic mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules, that is one of the most useful points in the theory of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Another interesting point is that we have the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to meromorphic functions.

Let f be a meromorphic function on a complex manifold X whose poles are contained in a hypersurface H. Let $L_*(f,H)$ be the holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules given by $\mathcal{O}_X(*H)$ with the flat connection $d+df$. We also have the holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module $L_!(f,H) := \boldsymbol{D}(L_*(-f,H))$, where $\boldsymbol{D}$ denotes the duality of holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules. We have the natural mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules over $L_\star(f,H)$ ($\star = *, !$). Applying the standard functors to such mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules, we can obtain many mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. In other words, many important holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules are equipped with a natural mixed twistor structure. It would be interesting to have applications of the mixed twistor structure.

In this paper, we shall explain that a type of better behaved GKZ-hypergeometric systems are naturally equipped with the mixed twistor structure. We shall also explain an application in the study of toric local mirror symmetry.

1.1.1 Ingredients for mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules

Originally, ingredients for twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules are given as $\mathcal{R}$-triples by Sabbah [47]. (See §3.1 for a review.) But, in this paper, we consider only integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structure. So, in this introduction, we explain it in a slightly different way, although we use the formalism of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-triples after §2.

Let X be any complex manifold. Let $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{C} \times X$ and $\mathcal{X}^0 := \{0\} \times X$. Let λ be the standard coordinate of $\mathbb{C}$. We have the sheaf of differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ denote the sheaf of subalgebras generated by $\lambda\Theta_{\mathcal{X}}(\log \mathcal{X}^0)$.

An $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is called strict if it is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Any coherent $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$ has the characteristic variety $\operatorname{Ch}(\mathcal{M})$ in $T^*\mathcal{X}(\log \mathcal{X}^0)$ as in the case of $\mathcal{D}$-modules. We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is holonomic if $\operatorname{Ch}(\mathcal{M})$ is contained in $\mathbb{C} \times \Lambda$ for a Lagrangian subvariety $\Lambda \subset T^*X$. A real structure of strict holonomic $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathbb{R}$-perverse sheaf $P_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathbb{C}^* \times X$ with an isomorphism $P_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathrm{DR}_{\mathbb{C}^* \times X}(\mathcal{M}_{|\mathbb{C}^* \times X})$.

Then, an integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module with real structure on X is a strict holonomic $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$ with a real structure and a weight filtration $(\mathcal{M}, P_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$ satisfying some conditions. Here, W is an increasing filtration of strict holonomic $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules with real structure.

In this introduction, "mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module" means "integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module with real structure".

1.2 Better behaved GKZ-hypergeometric systems

1.2.1 $\mathcal{D}$-modules

L. Borisov and P. Horja [5] introduced the concept of better behaved GKZ-hypergeometric system as a generalization of GKZ-hypergeometric systems [15]. (See also [22].) Let us recall a special type of better behaved GKZ-hypergeometric systems.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\boldsymbol{a}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset generating $\mathbb{Z}^n$. We have the cone $K_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A}) := \bigl\{\sum_{j=1}^m r_j \boldsymbol{a}_j \bigm| r_j \geq 0\bigr\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ generated by $\mathcal{A}$. We set $K(\mathcal{A}) := K_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. The semigroup $K(\mathcal{A})$ is the saturation of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A} = \bigl\{\sum_{j=1}^m n_j \boldsymbol{a}_j \bigm| n_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\bigr\}$. We denote $\boldsymbol{a}_j = (a_{j1}, \ldots, a_{jn})$.

Let $\Gamma \subset K(\mathcal{A})$ be any subset such that $\Gamma + \boldsymbol{a} \subset \Gamma$ for any $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The following system of differential equations $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ for tuples of holomorphic functions $(\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}} \,|\, \boldsymbol{c} \in \Gamma)$ on any open subset in $\mathbb{C}^m$ is called the better behaved GKZ-hypergeometric system associated to $(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma, \boldsymbol{\beta})$:

$$\partial_{x_j} \Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}} = \Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{a}_j} \quad (\forall \boldsymbol{c} \in \Gamma,\ \forall j = 1, \ldots, m)$$

$$\Bigl(\sum_j a_{ji} x_j \partial_{x_j} + c_i - \beta_i\Bigr)\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}} = 0 \quad (\forall \boldsymbol{c} \in \Gamma,\ \forall i = 1, \ldots, n)$$

Here, $(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ denotes the standard coordinate system of $\mathbb{C}^m$.

Remark 1.1 *In* [5], *any tuple in a finitely generated abelian group is considered instead of a finite subset in* $\mathbb{Z}^n$. *In that sense, the above system is a special case of better behaved GKZ-hypergeometric systems. Later, moreover, we shall mainly consider the case* $\boldsymbol{\beta} = 0$.

But, as in [1], *we omit the existence of an element* $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\vee$ *such that* $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{a}_i) = 1$ *for any* i. ∎

Expression as the twisted Gauss-Manin systems We can describe the corresponding $\mathcal{D}$-modules using the twisted Gauss-Manin system. Let $T^n := (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. We consider the morphism $\psi^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} : T^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ given by $\psi^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = (t^{\boldsymbol{a}_1}, \ldots, t^{\boldsymbol{a}_m})$, where $t^{\boldsymbol{a}_j} = \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{a_{ji}}$. Let $X^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the closure of the image of $\psi^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow X^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the normalization. Let $\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the complement of T^n in $\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Note that $\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[K(\mathcal{A})]$ and $X^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}]$.

Let $\Omega^k_{\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}}(\log \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}})$ denote the sheaf of meromorphic differential k-forms which are logarithmic along $\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$, studied in [3]. Let $\Omega^k_{(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}})}$ denote the sheaf of holomorphic k-forms on the normal variety $\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ which are 0 along $\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$, studied in [8]. Let $q : \check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the projection. We set

$$\Omega^k_{\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}^m/\mathbb{C}^m}(\log \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}^m) := q^*\Omega^k_{\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}}(\log \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}), \qquad \Omega^k_{(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}) \times \mathbb{C}^m/\mathbb{C}^m} := q^*\Omega^k_{(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}})}.$$

The family of Laurent polynomials $\sum_{j=1}^m x_j t^{\boldsymbol{a}_j}$ induces a meromorphic function $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}) \times \mathbb{C}^m$. We also have the logarithmic closed one form $\kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i dt_i/t_i$. We obtain the relative algebraic de Rham complexes:

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\beta})_\bullet := \Bigl(\Omega^\bullet_{(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}^m)/\mathbb{C}^m}(\log \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}^m), d + dF_{\mathcal{A}} - \kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta})\Bigr)$$

$$\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta})_{\circ} := \Big(\Omega^{\bullet}_{(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}})\times\mathbb{C}^m/\mathbb{C}^m}, d+dF_{\mathcal{A}}-\kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big)$$

Let $\check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}} : \check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ denote the projection. Each $\check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}*}\mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta})_{\star}$ is naturally a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-module by $\partial_{x_j}\cdot(g) = \partial_{x_j}g + (\partial_{x_j}F_{\mathcal{A}})g$. The differential $d+dF_{\mathcal{A}}-\kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ of the complexes are compatible with the actions of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$. So, we obtain the following $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-modules

$$M_{\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta},\star} := \mathbb{R}^n\check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}*}\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta})_{\star} \quad (\star=\bullet,\circ).$$

Let $K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ}$ be the intersection of $K(\mathcal{A})$ and the interior part of $K_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A})$. The $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-modules $M_{\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta},\bullet}$ and $M_{\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta},\circ}$ correspond to the systems $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),\boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},\boldsymbol{\beta})$ in the sense of the following lemma. (See Lemma E.1 and Proposition E.4 for more details.)

Proposition 1.2 *Let U be any open subset in $\mathbb{C}^m$. We have a natural bijective correspondence between solutions of $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),\boldsymbol{\beta})$ (resp. $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},\boldsymbol{\beta})$) and $\mathcal{D}_U$-homomorphisms $M_{\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta},\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$ (resp. $M_{\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta},\circ} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$).*

In this paper, we are mainly interested in the case $\boldsymbol{\beta}=0$.

Expressions as the push-forward of $\mathcal{D}$-modules We take a toric resolution $\varphi_{\Sigma_1} : X_{\Sigma_1} \longrightarrow \check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$, i.e., X_{Σ_1} be a smooth toric variety, and φ_{Σ_1} is a projective morphism which is T^n-equivariant. Let $D_{\Sigma_1} := X_{\Sigma_1}\setminus T^n$. We have the meromorphic algebraic function $F_{\mathcal{A},\Sigma_1}$ on $(X_{\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1})\times\mathbb{C}^m$ given by $F_{\mathcal{A}}$. We have the algebraic holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{X_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m}$-modules $L_{\star}(F_{\mathcal{A},\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m)$ $(\star=*,!)$. Let $\pi_{\Sigma_1} : X_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ denote the projection. By the results in §6.1.4 and §6.1.6, we have the following proposition, which gives expressions of $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0)$ and $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0)$ in terms of $\mathcal{D}$-modules.

Proposition 1.3 *We have natural isomorphisms*

$$\pi^0_{\Sigma_1*}L_*(F_{\mathcal{A},\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m) \simeq \pi^0_{\Sigma_1!}L_*(F_{\mathcal{A},\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m) \simeq M_{\mathcal{A},0,\bullet},$$

$$\pi^0_{\Sigma_1*}L_!(F_{\mathcal{A},\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m) \simeq \pi^0_{\Sigma_1!}L_!(F_{\mathcal{A},\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m) \simeq M_{\mathcal{A},0,\circ}.$$

Here, $\pi^0_{\Sigma_1,\star}$ $(\star=*,!)$ denote the 0-th cohomology of the push-forward functors of algebraic $\mathcal{D}$-modules with respect to π_{Σ_1}.

Special case For any $\boldsymbol{p}=(p_1,\ldots,p_m)\in\mathbb{Z}^m$, we put $\mathfrak{s}_+(\boldsymbol{p}) := \{j\,|\,p_j\geq 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_-(\boldsymbol{p}) := \{j\,|\,p_j\leq 0\}$. We set

$$\Box_{\boldsymbol{p}} = \prod_{j\in\mathfrak{s}_+(\boldsymbol{p})}\partial_{x_j}^{p_j} - \prod_{j\in\mathfrak{s}_-(\boldsymbol{p})}\partial_{x_j}^{-p_j}.$$

We have the morphism $\mathbb{Z}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ given by $\boldsymbol{p}=(p_1,\ldots,p_m)\longmapsto\sum_{j=1}^m p_j\boldsymbol{a}_j$. Let $L_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the kernel.

For $\boldsymbol{c}_0\in K(\mathcal{A})$, we have the following ordinary GKZ-hypergeometric system $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{c}_0)$ for holomorphic functions $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0}$ on any open subset of $\mathbb{C}^m$:

$$\Box_{\boldsymbol{p}}\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0} = 0 \quad (\forall\boldsymbol{p}\in L_{\mathcal{A}})$$

$$\Big(c_{0i}-\beta_i+\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ji}x_j\partial_{x_j}\Big)\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0} = 0 \quad (i=1,\ldots,n)$$

For any $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\in\mathbb{C}^n$, let $I(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ denote the left ideal of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$ generated by $\Box_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ $(\boldsymbol{p}\in L_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $-\gamma_i+\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ji}x_j\partial_{x_j}$ $(i=1,\ldots,n)$. Then, for $\Gamma=\boldsymbol{c}_0+\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}$, we have a natural isomorphism $M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})\simeq\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{c}_0)$ which is the $\mathcal{D}$-module corresponding to the system $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{c}_0)$.

Suppose that $K(\mathcal{A})=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}$. Then, we have a natural isomorphism

$$M_{\mathcal{A},0,\bullet}\simeq\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},0). \tag{1}$$

Suppose moreover $K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ}=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}+\boldsymbol{c}_0$ for an element $\boldsymbol{c}_0\in K(\mathcal{A})$. Then, we have a natural isomorphism

$$M_{\mathcal{A},0,\circ}\simeq \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_0). \tag{2}$$

For an expression $\boldsymbol{c}_0=\sum_{i=1}^{m}b_i\boldsymbol{a}_i$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_{\mathcal{A},0,\circ} \arrow[r, "a"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & M_{\mathcal{A},0,\bullet} \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_0) \arrow[r, "b"] & \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},0).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, the vertical morphisms are (1) and (2), a is the natural morphism, and b is the induced by the multiplication of $\prod\partial_{x_i}^{b_i}$.

1.2.2 Mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules

By the geometric expression in Proposition 1.3, we naturally obtain mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{A},0,\star}$ over $M_{\mathcal{A},0,\star}$ ($\star=\bullet,\circ$). Namely, we have the algebraic mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_{\star}(F_{\mathcal{A},\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m)$ ($\star=*,!$) on $X_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m$ whose underlying $\mathcal{D}$-modules are $L_{\star}(F_{\mathcal{A},\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m)$. We obtain the algebraic mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{A},0,\bullet}=\pi^0_{\Sigma_1 *}\mathcal{T}_*(F_{\mathcal{A},\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m)\simeq\pi^0_{\Sigma_1 !}\mathcal{T}_*(F_{\mathcal{A},\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m)$$

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{A},0,\circ}=\pi^0_{\Sigma_1 *}\mathcal{T}_!(F_{\mathcal{A},\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m)\simeq\pi^0_{\Sigma_1 !}\mathcal{T}_!(F_{\mathcal{A},\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1}\times\mathbb{C}^m)$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,\star}$ denote the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-modules of $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{A},0,\star}$ ($\star=*,!$). Let us describe them as systems of differential equations.

Let $\Gamma\subset K(\mathcal{A})$ be any subset satisfying $\Gamma+\boldsymbol{a}\subset\Gamma$ for any $\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathcal{A}$. We consider the following system of differential equations $\mathrm{GKZ}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$ for a tuple $\Phi_{\Gamma}=(\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}}\,|\,\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma)$ of holomorphic functions on any open subset of $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}^m=\{(\lambda,x_1,\ldots,x_m)\}$:

$$\lambda\partial_{x_j}\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}}=\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{a}_j},\quad(\forall\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma,\ j=1,\ldots,m)$$

$$\Bigl(\lambda^2\partial_{\lambda}+n\lambda+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\lambda x_j\partial_{x_j}\Bigr)\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}}=0,\quad(\forall\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma)$$

$$\Bigl(\lambda(c_i-\beta_i)+\sum_{j=1}^{m}a_{ji}\lambda x_j\partial_{x_j}\Bigr)\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}}=0,\quad(\forall\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma,\ i=1,\ldots,n)$$

We have the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$ corresponding to the system $\mathrm{GKZ}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$. (See §E.2.1, in particular Lemma E.5.) According to Proposition E.6 and Remark E.7, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 1.4 *$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0)$) is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,\bullet}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,\circ}$).*

Special case For any $\boldsymbol{p}\in\mathbb{Z}^m$, we set

$$\overline{\Box}_{\boldsymbol{p}}:=\prod_{j\in\mathfrak{s}_+(\boldsymbol{p})}(\lambda\partial_j)^{p_j}-\prod_{i\in\mathfrak{s}_-(\boldsymbol{p})}(\lambda\partial_j)^{-p_j}.$$

For any element $\boldsymbol{c}_0\in K(\mathcal{A})$, we consider the following system of differential equations $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{c}_0)$ for $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0}$:

$$\overline{\Box}_{\boldsymbol{p}}\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0}=0\quad(\forall\boldsymbol{p}\in L_{\mathcal{A}})$$

$$\Bigl(\lambda^2\partial_{\lambda}+n\lambda+\sum_{j=1}^{m}x_j\lambda\partial_{x_j}\Bigr)\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0}=0$$

$$\Big(\lambda(c_{0i}-\beta_i)+\sum_{j=1}^{m}a_{ji}x_j\lambda\partial_{x_j}\Big)\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0}=0 \quad (i=1,\dots,n)$$

For any $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\in\mathbb{Z}^m$, let $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ denote the left ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$ generated by $\overline{\square}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ ($\boldsymbol{p}\in L_{\mathcal{A}}$), $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda+n\lambda+\sum_{j=1}^m\lambda x_j\partial_{x_j}$, and $-\lambda\gamma_i+\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ji}\lambda x_j\partial_{x_j}$ $(i=1,\dots,n)$. Then, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})\simeq\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{c}_0)$$

which is the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-module corresponding to $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{c}_0)$.

Suppose that $K(\mathcal{A})=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}$. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0)\simeq\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},0). \tag{3}$$

Suppose moreover that $K(\mathcal{A})^\circ=K(\mathcal{A})+\boldsymbol{c}_0$ for an element $\boldsymbol{c}_0\in K(\mathcal{A})$. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^\circ,0)\simeq\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_0). \tag{4}$$

For an expression $\boldsymbol{c}_0=\sum_{i=1}^m b_i\boldsymbol{a}_i$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,\circ} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,\bullet} \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_0) \arrow[r] & \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},0)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5}$$

Here, the vertical arrows are given by (3), (4) and Proposition 1.4, and the upper horizontal arrow is the morphism underlying the natural morphism of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{A},0,\circ}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{A},0,\bullet}$, and the lower horizontal arrow is given by the multiplication of $\prod_{i=1}^m(\lambda\partial_{x_i})^{b_i}$.

1.2.3 Relation with the reduced quantum $\mathcal{D}$-module of toric complete intersection

Inspired by the work of E. Mann and T. Mignon [34], T. Reichelt and C. Sevenheck [45] introduced some systems of differential equations to describe the reduced quantum $\mathcal{D}$-modules of complete intersections.

Let X be an n-dimensional projective toric manifold corresponding to a fan Σ. Let D_i $(i=1,\dots,m)$ be the hypersurfaces of X corresponding to the one dimensional cones in Σ. Let K_X denote the canonical bundle of X. Let $\mathcal{L}_i$ $(i=1,\dots,r)$ be nef line bundles on X such that $(K_X\otimes\bigotimes_{i=1}^r\mathcal{L}_i)^\vee$ is nef. We may assume that $\mathcal{L}_j=\mathcal{O}\big(\sum_{i=1}^m\beta_{ji}D_i\big)$ for some $\beta_{ji}\geq 0$. We can regard $\bigoplus\mathcal{L}_i^\vee$ as a toric manifold. Let $\mathcal{A}\subset\mathbb{Z}^{n+r}$ be the set of primitive vectors in the one dimensional cones of $\bigoplus\mathcal{L}_i^\vee$. We have the systems of differential equations $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0)$ and $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^\circ,-\boldsymbol{c}_0)$, where $\boldsymbol{c}_0=(\overbrace{0,\dots,0}^{n},\overbrace{-1,\dots,-1}^{r})\in\mathbb{Z}^{n+r}$. On $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}$, the systems $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0)$ and $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^\circ,-\boldsymbol{c}_0)$ are equivalent to the systems in Definition-Lemma 6.1 of [45], i.e., we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^{m+r}}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_0)\big)_{|(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & {}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0,0,0)} \arrow[d, "b"'] \\
\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^{m+r}}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},0)\big)_{|(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & {}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{(-r,0,0)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

(See [45, §6] for the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules ${}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0,0,0)}$ and ${}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{(-r,0,0)}$.) Hence, the commutative diagram (5) implies that the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules ${}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0,0,0)}$ and ${}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{(-r,0,0)}$ underlie mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. We also obtain that the image of the morphism b underlies a pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module, which is related with the reduced quantum $\mathcal{D}$-module of the complete intersection.

Remark 1.5 *In* [45]*, they constructed* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$*-modules by using the partial Fourier-Laplace transform of GKZ-hypergeometric systems and the Brieskorn lattices associated to the Hodge filtrations. (See also* [44] *and* [49]*.) They conjectured some relation of the* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$*-modules with* ${}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0,0,0)}$ *and* ${}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{(-r,0,0)}$ [45, Conjecture 6.13].

We review the construction in §D*, and we compare it with the* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$*-modules underlying our mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules in Proposition* D.6*. (See also Proposition* 6.70 *and Corollary* 6.71*.) In this way, we can verify their conjecture. In* [46]*, they also verified their conjecture in a different way.* ∎

1.3 Application to toric local mirror symmetry

Recall that GKZ-hypergeometric systems $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,0)$ and the variants $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,0)$ play important roles in the study of mirror symmetry of toric manifolds. We shall apply the general theory of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules to the study of toric local mirror symmetry.

One of the important goals in the study of mirror symmetry is to obtain an isomorphism of Frobenius manifolds associated to a mirror pair of an A-model and a B-model. Roughly, a Frobenius manifold is a complex manifold with a holomorphic multiplication and a holomorphic inner product on the tangent bundle satisfying some compatibility conditions. The Frobenius manifold associated to the A-model contains much information on the genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariants. The Frobenius manifold associated to the B-model contains much information on the generalized Hodge structure of the Landau-Ginzburg model. Hence, it is interesting to have such an isomorphism of the Frobenius manifolds. One of the most celebrated results is due to A. Givental who established it in the case of toric weak Fano manifolds. (See also [21, 44].)

Pursuing an analogue of such an isomorphism in the study of local mirror symmetry, Konishi and Minabe [29, 30, 31, 32] introduced the concept of mixed Frobenius manifold as a generalization of Frobenius manifold. Note that Frobenius manifolds do not appear at least naively in the local case. Roughly, a mixed Frobenius manifold is a complex manifold with a holomorphic multiplication, a holomorphic filtration on the tangent bundle, and inner products on the graded pieces with respect to the filtration, satisfying some compatibility conditions. It looks natural to expect that mixed Frobenius manifolds appear widely.

Konishi and Minabe particularly studied the case of any toric weak Fano surface S. On the local A-side, they constructed a mixed Frobenius manifold from the genus 0 local Gromov-Witten invariants of S. On the local B-side, they suggested that the expected mixed Frobenius manifolds should be related with a variation of mixed Hodge structure associated to the corresponding Landau-Ginzburg model.

Then, it is natural to ask whether there really exists a mixed Frobenius manifold on the local B-side. Recently, in his master thesis, Y. Shamoto proved a reconstruction theorem of mixed Frobenius manifolds as a generalization of a reconstruction theorem of Frobenius manifolds due to C. Hertling and Y. Manin [18]. Together with the description of the variation of mixed Hodge structure in [3, 30, 61], he proved the existence of mixed Frobenius manifolds associated to some toric local B-models, up to the ambiguity of the choice of inner products on the graded pieces. It is still interesting to ask how to choose pairings on the graded pieces, and how to obtain an isomorphism of mixed Frobenius manifolds associated to the local mirror pair.

In this paper, we study the expectation in a rough level. Instead of mixed Frobenius manifolds, we shall study mixed TEP-structures which will be explained in the next subsection. We shall obtain an isomorphism of mixed TEP-structures associated to a mirror pair of a local A-model and a local B-model.

1.3.1 Mixed TEP-structure

Recall that a TE-structure on a complex manifold M in the sense of [14] is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times M}$-module $\mathcal{V}$ with a meromorphic flat connection $\nabla:\mathcal{V}\longrightarrow\mathcal{V}\otimes\Omega^1_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times M}\big(\log(\{0\}\times M)\big)\otimes\mathcal{O}\big(\{0\}\times M\big)$. If it is equipped with a perfect pairing $P:\mathcal{V}\otimes j^*\mathcal{V}\longrightarrow\lambda^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times M}$ such that $(j^*P)(j^*a\otimes b)=(-1)^nP(b\otimes j^*a)$, then $(\mathcal{V},\nabla,P)$ is called a TEP-structure or more precisely TEP(n)-structure [14] on M. Here, $j:\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times M\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times M$ is given by $j(\lambda,Q)=(-\lambda,Q)$. We shall often omit to denote ∇, i.e., $(\mathcal{V},\nabla,P)$ is denoted by $(\mathcal{V},P)$.

A mixed TE-structure on a complex manifold M consists of the following:

- A TE-structure $\mathcal{V}$ on M.
- An increasing filtration $\widetilde{W}=\big(\widetilde{W}_m(\mathcal{V})\,|\,m\in\mathbb{Z}\big)$ on $\mathcal{V}$ such that (i) $\widetilde{W}_m=0$ $(m<<0)$ and $\widetilde{W}_m=\mathcal{V}$ $(m>>0)$, (ii) $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_m(\mathcal{V})$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times M}$-modules, (iii) $\widetilde{W}_m$ are preserved by the connection of $\mathcal{V}$.

If each $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_m(\mathcal{V})$ is equipped with a non-degenerate pairing $P_m:\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_m(\mathcal{V})\otimes j^*\,\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_m(\mathcal{V})\longrightarrow\lambda^{-m}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times M}$ such that $(\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_m\mathcal{V},P_m)$ is a TEP($-m$)-structure, then $(\mathcal{V},W,\{P_m\})$ is called a mixed TEP-structure.

For example, a graded polarized variation of mixed Hodge structure naturally induces a mixed TEP-structure by the Rees construction. In that case, we can reconstruct the Hodge filtration, the weight filtration and the polarization on the graded pieces of the original graded polarized variation of mixed Hodge structure from the mixed TEP-structure. So, it still contains much interesting information.

1.3.2 Mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with a graded polarization

Let $(\mathcal{M}, P_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$ be a mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module on a complex manifold Y. If the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-module, then $(\mathcal{M}, W)$ is a mixed TE-structure by definition.

Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}, P_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$ is pure of weight w, i.e., $\mathrm{Gr}^W_j = 0$ unless $j = w$. Then, a polarization of $(\mathcal{M}, P_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$ is equivalent to a morphism $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \lambda^{-w} j^*\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{M})$, where $\boldsymbol{D}$ is the duality functor for mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-module, the morphism gives a pairing $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{M} \otimes j^*\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \lambda^{-w+\dim Y}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$, and $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P})$ is a $\mathrm{TEP}(-w + \dim Y)$-structure.

If $(\mathcal{M}, P_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$ is not necessarily pure, a tuple of polarizations $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}} = (\mathcal{P}_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathrm{Gr}^W_m(\mathcal{M}, P_{\mathbb{R}})$ is called a graded polarization of $(\mathcal{M}, P_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-module, each $\mathrm{Gr}^W_m(\mathcal{M})$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-module. We set $\widetilde{W}_{m-\dim Y}\mathcal{M} := W_m\mathcal{M}$. Then, $(\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{W}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}})$ is a mixed TEP-structure.

1.3.3 Mixed TEP-structures on $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A}, K(\mathcal{A}), 0)$ and $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A}, K(\mathcal{A})^\circ, 0)$

We use the notation in §1.2. We have the open subset $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathrm{reg}} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ determined by the regularity condition of the Laurent polynomials $F_{\mathcal{A}}$. The restriction $M_{\mathcal{A},0,\star|(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ $(\star = *, !)$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}$-modules. By the general theory of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,\star}$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}$-modules on $\mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathrm{reg}}$. As previously remarked, we obtain the mixed TE-structure $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,\star|(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathrm{reg}}}, W)$.

If 0 is an interior point of the convex hull of $\mathcal{A}$, then it turns out that we have a natural isomorphism $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,!}, P_{\mathbb{R}}, W) \simeq (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,*}, P_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$, that they are pure of weight $n + m$, and that they are equipped with a natural polarization. In particular, the restriction to $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,!}, P_{\mathbb{R}}, W)_{|(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ with the polarization give a $\mathrm{TEP}(-n)$-structure. It is equivalent to the TEP-structure previously studied in the mirror symmetry [21, 44].

In the general case, we need to choose an additional datum for the construction of graded polarization on $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,!}, P_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$ and $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,*}, P_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$. It turns out that if we are given a point $\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that 0 is an interior point of the convex hull of $\mathcal{A} \cup \{\boldsymbol{b}\}$, then we obtain graded polarizations on $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,!}, P_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$ and $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,*}, P_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$ depending on $\boldsymbol{b}$. In particular, we obtain mixed TEP-structures $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,\star|(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathrm{reg}}}, \widetilde{W}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\boldsymbol{b},\star})$ on $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathrm{reg}}$.

We have the action of T^n on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ given by $(s_1, \dots, s_n)(z_1, \dots, z_m) = (s^{\boldsymbol{a}_1}z_1, \dots, s^{\boldsymbol{a}_m}z_m)$. Let $S_{\mathcal{A}} := (\mathbb{C}^*)^m/T^n$. Let $S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the image of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m \cap (\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathrm{reg}}$ by the projection $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m \longrightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$.

It turns out that $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},0,\star}, \widetilde{W}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\boldsymbol{b},\star})$ on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m \cap (\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathrm{reg}}$ is equivariant with respect to the action. So, we obtain mixed TEP-structures $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{W}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\boldsymbol{b},\star})$ $(\star = *, !)$ on $S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

1.3.4 An isomorphism

Let X be an n-dimensional smooth projective toric variety corresponding to a fan Σ. Let D_i $(i = 1, \dots, m)$ be the hypersurfaces of X corresponding to the one dimensional cones in Σ. Let K_X denote the canonical bundle of X. Let $\mathcal{L}_i$ $(i = 1, \dots, r)$ be nef line bundles on X such that $(K_X \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \mathcal{L}_i)^\vee$ is nef. We may assume that $\mathcal{L}_j = \mathcal{O}\big(\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{ji}D_i\big)$ for some $\beta_{ji} \geq 0$. Let Y be the projective completion of $\mathcal{E}^\vee := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{L}_i^\vee$, i.e., $Y = \mathbb{P}\big(\bigoplus \mathcal{L}_i \oplus \mathcal{O}\big)$.

We have the degenerated quantum products $\bullet_\sigma$ $(\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_X)$ on $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ induced by the Gromov-Witten invariants of Y, as explained in §7.3.3. Here, $\mathcal{U}_X$ is an appropriate open subset in $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. As in the ordinary case, we have the associated TE-structure $\mathrm{QDM}\big(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee\big)$ on $\mathcal{U}_X$. Let $\gamma \in H^2(Y)$ be the first Chern class of the tautological line bundle of Y over X. As explained in §7.3.4, we introduce a filtration $\widetilde{W}$ on $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$, and pairings on $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_j H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ by using the action of γ on $H^*(Y)$ and inner product on $H^*(Y)$. The construction was motivated by both the general theory of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules and the construction of Konishi and Minabe [31]. Thus, we obtain a mixed TEP-structure $(\mathrm{QDM}(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee), \widetilde{W}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee})$ on $\mathcal{U}_X$. It is equivariant with respect to the translation action of $2\pi\sqrt{-1}H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{U}_X$. So, we obtain a mixed TEP-structure $(\mathrm{QDM}(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee), \widetilde{W}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee})'$ on $\mathcal{U}_X/2\pi\sqrt{-1}H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 1.6 *As explained in* §7.3.5*, the degenerated quantum products are related with the local Gromov-Witten invariants in some special cases. It was essentially given in* [29]*, and used in* [31] *for the construction of the weight filtration and the pairings.* ▮

Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n+r}$ be the set of the primitive vectors in the one dimensional cones in the fan corresponding to $\mathcal{E}^\vee$. Let $\mathcal{A}(X) = \{[\rho_i] \mid i = 1, \ldots, m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the set of the primitive vectors in the one dimensional cones in the fan Σ corresponding to X. In this case, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ consists of elements $\boldsymbol{a}_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, m + r)$ given as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{a}_i := \begin{cases} [\rho_i] + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \beta_{ji} n_j & (i = 1, \ldots, m) \\ n_{i-m} & (i = m+1, \ldots, m+r) \end{cases}$$

Here, $n_i = (\overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{m+i-1}, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We also set $\boldsymbol{a}_{m+r+1} := -\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i$. Then, we have the mixed TEP-structure $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}, \widetilde{W}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\boldsymbol{a}_{m+r+1},*})$ on $(\mathbb{C}^{m+r})^{\mathrm{reg}}$. We obtain a mixed TEP-structure $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}, \widetilde{W}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\boldsymbol{a}_{m+r+1},*})$ on $S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}$ as the reduction.

Theorem 1.7 *We have the following.*

- *An open subset* $U_1 \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{C})/2\pi\sqrt{-1}H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ *which contains a neighbourhood of the large radius limit point.*
- *An open subset* $U_2 \subset S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}$ *which contains a neighbourhood of the large radius point.*
- *A holomorphic isomorphism* $\varphi : U_1 \simeq U_2$*.*
- *Isomorphism of mixed TEP-structures*

$$\big(\mathrm{QDM}(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee), \widetilde{W}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}\big)'_{|U_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^*\big(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}^\vee),*}, \widetilde{W}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\boldsymbol{a}_{m+r+1},*}\big)_{|U_2}$$

up to shift of weights.

See Theorem 7.34 for a more refined and precise claim.

Remark 1.8 *In* [45]*, it is announced that a result in* [24] *implies the comparison of the TE-structures in Theorem* 1.7*. In* [23]*, the mirror theorem of Givental is generalized for non-compact or non-semipositive toric manifolds. At this moment, it is not clear to the author if we could also deduce the comparison of the weight filtrations from* [23, 24]. ▮

1.3.5 Idea of the proof

Roughly, our isomorphism is obtained as the specialization of the isomorphism of Givental for the weak Fano toric manifold Y. We naturally have $H^2(Y, \mathbb{C}) = H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}\gamma$. We have the quantum $\mathcal{D}$-module $(\mathrm{QDM}(Y), \mathcal{P}_Y)$ on an appropriate open subset of $H^2(Y, \mathbb{C})/2\pi\sqrt{-1}H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ associated to the Gromov-Witten invariants of Y. The mixed TEP-structure $\big(\mathrm{QDM}(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee), \widetilde{W}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}\big)$ is described as the "specialization" of $(\mathrm{QDM}(Y), \mathcal{P}_Y)$. (We explain the procedure "specialization" in §B.1.) Let $\mathcal{A}(Y) := \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \cup \{\boldsymbol{a}_{m+r+1}\}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{n+r}$, which is the set of the primitive vectors in the one dimensional cones in a fan corresponding to Y. We naturally have $S_{\mathcal{A}(Y)} = S_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)} \times \mathbb{C}^*$. By using the results on the specialization of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules, we can describe the mixed TEP-structure $\big(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)*}, \widetilde{W}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\boldsymbol{a}_{m+r+1}*}\big)$ as "the specialization" of the TEP-structure $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}(Y)}, \mathcal{P})$. We have the isomorphism of Givental between the TEP-structures $(\mathrm{QDM}(Y), \mathcal{P}_Y)$ and $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}(Y)}, \mathcal{P})$. (See [21, 44].) Hence, we can obtain the isomorphism of mixed TEP-structures in Theorem 1.7 as the specialization of the isomorphism of Givental.

1.4 The mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to meromorphic functions

Motivated by the applications to the study of the twistor property of GKZ-hypergeometric systems, mentioned in the previous subsections, we shall consider the technical issues on the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Let X be a complex manifold with a hypersurface H. Let f be a meromorphic function on X whose poles are contained in H. We have the naturally defined integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structure $\mathcal{T}_\star(f,H)$ ($\star = *, !$) over $L_\star(f,H)$. We also have the naturally induced polarizations on $\mathrm{Gr}^W_w\,\mathcal{T}_\star(f,H)$ which depend on the choice of an effective divisor D whose support is H. The much part of this paper is devoted to the study of such kind of graded polarized mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structure. For instance, we consider the following issues.

- Let $X^{(1)} := \mathbb{P}^1_\tau \times X$. We set $H^{(1)} := (\mathbb{P}^1 \times H) \cup (\{0,\infty\} \times X)$. Let $\iota : X \longrightarrow X^{(1)}$ be the morphism induced by $\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_\tau$. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X(*H)$. We have the graded polarized mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structure $\mathcal{T}_\star(g+\tau f, H^{(1)})$ on $X^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_\star(g,H)$ on X, where $\star = *, !$. Under some assumptions, we shall relate $\iota_\dagger \mathcal{T}_\star(g,H)$ with the kernel and the cokernel of $\mathcal{T}_!(g+\tau f, H^{(1)}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_*(g+\tau f, H^{(1)})$. It is not difficult to obtain a relation in the level of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structures (Proposition 3.25). We need more preliminaries to obtain the relation between polarizations on the graded pieces (Proposition 5.2).

- Let $X^{(1)}$ and $H^{(1)}$ be as above. Let $\pi : X^{(1)} \longrightarrow X$ be the projection. We have the graded polarized mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_\star(g+\tau f, H^{(1)})$ by taking the push-forward via π. Let Z_f be the zero-set of f. We have the graded polarized mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_*(g,H)[!(f)_0]$ and $\mathcal{T}_!(g,H)[*(f)_0]$ on X. Under some assumptions, we shall relate $\pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_*(g+\tau f, H^{(1)})$ (resp. $\pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_!(g+\tau f, H)$) and $\mathcal{T}_*(g,D)[!Z_f]$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_!(g,D)[*Z_f]$.) Again, it is not difficult to obtain a relation in the level of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structure (Proposition 3.22). We need more preliminaries to obtain the relation between polarizations on the graded pieces (Proposition 5.7).

We also need the compatibility of various standard functors for $\mathcal{D}$-modules and flat bundles, which are explained in the appendix sections §A and §B.

Acknowledgement This study grows out of my attempt to understand the works of H. Iritani [20, 21], Y. Konishi, S. Minabe [30, 31, 32] and T. Reichelt, C. Sevenheck [44, 45]. I am grateful to them for helpful discussions on various occasions. In particular, Reichelt and Sevenheck explained their notation, and remarked me the issue of the normality condition in the study of GKZ-hypergeometric systems and toric varieties. I thank C. Sabbah for his kindness and for discussions on many occasions. I thank Y. Shamoto for some discussion. I thank G. Wilkin for his interest to this study and his kindness. I am grateful to C. Hertling and U. Walther for some discussions. I thank A. Ishii, A. Moriwaki, M.-H. Saito, Y. Tsuchimoto, T. Xue and K. Vilonen for their kindness and supports.

This work is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 22540078), the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 15K04843), the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (No. 22244003) and the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) (No. 24224001), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. This research was partially completed while the author was visiting the Institute for Mathematical Sciences, National University of Singapore in 2014. This research was partially completed while the author stayed in the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, partially supported by the Simons Foundation and by the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach.

2 $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to meromorphic functions

2.1 Purity condition

2.1.1 $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to meromorphic functions

Let X be a complex manifold with a hypersurface D. Let $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$ be the sheaf of meromorphic functions on X whose poles are contained in D. Let $\boldsymbol{D}$ denote the duality functor on the category of holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules on X. For any coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-module M, we set $M(*D) := \mathcal{O}_X(*D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M$ and $M(!D) := \boldsymbol{D}\big(\boldsymbol{D}(M)(*D)\big)$. The restriction of $M(\star D)$ ($\star = *, !$) to $X \setminus D$ is naturally isomorphic to $M_{|X\setminus D}$. We have the canonical morphism $M(!D) \longrightarrow M(*D)$ whose restriction to $X \setminus D$ is the identity.

Let f be a meromorphic function on (X, D), i.e., a section of $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$. Let $(f)_0$ and $(f)_\infty$ denote the effective divisors obtained as the zeroes and the poles of f. The supports of the divisors are denoted by $|(f)_0|$ and $|(f)_\infty|$.

We obtain the meromorphic flat bundle $L_*(f, D) := \mathcal{O}_X(*D)v$ with $\nabla v = v\, df$. We naturally regard it as a $\mathcal{D}_X$-module. We set $L_!(f, D) := L_*(f, D)(!D) = \boldsymbol{D}\bigl(L_*(-f, D)\bigr)$. The image of the canonical morphism $L_!(f, D) \longrightarrow L_*(f, D)$ is independent of the choice of D such that $|(f)_\infty| \subset D$, and denoted by $L(f)$. We have natural isomorphisms $L_\star(f, D) \simeq L(f)(\star D)$ for $\star =!, *$. Indeed, $L(f) \longrightarrow L_*(f, D)$ naturally induces $L(f)(*D) \longrightarrow L_*(f, D)$ which is clearly an isomorphism. By using the duality, we obtain $L_!(f, D) \simeq L(f)(!D)$.

When $D = |(f)_\infty|$, we set $L_\star(f) := L_\star(f, |(f)_\infty|)$.

2.1.2 Purity

We continue to use the notation in §2.1.1. We introduce a condition.

Definition 2.1 *We say that a meromorphic function f on X is pure at $P \in X$ if the canonical morphism $L_!(f) \longrightarrow L_*(f)$ is an isomorphism on a neighbourhood of P. We say that f is pure if it is pure at any point of X.*

Because $\boldsymbol{D}\bigl(L_*(f)\bigr) \simeq L_!(-f)$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\bigl(L_!(f)\bigr) \simeq L_*(-f)$, we have the following easy lemmas.

Lemma 2.2 *Let f be a meromorphic function on X.*

- *f is pure if and only if $-f$ is pure.*
- *f is pure if and only if the morphisms $L_!(f) \longrightarrow L_*(f)$ and $L_!(-f) \longrightarrow L_*(-f)$ are epimorphisms.*

Let $\varphi : Y \longrightarrow X$ be a proper morphism of complex manifolds such that φ induces an isomorphism $Y \setminus \varphi^{-1}(D) \simeq X \setminus D$.

Lemma 2.3 *Let f be a meromorphic function on (X, D). Suppose that $\bigl|(\varphi^* f)_\infty\bigr| = \varphi^{-1}(D)$ and that $\varphi^*(f)$ is pure. Then, f is also pure, and it satisfies $|(f)_\infty| = D$.*

Proof We set $f_Y := \varphi^*(f)$ and $D_Y := \varphi^{-1}(D)$. Because $D = \varphi\bigl(|(f_Y)_\infty|\bigr) \subset |(f)_\infty| \subset D$, we have $|(f)_\infty| = D$. We have $\varphi^i_+ L_*(f_Y, D_Y) = 0$ ($i \neq 0$), and $\varphi^0_+\bigl(L_*(f_Y, D_Y)\bigr)(*D) \simeq \varphi^0_+\bigl(L_*(f_Y, D_Y)\bigr)$. Hence, we have $\varphi^0_+\bigl(L_*(f_Y, D_Y)\bigr) \simeq L_*(f, D)$. By the duality, we have $\varphi^0_+\bigl(L_!(f_Y, D_Y)\bigr) \simeq L_!(f, D)$. Then, from the purity of f_Y, we obtain that $L_!(f, D) \longrightarrow L_*(f, D)$ is an isomorphism.

2.1.3 Vanishing of cohomology for pure functions

The purity condition sometimes implies the vanishing of the cohomology. We mention a typical case. Let X be a complex manifold with a hypersurface D. Let f be a meromorphic function on (X, D) such that (i) $|(f)_\infty| = D$, (ii) f is pure. Let $F : X \longrightarrow S$ be a proper morphism of complex manifolds.

Proposition 2.4 *Suppose that $R^i F_*\bigl(\Omega^j_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(*D)\bigr) = 0$ for $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ such that $i + j > \dim X$. Then, we have $F^k_\dagger L(f) = 0$ ($k \neq 0$).*

Proof Because $\boldsymbol{D}L(f) \simeq L(-f)$ and because $-f$ is also pure, it is enough to prove that $F^k_\dagger L(f) = 0$ for $k > 0$. We have only to prove the claim locally around any point of S. We set $\omega_X := \Omega_X^{\dim X}$ and $\omega_S := \Omega_S^{\dim S}$. Recall that $F_\dagger L(f)$ is obtained as $RF_*\Bigl(\bigl[\omega_X \otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S} F^{-1}\bigl(\mathcal{D}_S \otimes \omega_S^{-1}\bigr)\bigr] \otimes^L_{\mathcal{D}_X} L(f)\Bigr)$. We have the standard free resolution $\mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega^\bullet_X[\dim X]$ of the right $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules ω_X. By the assumption, if $i + j > \dim X$, we have

$$R^j F_*\Bigl(\bigl[\Omega^i_X \otimes_{F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S} (F^{-1}\mathcal{D}_S \otimes \omega_S)\bigr] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L(f)\Bigr) \simeq R^j F_*\bigl(\Omega^i_X \otimes L(f)\bigr) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} (\mathcal{D}_S \otimes \omega_S) = 0.$$

Hence, we have the desired vanishing $F^k_\dagger L(f) = 0$ for $k > 0$.

Example 2.5 *The condition of Proposition* 2.4 *is satisfied if* F *is factorized into the composite of morphisms of complex manifolds* $X \xrightarrow{\rho} X' \xrightarrow{F'} S$ *such that (i)* $D' = \rho(D)$ *is also a hypersurface of* X'*, (ii)* ρ *induces* $X \setminus D \simeq X' \setminus D'$*, (iii)* $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(D')$ *is relatively ample with respect to* F'*.* ▮

2.2 $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to non-degenerate meromorphic functions

2.2.1 A non-degeneracy condition

Let X be a complex manifold with a simple normal crossing hypersurface D. Let f be a meromorphic function on (X, D). In this paper, we shall often use the following non-degeneracy condition.

Definition 2.6 f *is called non-degenerate along* D *if the following holds for a small neighbourhood* N *of* $|(f)_\infty|$*.*

- $(f)_0 \cap N$ *is reduced and non-singular.*
- $N \cap \big(|(f)_0| \cup D\big)$ *is normal crossing.* ▮

Let $D = \bigcup_{i\in\Lambda} D_i$ and $|(f)_\infty| = \bigcup_{i\in\Lambda_f} D_i$ be the irreducible decompositions, where $\Lambda_f \subset \Lambda$. For any non-empty subset $I \subset \Lambda$, we set $D_I := \bigcap_{i\in\Lambda} D_I$ and $D_I^\circ := D_I \setminus \bigcup_{j\notin I} D_j$. If $I = \emptyset$, we set $D_\emptyset = X$. Then, the second condition can be reworded that D_I° is transversal with $|(f)_0|$ for any $I \subset \Lambda$ with $I \cap \Lambda_f \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 2.7 *Suppose that a meromorphic function* f *on* (X, D) *is non-degenerate along* D*. Let* D' *be a hypersurface of* X *such that* $|(f)_\infty| \subset D' \subset D$*. Then,* f *is non-degenerate along* D'*. But, the converse does not hold in general. Namely, even if a meromorphic function* f *on* (X, D') *is non-degenerate along* D'*, it is not necessarily non-degenerate along* D*. For example, set* $X = \mathbb{C}^2$*,* $f = (z_1 - z_2)/z_2$*,* $D' = \{z_2 = 0\}$ *and* $D = \{z_1 = 0\} \cup \{z_2 = 0\}$*.* ▮

We reword the condition in terms of local coordinate systems. We set $D_f^c := \bigcup_{i\in\Lambda_f^c} D_i$, where $\Lambda_f^c := \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_f$. We have $D = |(f)_\infty| \cup D_f^c$. Let $Q \in |(f)_\infty|$. We take a holomorphic coordinate neighbourhood $(X_Q; z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ around Q such that

$$|(f)_\infty| \cap X_Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell_1} \{z_i = 0\}, \quad D_f^c \cap X_Q = \bigcup_{i=\ell_1+1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2} \{z_i = 0\}.$$

Let k_i denote the pole order of f along $\{z_i = 0\}$. Then, we have an expression $f = f_0 \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1} z_i^{-k_i}$ where f_0 is holomorphic. Let $I \subset \Lambda_f$ be determined by $Q \in D_I^\circ$. Note $I \cap \Lambda_f \neq \emptyset$. If f is non-degenerate along D, then the divisor $(f_{0|D_I^\circ})$ is reduced and non-singular. Conversely, if the above holds for any $Q \in |(f)_\infty|$, then f is non-degenerate.

Remark 2.8 *See Definition* 6.5 *and Lemma* 6.9 *for the relation between the non-degeneracy condition in* [33] *and the condition in Definition* 2.6*. We postpone to discuss the exact relation between the (cohomologically) tameness condition for algebraic functions (see* [48]*) and the conditions in Definitions* 2.1 *and* 2.6*.* ▮

2.2.2 Convenient coordinate systems

Suppose that a section f of $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$ is non-degenerate along D. We have a holomorphic coordinate system $(X_Q; z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ around $Q \in |(f)_\infty|$ satisfying the following conditions.

- $|(f)_\infty| \cap X_Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell_1} \{z_i = 0\}$ and $D_f^c \cap X_Q = \bigcup_{i=\ell_1+1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2} \{z_i = 0\}$.
- If $Q \in |(f)_0|$, we have $f_{|X_Q} = z_n \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1} z_i^{-k_i}$ for some $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{\ell_1}$.
- If $Q \notin |(f)_0|$, we have $f_{|X_Q} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1} z_i^{-k_i}$ for some $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{\ell_1}$.

In this paper, such a coordinate system is called a convenient coordinate system.

2.2.3 Families of non-degenerate functions

Let $X \longrightarrow S$ be a smooth morphism of complex manifolds. Let D be a simple normal crossing hypersurface in X with the irreducible decomposition $D = \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$. Suppose that the induced morphisms $D_I^\circ \longrightarrow S$ are smooth for any $I \subset \Lambda$. For any $s \in S$, let (X_s, D_s) denote the fibers of (X, D) over $s \in S$. In such a situation, we sometimes consider a condition which is stronger than the non-degeneracy along D.

Definition 2.9 *Let f be a meromorphic function on (X, D) which is non-degenerate along D. If moreover $f_{|X_s}$ is non-degenerate along D_s for any $s \in S$, we say that f is non-degenerate along D over S.* ∎

2.2.4 Purity in the non-degenerate case

Let X be a complex manifold with a normal crossing hypersurface D. Let f be a meromorphic function on (X, D).

Lemma 2.10 *Suppose that $D = |(f)_\infty|$ and that f is non-degenerate along D. Then, f is pure.*

Proof We have only to check the claim locally around any point of $|(f)_\infty|$. We use a convenient coordinate system as in §2.2.2. We have a natural isomorphism $L_!(f)(*D) \simeq L_*(f)(*D)$. Hence, for a large N, $u := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1} z_i^N v$ is a section of $L_!(f)$.

We have a natural morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{D}_X \longrightarrow L_!(f)$ given by $P \longmapsto Pu$. Let us check that the composite of φ and $L_!(f) \longrightarrow L_*(f)$ is an epimorphism. It is enough to observe that the image of u in $L_*(f)$ generates $L_*(f)$. For $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_1}$, let $z^{\boldsymbol{m}} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1} z_i^{m_i}$. If $Q \in |(f)_\infty|$, note $\partial_{z_n}(z^{\boldsymbol{m}} v) = z^{\boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{k}} v$. If $Q \notin |(f)_\infty|$, note $z_1 \partial_{z_1}(z^{\boldsymbol{m}} v) = -k_i z^{\boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{k}} v + m_i z^{\boldsymbol{m}} v$. Then, the claim easily follows.

Hence, the natural morphism $\kappa : L_!(f) \longrightarrow L_*(f)$ is an epimorphism. As remarked in Lemma 2.2, because the dual of κ is the epimorphism $L_!(-f) \longrightarrow L_*(-f)$, we obtain that κ is a monomorphism. ∎

2.2.5 Expressions of the $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to non-degenerate functions

Let $V_D\mathcal{D}_X$ denote the sheaf of subalgebras of $\mathcal{D}_X$ generated by $\Theta_X(\log D)$ over $\mathcal{O}_X$. Let f be a meromorphic function on (X, D) which is non-degenerate along D. Then, $L(f)$ and $L(f)(D) = L(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ are naturally $V_D\mathcal{D}_X$-modules.

Lemma 2.11 *We naturally have $L_!(f, D) \simeq \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X} L(f)$ and $L_*(f, D) \simeq \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X} L(f)(D)$.*

Proof Because $\big(\mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X} L(f)\big)(*D) \simeq \big(\mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X} L(f)(D)\big)(*D) \simeq L(f)(*D)$, we have natural morphisms:

$$\mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X} L(f)(D) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} L_*(f, D) \tag{6}$$

$$L_!(f, D) \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X} L(f). \tag{7}$$

It is enough to prove that the morphisms are isomorphisms locally around any point of D. We use a convenient coordinate system in §2.2.2.

Let v be a frame of $L(f)$ over $\mathcal{O}_X(*(f)_\infty)$ such that $\nabla v = v\,df$. Because $a_1 : L_!(f, D) \longrightarrow L(f)$ is an epimorphism, we can locally take a section v' of $L_!(f, D)$ which is mapped to v via a_1. We consider the submodule $V_D\mathcal{D}_X\, v' \subset L_!(f, D)$. It is coherent over $V_D\mathcal{D}_X$. Note that $L(f)$ is coherent over $V_D\mathcal{D}_X$. Because $\operatorname{Ker} a_1 \cap V_D\mathcal{D}_X v' = \operatorname{Ker}\big(a_{1|V_D\mathcal{D}_X v'}\big)$, it is coherent over $V_D\mathcal{D}_X$. In particular, it is locally finitely generated over $V_D\mathcal{D}_X$. Take a generator $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ of $\operatorname{Ker} a_1 \cap V_D\mathcal{D}_X v'$. The supports of f_j are contained in D. We can take a large N such that $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2} z_i^N f_j = 0$ in $L_!(f, D)$, because $L_!(f, D)(*D) = L(f)(*D)$. Then, it is easy to see that $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2} z_i^N \big(V_D\mathcal{D}_X\, v' \cap \operatorname{Ker}(a_1)\big) = 0$. We set $v'' := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2} z_i^N v'$, and then we have $V_D\mathcal{D}_X v'' \cap \operatorname{Ker}(a_1) = 0$. The morphism $V_D\mathcal{D}_X v'' \longrightarrow L(f)$ induced by a_1 gives an isomorphism $V_D\mathcal{D}_X v'' \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(*(f)_\infty) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2} z_i^N v$ of $V_D\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. In particular, $V_D\mathcal{D}_X v''$ is naturally an $\mathcal{O}_X\big(*(f)_\infty\big)$-module.

We set $v^{(3)} := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1} z_i^{-N} v''$. We have $z_i \partial_i v^{(3)} = -k_i v^{(3)} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1} z_i^{-k_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell_1$, and $z_i \partial_i v^{(3)} = N v^{(3)}$ for $i = \ell_1 + 1, \ldots, \ell_1 + \ell_2$. Take $0 \leq p_{\ell_1+1}, \ldots, p_{\ell_1+\ell_2} \leq N$. We set $\boldsymbol{p} := (p_{\ell_1+1}, \ldots, p_{\ell_1+\ell_2})$. We set $v_{\boldsymbol{p}} :=$

$\prod_{i=\ell_1+1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2}\partial_i^{p_i}v^{(3)}$. Note that $a_1(v_{\boldsymbol{p}}) = C_{\boldsymbol{p}}\prod_{i=\ell_1+1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2} z_i^{N-p_i}v$ for a non-zero constant $C_{\boldsymbol{p}}$. We consider the following morphism induced by a_1:

$$V_D\mathcal{D}_X\cdot\prod_{i=\ell_1+1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2}\partial_i^{p_i}v^{(3)}\longrightarrow L(f) \tag{8}$$

Let us observe that (8) is a monomorphism. If $\boldsymbol{p}=(0,\ldots,0)$, it has already been observed. If $p_i>0$, set $p'_j:=p_j$ $(j\neq i)$ and $p'_i:=p_i-1$. We have $\partial_i v_{\boldsymbol{p}'}=v_{\boldsymbol{p}}$. Let $s\in\operatorname{Ker}(a_1)\cap V_D\mathcal{D}_X v_{\boldsymbol{p}}$. We have $s=\partial_i s'$ for some $s'\in V_D\mathcal{D}_X v_{\boldsymbol{p}'}$. We have $0=a_1(z_is)=a_1(z_i\partial_is')=0$. We have $z_i\partial_is'=0$ in $V_D\mathcal{D}_Xv_{\boldsymbol{p}'}\simeq\mathcal{O}_X(*(f)_\infty)\prod_{j=1}^{N-p'_j}v$. But, because $N-p'_i>0$, it is easy to see that if a section s' of $\mathcal{O}_X(*(f)_\infty)\prod_{j=1}^{N-p'_j}v$ satisfies $z_i\partial_is'=0$ then $s'=0$. Hence, we obtain $s=0$. In particular, the induced morphism $V_D\mathcal{D}_X\,v_{(N,\ldots,N)}\longrightarrow L(f)$ is a monomorphism. Because $a_1(v_{(N,\ldots,N)})$ is v multiplied by a non-zero constant, $V_D\mathcal{D}_X\,v_{(N,\ldots,N)}\longrightarrow L(f)$ is also an epimorphism, i.e., an isomorphism.

Hence, we have a $V_D\mathcal{D}_X$-homomorphism $a_2:L(f)\longrightarrow L_!(f,D)$ such that $a_1\circ a_2=\mathrm{id}$. It induces a $\mathcal{D}_X$-homomorphism $\beta_2:\mathcal{D}_X\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X}L(f)\longrightarrow L_!(f,D)$. We set $g:=\beta_2\circ\alpha_2$ which is an endomorphism of $L_!(f,D)$. For the dual, g induces the identity on $\boldsymbol{D}L_!(f,D)$. Hence, we obtain that g is the identity. In particular, (7) is an epimorphism and β_2 is a monomorphism. Because $\mathcal{D}_X\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X}L(f)$ is generated by v, β_2 is an epimorphism. Hence, α_2 and β_2 are isomorphisms.

Let us study the morphism α_1. Because $L(f)=L(f)(*(f_\infty))$, we naturally have

$$\mathcal{D}_X\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X}L(f)(D)\simeq\mathcal{D}_X(*(f)_\infty)\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X(*(f)_\infty)}L(f)(D).$$

For any non-negative integer m, we consider the $\mathcal{O}_X(*(f)_\infty)$-homomorphism $\gamma_m:L(f)\big((m+1)D\big)\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}_X\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X}L(f)(D)$ determined by

$$\gamma_m\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1}z_i^{-1}\prod_{i=\ell_1+1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2}z_i^{-m-1}v\Big)=(-1)^{\ell_2m}(m!)^{-\ell_2}\prod_{i=\ell_1+1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2}\partial_i^m\otimes\prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2}z_i^{-1}v.$$

Let $\iota_m:L(f)(mD)\longrightarrow L(f)((m+1)D)$ be the natural inclusion. Then, we have $\alpha_1\circ\gamma_m\circ\iota_m=\alpha_1\circ\gamma_{m-1}$. We obtain an $\mathcal{O}_X(*(f)_\infty)$-homomorphism $\gamma:L(f)(*D)\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}_X\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X}L(f)(D)$. By the construction, γ is an epimorphism, and $\alpha_1\circ\gamma$ is the identity. Then, we obtain that α_1 is an isomorphism. ∎

2.2.6 De Rham complexes

We give some complexes which are quasi-isomorphic to the de Rham complexes of $L_\star(f,D)$ when f is non-degenerate along D. (See [11], [51], [62] for the case $|(f)_0|\cap|(f)_\infty|=\emptyset$.) We set $d_X:=\dim X$.

We have the natural complex of right $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules $\Omega_X^\bullet\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\mathcal{D}_X[d_X]$ which is a right locally $\mathcal{D}_X$-free resolution of $\Omega_X:=\Omega_X^{d_X}$. We have the subcomplex $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)(-D)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}V_D\mathcal{D}_X[d_X]$, which is a right locally $V_D\mathcal{D}_X$-free resolution of Ω_X. Indeed, the natural morphism $\Omega_X\otimes V_D\mathcal{D}_X\longrightarrow\Omega_X$ induces a quasi-isomorphism $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)(-D)\otimes V_D\mathcal{D}_X[d_X]\simeq\Omega_X$ of complexes of right $V_D\mathcal{D}_X$-modules.

Suppose that f is non-degenerate along D. Because $L_*(f,D)\simeq\mathcal{D}_X\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X}L(f)(D)$ according to Lemma 2.11, we have the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_X\otimes^L_{\mathcal{D}_X}L_*(f,D)\simeq\Omega_X\otimes^L_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X}L(f)(D)&\simeq\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)(-D)\otimes L(f)(D)[d_X]\\&\simeq\Big(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)(*(f)_\infty),d+df\Big)[d_X]\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Because $L_!(f,D)\simeq\mathcal{D}_X\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X}L(f)$ according to Lemma 2.11, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_X\otimes^L_{\mathcal{D}_X}L_!(f,D)\simeq\Omega_X\otimes^L_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X}L(f)&\simeq\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)(-D)\otimes L(f)[d_X]\\&\simeq\Big(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)(-D)(*(f)_\infty),d+df\Big)[d_X]\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Coherent expression Let H be any divisor in X. Let us consider the complex

$$\Omega_X^{\ell-1}(\log D)\big(H\big) \xrightarrow{a_1} \Omega_X^{\ell}(\log D)\big(H+(f)_\infty\big) \xrightarrow{a_2} \Omega_X^{\ell+1}(\log D)\big(H+2(f)_\infty\big),$$

where a_i are induced by the multiplication of df.

Lemma 2.12 *If f is non-degenerate along D, we have $\operatorname{Im} a_1 = \operatorname{Ker} a_2$ on a neighbourhood of $|(f)_\infty|$.*

Proof We have only to check the claim locally around any point Q of $|(f)_\infty|$ by using a convenient local coordinate system. Let us consider the case $Q \in |(f)_0| \cap |(f)_\infty|$. Then, $f = z_n \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1} z_i^{-k_i}$. We have the following local section of $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$:

$$\tau := df \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1} z_i^{k_i} = dz_n - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_1} k_i z_n \frac{dz_i}{z_i}$$

We have $\tau_{|Q} \neq 0$. We consider the following on a neighbourhood of Q:

$$\Omega_X^{\ell-1}(\log D) \xrightarrow{b_1} \Omega_X^{\ell}(\log D) \xrightarrow{b_2} \Omega_X^{\ell+1}(\log D)$$

Here, b_i are induced by the multiplication of τ. Because $\tau_{|Q} \neq 0$, we have $\operatorname{Im}(b_1) = \operatorname{Ker}(b_2)$. Then, in the case $Q \in |(f)_0|$, the claim of the lemma follows. The case $Q \not\in |(f)_0|$ can be argued similarly, and it is well known. ∎

We set $\Omega_X^{\ell}(\log D, f) := \Omega_X^{\ell}(\log D)(\ell(f)_\infty)$. Together with the differential $d + df$, we obtain a complex $\big(\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D, f), d + df\big)$. We also have $\big(\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D, f)(-D), d + df\big)$. We obtain the following from the previous lemma.

Lemma 2.13 *The following natural morphisms are quasi-isomorphisms:*

$$\big(\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D, f), d + df\big) \longrightarrow \Big(\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D)(*(f)_\infty), d + df\Big)$$

$$\big(\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D, f)(-D), d + df\big) \longrightarrow \Big(\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D)(-D)(*(f)_\infty), d + df\Big)$$

∎

Hence, we have the following natural quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\Omega_X \otimes^L_{\mathcal{D}_X} L_*(f, D) \simeq \big(\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D, f), d + df\big)[d_X]$$

$$\Omega_X \otimes^L_{\mathcal{D}_X} L_!(f, D) \simeq \big(\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D, f)(-D), d + df\big)[d_X]$$

Kontsevich complexes Let $\Omega_{X,f,D}^k$ denote the kernel of the following morphism induced by the multiplication of df:

$$\Omega_X^k(\log D) \xrightarrow{df} \frac{\Omega_X^{k+1}(\log D)\big(!(f)_\infty\big)}{\Omega_X^{k+1}(\log D)}.$$

Lemma 2.14 $\Omega_{X,f,D}^k$ *are locally free.*

Proof We have $\Omega_{X,f,D}^k = \Omega_X^k(\log D)$ outside $|(f)_\infty|$. Locally around any point of $(f)_\infty$, we have local decompositions $\Omega_X^k(\log D) = A_k \oplus B_k$ such that the multiplication of df induces $B_k \simeq A_{k+1}((f)_\infty)$. Hence, we have $\Omega_{X,f,D}^k = A_k \oplus B_k(-(f)_\infty)$, and the claim of the lemma follows. ∎

The multiplication of df induces $df : \Omega_{X,f,D}^k \longrightarrow \Omega_{X,f,D}^{k+1}$. By the commutativity $[d, df] = 0$, the exterior derivative induces $d : \Omega_{X,f,D}^k \longrightarrow \Omega_{X,f,D}^{k+1}$. Hence, we obtain the complex $(\Omega_{X,f,D}^{\bullet}, d + df)$. We also obtain the complex $(\Omega_{X,f,D}^{\bullet}(-D), d + df)$.

Lemma 2.15 *The following natural morphisms are quasi-isomorphisms:*

$$\big(\Omega_{X,f,D}^{\bullet}, d + df\big) \longrightarrow \big(\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D, f), d + df\big)$$

$$\big(\Omega_{X,f,D}^{\bullet}(-D), d + df\big) \longrightarrow \big(\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D, f)(-D), d + df\big)$$

∎

2.2.7 The push-forward by a projection

Let us consider the case $(X, D) = (X_0, D_0) \times S$. Suppose that X_0 is compact. Let f be a meromorphic function on (X, D). Let $\pi : X \longrightarrow S$ denote the projection. Let $n := \dim X_0$.

Lemma 2.16 *Suppose that f is non-degenerate along D. We have the following natural isomorphisms:*

$$\pi_+^i\big(L_*(f, D)\big) \simeq \mathbb{R}^i\pi_*\big(\Omega^{\bullet+n}_{X/S}(\log D)(*(f)_\infty), d + df\big) \tag{11}$$

$$\pi_+^i\big(L_!(f, D)\big) \simeq \mathbb{R}^i\pi_*\big(\Omega^{\bullet+n}_{X/S}(\log D)(-D)(*(f)_\infty), d + df\big) \tag{12}$$

Proof Let us consider (11). By Lemma 2.11, we have $L_*(f, D) \simeq \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{V_D\mathcal{D}_X} L(f)(D)$. We have $\mathcal{D}_X = \mathcal{D}_{X_0} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_S$ and $V_D\mathcal{D}_X = V_{D_0}\mathcal{D}_{X_0} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_S$. Let $p : X \times X_0$ be the projection. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_+\big(L_*(f, D)\big) &\simeq R\pi_*\Big(p^*\Omega_{X_0} \otimes^L_{p^*\mathcal{D}_{X_0}} L_*(f, D)\Big) \simeq R\pi_*\Big(p^*\Omega_{X_0} \otimes^L_{p^*V_D\mathcal{D}_{X_0}} \big(L_*(f, D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)\big)\Big) \\ &\simeq R\pi_*\Big(\Omega^{\bullet+n}_{X/S}(\log D)(-D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \big(L_*(f, D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)\big)\Big) \simeq R\pi_*\Big(\Omega^{\bullet+n}_{X/S}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L_*(f, D)\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

It implies (11). Similarly, we obtain (12) from the expression $L_!(f, D) \simeq \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{V_D\mathcal{O}_X} \big(L(f)(-D)\big)$ in Lemma 2.11, as in (10). ▮

Suppose moreover that f is non-degenerate along D over S. (See Definition 2.9 for this stronger condition.) As in §2.2.6, we can naturally define the complexes $\big(\Omega^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D, f), d+df\big)$ and $\big(\Omega^\bullet_{X/S,f,D}, d+df\big)$ in the relative setting.

Lemma 2.17 *If f is non-degenerate along D over S, then we have the following natural isomorphisms:*

$$\pi_+^i\big(L_*(f, D)\big) \simeq \mathbb{R}^i\pi_*\big(\Omega^{\bullet+n}_{X/S}(\log D, f), d + df\big) \simeq \mathbb{R}^i\pi_*\big(\Omega^{\bullet+n}_{X/S,f,D}, d + df\big) \tag{14}$$

$$\pi_+^i\big(L_!(f, D)\big) \simeq \mathbb{R}^i\pi_*\big(\Omega^{\bullet+n}_{X/S}(\log D, f)(-D), d + df\big) \simeq \mathbb{R}^i\pi_*\big(\Omega^{\bullet+n}_{X/S,f,D}(-D), d + df\big) \tag{15}$$

Proof As in §2.2.6, we obtain the isomorphisms from Lemma 2.16. ▮

Corollary 2.18 *Suppose that f is non-degenerate along D over S. Then, $\pi_+^i\big(L_\star(f, D)\big)$ are flat bundles on S, i.e., locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules with an integrable connection.*

Proof The right hand sides of (14) and (15) are $\mathcal{O}_S$-coherent. Then, the claim of this corollary follows from a well known result, i.e., if a $\mathcal{D}_X$-module is coherent over $\mathcal{O}_X$, then it is a flat bundle. ▮

2.3 Some functions satisfying the purity condition

We give some examples of meromorphic functions which are not necessarily non-degenerate but satisfy the purity condition. Let X denote a complex manifold with a normal crossing hypersurface D.

2.3.1 Basic cases

We set $X^{(1)} := X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $D^{(1)} := (D \times \mathbb{P}^1) \cup (\{\infty\} \times X)$. Let τ be the standard coordinate on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Take $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X(*D)$, and we consider a meromorphic function $F := \tau f + g$ on $(X^{(1)}, D^{(1)})$. We give some sufficient conditions for F to be pure on an open subset in $X^{(1)}$.

Lemma 2.19 *Suppose the following.*

- *g is non-degenerate along D.*
- *$|(f)_0| \cap |(f)_\infty| = \emptyset$, and $|(f)_0| \subset |(g)_\infty|$. In particular, $|(f)_0| \subset D$.*
- *$D = |(f)_\infty| \cup |(g)_\infty|$.*

Then, F is pure on $X^{(1)}$.

Proof Let us consider the $\mathcal{D}_{X^{(1)}}(*D^{(1)})$-module $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}(*D^{(1)})v$ with $\nabla v=v dF$. It is enough to prove that $\mathcal{V}[!D^{(1)}]\longrightarrow\mathcal{V}$ is an epimorphism around any point of $(P,\tau)\in X^{(1)}$. We take a holomorphic coordinate system $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ around P such that $D=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{x_i=0\}$.

Let us consider the case $\tau\neq\infty$. For a large N, we have $v_1=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}x_i^N v\in\mathcal{V}(\star D^{(1)})$ $(\star=*,!)$. It is enough to prove that v_1 generates $\mathcal{V}$ as a $\mathcal{D}_{X^{(1)}}$-module. For any $\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\ell}$, we have

$$\partial_i(x^{\boldsymbol{m}}v)=\bigl(x_i^{-1}m_i+\partial_i g+\tau\partial_i f\bigr)x^{\boldsymbol{m}}v.$$

We also have $\partial_\tau v=fv$. For $i=1,\ldots,\ell$, we obtain

$$x_i\partial_i(x^{\boldsymbol{m}}v)-(f^{-1}x_i\partial_i f)\cdot\tau\partial_\tau(x^{\boldsymbol{m}}v)=x^{\boldsymbol{m}}(x_i\partial_i g+m_i)v. \tag{16}$$

For $i=\ell+1,\ldots,n$, we have

$$\partial_i(x^{\boldsymbol{m}}v)-(f^{-1}\partial_i f)\tau\partial_\tau(x^{\boldsymbol{m}}v)=x^{\boldsymbol{m}}\partial_i(g)v. \tag{17}$$

By using (16) and (17), we obtain that $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}(*(g)_\infty)v_1$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{X^{(1)}}v_1$. Then, by using $\partial_\tau v=fv$, we obtain that $\mathcal{V}$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{X^{(1)}}v_1$ around (P,τ).

Let us consider the case $\tau=\infty$. Let $\kappa:=\tau^{-1}$. It is enough to see that $v_1=\kappa^N\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}x_i^N v$ generates $\mathcal{V}$ around (P,∞). We have $\kappa\partial_\kappa v=-\tau\partial_\tau v=-\kappa^{-1}fv$. As in the case $\tau\neq\infty$, we obtain $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}(*(g)_\infty)v_1$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{X^{(1)}}v_1$ by using (16) and (17). Then, by using $\kappa\partial_\kappa v=-\kappa^{-1}fv$, we obtain that $\mathcal{V}$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{X^{(1)}}v_1$. ∎

The following lemma is easy to see.

Lemma 2.20 *Suppose the following:*

- $g=0$.
- $D=|(f)_\infty|$.
- $(f)_0$ *is smooth and reduced, and* $D\cup|(f)_0|$ *is normal crossing.*

Then, $F=\tau f$ is non-degenerate on $\{\tau\neq 0\}\times X$. In particular, F is pure on $\{\tau\neq 0\}\times X$. ∎

2.3.2 A variant

Let X be a complex manifold with a simple normal crossing hypersurface D. We set $X^{(2)}:=\mathbb{P}^1_\tau\times\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X$. Let $D^{(2)}$ denote the union of $\{\infty\}\times\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X$ and $\mathbb{P}^1_\tau\times\{0,\infty\}\times X$ and $\mathbb{P}^1_\tau\times\mathbb{P}^1_t\times D$. Let h be a meromorphic function on (X,D) such that (i) $D=|(h)_\infty|$, (ii) h is non-degenerate along D, (iii) $(h)_0$ is reduced and non-singular on X. We have the meromorphic function $F=t^{-1}\tau+th$ on $(X^{(2)},D^{(2)})$.

We set $D_1:=\{t=0\}\times X$ and $D_2:=(\{t=\infty\}\times X)\cup(\mathbb{P}^1_t\times D)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X$. We take a projective birational morphism $\varphi:Y\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X$ such that (i) $g:=\varphi^*(th)$ is non-degenerate, (ii) $D_Y:=\varphi^{-1}(D_1\cup D_2)$ is normal crossing, (iii) $Y\setminus\varphi^{-1}(D_1)\simeq(\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X)\setminus D_1$. We set $f:=\varphi^*(t^{-1})$.

Lemma 2.21 *$\widetilde{F}=\tau f+g$ is pure on $\widetilde{Y}:=\mathbb{P}^1_\tau\times Y$.*

Proof Because $D_2\setminus D_1\subset|(th)_\infty|$, we have $\varphi^{-1}(D_2\setminus D_1)\subset\bigl|(g)_\infty\bigr|$. We clearly have $\varphi^{-1}(D_1)=\bigl|(f)_\infty\bigr|$. Hence, we have $D_Y=|(f)_\infty|\cup|(g)_\infty|$. We also have $|(f)_0|\cap|(f)_\infty|=\emptyset$ and $|(f)_0|\subset|(g)_\infty|$. Then, we obtain the claim of Lemma 2.21 from Lemma 2.19. ∎

Lemma 2.22 *F is pure on $\mathbb{P}^1_\tau\times\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X$.*

Proof Let $\widetilde{\varphi}:\widetilde{Y}\longrightarrow X^{(2)}$ be the induced morphism. Let $\widetilde{D}_Y$ be the union of $\{\infty\}\times Y$ and $\mathbb{P}^1_\tau\times D_Y$ in $\widetilde{Y}$. By the previous lemma, $\widetilde{F}:=\tau f+g$ is pure on $\widetilde{Y}$. We also have $\widetilde{D}_Y=|(\widetilde{F})_\infty|$. Hence, the natural morphism $L_!(\widetilde{F},\widetilde{D}_Y)\longrightarrow L_*(\widetilde{F},\widetilde{D}_Y)$ is an isomorphism. Because $L_\star(F,D^{(2)})\simeq\widetilde{\varphi}_\dagger L_\star(\widetilde{F},\widetilde{D}_Y)$, we obtain that $L_!(F,D^{(2)})\longrightarrow L_*(F,D^{(2)})$ is an isomorphism, i.e., F is pure on $X^{(2)}$. ∎

2.4 Push-forward

2.4.1 A statement

Let X be a complex manifold with a simple normal crossing hypersurface D. We set $Y := X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $D_Y^{(0)} := (X \times \{\infty\}) \cup (D \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ and $D_Y^{(1)} := D_Y^{(0)} \cup (X \times \{0\})$. Let f and g be meromorphic functions on (X, D). We assume the following.

- The divisor $(f)_0 \cap (X \setminus D)$ is reduced and non-singular.

We set $Z_f := |(f)_0|$. Let $[z_0 : z_1]$ be a homogeneous coordinate system of $\mathbb{P}^1$, and we set $t := z_0/z_1$. We obtain the meromorphic function tf on Y. The pull back of g by the projection $Y \longrightarrow X$ is also denoted by g. We set $F := tf + g$.

We have the $\mathcal{D}$-modules $L_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})$ and $L_*(F, D_Y^{(1)})$ on Y. We have the natural exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow L_*(F, D_Y^{(0)}) \longrightarrow L_*(F, D_Y^{(1)}) \longrightarrow L_*(F, D_Y^{(1)})/L_*(F, D_Y^{(0)}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{18}$$

Let $\pi : Y \longrightarrow X$ be the projection. We shall prove the following proposition in §2.4.3.

Proposition 2.23 *We have* $\pi_+^i(M) = 0$ $(i \neq 0)$ *for*

$$M = L_*(F, D_Y^{(0)}),\ L_*(F, D_Y^{(1)}),\ L_*(F, D_Y^{(1)})/L_*(F, D_Y^{(0)}).$$

We have the following isomorphisms:

$$\pi_+^0 L_*(F, D_Y^{(0)}) \simeq L_*(g, D)(*Z_f)/L_*(g, D) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\Big(L_*(g)(!Z_f)(*D) \longrightarrow L_*(g, D)\Big) \tag{19}$$

$$\pi_+^0 L_*(F, D_Y^{(1)}) \simeq L_*(g)(!Z_f)(*D) \tag{20}$$

$$\pi_+^0 \big(L_*(F, D_Y^{(1)})/L_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})\big) \simeq L_*(g, D) \tag{21}$$

The push-forward of (18) *is isomorphic to the following standard exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\Big(L_*(g)(!Z_f)(*D) \longrightarrow L_*(g, D)\Big) \longrightarrow L_*(g)(!Z_f)(*D) \longrightarrow L_*(g, D) \longrightarrow 0$$

By the duality, we obtain the following as a corollary of Proposition 2.23.

Corollary 2.24 *We have* $\pi_+^i M = 0$ $(i \neq 0)$ *for*

$$M = L_!(F, D_Y^{(0)}),\ L_!(F, D_Y^{(1)}),\ \operatorname{Ker}\big(L_!(F, D_Y^{(1)}) \longrightarrow L_!(F, D_Y^{(0)})\big).$$

We have the following isomorphisms:

$$\pi_+^0 \big(L_!(F, D_Y^{(0)})\big) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\Big(L_!(g, D)(!Z_f) \longrightarrow L_!(g, D)\Big) \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\Big(L_!(g, D) \longrightarrow L_!(g)(*Z_f)(!D)\Big)$$

$$\pi_+^0 \big(L_!(F, D_Y^{(1)})\big) \simeq L_!(g)(*Z_f)(!D)$$

$$\pi_+^0 \big(\operatorname{Ker}\big(L_!(F, D_Y^{(1)}) \longrightarrow L_!(F, D_Y^{(0)})\big)\big) \simeq L_!(g, D)$$

The push-forward of

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\big(L_!(F, D_Y^{(1)}) \longrightarrow L_!(F, D_Y^{(0)})\big) \longrightarrow L_!(F, D_Y^{(1)}) \longrightarrow L_!(F, D_Y^{(0)}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{22}$$

is isomorphic to the standard exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow L_!(g, D) \longrightarrow L_!(g)(*Z_f)(!D) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cok}\big(L_!(g, D) \longrightarrow L_!(g)(*Z_f)(!D)\big) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{23}$$

∎

Remark 2.25 *Note that the restriction of the morphism* $L_!(F, D_Y^{(0)}) \longrightarrow L_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})$ *to* $\mathbb{P}^1 \times (X \setminus D)$ *is an isomorphism. Indeed, the restriction of* F *to* $\mathbb{P}^1 \times (X \setminus D)$ *is non-degenerate along* $\{\infty\} \times (X \setminus D)$*. In particular, the restriction of the morphism* $\pi^0_+ L_!(F, D_Y^{(0)}) \longrightarrow \pi^0_+ L_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})$ *to* $X \setminus D$ *is an isomorphism. Indeed,* $\pi^0_+ L_\star(F, D_Y^{(0)})_{|X\setminus D}$ *are isomorphic to the push-forward of the* $\mathcal{D}_{Z_f \setminus D}$*-module* $(\mathcal{O}_{Z_f\setminus D}, d + dg')$ *via the inclusion* $Z_f \setminus D \longrightarrow X \setminus D$*, where* g' *is the restriction of* g *to* $Z_f \setminus D$*.* ▮

Let us consider the case that f is moreover non-degenerate along D. In this case, Z_f is smooth, and $Z_f \cup D$ is normal crossing. Let $\iota : Z_f \longrightarrow X$ denote the inclusion. We set $D_{Z_f} := D \cap Z_f$. We set $g_0 := g_{|Z_f}$. We have the $\mathcal{D}$-modules $L_\star(g_0, D_{Z_f})$ on Z_f.

Corollary 2.26 *If* f *is moreover non-degenerate along* D*, we have the following isomorphisms:*

$$\pi^0_+ L_*(F, D_Y^{(0)}) \simeq L_*(g, D)(*Z_f)/L_*(g, D) \simeq \iota_+ L_*(g_0, D_{Z_f}) \tag{24}$$

$$\pi^0_+ L_!(F, D_Y^{(0)}) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\bigl(L_!(g, D)(!Z_f) \longrightarrow L_!(g, D)\bigr) \simeq \iota_+ L_!(g_0, D_{Z_f}) \tag{25}$$

The image of $\pi^0_+ L_!(F, D_Y^{(0)}) \longrightarrow \pi^0_+ L_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})$ *is naturally isomorphic to* $\iota_+ L(g_0)$*. Note that it is* $\iota_+ \mathcal{O}_{Z_f}$ *if* $g = 0$*.*

Proof The first isomorphism in (24) is given in Proposition 2.23. If $Z_f \cup D$ is normal crossing, we clearly have the second isomorphism in (24). We obtain the isomorphisms in (25) by the duality. According to Remark 2.25, the restriction of the morphism $\pi^0_+ L_!(F, D_Y^{(0)}) \longrightarrow \pi^0_+ L_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})$ to $X \setminus D$ is an isomorphism. Hence, the image of $\pi^0_+ L_!(F, D_Y^{(0)}) \longrightarrow \pi^0_+ L_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})$ is identified with the image of a non-zero morphism $\iota_+ L_!(g_0, D_{Z_f}) \longrightarrow \iota_+ L_*(g_0, D_{Z_f})$, which is isomorphic to $\iota_+ L(g_0)$. ▮

2.4.2 Extensions

We give a general preliminary which is a variant of Beilinson's construction [4]. Let h be any meromorphic function on a complex manifold Z. We set $D_h := |(h)_0| \cup |(h)_\infty|$. Let $\mathcal{O}_Z(*D_h)[s] := \mathcal{O}_Z(*D_h) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[s]$. For any pair of integers (a, b) with $a < b$, we consider the meromorphic flat bundle

$$I_h^{a,b} := s^a \mathcal{O}_Z(*D_h)[s] / s^b \mathcal{O}_Z(*D_h)[s]$$

with the connection ∇ determined by $\nabla s^j = s^{j+1} dh/h$. For any holonomic $\mathcal{D}_Z$-module M, we set $\Pi_h^{a,b} M := I_h^{a,b} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} M$.

We return to the situation in §2.4.1. We shall prove the following proposition in §2.4.4.

Proposition 2.27 *Suppose that* $(f)_0 \cap X \setminus D$ *is smooth and reduced. Then, we have* $\pi^j_+\bigl(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_\star(F)(\star t)\bigr) = 0$ $(\star = *, !)$ *for* $j \neq 0$*, and we have the following natural isomorphisms:*

$$\Psi_*^{a,b} : \pi^0_+\bigl(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(*t)\bigr) \simeq \Bigl(\Pi_{f^{-1}}^{a,b} L_*(g)\Bigr)(!(f)_0)(*D)$$

$$\Psi_!^{a,b} : \pi^0_+\bigl(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_!(F)(!t)\bigr) \simeq \Bigl(\Pi_{f^{-1}}^{a,b} L_!(g)\Bigr)(*(f)_0)(!D)$$

The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi^0_+\bigl(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_!(F)(!t)\bigr) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Psi_!^{a,b}"'] & \pi^0_+\bigl(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(*t)\bigr) \arrow[d, "\Psi_*^{a,b}"'] \\
\Bigl(\Pi_{f^{-1}}^{a,b} L_!(g)\Bigr)(*(f)_0)(!D) \arrow[r] & \Bigl(\Pi_{f^{-1}}^{a,b} L_*(g)\Bigr)(!(f)_0)(*D)
\end{tikzcd}$$

(26)

Here, the upper horizontal arrow is the natural morphism, and the lower horizontal arrow is given by the multiplication of $-s$*.*

2.4.3 Proof of Proposition 2.23

Let us obtain the first isomorphism in (19). We set $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t] := \mathcal{O}_X(*D)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}[t]$. Let $R\pi_*$ denote the ordinary push-forward of sheaves by π. We have $R^j\pi_*\bigl(L_*(F,D_Y^{(0)})\bigr)=0$ for $j>0$, and $\pi_*\bigl(L_*(F,D_Y^{(0)})\bigr)\simeq\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t]$ as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Hence, $\pi_+L_*(F)$ is represented by the following complex:

$$\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t]\xrightarrow{\partial_t+f}\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t]$$

Here, the second term sits in the degree 0. The action of vector fields V on X is given by $V(t^i)=t^i(tV(f)+V(g))$. It is easy to see that the morphism $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t]\xrightarrow{\partial_t+f}\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t]$ is a monomorphism. Let us look at the cokernel. We consider the following morphism of $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$-modules:

$$\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t]\longrightarrow L_*(g,D)(*Z_f),\qquad t^i\longmapsto(-1)^i i!f^{-i-1}$$

Here, we use the natural identification $L_*(g,D)(*Z_f)=\mathcal{O}_X(*D)(*Z_f)$ as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. It is a morphism of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. Indeed, for a holomorphic vector field V on X, we have

$$V(t^i)=t^{i+1}V(f)+t^iV(g),\quad V(f^{-i-1}(-1)^i i!)=(-1)^{i+1}(i+1)!f^{-i-2}V(f)+V(g)f^{-i-1}(-1)^i i!.$$

For $i>0$, we have $\partial_t t^i+ft^i=it^{i-1}+ft^i$ which is mapped to $i(-1)^{i-1}(i-1)!f^{-i}+f(-1)^i i!f^{-i-1}=0$. We also have $\partial_t t^0+ft^0=f$ which is mapped to 1. Hence, we obtain the morphism of the $\mathcal{D}$-modules:

$$\operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t]\xrightarrow{\partial_t+f}\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t]\Bigr)\longrightarrow L_*(g,D)(*Z_f)\big/L_*(g,D)\tag{27}$$

We consider filtrations $\mathcal{F}_j(\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t])=\bigoplus_{i\le j}\mathcal{O}_X(*D)t^iv$ and $\mathcal{F}_jL_*(g,D)(*Z_f)=L_*(g,D)\bigl((j+1)Z_f\bigr)$. It is easy to see that the induced morphism on the graded modules is an isomorphism. Hence, (27) is an isomorphism. Thus, we obtain the first half of (19).

Let us prove (21). Let $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t,t^{-1}] := \mathcal{O}_X(*D)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$. Let $\iota: X\times\{0\}\longrightarrow X\times\mathbb{P}^1$ denote the inclusion. We identify $L_*(F,D_Y^{(1)})\big/L_*(F,D_Y^{(0)})$ with the $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module

$$\mathcal{M}_1 := \iota_*\Bigl(\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t,t^{-1}]\Big/\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t]\Bigr)\,v$$

and the connection ∇ given by $\nabla v=vd(F)$. Then, $\pi_+\bigl(L_*(F,D_Y^{(1)})\big/L_*(F,D_Y^{(0)})\bigr)$ is represented by the complex $\mathcal{M}_1\xrightarrow{\partial_t+f}\mathcal{M}_1$. Here, the second term sits in the degree 0. The kernel and the cokernel are denoted by Ker_1 and Cok_1, respectively. For the identification $\mathcal{M}_1=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{O}_X(*D)t^{-j}v$, we set $\mathcal{F}_n:=\bigoplus_{j\le n}\mathcal{O}_X(*D)t^{-j}v$. We have $\partial_t+f:\mathcal{F}_n\longrightarrow\mathcal{F}_{n+1}$, which induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{F}}_n\simeq\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1}$ for $n\ge1$. Hence, it is easy to check $\mathrm{Ker}_1=0$, and that the natural $\mathcal{O}_X$-morphism $L_*(g,D)\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_X(*D)t^{-1}v=\mathcal{F}_1$ given by $\varphi\longmapsto\varphi(t^{-1}v)$ induces an isomorphism $L_*(g,D)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Cok}_1$ which is compatible with the flat connection.

Let us observe (20). We consider $\mathcal{M}_2=\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t,t^{-1}]v$ with $\nabla v=vdF$. As before, $\pi_+\bigl(L_*(F,D_Y^{(1)})\bigr)$ is represented by $\mathcal{M}_2\xrightarrow{\partial_t+f}\mathcal{M}_2$, where the second term sits in the degree 0. The kernel and the cokernel are denoted by Ker_2 and Cok_2, respectively. We set $\mathcal{M}_0:=\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t]v$. We have the natural exact sequence $0\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_0\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_2\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_1\longrightarrow0$. Because $\mathcal{M}_i\xrightarrow{\partial_t+f}\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i=0,1)$ are monomorphisms, we obtain that $\mathrm{Ker}_2=0$. We also have the following exact sequence of $\mathcal{D}$-modules:

$$0\longrightarrow L_*(g,D)(*Z_f)/L_*(g,D)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Cok}_2\longrightarrow L_*(g,D)\longrightarrow0$$

It implies that $\mathrm{Cok}_2(*Z_f)\simeq L_*(g,D)(*Z_f)$. Hence, we have a uniquely induced morphism $L_*(g,D)(!Z_f)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Cok}_2$. Because $\mathrm{Cok}_2(*D)\simeq\mathrm{Cok}_2$, we have a uniquely induced morphism

$$\rho: L_*(g)(!Z_f)(*D)\simeq L_*(g,D)(!Z_f)(*D)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Cok}_2\,.$$

Let us prove that the morphism is an isomorphism. Around any point of $Z_f\setminus D$, we have $\psi_f(\mathrm{Cok}_2)\simeq\psi_f\bigl(L_*(g)\bigr)$ and $\phi_f(\mathrm{Cok}_2)\simeq\phi_f\bigl(L_*(g)(*Z_f)/L_*(g)\bigr)$. By a direct computation, we can check that the natural morphism $\psi_f(\mathrm{Cok}_2)\longrightarrow\phi_f(\mathrm{Cok}_2)$ is non-zero. It implies that $\psi_f(\mathrm{Cok}_2)\longrightarrow\phi_f(\mathrm{Cok}_2)$ is an isomorphism. Hence, ρ is an isomorphism on $X\setminus D$, and then it follows that ρ is an isomorphism on X. We also obtain the second isomorphism in (19), and that the push-forward of (22) is isomorphic to (23). ∎

2.4.4 Proof of Proposition 2.27

Let us prove the claim in the case $\star = *$. We have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Pi_t^{a-1,b} L_*(F)(*t) \longrightarrow \Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(*t) \longrightarrow L_*(F)(*t)\, s^a \longrightarrow 0$$

Then, we obtain $\pi_+^j \Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(*t) = 0$ $(j \neq 0)$ by applying Proposition 2.23 and an easy induction. We also have the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_+^0 \Pi_t^{a-1,b} L_*(F)(*t) \longrightarrow \pi_+^0 \Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(*t) \longrightarrow L_*(g)(!(f)_0)(*D) \longrightarrow 0$$

By an easy induction, we obtain that the following natural morphisms are isomorphisms:

$$\pi_+^0\big(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(*t)\big) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi_+^0\big(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(*t)\big)(*D) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \pi_+^0\big(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(*t)\big)(!(f)_0)(*D).$$

Hence, for the construction of $\Psi_*^{a,b}$, it is enough to obtain an isomorphism $\pi_+^0\big(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(*t)\big) \simeq \Pi_{f^{-1}}^{a,b} L_*(g)$ on $X \setminus (D \cup Z_f)$.

We have the following representative of $\pi_+\big(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(*t)\big)$:

$$\bigoplus_{j=a}^{b-1} \mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t]s^j \xrightarrow{\kappa} \bigoplus_{j=a}^{b-1} \mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t]t^{-1}s^j$$

Here, the morphism κ is given by $\partial_t + f + st^{-1}$. The action of any vector field $V \in \Theta_X$ is given by $V(s^j) = \big(V(g)+tV(f)\big)s^j$. The kernel of κ is clearly 0. The natural inclusion of $\bigoplus_{j=a}^{b-1} L_*(g)t^{-1}s^j$ into $\bigoplus_{j=a}^{b-1} L_*(g)[t]t^{-1}s^j$ induces an isomorphism of $\bigoplus_{j=a}^{b-1} L_*(g)t^{-1}s^j$ and the cokernel of κ. We have $V(s^jt^{-1}) = V(g)s^jt^{-1} + V(f)s^j$. Because $\kappa(s^j) = fs^j + s^{j+1}t^{-1}$, we have $V(f)s^j \equiv fV(f^{-1})s^{j+1}t^{-1}$ on $X \setminus \big(Z_f \cup D\big)$. Thus, we obtain the isomorphism $\Psi_*^{a,b} : \pi_+^0\big(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(*t)\big) \simeq \Pi_{f^{-1}}^{a,b} L_*(g)$ on $X \setminus (D \cup Z_f)$ by setting $\Psi_*^{a,b}(t^{-1}s^j) := s^j$.

Let us consider the case $\star = !$. We can deduce it from the claim in the case $\star = *$ by using the duality. But, we give a more explicit construction which would be useful for our study later. As in the case of $\star = *$, we have $\pi_+^j \Pi_t^{a,b} L_!(F)(!t) = 0$ $(j \neq 0)$ and the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\pi_+^0\big(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_!(F)(!t)\big) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi_+^0\big(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_!(F)(!t)\big)(!D) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \pi_+^0\big(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_!(F)(!t)\big)(*Z_f)(!D).$$

Hence, it is enough to obtain an isomorphism $\pi_+^0\big(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_!(F)(!t)\big) \simeq \Pi_{f^{-1}}^{a,b} L_!(g)$ on $X \setminus (D \cup Z_f)$.

We have the following representative of $\pi_+\big(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_!(F)(!t)\big)$ on $X \setminus \big(Z_f \cup D\big)$:

$$\bigoplus_{j=a}^{b-1} \mathcal{O}_X[t]ts^j \xrightarrow{\kappa} \bigoplus_{j=a}^{b-1} \mathcal{O}_X[t]s^j$$

The morphism κ and the action of $V \in \Theta_X$ are given by the same formula. The natural inclusion of $\bigoplus_{j=a}^{b-1} L_*(g)s^j$ into $\bigoplus_{j=a}^{b-1} L_*(g)[t]s^j$ induces an isomorphism of $\bigoplus_{j=a}^{b-1} L_*(g)s^j$ and the cokernel of κ. On $X \setminus \big(Z_f \cup D\big)$, we have $V(s^j) = V(g)s^j + V(f)ts^j$ and $\kappa(s^jt) = fs^jt + s^{j+1} + s^j$. Hence, we have $V(fs^j) \equiv V(g)(fs^j) + fV(f^{-1})fs^{j+1}$. Thus, we obtain the isomorphism $\Psi_!^{a,b}$ by setting $\Psi_!^{a,b}(fs^j) := s^j$.

Let us look at the restriction of the natural morphism $\pi_+^0\Pi_t^{a,b} L_!(F)(!t) \longrightarrow \pi_+^0\Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(*t)$ to $X \setminus (D \cup Z_f)$. Because $\kappa(s^j) = fs^j + s^{j+1}t^{-1}$, we have $fs^j = -t^{-1}s^{j+1}$ in $\pi_+^0\Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(*t)$. Hence, under the above isomorphisms $\Psi_!^{a,b}$ and $\Psi_*^{a,b}$, it is identified with $\Pi_t^{a,b} L(g) \longrightarrow \Pi_t^{a,b} L(g)$ induced by the multiplication of $-s$, i.e., the diagram (26) is commutative. ∎

Remark 2.28 *We also have the following representative of* $\pi_+^0\big(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(!t)\big)$*:*

$$\bigoplus_{j=a}^{b-1} \mathcal{O}_X(*D)(!t)s^j \xrightarrow{\kappa} \bigoplus_{j=a}^{b-1} \mathcal{O}_X(*D)(!t)s^j$$

For any local section c of $\bigoplus_{j=a}^{b-1} \mathcal{O}_X(*D)(!t)s^j$, let $[c]_!$ denote the induced section of $\pi_+^0\big(\Pi_t^{a,b} L_*(F)(!t)\big)$. Recall that we have a natural isomorphism $L(F)(!t) \simeq L(F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(!t)$.

2.4.5 A consequence

We continue to use the notation in §2.4.1. For simplicity, we consider the case $g = 0$. Motivated by the descriptions of some hypergeometric systems in [3] and [61], we give a remark on another description of $\pi^0_+\big(L_*(tf, D_Y^{(0)})\big)$. We set $Y_0 := X \times \mathbb{P}^1_t \times \mathbb{P}^1_s$. Let p_i denote the projection of Y_0 onto the i-th component. We set $D_0 := p_1^{-1}(D) \cup p_2^{-1}(\{0,\infty\}) \cup p_3^{-1}(\{0,\infty\})$. We regard t and s as meromorphic functions on (Y_0, D_0). Let us consider $L_*\big(t(f+s), D_0\big)$.

Let $\pi_{ts} : X \times \mathbb{P}^1_t \times \mathbb{P}^1_s \longrightarrow X$, $\pi_t : X \times \mathbb{P}^1_t \longrightarrow X$ and $\pi_s : X \times \mathbb{P}^1_s \longrightarrow X$ denote the projections. Set $D_{X\times\mathbb{P}^1_t} := \big(X \times \{0,\infty\}\big) \cup \big(D \times \mathbb{P}^1_t\big)$, and $D_{X\times\mathbb{P}^1_s} := \big(X \times \{0,\infty\}\big) \cup \big(D \times \mathbb{P}^1_s\big)$.

Proposition 2.29 *We have the following natural isomorphisms:*

$$\pi_{s+}\mathcal{O}_{X\times\mathbb{P}^1_s}\big(!(f+s)_0\big)\big(*D_{X\times\mathbb{P}^1_s}\big) \simeq \pi_{ts+}L_*\big(t(f+s), D_0\big) \simeq \pi_{t+}L_*\big(tf, D_{X\times\mathbb{P}^1_t}\big) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(!(f)_0)(*D). \tag{28}$$

Proof Let p_{12} and p_{13} denote the projection of Y_0 onto $X \times \mathbb{P}^1_t$ and $X \times \mathbb{P}^1_s$, respectively. By Proposition 2.23, we have

$$p^0_{13+}L_*\big(t(f+s), D_0\big) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X\times\mathbb{P}^1_s}\big(!(f+s)_0\big)\big(*D_{X\times\mathbb{P}^1_s}\big), \qquad p^i_{13+}L_*\big(t(f+s), D_0\big) = 0 \;\; (i \neq 0).$$

We also have the following by Proposition 2.23:

$$p^0_{12+}L_*\big(t(f+s), D_0\big) \simeq L_*\big(tf, D_{X\times\mathbb{P}^1_t}\big), \qquad p^i_{12+}L_*\big(t(f+s), D_0\big) = 0 \;\; (i \neq 0).$$

Then, the claim of the proposition follows. ▮

2.4.6 Complement for a non-resonant case

We give a remark on a non-resonant case to compare the resonant case above. Take $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. We consider the line bundle $L_{\alpha,Y}(tf) := \mathcal{O}_Y(*D_Y^{(1)})\, e$, with the flat connection ∇ determined by $\nabla e = e(d(tf) + \alpha dt/t)$, where e is a global frame. Similarly, we consider the line bundle $L_{-\alpha,X} := \mathcal{O}_X\big(*(D \cup (f)_0)\big)\, v$ with the connection ∇ determined by $\nabla v = v\,(-\alpha df/f)$. The following is essentially contained in Theorem 1.5 of [2].

Proposition 2.30 *We have a natural isomorphism* $\pi^0_+ L_{\alpha,Y}(tf) \simeq L_{-\alpha,X}$.

Proof We use the notation in §2.4.3. Indeed, $\pi^0_+ L_{\alpha,Y}(tf)$ is represented by the complex

$$\mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t,t^{-1}]\, e \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t,t^{-1}]\, e.$$

Here, the second term sits in the degree 0, and $a(g) = (t\partial_t + \alpha + tf)g$. The action of a holomorphic vector field V on $t^j e$ is given as $V(t^j e) = t^{j+1}(Vf)e$. We define an $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$-homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_X(*D)[t,t^{-1}]\, e \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(*D)\, v$ by $\Phi(t^j e) = \Gamma(-\alpha+1)\,\Gamma(-\alpha-j+1)^{-1} f^{-j} v$. Then, we can check that Φ is compatible with the connections, and $\Phi \circ a = 0$. It induces a morphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\pi^0_+ L_{\alpha,Y}(tf) \longrightarrow L_{-\alpha,X}$. We can check that the induced morphism is an isomorphism. ▮

2.5 Specialization

2.5.1 Statement

Let X be a complex manifold with a simple normal crossing hypersurface D. Let us consider meromorphic functions f and g on (X, D). We set $X^{(1)} := X \times \mathbb{C}_\tau$ and $D^{(1)} := D \times \mathbb{C}_\tau$. We have the meromorphic function $\tau f + g$ on $(X^{(1)}, D^{(1)})$ and the associated $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{M}_{\star,f,g} := L_\star(\tau f + g, D^{(1)})$ on $X^{(1)}$ for $\star = *, !$. Let $K_{\star,f,g}$ and $C_{\star,f,g}$ denote the kernel and the cokernel of $\mathcal{M}_{\star,f,g}(!\tau) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\star,f,g}(*\tau)$ for $\star = *, !$. Let $\iota_0 : X \longrightarrow X^{(1)}$ be given by $\iota_0(Q) = (Q, 0)$. We shall prove the following proposition in §2.5.2–§2.5.4.

Proposition 2.31 *If* $|(f)_0| \cap |(f)_\infty| = \emptyset$, *we have the following:*

$$C_{*,f,g} \simeq \iota_{0+}L_*(g, D), \quad K_{*,f,g} \simeq \iota_{0+}L_*(g, D)(!(f)_\infty).$$

$$K_{!,f,g} \simeq \iota_{0+}L_!(g, D), \quad C_{!,f,g} \simeq \iota_{0+}L_!(g, D)(*(f)_\infty).$$

2.5.2 The case $g = 0$ and $D = |(f)_\infty|$

First, we consider the case $g = 0$ and $D = |(f)_\infty|$. In this case, τf is non-degenerate along $D^{(1)}$. Hence, we have $\mathcal{M}_{*,f,0} = \mathcal{M}_{!,f,0}$ which we denote by $\mathcal{M}_f$. We also have $K_{*,f,0} \simeq K_{!,f,0}$ and $C_{*,f,0} \simeq C_{!,f,0}$. We have a global section v of $\mathcal{M}_f$ such that $\mathcal{M}_f = \mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}(*D^{(1)})v$ with $\nabla v = v\, d(\tau f)$.

We described the V-filtration $U_\bullet(\mathcal{M}_f)$ of $\mathcal{M}_f$ along $\tau = 0$ in [41], which we recall here. We use the convention that $\tau\partial_\tau + \alpha$ is locally nilpotent on $U_\alpha \big/ U_{<\alpha}$. We describe the filtration locally around any point of $(Q, 0) \in D \times \{0\}$. Suppose $Q \notin |(f)_\infty|$. We have $\mathrm{Gr}^U_j(\mathcal{M}_f(*\tau)) = 0$ unless $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $U_j\big(\mathcal{M}_f(*\tau)\big) = \tau^{-j}\mathcal{M}_f$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $Q \in |(f)_\infty|$. We take a holomorphic coordinate system $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ around Q such that $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{z_i = 0\}$ and $f = z^{-\boldsymbol{k}}$ for some $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}_{>0}$. For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, we set $\boldsymbol{p} = [\alpha\boldsymbol{k}] := \big([\alpha k_1], \dots, [\alpha k_\ell]\big)$, where $[a] := \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \,|\, n \leq a\}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\delta} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\pi : X^{(1)} \longrightarrow X$ denote the projection. We may naturally regard $\pi^*\mathcal{D}_X$ as a sheaf of subalgebras in $\mathcal{D}_{X^{(1)}}$. Locally around $(Q, 0)$, we have

$$U_\alpha\big(\mathcal{M}_f(*\tau)\big) = \pi^*\mathcal{D}_X\big(\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{p}}v\big) = \pi^*\mathcal{D}_X\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{p}}(\tau f)^j\, v\Big)$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}(*D^{(1)})v$. We have $U_1\big(\mathcal{M}(*\tau)\big) = \tau^{-1}U_0\mathcal{M}$. We obtain

$$U_{<0}\mathcal{M} + \tau\partial_\tau U_0\mathcal{M} = \pi^*\mathcal{D}_X\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\tau f)^j\, v\Big).$$

Hence, $U_0\mathcal{M}\big/(U_{<0}\mathcal{M} + \tau\partial_\tau U_0\mathcal{M}) \simeq \iota_{0*}\Big(\mathcal{D}_X\big(\mathcal{O}_X(D)\big)\Big) \simeq \iota_{0*}\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$. It implies $C_{\star,f,0} \simeq \iota_{0+}\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$ if $D = |(f)_\infty|$. By using the duality, we obtain $K_{\star,f,0} \simeq \iota_{0+}\mathcal{O}_X(!D)$ if $D = |(f)_\infty|$.

2.5.3 The case $|(g)_0| \cap |(g)_\infty| = \emptyset$

Let us consider the case that $|(g)_0| \cap |(g)_\infty| = \emptyset$. We define $\mathcal{M}_0 := L(\tau f)$. Set $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{*,f,g}$. We put $D_0 := |(f)_\infty| \cup |(g)_\infty|$. We have the hypersurface $D_1 \subset D$ such that $D_0 \cup D_1 = D$ and $\mathrm{codim}_X(D_0 \cap D_1) \geq 2$. We set $D_2 := |(g)_\infty| \cup D_1$. We have $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}_0 \otimes L_*(g, D_2^{(1)})$. We naturally have $\mathcal{M}(*\tau) \simeq \mathcal{M}_0(*\tau) \otimes L_*(g, D_2^{(1)})$. We shall observe that $\mathcal{M}(!\tau) \simeq \mathcal{M}_0(!\tau) \otimes L_*(g, D_2^{(1)})$.

We have the V-filtration $U_\bullet(\mathcal{M}_0(*\tau))$ of $\mathcal{M}_0(*\tau)$. Set $U_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(*\tau)) := U_\alpha(\mathcal{M}_0(*\tau)) \otimes L_*(g, D_2^{(1)})$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.32 *$U_\bullet(\mathcal{M}(*\tau))$ is the V-filtration of $\mathcal{M}(*\tau)$.*

Proof By the construction, $\tau\partial_\tau + \alpha$ is locally nilpotent on $U_\alpha\mathcal{M}(*\tau)\big/U_{<\alpha}\mathcal{M}(*\tau)$. Let us prove that $U_\alpha\mathcal{M}$ is $V\mathcal{D}_{X^{(1)}}$-coherent. If $Q \notin |(f)_\infty|$, the claim is clear. Let us consider the case $Q \in |(f)_\infty|$. We take a holomorphic coordinate system $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ around Q such that $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\{x_i = 0\}$ and $g = x^{-\boldsymbol{a}}$ and $f = f_1 x^{-\boldsymbol{b}}$, where f_1 is nowhere vanishing. Here $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}_{\geq 0}$. Put $\boldsymbol{p} := [\alpha\boldsymbol{b}]$. Let $\boldsymbol{\delta} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}$.

We use the identifications $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{O}_X^{(1)}\big(*(f)_\infty^{(1)}\big)\, v$ with $\nabla v = v\, d(\tau f)$, and $L_*(g, D_2^{(1)}) = \mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}\big(*D_2^{(1)}\big)\, e$ with $\nabla e = e\, dg$. It is enough to prove that $U_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(*\tau)) \otimes L_*(g, D_2^{(1)})$ is generated by $x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{p}}v \otimes e$ over $V\mathcal{D}_{X^{(1)}}$.

Set $\mathfrak{s}(\boldsymbol{a}) := \{i \,|\, a_i \neq 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{s}(\boldsymbol{b}) := \{i \,|\, b_i \neq 0\}$. For $i \in \mathfrak{s}(\boldsymbol{a}) \cup \mathfrak{s}(\boldsymbol{b})$, and for any $\boldsymbol{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$\partial_i x_i(x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q}}v \otimes e) = x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q}}\Big(-p_i - q_i - a_i x^{-\boldsymbol{a}} - b_i\tau f_1 x^{-\boldsymbol{b}} + \tau x_i x^{-\boldsymbol{b}}\partial_i f_1\Big)\, v \otimes e.$$

Because $\tau\partial_\tau(v \otimes e) = f_1\tau x^{-\boldsymbol{b}}(v \otimes e)$, we have

$$\partial_i x_i(x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q}}v \otimes e) + (b_i - \tau f_1^{-1}x_i\partial_i f_1)\tau\partial_\tau(x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q}}v \otimes e) = x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q}}(-p_i - q_i - a_i x^{-\boldsymbol{a}})v \otimes e. \tag{29}$$

By using (29), we obtain that $x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{p}}v \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}(*D_2^{(1)})e \subset V\mathcal{D}_{X^{(1)}}(v \otimes e)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\delta}_1 := (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathfrak{s}(\boldsymbol{b})}$. For any $Q \in \pi^*\mathcal{D}_X$ and for any $h \in \mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}(*D_2^{(1)})$, we have $\partial_i\big(Q(x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}v) \otimes he\big) = \partial_i Q(x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}v) \otimes he + Q(x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}_1}v) \otimes \partial_i(he)$. Hence, we can easily deduce that $U_\alpha(\mathcal{M}) \subset V\mathcal{D}_{X^{(1)}}\, x^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{p}}(v \otimes e)$. Thus, we obtain the lemma. ▮

We set $U_\alpha\big(\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau) \otimes L_*(g, D_2^{(1)})\big) := U_\alpha\big(\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\big) \otimes L_*(g, D_2^{(1)})$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.33 *$U_{\bullet}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\otimes L_*(g,D_2^{(1)})\bigr)$ is the V-filtration of $\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\otimes L_*(g,D_2^{(1)})$.*

Proof For $\alpha<1$, we have $U_{\alpha}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\otimes L_*(g,D_2^{(1)})\bigr)=U_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}_0)\otimes L_*(g,D_2^{(1)})$, which is coherent over $V\mathcal{D}_{X^{(1)}}$. For $\alpha\geq 1$, we have $U_{\alpha}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\otimes L_*\bigl(g,D_2^{(1)}\bigr)\bigr)=\sum_{\beta+n\leq\alpha,\,\beta<1}\partial_{\tau}^nU_{\beta}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\otimes L_*(g,D_2^{(1)})\bigr)$. We obtain that $U_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\otimes L_*\bigl(g,D_2^{(1)}\bigr))$ are coherent over $V\mathcal{D}_{X^{(1)}}$ for any α. We have $\tau\partial\tau+\alpha$ are nilpotent on $U_{\alpha}/U_{<\alpha}$. Hence, $U_{\bullet}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\otimes L_*(g,D_2^{(1)})\bigr)$ is the V-filtration of $\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\otimes L_*\bigl(g,D_2^{(1)}\bigr)$. ∎

Because the induced morphism

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\tau}:U_0\bigl(\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\otimes L_*(g,D_2^{(1)})\bigr)/U_{<0}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\otimes L_*(g,D_2^{(1)})\bigr)\\ \longrightarrow U_1\bigl(\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\otimes L_*(g,D_2^{(1)})\bigr)/U_{<1}\bigl(\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\otimes L_*(g,D_2^{(1)})\bigr)\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

is an isomorphism, we have $\mathcal{M}_0(!\tau)\otimes L_*(g,D_2^{(1)})\simeq\mathcal{M}(!\tau)$. We obtain $C_{*,f,g}\simeq\iota_{0+}\Bigl(\mathcal{O}_X(*(f)_{\infty})\otimes L_*(g,D_2)\Bigr)\simeq\iota_{0+}L_*(g,D)$. We also obtain $K_{*,f,g}\simeq\iota_{0+}\Bigl(\mathcal{O}_X(!(f)_{\infty})\otimes L_*(g,D_2)\Bigr)$. Under the assumption $|(g)_0|\cap|(g)_{\infty}|=\emptyset$, it is naturally isomorphic to $\iota_{0+}L_*(g,D_2)(!(f)_{\infty})$.

Thus, we obtain the claims for $K_{*,f,g}$ and $C_{*,f,g}$ in the case $|(g)_{\infty}|\cap|(g)_0|=\emptyset$. By using the duality, we also obtain the claims for $C_{!,f,g}$ and $K_{!,f,g}$ in this case.

2.5.4 The general case

Let us consider the general case. We take a projective birational morphism of complex manifolds $G:X'\longrightarrow X$ such that (i) $D':=G^{-1}(D)$ is a simple normal crossing hypersurface, (ii) $X'\setminus D'\simeq X\setminus D$, (iii) $|(g')_0|\cap|(g')_{\infty}|=\emptyset$, where $f':=G^*(f)$. We set $g':=G^*(g)$. We have $(f')_0=G^*((f)_0)$ and $(f')_{\infty}=G^*((f)_{\infty})$. In particular, we have $|(f')_0|\cap|(f')_{\infty}|=\emptyset$. We have $C_{*,f',g'}\simeq L_*(g',D')$ and $K_{*,f',g'}\simeq L_*(g',D')(!(f')_{\infty})$.

The induced morphism $X'^{(1)}\longrightarrow X^{(1)}$ is also denoted by G. We have $G_+^0(\mathcal{M}_{*,f',g'})\simeq\mathcal{M}_{*,f,g}$ and $G_+^i(\mathcal{M}_{*,f',g'})=0$ for $i\neq 0$. We obtain $G_+^0(\mathcal{M}_{*,f',g'}(\star\tau))\simeq\mathcal{M}_{*,f,g}(\star\tau)$ and $G_+^i(\mathcal{M}_{*,f',g'}(\star\tau))=0$ for $i\neq 0$. We have $G_+^0\bigl(L_*(g',D')\bigr)\simeq L_*(g,D)$ and $G_+^i\bigl(L_*(g',D')\bigr)=0$ for $i\neq 0$. We also have $G_+^0\bigl(L_*(g',D')\bigl(!(f')_{\infty}\bigr)\bigr)\simeq L_*(g,D)\bigl((f)_{\infty}\bigr)$ and $G_+^i\bigl(L_*(g',D')\bigl(!(f')_{\infty}\bigr)=0$ for $i\neq 0$. Let I be the image of $\mathcal{M}'_{*,f',g'}(!\tau)\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}'_{*,f',g'}(*\tau)$. Because $G_+^i\bigl(\mathcal{M}_{*,f,g}(*\tau)\bigr)=G_+^i\bigl(C_{*,f,g}\bigr)=0$ for $i\neq 0$, we obtain that $G_+^i(I)=0$ for $i\neq 0,-1$. Because $G_+^i\bigl(\mathcal{M}_{*,f,g}(!\tau)\bigr)=G_+^i\bigl(K_{*,f,g}\bigr)=0$ for $i\neq 0$, we obtain that $G_+^i(I)=0$ for $i\neq 0,1$. Hence, we obtain $G_+^i(I)=0$ for $i\neq 0$. It implies that $G_+^0(C_{*,f',g'})\simeq C_{*,f,g}$ and $G_+^0(K_{*,f',g'})\simeq K_{*,f,g}$. Thus, we obtain the claims for $C_{*,f,g}$ and $K_{*,f,g}$. By using the duality, we obtain the claims for $C_{!,f,g}$ and $K_{!,f,g}$. Thus, the proof of Proposition 2.31 is finished. ∎

2.6 Nearby cycle functor and Push-forward

2.6.1 Beilinson's functors and variants

Let h be a meromorphic function on a complex manifold Y. Let M be a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_Y$-module. Suppose $|(h)_0|\cap|(h)_{\infty}|=\emptyset$. We recall the functors of Beilinson [4]:

$$\psi_h^{(a)}(M):=\varprojlim_b\operatorname{Cok}\bigl(\Pi_h^{a,b}M(!(h)_0)\longrightarrow\Pi_h^{a,b}M(*(h)_0)\bigr)$$

$$\Xi_h^{(a)}(M):=\varprojlim_b\operatorname{Cok}\bigl(\Pi_h^{a-1,b}M(!(h)_0)\longrightarrow\Pi_h^{a,b}M(*(h)_0)\bigr).$$

(§2.4.2 for $\Pi_h^{a,b}M$.) On any relatively compact subset K in Y, if b is sufficiently large, the cokernel of $\Pi_h^{a,b}M(!(h)_0)\longrightarrow\Pi_h^{a,b}M(*(h)_0)$ is isomorphic to $\psi_h^{(a)}(M)$ and the kernel is isomorphic to $\psi_h^{(b)}(M)$. On K, if N is sufficiently large, $\Xi_h^{(a)}(M)$ is isomorphic to the cokernel of $\Pi_h^{a+1,a+N}M(!(h)_0)\longrightarrow\Pi_h^{a,a+N}M(*(h)_0)$ and the kernel of $\Pi_h^{a-N,a+1}M(!(h)_0)\longrightarrow\Pi_h^{a-N,a}M(*(h)_0)$. (See the argument in [40, §4.1], for example.)

In the case $|(h)_0| \cap |(h)_\infty| \neq \emptyset$ and $M = \mathcal{O}_Y(*D)$ for a normal crossing hypersurface $D \subset Y$, we also consider a variant. For simplicity, we assume that (i) $(h)_\infty$ is smooth and reduced, (ii) $D = |(h)_0|$, (iii) $D \cup |(h)_\infty|$ is normal crossing. We set $Z := |(h)_\infty|$.

Lemma 2.34 *We have a canonical morphism* $\Pi_h^{a+1,b+1}\mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \Pi_h^{a,b}\mathcal{O}_Y(!Z)$ *such that the composite with* $\Pi_h^{a,b}\mathcal{O}_Y(!Z) \longrightarrow \Pi_h^{a,b}\mathcal{O}_Y$ *is the canonical one.*

Proof Let $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ denote the kernel and the cokernel of the morphism $\Pi_h^{a,b+1}\mathcal{O}_Y(!Z) \longrightarrow \Pi_h^{a,b+1}\mathcal{O}_Y$. We set $D_Z := D \cap Z$. Let $\iota : Z \longrightarrow Y$ denote the inclusion. By a direct computation, we can check $\mathcal{C} \simeq \iota_+\mathcal{O}_Z(*D_Z)s^a$ and $\mathcal{K} \simeq \iota_+\mathcal{O}_Z(*D_Z)s^b$. We have the induced monomorphism $\Pi_h^{a,b+1}\mathcal{O}_X(!Z)/\mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \Pi_h^{a,b+1}\mathcal{O}_X$.

Let us consider the canonical morphism $\Pi_h^{a+1,b+1}\mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \Pi_h^{a,b+1}\mathcal{O}_Y$. The composite with $\Pi_h^{a,b+1}\mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is 0. Hence, we have an induced morphism $\Pi_h^{a+1,b+1}\mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \Pi_h^{a,b+1}\mathcal{O}_Y(!Z)/\mathcal{K}$. We have the following natural commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi_h^{a,b+1}\mathcal{O}_Y(!Z)/\mathcal{K} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Pi_h^{a,b+1}\mathcal{O}_Y \arrow[d] \\
\Pi_h^{a,b}\mathcal{O}_Y(!Z) \arrow[r] & \Pi_h^{a,b}\mathcal{O}_Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, we obtain $\Pi_h^{a+1,b+1}\mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \Pi_h^{a,b}\mathcal{O}_Y(!Z)$ such that the composite with $\Pi_h^{a,b}\mathcal{O}_Y(!Z) \longrightarrow \Pi_h^{a,b}\mathcal{O}_Y$ is the canonical one. ∎

Let us consider the following induced morphism

$$\rho_N : \Pi_h^{a+1,a+N+1}\mathcal{O}_Y(*(h)_\infty)(!(h)_0) \longrightarrow \Pi_h^{a,a+N}\mathcal{O}_Y(!(h)_\infty)(*(h)_0). \tag{31}$$

We set $\Xi_h'^{(a)}\big(\mathcal{O}_X[*D]\big) := \varprojlim_N \operatorname{Cok}(\rho_N)$.

Lemma 2.35 *For any relatively compact subset* $K \subset X$*, there exists* N_0 *such that* $\operatorname{Cok}(\rho_{N_2})_{|K} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cok}(\rho_{N_1})_{|K}$ *is an isomorphism if* $N_0 \leq N_1 \leq N_2$.

Proof As mentioned above, the claim is well known outside of Z. Hence, the induced morphism

$$\operatorname{Cok}(\rho_{N_2})_{|K}(*(h)_\infty) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cok}(\rho_{N_1})_{|K}(*(h)_\infty)$$

is an isomorphism. Take $Q \in Z \cap K$, and take a holomorphic local coordinate neighbourhood $(Y_Q; x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of Y around Q such that $h_{|Y_Q} = x_n^{-1}\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} x_i^{k_i}$, where $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. It is enough to prove that $\phi_{x_n}^{(0)}\operatorname{Cok}(\rho_{N_2})_{|K} \longrightarrow \phi_{x_n}^{(0)}\operatorname{Cok}(\rho_{N_1})_{|K}$ is an isomorphism. Set $h_1 := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} x_i^{k_i}$ on $Z_Q := Y_Q \cap Z$. Let $\iota_1 : Z_Q \longrightarrow Y_Q$ be the inclusion. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi_{x_n}^{(0)}\Pi_h^{a+1,a+N+1}\mathcal{O}_Y(*(h)_\infty)(!(h)_0) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \phi_{x_n}^{(0)}\Pi_h^{a,a+N}\mathcal{O}_Y(!(h)_\infty)(*(h)_0) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\psi_{x_n}^{(0)}\Pi_h^{a+1,a+N+1}\mathcal{O}_Y(*(h)_\infty)(!(h)_0) \arrow[d, "b_1"', "\simeq"] & \psi_{x_n}^{(1)}\Pi_h^{a,a+N}\mathcal{O}_Y(!(h)_\infty)(*(h)_0) \arrow[d, "b_2"', "\simeq"] \\
\iota_{1+}\Pi_{h_1}^{a+1,a+N+1}\mathcal{O}_{Z_Q}(!h_1) \arrow[r, "c_1"] & \iota_{1+}\Pi_{h_1}^{a,a+N}\mathcal{O}_{Z_Q}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, b_i are given as in [40, Proposition 4.3.1]. We shall prove that c_1 is induced by the multiplication of s^{-1} Lemma 2.36 below. Hence, $\phi_{x_n}^{(0)}\operatorname{Cok}(\rho_{N_2})$ is identified with $\iota_{1+}\operatorname{Cok}\big(\Pi_{h_1}^{a,a+N}\mathcal{O}_{Z_Q}(!h_1) \longrightarrow \Pi_{h_1}^{a,a+N}\mathcal{O}_{Z_Q}\big)$. Then, the claim is reduced to the above standard case. ∎

Lemma 2.36 c_1 *is induced by the multiplication of* s^{-1}.

Proof It is enough to consider the case $D=\emptyset$. We may assume that $x_n=h_n^{-1}$. Taking the limit of ρ_N for $N\to\infty$, we obtain $\Pi^{a+1,\infty}_{x_n^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_Y\longrightarrow\Pi^{a,\infty}_{x_n^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_Y[!x_n]$ such that the composite with the canonical morphism $\kappa_1:\Pi^{a,\infty}_{x_n^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_Y[!x_n]\longrightarrow\Pi^{a,\infty}_{x_n^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_Y$ is equal to the canonical morphism $\kappa_2:\Pi^{a+1,\infty}_{x_n^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_Y\longrightarrow\Pi^{a,\infty}_{x_n^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_Y$.

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi^{(0)}_{x_n}\Pi^{a+1,\infty}_{x_n^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \phi^{(0)}_{x_n}\Pi^{a,\infty}_{x_n^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_Y \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\iota_{1+}\Pi^{a+1,\infty}_{1}\mathcal{O}_Z \arrow[r, "i_1"] & \iota_{1+}\Pi^{a,\infty}_{1}\mathcal{O}_Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, i_1 is the inclusion.

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi^{(0)}_{x_n}\Pi^{a,\infty}_{x_n^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_Y[!x_n] \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \phi^{(0)}_{x_n}\Pi^{a,\infty}_{x_n^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_Y \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\iota_{1+}\Pi^{a,\infty}_{1}\mathcal{O}_Z \arrow[r, "i_2"] & \iota_{1+}\Pi^{a,\infty}_{1}\mathcal{O}_Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, i_2 is induced by $-x_n\partial_{x_n}$, and it is equal to the multiplication of s. Because $i_2\circ c_1=i_1$, we obtain that c_1 is induced by the multiplication of s^{-1}. ∎

Remark 2.37 *In the proof of Lemma* 2.35*, we also observed that* $\phi^{(0)}_{x_n}\Xi'^{(a)}_h(\mathcal{O}_X[*D])_{|Y_Q}\simeq\iota_{1+}\psi^{(a)}_{h_1}\mathcal{O}_{Z_Q}$ *around any point* $Q\in Z\cap D$. ∎

2.6.2 The push-forward of the nearby cycle sheaf

Let X be a complex manifold with a normal crossing hypersurface D. Let f and g be meromorphic functions on (X,D). We set $Y:=\mathbb{P}^1_\tau\times X$ and $D^{(1)}:=(\mathbb{P}^1_\tau\times D)\cup(\{\infty\}\times X)$. Let $\pi:Y\longrightarrow X$ be the projection. We consider $F:=\tau f+g$ on $(Y,D^{(1)})$. We suppose the following.

- F is pure on $\{\tau\neq 0\}\times X$.
- g is pure on $X\setminus|(f)_\infty|$.
- $D=|(f)_\infty|\cup|(g)_\infty|$, $|(f)_0|\subset D$ and $|(f)_0|\cap|(f)_\infty|=\emptyset$.

Note that we have $\pi^0_+\psi^{(a)}_\tau L_!(F)=\pi^0_+\psi^{(a)}_\tau L_*(F)$ and $\Xi^{(a)}_{f^{-1}}L_!(g)\simeq\Xi^{(a)}_{f^{-1}}L_*(g)$ by the assumptions.

Proposition 2.38 *We have natural isomorphisms* $\Lambda^{(a)}:\pi^0_+\psi^{(a)}_\tau L_*(F)\simeq\Xi^{(a)}_{f^{-1}}L_*(g)$.

Proof By the assumption of the purity of F on $\{\tau\neq 0\}\times X$, we have $\Pi^{a,N}_\tau L_*(F)(\star\tau)=\Pi^{a,N}_\tau L_!(F)(\star\tau)$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the image of $\Pi^{a,b}_\tau L_!(F)(!\tau)\longrightarrow\Pi^{a,b}_\tau L_*(F)(*\tau)$. The support of the kernel $K^{a,b}$ and the cokernel $C^{a,b}$ are contained in $\tau=0$. Hence, we have $\pi^i_+K^{a,b}=0$ and $\pi^i_+C^{a,b}=0$ for $i\neq 0$. By Proposition 2.27, we have $\pi^i_+\Pi^{a,N}_\tau L_{\star_1}(F)(\star_2\tau)=0$ for $i\neq 0$ and for $\star_1,\star_2\in\{*,!\}$. We can easily deduce that $\pi^i_+\mathcal{I}=0$ unless $i=0$. Hence, we have the following exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\pi^0_+K^{a,b}\longrightarrow\pi^0_+\Pi^{a,b}_\tau L_!(F)(!\tau)\longrightarrow\pi^0_+\Pi^{a,b}_\tau L_*(F)(*\tau)\longrightarrow\pi^0_+C^{a,b}\longrightarrow 0$$

If $|a-b|$ is sufficiently large, we have $C^{a,b}\simeq\psi^{(a)}_\tau L_*(F)$. Hence, we have

$$\pi^0_+\psi^{(a)}_\tau L_*(F)\simeq\operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\pi^0_+\Pi^{a,b}_\tau L_!(F)(!\tau)\longrightarrow\pi^0_+\Pi^{a,b}_\tau L_*(F)(*\tau)\Bigr)$$

By Proposition 2.27, we have the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\Psi^{a,N}_\star:\pi^0_+\Pi^{a,N}_\tau L_\star(F)(\star\tau)\simeq\Pi^{a,N}_{f^{-1}}L_\star(g)(\star D)$$

By $|(g)_\infty| \cup |(f)_\infty| = D$ and the purity of g on $X \setminus |(f)_\infty|$, we have

$$\Pi^{a,N}_{f^{-1}} L_*(g)(*D) \simeq \Pi^{a,N}_{f^{-1}} L_*(g)(*(f)_\infty), \qquad \Pi^{a,N}_{f^{-1}} L_!(g)(!D) \simeq \Pi^{a,N}_{f^{-1}} L_*(g)(!(f)_\infty).$$

By the commutativity of (26), the image of the morphism $\pi^0_+ \Pi^{a,N}_\tau L_!(F)(!\tau) \longrightarrow \pi^0_+ \Pi^{a,N}_\tau L_*(F)(*\tau)$ is identified with the image of

$$\Pi^{a+1,N+1}_{f^{-1}} L_*(g)(!(f)_\infty) \longrightarrow \Pi^{a,N}_{f^{-1}} L_*(g)(*(f)_\infty).$$

Hence, we obtain the desired isomorphism. ∎

Let $\iota_0 : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times X$ be the inclusion given by $\iota_0(P) = (0, P)$.

Corollary 2.39 *Under the assumption, we have a natural isomorphism* $\psi^{(a)}_\tau L_*(F) \simeq \iota_{0+} \Xi^{(a)}_{f^{-1}} L_*(g)$. ∎

We have the canonical nilpotent map N on $\psi^{(a)}_\tau L_*(F)$. The following proposition is clear by the above description.

Proposition 2.40 *Under the same assumption, we have* $\operatorname{Ker} N \simeq \iota_{0\dagger} L_!(g, D)$ *and* $\operatorname{Cok} N \simeq \iota_{0\dagger} L_*(g, D)$. *The canonical morphism* $\operatorname{Ker} N \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cok} N$ *is identified with the canonical morphism* $L_!(g, D) \longrightarrow L_*(g, D)$. ∎

Remark 2.41 *Note that* $\operatorname{Ker} N$ *and* $\operatorname{Cok} N$ *are isomorphic to the kernel and the cokernel of* $L_\star(F)(!\tau) \longrightarrow L_\star(F)(*\tau)$. *The isomorphisms in Proposition* 2.31 *and Proposition* 2.40 *are consistent.* ∎

2.6.3 A variant

Let us give a similar statement in a slightly different situation. We continue to use the notation in §2.6.2. Suppose that (i) $g = 0$, (ii) $D = |(f)_\infty|$, (iii) $(f)_0$ is non-singular and reduced, and $|(f)_0| \cup D$ is normal crossing. Note that $F = \tau f$ is non-degenerate on $\{\tau \neq 0\} \times X$. We set $Z := |(f)_0|$, and let $\iota_Z : Z \longrightarrow X$ denote the inclusion.

Proposition 2.42 *We have a natural isomorphism* $\pi^0_+ \psi^{(a)}_\tau L_*(F) \simeq \Xi'^{(a)}_{f^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_X$.

Proof As in the proof of Proposition 2.38, we have

$$\pi^0_+ \psi^{(a)}_\tau L_*(F) \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\Big(\pi^0_+ \Pi^{a,a+N}_\tau L_!(F)(!\tau) \xrightarrow{\kappa_N} \pi^0_+ \Pi^{a,a+N}_\tau L_*(F)(*\tau) \Big). \tag{32}$$

According to Proposition 2.27, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\pi^0_+ \Pi^{a,a+N}_\tau L_!(F)(!\tau) \simeq \Pi^{a,a+N}_{f^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_X(*Z)(!D) \tag{33}$$

$$\pi^0_+ \Pi^{a,a+N}_\tau L_*(F)(*\tau) \simeq \Pi^{a,a+N}_{f^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_X(!Z)(*D) \tag{34}$$

Then, we obtain the claim of Proposition 2.42 from the following lemma.

Lemma 2.43 *Under the isomorphisms* (33) *and* (34), *the image of* κ_N *is equal to the image* ρ_N *in* (31) *if* N *is sufficiently large.*

Proof We identify $\Pi^{a,a+N}_{f^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_X(!Z)(*D)$ and $\Pi^{a+1,a+N+1}_{f^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_X(!Z)(*D)$ by the multiplication of $-s$. We can compare the restriction of ρ_N and κ_N to $X \setminus \big(Z \cup D\big)$ by the commutativity of (26). Take any $N_1 \geq N$. We have the morphisms κ_{N_1} and ρ_{N_1}. The morphisms κ_N and ρ_N are induced by κ_{N_1} and ρ_{N_1}. Because $(\kappa_{N_1} - \rho_{N_1})_{|X \setminus (D \cup Z)} = 0$, the difference $\kappa_{N_1} - \rho_{N_1}$ factors through $\iota_{Z+} \mathcal{O}_Z(*D_Z) s^{a+N_1}$, where $D_Z := Z \cap D$. Hence, we obtain that $\kappa_N - \rho_N = 0$. Thus, we finish the proof of Lemma 2.43 and Proposition 2.42. ∎

Corollary 2.44 *If* $Z \cap D = \emptyset$, *we have* $\pi^0_+ \psi^{(0)}_\tau L_*(\tau f) \simeq \Xi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_X$. ∎

Take a holomorphic local coordinate $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that $f = x_n^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} x_i^{k_i}$. Set $x^{\boldsymbol{k}} := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} x_i^{k_i} = f \cdot x_n$.

Lemma 2.45 *We have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi^{(0)}_{x_n}\pi^0_+\Pi^{a,a+N}_{\tau}L_!(F)(!\tau) \arrow[r, "\phi^{(0)}_{x_n}(\kappa_N)"] \arrow[d] & \phi^{(0)}_{x_n}\pi^0_+\Pi^{a,a+N}_{\tau}L_*(F)(*\tau) \arrow[d] \\
\iota_{Z+}\Pi^{a,a+N}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\mathcal{O}_Z[!D] \arrow[r, "c"] & \iota_{Z+}\Pi^{a,a+N}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\mathcal{O}_Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, c is induced by the multiplication of -1.

Proof As observed in Lemma 2.43, κ_N is identified with ρ under the isomorphism $\Pi^{a,a+N}_{f^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_X(!Z)(*D)$ and $\Pi^{a+1,a+N+1}_{f^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_X(!Z)(*D)$ given by the multiplication of $-s$. Then, the claim of the lemma follows from Lemma 2.36. ▮

3 Mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to meromorphic functions

3.1 Mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules

We recall some operations for $\mathcal{R}$-modules and twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. See [37], [40] and [47] for more details.

3.1.1 $\mathcal{R}$-modules

Let X be a complex manifold. We set $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$. Let $p_\lambda : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow X$ denote the projection. Let $\mathcal{R}_X \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the sheaf of subalgebras generated by $\lambda p_\lambda^*\Theta_X$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. We set $d_X := \dim X$. The pull back $p_\lambda^*\Omega_X$ is also denoted by Ω_X. For any left $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$, $\lambda^{-d_X}\Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{M}$ is naturally a right $\mathcal{R}_X$-module, by which the category of left $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules and the category of right $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules are equivalent. In this paper, $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules mean left $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules. Let $D^b_c(\mathcal{R}_X)$ denote the derived category of cohomologically bounded coherent complexes of $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules.

An $\mathcal{R}_X$-module is equivalent to an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ with a meromorphic relative flat connection

$$\mathbb{D}^f : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}(\mathcal{X}^0) \otimes \mathcal{M}$$

where $\mathcal{X}^0 := \{0\} \times X$. The operator $\mathbb{D} := \lambda\mathbb{D}^f$ is also often used, and called a family of flat λ-connections.

The easiest example of $\mathcal{R}_X$-module is the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ with the meromorphic relative flat connection $\mathbb{D}^f$ determined by $\mathbb{D}^f(1) = 0$. It is just denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules. Then, $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{M}_2$ and $\mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ are naturally $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules. The tensor product $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{M}_2$ is denoted just by $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2$, if there is no risk of confusion.

We define the duality functor $\boldsymbol{D}_X : D^b_c(\mathcal{R}_X) \longrightarrow D^b_c(\mathcal{R}_X)$ by

$$\boldsymbol{D}_X(\mathcal{M}) := R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1}\bigr)[\dim X].$$

(We will review more details in §C.3.) Note that $\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-d_X\mathcal{X}^0)$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module underlying a mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module, then $\mathcal{H}^j\bigl(\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M}\bigr) = 0$ unless $j = 0$. In that case, we identify $\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{H}^0\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M}$.

Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be any morphism of complex manifolds. We set

$$\mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X} := \lambda^{-d_X}\Omega_X \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\bigl(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \lambda^{d_Y}\Omega_Y^{-1}\bigr).$$

It is naturally a left $f^{-1}\mathcal{R}_Y$-module and a right $\mathcal{R}_X$-module. For any object $\mathcal{M}$ in $D^b_c(\mathcal{R}_X)$, we set

$$f_\dagger(\mathcal{M}) := Rf_!\bigl(\mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_X} \mathcal{M}\bigr)$$

in the derived category of $\mathcal{R}_Y$-modules. (We shall review more details on the push-forward in §C.2.) The j-th cohomology sheaves of $f_\dagger(\mathcal{M})$ are denoted by $f^j_\dagger(\mathcal{M})$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is good relative to f, i.e., for any compact subset $K \subset \mathcal{Y}$, then there exists good filtration of $\mathcal{M}_{|f^{-1}(K)}$. Moreover, suppose that the support of $\mathcal{M}$ is proper over f. Then, $f_\dagger\mathcal{M}$ is an object in $D^b_c(\mathcal{R}_Y)$, and we have a natural isomorphism $f_\dagger\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M} \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_Y f_\dagger\mathcal{M}$.

Let $j:\mathbb{C}_\lambda\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ be given by $j(\lambda)=-\lambda$. The induced morphism $j\times\mathrm{id}_X:\mathcal{X}\longrightarrow\mathcal{X}$ is also denoted by j. For any $\mathcal{R}_X$-module M, we naturally regard j^*M as an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module by the natural isomorphism $j^*\mathcal{R}_X\simeq\mathcal{R}_X$.

Let H be a hypersurface in X. We set $\mathcal{H}:=\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times H$. For any $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$, we set $\mathcal{M}(*H):=\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{H})$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}_X(*H):=\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{H})$. We may naturally consider $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-modules. For any $\mathcal{M}\in D^b_c(\mathcal{R}_X(*H))$, we set

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(\mathcal{M}):=R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X(*H)}\big(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{R}_X(*H)\otimes\Omega_X^{-1}\big)[\dim X].$$

Let $f:X\longrightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of complex manifolds. Let H_Y be a hypersurface in Y, and we set $H_X:=f^{-1}(H_Y)$. For any $\mathcal{M}\in D^b_c(\mathcal{R}_X(*H_X))$, we have

$$f_\dagger(\mathcal{M}):=Rf_!\big(\mathcal{R}_{Y\to X}\otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{M}\big)\simeq Rf_!\big(\mathcal{R}_{Y\to X}(*H_X)\otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_X(*H_X)}\mathcal{M}\big)$$

in the derived category of $\mathcal{R}_Y(*H_Y)$-modules. If f induces an isomorphism $X\setminus H_X\simeq Y\setminus H_Y$, we have $f^j_\dagger\mathcal{M}=0$ unless $j=0$. We shall identify $f_\dagger\mathcal{M}$ and $f^0_\dagger\mathcal{M}$ in that case.

3.1.2 $\mathcal{R}$-triples

We set $\boldsymbol{S}:=\big\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,\big|\,|\lambda|=1\big\}$. Let $\mathfrak{Db}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$ denote the sheaf of distributions on $\boldsymbol{S}\times X$ which are continuous with respect to $\boldsymbol{S}$. (See [47].) For local sections $P\in\mathcal{R}_{X|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$ and $Q\in\mathfrak{Db}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/X}$, the local section $P\bullet Q:=PQ$ of $\mathfrak{Db}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$ is naturally defined. Let $\sigma:\boldsymbol{S}\times X\longrightarrow\boldsymbol{S}\times X$ be given by $\sigma(\lambda,x)=(-\lambda,x)$. For local sections $\sigma^*P\in\sigma^*\mathcal{R}_{X|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$ and $Q\in\mathfrak{Db}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/X}$, the local section $\sigma^*(P)\bullet Q:=\sigma^*(\overline{P})Q$ is defined. Thus, the sheaf $\mathfrak{Db}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/X}$ is naturally a $(\mathcal{R}_{X|\boldsymbol{S}\times X},\sigma^*\mathcal{R}_{X|\boldsymbol{S}\times X})$-module.

Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i=1,2)$ be $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules. A sesqui-linear pairing of $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ is a $(\mathcal{R}_{X|\boldsymbol{S}\times X},\sigma^*\mathcal{R}_{X|\boldsymbol{S}\times X})$-homomorphism $C:\mathcal{M}_{1|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\otimes\sigma^*\mathcal{M}_{2|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$. Such a tuple $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)$ is called an $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple.

Let $\mathcal{T}_i=(\mathcal{M}_{i1},\mathcal{M}_{i2},C_i)$ be $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples. A morphism of $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples $\varphi:\mathcal{T}_1\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_2$ is a pair of $\mathcal{R}_X$-homomorphisms $\varphi_1:\mathcal{M}_{21}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_{11}$ and $\varphi_2:\mathcal{M}_{12}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}_{22}$ such that $C(\varphi_1(m_2),\sigma^*m_1)=C(m_2,\sigma^*\varphi_2(m_1))$. For any morphism, we set $\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi):=\big(\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi_1),\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi_2),C_{\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi}\big)$, where $C_{\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi}$ denotes the naturally induced sesqui-linear pairing. Similarly, we set

$$\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi):=\big(\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi_1),\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi_2),C_{\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi)}\big),\quad \mathrm{Im}(\varphi):=\big(\mathrm{Im}(\varphi_1),\mathrm{Im}(\varphi_2),C_{\mathrm{Im}(\varphi)}\big).$$

For an $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)$, we set $\mathcal{T}^*:=(\mathcal{M}_2,\mathcal{M}_1,C^*)$, where $C^*(m_2,\sigma^*(m_1)):=\overline{\sigma^*C(m_1,\sigma^*m_2)}$. For a morphism $\varphi=(\varphi_1,\varphi_2):\mathcal{T}_1\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_2$ of $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples, we set $\varphi^*=(\varphi_2,\varphi_1)$.

We set $\mathcal{U}_X(a,b):=\big(\lambda^a\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}},\lambda^b\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}},C_0\big)$, where $C_0(f,\sigma^*g):=f\overline{\sigma^*g}$. For any $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathcal{T}:=(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)$, we define $\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{U}_X(a,b):=\big(\lambda^a\mathcal{M}_1,\lambda^b\mathcal{M}_2,C\big)$, where C is induced by the natural identification $(\lambda^c\mathcal{M}_i)_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}=(\mathcal{M}_i)_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}$. Particularly, $\mathcal{U}_X(-n,n)$ is denoted by $\boldsymbol{T}(n)$, called the n-th Tate object, and $\mathcal{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(n)$ is called the n-th Tate twist of $\mathcal{T}$. We use the identification $\boldsymbol{T}(n)\simeq\boldsymbol{T}(-n)^*$ given by the morphism $((-1)^n,(-1)^n)$.

A morphism $\mathcal{S}:\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-n)$ such that $\mathcal{S}^*=(-1)^n\mathcal{S}$ is called a sesqui-linear duality of weight n. For example, we have $\mathcal{U}_X(p,q)\longrightarrow\mathcal{U}_X(p,q)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-(p-q))$ given by $\big((-1)^p,(-1)^p\big)$.

Let $f:X\longrightarrow Y$ be any morphism of complex manifolds. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple. We have a naturally defined push-forward $f_\dagger$ in the derived category of $\mathcal{R}_Y$-triples. See [47]. It induces a functor from the derived category of $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples to the derived category of $\mathcal{R}_Y$-triples. The j-th cohomology $\mathcal{R}_Y$-triple of $f_\dagger\mathcal{T}$ is denoted by $f^j_\dagger\mathcal{T}$. For $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)$, the $\mathcal{R}$-triple $f^j_\dagger\mathcal{T}$ consists of $(f^{-j}_\dagger\mathcal{M}_1,f^j_\dagger\mathcal{M}_2,f^j_\dagger C)$.

Let H be a hypersurface. The sheaf $\mathfrak{Db}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}(*H)$ is naturally $(\mathcal{R}_X(*H)_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X},\sigma^*\mathcal{R}_X(*H)_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X})$-modules. For $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-modules $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i=1,2)$, a sesqui-linear pairing of $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ is a $(\mathcal{R}_X(*H)_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X},\sigma^*\mathcal{R}_X(*H)_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X})$-homomorphism $C:\mathcal{M}_{1|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\times\sigma^*\mathcal{M}_{2|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Db}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}(*H)$. For a given $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)$, we obtain an $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-triple $\mathcal{T}(*H):=\big(\mathcal{M}_1(*H),\mathcal{M}_2(*H),C(*H)\big)$, where $C(*H)$ is a naturally induced sesqui-linear pairing of $\mathcal{M}_1(*H)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2(*H)$.

3.1.3 Mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules

A pure twistor $\mathcal{D}_X$-module of weight n is an $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C)$ satisfying some conditions. For example, we impose that $\mathcal{M}_i$ are flat over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$ and that the characteristic variety of $\mathcal{M}_i$ are contained in the product of $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ and Lagrangian varieties of T^*X. A polarization of $\mathcal{T}$ is a sesqui-linear duality $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-n)$ satisfying some conditions. The precise conditions are given based on the strategy due to M. Saito [53]. We refer the detail to [47, 50] and [35, 37]. A pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module which admit a polarization is called a polarizable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module. In this paper, we consider only polarizable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules, and hence we often omit "polarizable".

A mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module is an $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathcal{T}$ with a finite increasing filtration W indexed by integers satisfying some conditions. For example, we impose that $\mathrm{Gr}^W_n(\mathcal{T})$ are polarizable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules of weight n. The precise conditions are given based on the strategy due to M. Saito [57]. We refer the detail to [40].

We recall some important properties of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Let $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$ denote the category of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules on X.

Proposition 3.1 *The category* $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$ *is abelian. More concretely, we have the following.*

- *Let* $\varphi : (\mathcal{T}_1, W_1) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}_2, W_2)$ *be a morphism in* $\mathrm{MTM}(X)$*. Then, the* $\mathcal{R}$*-triples* $\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)$ *and* $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi)$ *with the induced filtrations are mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules. Moreover,* φ *is strict with respect to the filtration* W*, and* $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi)$ *with the induced filtration is a mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-module.*

Moreover, the category of polarizable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$*-modules of weight* w *is abelian and semisimple.* ■

Proposition 3.2 *Let* $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ *be a projective morphism of complex manifolds.*

- *Let* $\mathcal{T}$ *be a polarizable pure twistor* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-module of weight* w*. Then,* $f^j_\dagger \mathcal{T}$ *are polarizable pure twistor* $\mathcal{D}_Y$*-modules of weight* $w + j$*.*
- *Let* $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ *be a mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-module on* X*. Let* $W_k f^j_\dagger \mathcal{T}$ *be the image of* $f^j_\dagger W_{k-j} \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow f^j_\dagger \mathcal{T}$*. Then,* $(f^j_\dagger \mathcal{T}, W)$ *are mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules.* ■

Proposition 3.3 *Let* $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ *be a mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-module on* X*. Let* $(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C)$ *be the underlying* $\mathcal{R}_X$*-triple.*

- $\boldsymbol{D}_X \mathcal{M}_i \simeq \mathcal{H}^0\big(\boldsymbol{D}_X \mathcal{M}_i\big)$*.*
- *We have the naturally induced sesqui-linear pairing* $\boldsymbol{D}C$ *of* $\boldsymbol{D}_X \mathcal{M}_1$ *and* $\boldsymbol{D}_X \mathcal{M}_2$*, and the* $\mathcal{R}_X$*-triple* $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T} = (\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_1, \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_2, \boldsymbol{D}C)$ *with the induced filtration is a mixed twistor structure.*
- *For any projective morphism* $f : X \longrightarrow Y$*, we have a natural isomorphism* $\boldsymbol{D} f^j_\dagger \mathcal{T} \simeq f^{-j}_\dagger \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$*.* ■

3.1.4 Underlying $\mathcal{D}$-modules

Let $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ be a mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module on X. The $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathcal{T}$ is described as $(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C)$. Let $\iota_1 : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be given by $\iota_1(P) = (1, P)$. We obtain the $\mathcal{D}$-module $\Xi_{DR}(\mathcal{T}) := \iota_1^{-1}\big(\mathcal{M}_2/(\lambda - 1)\mathcal{M}_2\big)$ which we call the $\mathcal{D}$-module underlying $\mathcal{T}$. It is naturally equipped with the filtration W. For any morphism of mixed twistor $\mathcal{T}$-modules $\varphi : (\mathcal{T}^{(1)}, W) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}^{(2)}, W)$, we have the induced morphism of filtered $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\Xi_{DR}(\varphi) : \Xi_{DR}(\mathcal{T}^{(1)}, W) \longrightarrow \Xi_{DR}(\mathcal{T}^{(2)}, W)$.

The following lemma is easy to see.

Lemma 3.4 *Let* $\varphi : (\mathcal{T}^{(1)}, W) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}^{(2)}, W)$ *be any morphism of mixed twistor* $\mathcal{T}$*-modules.*

- *We have* $\mathrm{Ker}\, \Xi_{DR}(\varphi) = \Xi_{DR}(\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi))$*,* $\mathrm{Im}\, \Xi_{DR}(\varphi) = \Xi_{DR}(\mathrm{Im}(\varphi))$*, and* $\mathrm{Cok}\, \Xi_{DR}(\varphi) = \Xi_{DR}(\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi))$*.*
- φ *is an epimorphism (resp. monomorphism) if and only if* $\Xi_{DR}(\varphi)$ *is an epimorphism (resp. monomorphism).* ■

The following lemma is easy to see by construction of the functors.

Lemma 3.5 *Let* $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ *be a mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-module on* X*.*

- *Let* $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ *be a projective morphism of complex manifolds. Then, we have* $f^i_+ \Xi_{DR}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \Xi_{DR}(f^i_\dagger \mathcal{T})$*. The induced filtrations* W *are also equal.*
- *We have a natural isomorphism* $\boldsymbol{D}_X \Xi_{DR}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \Xi_{DR} \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T}$*. The induced filtrations* W *are also equal.* ■

3.1.5 Integrable case

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the sheaf of holomorphic differential operators on $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the sheaf of subalgebras generated by $\mathcal{R}_X$ and $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$. The correspondence $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \lambda^{-\dim X}\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{M}$ also induces an equivalence between the category of left $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules and the category of right $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules. An $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module is equivalent to an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-module with a meromorphic flat connection $\nabla : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}}(\log \mathcal{X}^0) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{X}^0) \otimes \mathcal{M}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules. Let θ be the polar coordinate of $\boldsymbol{S}$. We have $\partial_\theta = \sqrt{-1}\big(\lambda\partial_\lambda - \overline{\lambda}\partial_{\overline{\lambda}}\big)$. It naturally acts on $\mathcal{M}_i$. A sesqui-linear pairing of $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ is a $(\mathcal{R}_{X|\boldsymbol{S}\times X}, \sigma^*\mathcal{R}_{X|\boldsymbol{S}\times X})$-homomorphism $C : \mathcal{M}_{1|\boldsymbol{S}\times X} \times \sigma^*\mathcal{M}_{2|\boldsymbol{S}\times X} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$ which is compatible with the action of ∂_θ. Such a tuple $(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C)$ is called an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-triple or integrable $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple. For any morphism of complex manifolds $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, the $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples $f^j_\dagger\mathcal{T}$ are naturally integrable if $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable.

A mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ is called integrable if the $\mathcal{R}$-triple $\mathcal{T}$ is integrable and the filtration W is compatible with the action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$. Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 are naturally extended to the integrable case.

Let $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ be an integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}_X$-module. Take an injective resolution $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{R}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1}[\dim X]$ as a left $(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X, \mathcal{R}_X)$-module. Then, $\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M}_i \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{I})$ are naturally $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules. The action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ is given by $(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda f)(m) = \lambda^2\partial_\lambda(f(m)) - f(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda m)$. It is shown that $\boldsymbol{D}C$ is compatible with the action of ∂_θ. (See [40].) Hence, $\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{T}, W)$ is also naturally integrable.

We shall give some complements on the duality and the push-forward of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules in §C.

3.2 Integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to non-degenerate functions

3.2.1 Pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to meromorphic functions

Let X be a complex manifold with a hypersurface D. We set $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$ and $\mathcal{D} := \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times D$. Let f be a meromorphic function on (X, D). We have a wild harmonic bundle $E(f)$ on (X, D). It consists of a Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{O}_{X\setminus D}\, e, df)$ with a pluri-harmonic metric h determined by $h(e, e) = 1$, where e denotes a global frame of the line bundle. Recall that we have the associated polarizable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $(\mathcal{L}(f), \mathcal{L}(f), C(f))$ of weight 0 on X, where $\mathcal{L}(f)$ is an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module, and $C(f)$ is a sesqui-linear pairing $\mathcal{L}(f)_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X} \times \sigma^*\mathcal{L}(f)_{|\boldsymbol{S}\times X} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_{\boldsymbol{S}\times X/\boldsymbol{S}}$. Here $\boldsymbol{S} := \{|\lambda| = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}_\lambda$. A polarization is given by $(\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id})$.

Let $d_X := \dim X$. We have the polarizable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{T}(f) := \big(\lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{L}(f), \mathcal{L}(f), C(f)\big)$ of weight d_X. The natural polarization is given by $\big((-1)^{d_X}, (-1)^{d_X}\big)$.

The restriction $\mathcal{L}(f)_{|\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{D}}$ is equipped with a global frame v such that $\mathcal{L}(f)_{|\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{D}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{D}}v$ with the family of flat λ-connections $\mathbb{D}$ determined by $\mathbb{D}v = v\,df$. We have

$$C(f)(v, \sigma^*v) = \exp\big(-\lambda\overline{f} + \lambda^{-1}f\big). \tag{35}$$

In general, it is not easy to describe $\mathcal{L}(f)$ explicitly. The following lemma is clear by the construction of $\mathcal{L}(f)$ in [37], and it will be used implicitly.

Lemma 3.6 *v is naturally extended as a section of $\mathcal{L}(f)(*D)$, and it gives a global frame of $\mathcal{L}(f)(*D)$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*D)$-module. Moreover, the natural morphism $\mathcal{L}(f) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(f)(*D)$ is a monomorphism.* ∎

Lemma 3.7 *If $|(f)_0| \cap D = \emptyset$ and $|(f)_\infty| = D$, then $\mathcal{L}(f)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*D)v$.*

Proof If D is normal crossing, the claim follows from the construction of $\mathcal{L}(f)$ in [37]. Although we are mainly interested in the case where D is normal crossing, we give a proof in the general case. We take a projective morphism $\varphi : X' \longrightarrow X$ of complex manifolds such that (i) $D' := \varphi^{-1}(D)$ is normal crossing, (ii) φ induces an isomorphism $X' \setminus D' \simeq X \setminus D$. We set $f' := \varphi^*(f)$. We have $\mathcal{L}(f') = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}(*D')v'$ with a frame v' such that $\mathbb{D}v' = v'\,df'$. Because $\mathcal{L}(f')(*D') = \mathcal{L}(f')$, we obtain $\varphi_\dagger\big(\mathcal{L}(f')\big) \simeq \varphi_\dagger\big(\mathcal{L}(f')\big)(*D)$. We also have that $\mathcal{L}(f)$ is a direct summand of $\varphi_\dagger\mathcal{L}(f')$ and $\varphi_\dagger\big(\mathcal{L}(f')\big)_{|X\setminus D} = \mathcal{L}(f)_{|X\setminus D}$. Then, we can deduce the claim of the lemma. ∎

Proposition 3.8 *Suppose that (i) D is normal crossing, (ii) f is non-degenerate along D, (iii) $D = |(f)_\infty|$. Then, $\mathcal{L}(f)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*D)v$.*

Proof We have only to check the claim locally around any point of $|(f)_0| \cap |(f)_\infty|$. Let $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ be a convenient coordinate system with $f = z_n \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} z_i^{-k_i}$ for some $k_i > 0$. Note that $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} z_i^N \upsilon$ is a section of $\mathcal{L}(f)$. We have $\eth_n \upsilon = \upsilon \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} z_i^{-k_i}$, where $\eth_n = \lambda \partial_{x_n}$. Then, it is easy to deduce that $\mathcal{L}(f) \supset \mathcal{R}_X \upsilon = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\mathcal{D})\upsilon$. ▮

3.2.2 Mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to meromorphic functions

For $\star = *, !$, we have the $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $\mathcal{L}(f)[\star D]$ obtained as the localization $\mathcal{L}(f)$. They are denoted by $\mathcal{L}_\star(f, D)$ in this paper. If $D = |(f)_\infty|$, they are also denoted by $\mathcal{L}_\star(f)$. We have the natural morphisms of $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $\mathcal{L}_!(f, D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(f) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_*(f, D)$. It induces $\mathcal{L}_\star(f, D)(*D) \simeq \mathcal{L}(f)(*D)$.

For $\star = *, !$, we have the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}(f)[\star D]$ obtained as the localizations of $\mathcal{T}(f)$. They are denoted by $\mathcal{T}_\star(f, D)$ in this paper. If $D = |(f)_\infty|$, they are also denoted by $\mathcal{T}_\star(f)$. The underlying $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples of $\mathcal{T}_*(f, D)$ and $\mathcal{T}_!(f, D)$ are $\big(\lambda^{d_X} \mathcal{L}_!(f, D), \mathcal{L}_*(f, D), C(f)[*D]\big)$ and $\big(\lambda^{d_X} \mathcal{L}_*(f, D), \mathcal{L}_!(f, D), C(f)[!D]\big)$, respectively. The weight filtrations of $\mathcal{T}_\star(f, D)$ are denoted by W. We have natural morphisms of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_!(f, D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(f) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_*(f, D)$.

The meromorphic family of flat connections $\mathbb{D}^f$ on $\mathcal{L}(f)(*D)$ is naturally extended to a meromorphic flat connection ∇ given by $\nabla \upsilon = \upsilon d(\lambda^{-1} f)$. Let $C(f)(*D)$ be the sesqui-linear pairing on $\mathcal{L}(f)(*D)$ induced by $C(f)$. Due to (35), $C(f)(*D)$ is compatible with the actions of $\lambda^2 \partial_\lambda$.

Lemma 3.9 *$\mathcal{T}_\star(f, D)$ are integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules, and $\mathcal{T}(f, D)$ is an integrable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module. The morphisms $\mathcal{T}_!(f, D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(f, D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_*(f, D)$ are integrable.*

Proof By using [40, Lemma 3.2.4], we obtain that the underlying $\mathcal{R}$-triples of $\mathcal{T}_\star(f, D)$ are integrable. Then, we obtain that $\mathcal{T}(f, D)$ is an integrable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module. By using [40, Lemma 7.1.35], we obtain that the filtrations W of $\mathcal{T}_\star(f, D)$ are also integrable. Hence, we obtain that $\mathcal{T}_\star(f, D)$ are integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. By using [40, Lemma 7.1.37], we obtain the integrability of the morphisms. ▮

Lemma 3.10 *The $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\Xi_{DR}(\mathcal{T}_\star(f, D))$ and $\Xi_{DR}(\mathcal{T}(f))$ are naturally identified with $L_\star(f, D)$ and $L(f)$. The morphisms $\Xi_{DR}(\mathcal{T}_!(f, D)) \longrightarrow \Xi_{DR}(\mathcal{T}(f)) \longrightarrow \Xi_{DR}(\mathcal{T}_*(f, D))$ are naturally identified with $L_!(f, D) \longrightarrow L(f) \longrightarrow L_*(f, D)$.*

Proof Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module underlying $\mathcal{T}_\star(f, D)$ ($\star = *, !$) or $\mathcal{T}(f, D)$. Let g be a holomorphic function on an open subset $U \subset X$. Because $\mathcal{T}_\star(f, D)$ are integrable, the KMS-spectrum of $\mathcal{M}_{|U}$ along g are contained in $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$. Hence, the specialization of the V-filtration along g at $\lambda = 1$ is equal to the V-filtration of $\iota_1^{-1}(\mathcal{M}/(\lambda - 1)\mathcal{M})$, where $\iota_1 : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ given by $\iota_1(P) = (1, P)$. Then, the claim is clear. ▮

In the proof of Lemma 3.10, the KMS-spectrum of the $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules are contained in $\mathbb{Q} \times \{0\}$, indeed. We can directly check it by standard computations.

Lemma 3.11 *Suppose that (i) $D = |(f)_\infty|$, (ii) f is pure at $P \in X$. Then, the canonical morphisms $\mathcal{T}_!(f, D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(f) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_*(f, D)$ are isomorphisms on a neighbourhood of P.*

Proof It follows from that the morphisms of the underlying $\mathcal{D}$-modules are isomorphisms. ▮

Corollary 3.12 *Suppose that (i) D is normal crossing, (ii) $D = |(f)_\infty|$, (iii) f is non-degenerate. Then the canonical morphisms $\mathcal{T}_!(f) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(f) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_*(f)$ are isomorphisms. In particular, we have isomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_\star(f, D) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*D)\, \upsilon$.* ▮

3.2.3 Expression of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules in non-degenerate cases

Suppose that D is normal crossing. Let us describe $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $\mathcal{L}_\star(f, D)$ in the case that f is non-degenerate along D. We do not assume $D = |(f)_\infty|$. Let $V_D \mathcal{R}_X$ denote the sheaf of subalgebras in $\mathcal{R}_X$ generated by $\lambda \cdot p_\lambda^* \Theta_X(\log D)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $V_D \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$ denote the sheaf of subalgebras in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$ generated by $V_D \mathcal{R}_X$ and $\lambda^2 \partial_\lambda$. We have the $V_D \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules $\mathcal{L}(f)$ and $\mathcal{L}(f)(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{L}(f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{D})$.

Proposition 3.13 *We have natural isomorphisms of* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$*-modules*

$$\mathcal{L}_*(f,D)\simeq\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{L}(f)\big(\mathcal{D}\big),\quad \mathcal{L}_!(f,D)\simeq\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{L}(f).$$

Proof We have natural isomorphisms of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*D)$-modules

$$\mathcal{L}_*(f,D)(*D)\simeq\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{L}(f)\big(\mathcal{D}\big)\big)(*D),\quad \mathcal{L}_!(f,D)(*D)\simeq\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{L}(f)\big)(*D).$$

Note that if we are given an $\mathcal{R}_X$-endomorphism g of $\mathcal{L}_\star(f,D)$ such that $g_{|\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times(X\setminus D)}=0$, then we have $g=0$. Hence, it is enough to prove that there exist isomorphisms as $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules.

The claim is clear outside $|(f)_0|\cap|(f)_\infty|$ by the construction of $\mathcal{L}_\star(f,D)$ in [40, §5.3]. We set

$$\mathcal{L}'_*(f,D):=\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{L}(f)\big(\mathcal{D}\big),\qquad \mathcal{L}'_!(f,D):=\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{V_D\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{L}(f).$$

Let $Q\in|(f)_0|\cap|(f)_\infty|$. We take a convenient coordinate system $(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$ with $D=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2}\{z_i=0\}$ and $f=z_n\prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1}z_i^{-k_i}$. We set $X_1:=\{(z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell_1},z_n)\}$ and $X_2:=\{(z_{\ell_1+1},\ldots,z_{n-1})\}$. We set $f_1:=z_n\prod_{i=1}^{\ell_1}z_i^{-k_i}$ and $D_1:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell_1}\{z_i=0\}$ and $D_2:=\bigcup_{i=\ell_1+1}^{\ell_1+\ell_2}\{z_i=0\}$. We have $\mathcal{L}'_\star(f_1,D_1)=\mathcal{L}(f_1)=\mathcal{L}_\star(f_1,D_1)$. In particular, we have $\mathcal{L}'_\star(f_1,D_1)[\star z_j]=\mathcal{L}'_\star(f_1,D_1)$ for $j=1,\ldots,\ell_1$. We also have $\mathcal{L}'_\star(f_2,D_2)\simeq\mathcal{L}_\star(f_2,D_2)$. Hence, $\mathcal{L}'_\star(f_2,D_2)[\star z_i]\simeq\mathcal{L}'_\star(f_2,D_2)$ for $i=\ell_1+1,\ldots,\ell_1+\ell_2$. We have $\mathcal{L}'_\star(f,D)\simeq\mathcal{L}'_\star(f_1,D_1)\boxtimes\mathcal{L}'_\star(f_2,D_2)$. Then, it is easy to check that $\mathcal{L}'_\star(f,D)[\star z_i]=\mathcal{L}'_\star(f,D)$ for $i=\ell_1+1,\ldots,\ell_1+\ell_2$. We obtain the desired isomorphism by the characterization in [40, Proposition 5.3.1]. ▮

3.2.4 De Rham complexes in non-degenerate cases

Let us consider the case that $(X,D)=S\times(X_0,D_0)$, where X_0 is a complex manifold with a normal crossing hypersurface D_0. Let f be a meromorphic function on (X,D) which is non-degenerate along D. Let $\pi:X\longrightarrow S$ denote the projection. We have the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\pi^i_\dagger\mathcal{T}_\star(f,D)$ on S. Let us describe the underlying $\mathcal{R}_S$-modules $\pi^i_\dagger\mathcal{L}_\star(f,D)$, by assuming that X_0 is projective.

Set $n:=\dim X_0$. Let $p_\lambda:\mathcal{X}\longrightarrow X$ denote the projection. For any $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$, we set

$$\mathrm{DR}^m_{X/S}\mathcal{M}:=\lambda^{-m-n}p_\lambda^*\big(\Omega^{m+n}_{X/S}\big)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\mathcal{M}.$$

Then, we obtain the complex $\mathrm{DR}^\bullet_{X/S}\mathcal{M}$. We naturally have $\pi^i_\dagger\mathcal{M}\simeq\mathbb{R}^i\pi_*\mathrm{DR}^\bullet_{X/S}\mathcal{M}$.

Suppose $|(f)_\infty|=D$. We have $\mathcal{L}_\star(f,D)=\mathcal{L}(f)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*D)v$ with $\mathbb{D}v=vdf$. We set $\overline{\Omega}^\ell_{X/S}:=\lambda^{-\ell}p_\lambda^*\Omega^\ell_{X/S}$. Then, we immediately obtain the following natural isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{DR}^\bullet_{X/S}\mathcal{L}(f)\simeq\Big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet+n}_{X/S}(*D),d+\lambda^{-1}df\Big)$$

Let us consider the case that D is not necessarily $|(f)_\infty|$. We set $\overline{\Omega}^\ell_{X/S}(\log D):=\lambda^{-\ell}p_\lambda^*\big(\Omega^\ell_{X/S}(\log D)\big)$. We obtain the complexes $\big(\overline{\Omega}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D),d+\lambda^{-1}df\big)$ and $\big(\overline{\Omega}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D)(-\mathcal{D}),d+\lambda^{-1}df\big)$.

Lemma 3.14 *We have the following natural quasi-isomorphisms:*

$$\mathrm{DR}^\bullet_{X/S}\mathcal{L}_*(f,D)\simeq\Big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet+n}_{X/S}(\log D)\big(*(f)_\infty\big),d+\lambda^{-1}df\Big)\tag{36}$$

$$\mathrm{DR}^\bullet_{X/S}\mathcal{L}_!(f,D)\simeq\Big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet+n}_{X/S}(\log D)(-\mathcal{D})\big(*(f)_\infty\big),d+\lambda^{-1}df\Big)\tag{37}$$

Hence, $\pi^i_\dagger\mathcal{L}_\star(f,D)$ $(\star=*,!)$ *are expressed as the push-forward of the right hand side of* (36) *and* (37).

Proof It follows from the expression in Proposition 3.13. ▮

Suppose moreover that f is non-degenerate along D over S. We use the notation in §2.2.6. We set $\overline{\Omega}^\ell_{X/S}(\log D,f):=\overline{\Omega}^\ell_{X/S}(\log D)\big(\ell(f)_\infty\big)$, and we obtain the following complexes:

$$\big(\overline{\Omega}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D,f),d+\lambda^{-1}df\big),\quad \big(\overline{\Omega}^\bullet_{X/S}(\log D,f)(-\mathcal{D}),d+\lambda^{-1}df\big).$$

Similarly, we set $\overline{\Omega}^{\ell}_{X/S,f,D} := \lambda^{-\ell} p_{\lambda}^{*}\Omega^{\ell}_{X/S,f,D}$, and we obtain the following complexes:

$$\big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X/S,f,D}, d+\lambda^{-1}df\big), \qquad \big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X/S,f,D}(-\mathcal{D}), d+\lambda^{-1}df\big)$$

As in the case of $\mathcal{D}$-modules in §2.2.6 and §2.2.7, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.15 *Suppose that f is non-degenerate along D over S. Then, we have the following natural quasi-isomorphisms:*

$$\mathrm{DR}^{\bullet}_{X/S}\,\mathcal{L}_{*}(f,D) \simeq \Big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet+n}_{X/S}(\log D, f), d+\lambda^{-1}df\Big) \simeq \big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X/S,f,D}, d+\lambda^{-1}df\big) \tag{38}$$

$$\mathrm{DR}^{\bullet}_{X/S}\,\mathcal{L}_{!}(f,D) \simeq \Big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet+n}_{X/S}(\log D, f)(-\mathcal{D}), d+\lambda^{-1}df\Big) \simeq \big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X/S,f,D}(-\mathcal{D}), d+\lambda^{-1}df\big) \tag{39}$$

Hence, $\pi^{i}_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}_{\star}(f,D)$ are expressed as the push-forward of the complexes in (38) *and* (39). ∎

We also remark the following lemma.

Lemma 3.16 *Suppose that f is non-degenerate along D over S. Then, $\pi^{i}_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}_{\star}(f,D)$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times S}$-modules.*

Proof The specialization at $\lambda = 1$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules by Corollary 2.18. So, by the general property of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules, we obtain that $\pi^{i}_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}_{\star}(f,D)$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times S}$-modules. ∎

Corollary 3.17 *If f is non-degenerate along D over S, the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times S}$-modules*

$$\mathbb{R}^{i}\pi_{*}\big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D)(*(f)_{\infty}), d+\lambda^{-1}df\big) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{i}\pi_{*}\big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D, f), d+\lambda^{-1}df\big) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{i}\pi_{*}\big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X/S,f,D}, d+\lambda^{-1}df\big)$$

are locally free. The $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times S}$-modules

$$\mathbb{R}^{i}\pi_{*}\big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D)(*(f)_{\infty})(-\mathcal{D}), d+\lambda^{-1}df\big) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{i}\pi_{*}\big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X/S}(\log D, f)(-\mathcal{D}), d+\lambda^{-1}df\big)$$
$$\simeq \mathbb{R}^{i}\pi_{*}\big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X/S,f,D}(-\mathcal{D}), d+\lambda^{-1}df\big)$$

are also locally free. ∎

3.3 The real structure of the localization of some mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules

Let X be a complex manifold. For a mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C)$ on X, we have

$$j^{*}\mathcal{T} = (j^{*}\mathcal{M}_1, j^{*}\mathcal{M}_2, j^{*}C), \quad \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{T} = (\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_1, \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_2, \boldsymbol{D}C).$$

We set $\widetilde{\gamma}^{*}\mathcal{T} := (j^{*}\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_2, j^{*}\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_1, j^{*}\boldsymbol{D}C^{*})$. We will naturally identify $j^{*}\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_i$ and $\boldsymbol{D}j^{*}\mathcal{M}_i$. Recall that a real structure of $\mathcal{T}$ is an isomorphism $\kappa : \widetilde{\gamma}^{*}\mathcal{T} \simeq \mathcal{T}$ satisfying $\widetilde{\gamma}^{*}\kappa\circ\kappa = \mathrm{id}$.

3.3.1 Basic case

Let $d_X := \dim X$. We have the isomorphism $\nu_X : \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\lambda^{d_X}$, whose specialization at $\{\lambda_0\}\times X$ ($\lambda_0 \neq 0$) is equal to the morphism in §A.2.1. We have the natural identification $j^{*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ given by the pull back of functions. We have $\boldsymbol{D}\nu_X = (-1)^{d_X}\nu_X$. As shown in [40], the isomorphism

$$\big(\nu_X^{-1}, (-1)^{d_X}\nu_X\big) : \widetilde{\gamma}^{*}\mathcal{U}_X(d_X, 0) \simeq \mathcal{U}_X(d_X, 0)$$

gives a real structure.

Let Y be a smooth hypersurface of X. We set $d_Y := \dim Y = d_X - 1$. Let $\iota : Y \longrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. We have the following natural morphisms of integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules:

$$\mathcal{U}_X(d_X, 0)[*Y] \longrightarrow \iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Y(d_Y, 0)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1) \tag{40}$$

$$\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Y(d_Y, 0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_X(d_X, 0)[!Y] \tag{41}$$

The morphisms are induced by $\mathcal{R}_X$-homomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[*Y] \longrightarrow \iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}\lambda^{-1}$ and $\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[!Y]$. Locally, if X is equipped with a holomorphic coordinate system $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that $Y = \{x_1 = 0\}$, the morphisms are given by $x_1^{-1} \longmapsto \lambda^{-1}\iota_{*}(dx_1/\lambda)^{-1}$ and $\iota_{*}(dx_1/\lambda)^{-1} \longmapsto -\eth_1(1)$, where $\eth_1 = \lambda\partial_{x_1}$.

Proposition 3.18 *The natural morphisms* (40) *and* (41) *are compatible with the real structures.*

Proof Let us look at the compatibility of (40) which is the commutativity of the following diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[!Y]\lambda^{d_X} & \boldsymbol{D}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[*Y]\big) \arrow[l, "\nu_X"'] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[*Y] \arrow[d] & \boldsymbol{D}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[!Y]\lambda^{d_X}\big) \arrow[l, "(-1)^{d_X}\nu_X"'] \arrow[d] \\
\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}\lambda^{d_Y+1} \arrow[u] & \boldsymbol{D}\big(\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}\lambda^{-1}\big) \arrow[l, "-\nu_Y"'] \arrow[u] & \iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}\lambda^{-1} & \boldsymbol{D}\big(\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}\lambda^{d_Y+1}\big) \arrow[l, "(-1)^{d_Y+1}\nu_Y"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

To check the commutativity, it is enough to compare the specialization along $\{\lambda_0\}\times X$ for any $\lambda_0\neq 0$. Then, it is reduced to Proposition A.3. We can check the compatibility of (41) similarly. ▮

3.3.2 Mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to holomorphic functions

Let F be any holomorphic function on X. We have the $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{L}(F)$ given by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\,e$ with $\mathbb{D}e = e\,dF$, where e is a global frame. Let $\mathcal{L}(F)^{\vee} = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathcal{L}(F),\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}e^{\vee}$ with $\mathbb{D}e^{\vee} = e^{\vee}(-dF)$, where $e^{\vee}$ is the dual frame of e. Hence, we have the isomorphism $j^*\mathcal{L}(F)^{\vee}\simeq\mathcal{L}(F)$ given by $j^*e^{\vee}\longleftrightarrow e$. We have the smooth $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $\mathcal{T}_{sm}(F) := (\mathcal{L}(F),\mathcal{L}(F),C_F)$. The isomorphism $\widetilde{\gamma}^*_{sm}\mathcal{T}_{sm}(F) := (j^*\mathcal{L}(F)^{\vee}, j^*\mathcal{L}(F), j^*C_F^{\vee})\simeq\mathcal{T}(F)$ is a real structure of $\mathcal{T}_{sm}(F)$ as a smooth $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple. (See [40, §2.1.7.2].) Because $\mathcal{T}(F)=\mathcal{T}_{sm}(F)\otimes\mathcal{U}_X(d_X,0)$, we have the induced real structure on $\mathcal{T}(F)$ as a mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module [40, Proposition 13.4.6].

More explicitly, as in the case of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$, we have a natural isomorphism $\nu : j^*\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{L}(F)\simeq\lambda^{d_X}j^*\mathcal{L}(F)^{\vee}\simeq\lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{L}(F)$. The real structure of $\mathcal{T}(F)=(\lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{L}(F),\mathcal{L}(F),C_F)$ is given by $(\nu^{-1},(-1)^{d_X}\nu)$.

Lemma 3.19 *We have natural isomorphisms of integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structure*

$$\mathcal{T}(F)[\star Y]\simeq\mathcal{T}_{sm}(F)\otimes\mathcal{U}_X(d_X,0)[\star Y]. \tag{42}$$

Proof We have the natural isomorphisms of the integrable $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $\mathcal{L}(F)[\star Y]\simeq\mathcal{L}(F)\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[\star Y]$ ($\star=*,!$) such that the restriction to $X\setminus Y$ is the identity. It is enough to check the claim locally around any point of Y. We may assume that Y is given as $\{t=0\}$ for a holomorphic function t such that dt is nowhere vanishing. Then, it is easy to see that the V-filtration of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[\star Y]$ along t induces a V-filtration of $\mathcal{L}(F)\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[\star Y]$ along t, and that it satisfies the characterization condition for $\mathcal{L}(F)[\star t]$ in [40, §3.1]. Because the sesqui-linear pairings of $\mathcal{T}(F)[\star Y]$ and $\mathcal{T}_{sm}(F)\otimes\mathcal{U}_X(d_X,0)[\star Y]$ are the same on $X\setminus Y$, we obtain that they are the same on X. (See [40, Proposition 3.2.1].) Because the real structures of $\mathcal{T}(F)[\star F]$ are uniquely determined by their restriction to $X\setminus Y$, the isomorphisms (42) are compatible with the real structures. ▮

We also obtain the integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_{sm}(F)\otimes\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Y(d_Y,0)$ with real structure. We have the isomorphisms of integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structure:

$$\mathcal{T}(F)[*Y]/\mathcal{T}(F)\simeq\mathcal{T}_{sm}(F)\otimes\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Y(d_Y,0)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1) \tag{43}$$

$$\operatorname{Ker}\big(\mathcal{T}(F)[!Y]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}(F)\big)\simeq\mathcal{T}_{sm}(F)\otimes\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Y(d_Y,0) \tag{44}$$

Let F_Y denote the restriction of F to Y. We have the integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{T}(F_Y)$ on Y with real structure on Y. It is given as $\mathcal{T}(F_Y)=\big(\lambda^{d_Y}\mathcal{L}(F_Y),\mathcal{L}(F_Y),C_{F_Y}\big)$.

Let $\omega_{Y/X}$ denote the conormal bundle of Y in X. We set $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}} := \lambda\cdot p_{\lambda}^*\omega_{Y/X}$, where $p_{\lambda}:\mathcal{Y}\longrightarrow X$ denotes the projection. We have the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-submodules

$$\mathcal{E}_0 := \mathcal{L}(F)\otimes\iota_*(\omega_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}})\subset\mathcal{L}(F)\otimes\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}},\quad \mathcal{E}_1 := \iota_*(\mathcal{L}(F_Y)\otimes\omega_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}})\subset\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}(F_Y).$$

We have the natural isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-modules $\varphi_0:\mathcal{E}_0\simeq\mathcal{E}_1$.

Lemma 3.20 *The $\mathcal{O}$-isomorphism φ_0 is uniquely extended to an isomorphism of integrable $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $\varphi : \iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}(F_Y)\simeq\mathcal{L}(F)\otimes\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. They give the following isomorphism of integrable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structure:*

$$\mathcal{T}_{sm}(F)\otimes\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Y(d_Y,0)\simeq\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}(F_Y)$$

Proof Let us observe that φ_0 is uniquely extended. Because $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$ generate $\mathcal{L}(F) \otimes \iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}(F_Y)$ over $\mathcal{R}_X$, the uniqueness is clear. Hence, it is enough to obtain φ locally around any point of Y. We may assume that X is the product of Y and a neighbourhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}_t$. We have $Y = \{t = 0\}$. Let V denote the V-filtration of $\mathcal{L}(F) \otimes \iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}(F_Y)$. We may naturally regard $V_0\big(\mathcal{L}(F) \otimes \iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}\big)$ and $V_0\big(\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}(F_Y)\big)$ as integrable $\mathcal{R}_Y$-modules, and the natural isomorphism φ_0 is an isomorphism of the integrable $\mathcal{R}_Y$-modules $V_0\big(\mathcal{L}(F) \otimes \iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}\big) \simeq V_0\big(\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}(F_Y)\big)$. For both $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules, we have $V_m = \bigoplus_{j \leq m} \eth_t^j V_0$. We can easily construct the isomorphism φ inductively on the V-filtration.

Because φ_0 is compatible with the sesqui-linear pairings on V_0, we obtain that φ gives an isomorphism of the integrable $\mathcal{R}_X$-triples. The comparison of the real structures is easy by construction. ▮

Proposition 3.21 *We have the following isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{T}(F)[*Y]/\mathcal{T}(F) \simeq \iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}(F_Y) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$$

$$\operatorname{Ker}\big(\mathcal{T}(F)[!Y] \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(F)\big) \simeq \iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}(F_Y)$$

Proof It follows from (43), (44) and Lemma 3.20. ▮

Let us describe the isomorphisms of the underlying integrable $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules more explicitly. For simplicity, we assume that X is the product of Y and an open subset in $\mathbb{C}_t$. Let υ be the frame of $\mathcal{L}(F)$ such that $\nabla\upsilon = \upsilon d(F/\lambda)$. Let υ_Y be the frame of $\mathcal{L}(F_Y)$ obtained as the restriction of υ to Y. The morphism $\mathcal{L}(F)[*t] \longrightarrow \iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}(F_Y)$ is given by

$$t^{-1}\upsilon \longmapsto \iota_*(\upsilon_Y\lambda^{-1})(dt/\lambda)^{-1} = \iota_*(\upsilon_Y(dt)^{-1}). \tag{45}$$

Note that we have a natural inclusion $\eta : \mathcal{L}(F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(F)[!t]$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-module. The morphism $\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}(F_Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(F)[!t]$ is given by

$$\iota_*(\upsilon_Y(dt/\lambda)^{-1}) \longmapsto -\eth_t\eta(\upsilon) + \eta(\partial_t(F) \cdot \upsilon) \tag{46}$$

3.4 Push-forward

We use the notation in §2.4.1. We have the twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_{\star}\big(F, D_Y^{(0)}\big)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\star}\big(F, D_Y^{(1)}\big)$ $(\star = *, !)$.

Proposition 3.22 *For* $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(1)}), \mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(0)}), \mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(1)})/\mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})$, *we have* $\pi_{\dagger}^i(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ $(i \neq 0)$. *We also have the following natural isomorphisms of the integrable mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules with real structure:*

$$\pi_{\dagger}^0\mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(1)}) \simeq \Big(\mathcal{T}_*(g, D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)\Big)[!(f)_0][*D] \tag{47}$$

$$\pi_{\dagger}^0\big(\mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(1)})/\mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})\big) \simeq \mathcal{T}_*(g, D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1) \simeq \mathcal{T}_*(g, D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)[*D] \tag{48}$$

$$\pi_{\dagger}^0\big(\mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})\big) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\Big(\Big(\mathcal{T}_*(g, D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)\Big)[!(f)_0][*D] \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_*(g, D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)[*D]\Big) \tag{49}$$

Proof The vanishing $\pi_{\dagger}^i\mathcal{T} = 0$ $(i \neq 0)$ follows from Proposition 2.23 and the twistor property. We set $D^{(2)} : D \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\iota_0 : X \longrightarrow Y$ be induced by $\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. By the argument for Proposition 3.21, we have a natural isomorphism of integrable $\mathcal{R}_Y(*D^{(2)})$-triples:

$$\big(\mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(1)})/\mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})\big)(*D^{(2)}) \simeq \iota_{0\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(g, D)(*D)$$

Hence, we have

$$\pi_{\dagger}^0\big(\mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(1)})/\mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})\big)(*D) \simeq \mathcal{T}_*(g, D)(*D)$$

Because we naturally have $\big(\mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(1)})/\mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})\big)[*D^{(2)}] \simeq \mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(1)})/\mathcal{T}_*(F, D_Y^{(0)})$ and $\mathcal{T}_*(g, D)[*D] \simeq \mathcal{T}_*(g, D)$, we obtain the isomorphism (48) of integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. The comparison of the real structures is reduced to Proposition 3.21.

We have the natural morphisms of integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structure:

$$\pi_{\dagger}^0\mathcal{T}_*\big(F, D_Y^{(1)}\big) \longrightarrow \pi_{\dagger}^0\mathcal{T}_*\big(F, D_Y^{(1)}\big)[*D] \longleftarrow \Big(\pi_{\dagger}^0\mathcal{T}_*\big(F, D_Y^{(1)}\big)[!(f)_0]\Big)[*D]. \tag{50}$$

According to Proposition 2.23, the induced morphisms of the underlying $\mathcal{D}$-modules are isomorphisms. Hence, we obtain that the morphisms in (50) are isomorphisms. We have

$$\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(F,D_Y^{(1)})\big(*(f)_0\big)(*D)\simeq\pi^0_{\dagger}\Big(\mathcal{T}_*(F,D_Y^{(1)})/\mathcal{T}_*(F,D_Y^{(0)})\Big)\big(*(f)_0\big)(*D).$$

Hence, we have

$$\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(F,D_Y^{(1)})\simeq\Big(\pi^0_{\dagger}\big(\mathcal{T}_*(F,D_Y^{(1)})/\mathcal{T}_*(F,D_Y^{(0)})\big)(*D)\Big)[!(f)_0][*D]\simeq\Big(\mathcal{T}_*(g,D)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\Big)[!(f)_0][*D]$$

Thus, we obtain (47). We obtain (49) from the others. ▮

Corollary 3.23 *For* $\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{T}_!(F,D_Y^{(1)}),\mathcal{T}_!(F,D_Y^{(0)}),\mathrm{Ker}\big(\mathcal{T}_!(F,D_Y^{(1)})\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_!(F,D_Y^{(0)})\big)$*, we have* $\pi^i_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T})=0$ $(i\neq 0)$*. We also have the following natural isomorphisms of the integrable mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules with real structure:*

$$\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_!(F,D_Y^{(1)})\simeq\mathcal{T}_!(g,D)[*(f)_0][!D]$$

$$\pi^0_{\dagger}\Big(\mathrm{Ker}\Big(\mathcal{T}_!(F,D_Y^{(1)})\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_!(F,D_Y^{(0)})\Big)\Big)\simeq\mathcal{T}_!(g,D)\simeq\mathcal{T}_!(g,D)[!D]$$

$$\pi^0_{\dagger}\big(\mathcal{T}_!(F,D_Y^{(0)})\big)\simeq\mathrm{Cok}\Big(\mathcal{T}_!(g,D)[!D]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_!(g,D)[*(f)_0][!D]\Big)$$

Proof Because $\mathcal{T}_*(F,D_Y^{(a)})^*\simeq\mathcal{T}_!(F,D_Y^{(a)})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(\dim Y)$, the claim follows from Proposition 3.22. ▮

Let us consider the case that f is moreover non-degenerate along D. In this case Z_f is smooth, and $Z_f\cup D$ is normal crossing. As in §2.4.1, let $\iota:Z_f\longrightarrow X$ denote the inclusion, and we set $D_{Z_f}:=D\cap Z_f$ and $g_0:=g_{|Z_f}$. We have the integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_\star(g_0,D_{Z_f})$ with real structure on Z_f. By using Proposition 3.21, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.24 *If* f *is moreover non-degenerate along* D*, we have the following isomorphisms of the integrable mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules with real structure:*

$$\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(F,D_Y^{(0)})\simeq\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(g_0,D_{Z_f})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\tag{51}$$

$$\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_!(F,D_Y^{(0)})\simeq\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_!(g_0,D_{Z_f})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\tag{52}$$

The image of the morphism $\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_!(F,D_Y^{(0)})\longrightarrow\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(F,D_Y^{(0)})$ *is* $\iota_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}(g_0)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ *under the isomorphisms.* ▮

3.5 Specialization

Let X be a complex manifold with a simple normal crossing hypersurface D. We set $X^{(1)}:=X\times\mathbb{C}_\tau$ and $D^{(1)}:=D\times\mathbb{C}_\tau$. Let f and g be meromorphic functions on (X,D). We have the meromorphic function $F=\tau f+g$ on $(X^{(1)},D^{(1)})$. We have the associated integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_\star(F,D^{(1)})$ $(\star=*,!)$ with real structure on $X^{(1)}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{\star,f,g}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\star,f,g}$ denote the kernel and the cokernel of $\mathcal{T}_\star(F,D^{(1)})[!\tau]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_\star(F,D^{(1)})[*\tau]$. Let $\iota_0:X\longrightarrow X^{(1)}$ be given by $\iota_0(Q)=(Q,0)$.

Proposition 3.25 *If* $|(f)_0|\cap|(f)_\infty|=\emptyset$*, we have the following isomorphism of the integrable mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules with real structure:*

$$\mathcal{C}_{*,f,g}\simeq\iota_{0\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(g,D)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1),\qquad\mathcal{K}_{*,f,g}\simeq\iota_{0\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(g,D)[!(f)_\infty],\tag{53}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{!,f,g}\simeq\iota_{0\dagger}\mathcal{T}_!(g,D),\qquad\mathcal{C}_{!,f,g}\simeq\iota_{0\dagger}\mathcal{T}_!(g,D)[*(f)_\infty]\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1).\tag{54}$$

Proof By Proposition 3.21, if $D = \emptyset$, we already have the isomorphisms of integrable mixed twistor D-modules with real structure in (53, 54). In other words, we already have the isomorphisms of integrable mixed twistor D-modules with real structure on $X^{(1)} \setminus D^{(1)}$. It is enough to prove that they are extended isomorphisms of integrable mixed twistor D-modules with real structure on $X^{(1)}$. By construction of the morphisms in Proposition 3.21, we have the following isomorphisms of integrable filtered $\mathcal{R}$-triples:

$$\Big(\mathcal{C}_{*,f,g}\Big)(*D^{(1)}) \overset{a_1}{\simeq} \Big(\iota_{0\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(g,D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)\Big)(*D^{(1)}), \qquad \Big(\mathcal{K}_{*,f,g}\Big)(*D^{(1)}) \overset{a_2}{\simeq} \Big(\iota_{0\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(g,D)[!(f)_\infty]\Big)(*D^{(1)}),$$

We have the following morphism of integrable mixed twistor D-modules induced by a_1:

$$\mathcal{C}_{*,f,g} \xrightarrow{b_1} \iota_{0\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(g,D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1) \tag{55}$$

By Proposition 2.31, the morphism of the underlying D-modules is an isomorphism. Hence, (55) is an isomorphism. We have the following morphisms:

$$\mathcal{K}_{*,f,g} \xleftarrow{c_1} \mathcal{K}_{*,f,g}[!(f)_\infty] \xrightarrow{c_2} \mathcal{K}_{*,f,g}[*D^{(1)}][!(f_\infty)] \xrightarrow{c_3} \iota_{0\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(g,D)[!D^{(1)}][!(f)_\infty] \tag{56}$$

Here, c_i $(i = 1,2)$ are canonical morphisms, and c_3 is induced by a_2. By Proposition 2.31, the morphisms of the underlying D-modules are isomorphisms. Hence, we obtain the isomorphism $\mathcal{K}_{*,f,g} \simeq \iota_{0\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(g,D)[!(f)_\infty]$ from (56). We obtain the isomorphisms in (54) similarly. ▮

3.6 $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules

3.6.1 Homogeneity

Suppose that a complex manifold X is equipped with a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, i.e., a morphism $\mu : \mathbb{C}^* \times X \longrightarrow X$ satisfying $\mu(a_1a_2, x) = \mu(a_1, \mu(a_2, x))$ and $\mu(1, x) = x$. We consider the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ given by the multiplication. Set $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$. We have the diagonal $\mathbb{C}^*$-action $\widetilde{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{X}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module. It is equivalent to an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ with a meromorphic flat connection $\nabla : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}}(\log \mathcal{X}^0) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X}^0)$, where $\mathcal{X}^0 := \{0\} \times X$. We have the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{X}}$-module $\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{X}$ equipped with the meromorphic flat connection $\widetilde{\mu}^*\nabla$. We can easily check that

$$(\widetilde{\mu}^*\nabla)(\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{M}) \subset \widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{M} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{X}}\big(\log(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{X}^0)\big) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{X}^0).$$

Hence, $\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{M}$ is naturally an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^* \times X}$-module.

Let $p : \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ denote the projection. Let $p_i : \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ $(i = 1,2,3)$ be given by

$$p_1(a_1, a_2, x) = x, \quad p_2(a_1, a_2, x) = \widetilde{\mu}(a_2, x), \quad p_3(a_1, a_2, x) = \widetilde{\mu}(a_1a_2, x).$$

We have the morphisms $p_{23}, \mathrm{id} \times \widetilde{\mu}, \mu_{\mathbb{C}^*} \times \mathrm{id} : \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{X}$ given by

$$p_{23}(a_1, a_2, x) = (a_2, x), \quad (\mathrm{id} \times \widetilde{\mu})(a_1, a_2, x) = (a_1, \widetilde{\mu}(a_2, x)), \quad (\mu_{\mathbb{C}^*} \times \mathrm{id})(a_1, a_2, x) = (a_1a_2, x).$$

Definition 3.26 *An $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is called $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous if we have an isomorphism of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^* \times X}$-modules $\kappa : p^*\mathcal{M} \simeq \widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{M}$ satisfying the cocycle condition $(\mathrm{id} \times \widetilde{\mu})^*\kappa \circ p_{23}^*\kappa = (\mu_{\mathbb{C}^*} \times \mathrm{id})^*\kappa$.* ▮

The restriction of $\widetilde{\mu}$ to $\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda \times X \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a free action, and the quotient space is X. The condition in Definition 3.26 implies that there exists a $\mathcal{D}$-module M such that the restriction $\mathcal{M}_{|\mathbb{C}^* \times X}$ is isomorphic to the pull back of M by the quotient map $\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda \times X \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda \times X)/\mathbb{C}^* \simeq X$. Indeed, M is given as the specialization of $\mathcal{M}$ to $\{1\} \times X$, i.e., $M = \Xi_{DR}(\mathcal{M}) := \iota_1^{-1}\big(\mathcal{M}/(\lambda - 1)\mathcal{M}\big)$, where $\iota_1 : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is given by $\iota_1(P) = (1, P)$.

Lemma 3.27 *Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-module, for simplicity. Then, the torus action is uniquely determined by the connection ∇.*

Proof Let $\underline{\mathfrak{v}}$ be the holomorphic fundamental vector field of the action μ on X, i.e., $\underline{\mathfrak{v}}_{|Q} = T_{(1,Q)}\mu_*(\partial/\partial a)$ for any $Q \in X$. The holomorphic fundamental vector field of $\widetilde{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ is given by $\lambda\partial_\lambda + \underline{\mathfrak{v}}$. The $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathcal{M}$ induces a differential operator $L : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that $L(fs) = fL(s) + (\lambda\partial_\lambda + \underline{\mathfrak{v}})f \cdot s$. On $\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda \times X$, we can easily check that $L(s) = \nabla_{\lambda\partial_\lambda + \underline{\mathfrak{v}}}(s)$. The equality holds on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$. Because the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action is determined by L, it is uniquely determined by the connection ∇. ▮

3.6.2 $\mathcal{R}$-modules associated to homogeneous meromorphic functions

Let X be a complex manifold with a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action μ as above. For any $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, the morphism $\mu(a, \bullet) : X \longrightarrow X$ is denoted by μ_a. Let D be a hypersurface of X such that $\mu_a(D) = D$ for any $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Let f be a meromorphic function on (X, D) such that $\mu_a^*(f) = af$ for any $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Proposition 3.28 *The $\mathcal{R}$-modules $\mathcal{L}_\star(f, D)$ are $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous.*

Proof First, let us consider the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*D)$-module $\mathcal{Q}(f)$ induced by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*D)$ with the meromorphic flat connection $d + d(\lambda^{-1}f)$. We set $X_1 := \mathbb{C}^* \times X$ and $D_1 := \mathbb{C}^* \times D$. We set $f_1 := p^*(f)$. We naturally have $p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*D) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}(*D_1) \simeq \widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*D)$. Because $\widetilde{\mu}^*(\lambda^{-1}f) = p^*(\lambda^{-1}f) = \lambda^{-1}f_1$, the pull back of the connections are also equal. Hence, we have $p^*\mathcal{Q}(f) \simeq \widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{Q}(f)$. Similarly, we can check the cocycle condition for $\mathcal{Q}(f)$ as in Definition 3.26. It is enough to check that we have natural isomorphisms $p^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f) \simeq \widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)$ which induce the above isomorphism $p^*\mathcal{Q}(f) \simeq \widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{Q}(f)$.

Take $(a_0, \lambda_0, Q_0) \in \mathcal{X}_1 = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$. Let us check that there exists a unique isomorphism $p^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f) \simeq \widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)$ around (a_0, λ_0, Q_0) which induces $p^*\mathcal{Q}(f) \simeq \widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{Q}(f)$. Let g be a holomorphic function defined on a neighbourhood of $\mu(a_0, Q_0)$ such that $g^{-1}(0) = D$. We set $g_1 := g \circ \mu$ defined on a neighbourhood of (a_0, Q_0). We have $p^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)[\star g_1] = p^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)$ on a neighbourhood of (λ_0, a_0, Q_0). It is enough to prove that $\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)[\star g_1] = \widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)$ on a neighbourhood of (λ_0, a_0, Q_0).

We set $Q_1 := \mu(a_0, Q_0)$. We take a small neighbourhood $U = U_{\lambda_0} \times U_{Q_1} \subset \mathcal{X}$ of (λ_0, Q_1) such that g is defined on U_{Q_1}. Let $\iota_g : U_{Q_1} \longrightarrow U_{Q_1} \times \mathbb{C}_t$ be the graph. We may assume that we have the V-filtration of $\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(f)$ on $U \times \mathbb{C}_t$ as $\mathcal{R}$-modules. Note that each $V_a\big(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(f)\big)$ are naturally $V\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X\times\mathbb{C}_t}$-modules. In the case $\star = *$, the induced morphism $t : \mathrm{Gr}_0^V \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{-1}^V$ is an isomorphism. In the case $\star =!$, the induced morphism $\eth_t : \mathrm{Gr}_{-1}^V \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_0^V$ is an isomorphism.

Let $U' \subset \mathcal{X}_1$ be a small neighbourhood of (a_0, λ_0, Q_0) such that $\widetilde{\mu}(U') \subset U$. Let $\widetilde{\mu}_1 : U' \times \mathbb{C}_t \longrightarrow U \times \mathbb{C}_t$ be the induced morphism. We naturally have $\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(f) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \eth_t^j \iota_{g*}\mathcal{L}_\star(f)$ and $\iota_{g_1\dagger}\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \eth_t^j \iota_{g_1*}\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)$. The natural isomorphisms $\widetilde{\mu}_1^*\iota_{g*}\mathcal{L}_\star(f) \simeq \iota_{g_1*}\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)$ induce isomorphisms $\widetilde{\mu}_1^*(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(f)) \simeq \iota_{g_1\dagger}\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)$.

We set $V_a\big(\iota_{g_1\dagger}\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)\big) := \widetilde{\mu}_1^* V_a\big(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(f)\big)$ as $\mathcal{O}_{U'\times\mathbb{C}_t}$-modules. By the construction, $V_a\big(\iota_{g_1\dagger}\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)\big)$ are $V\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X_1\times\mathbb{C}_t}$-modules on $U'\times\mathbb{C}_t$. Because $V_a\big(\iota_{g\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(f)\big)$ are coherent over $V\mathcal{R}_{X\times\mathbb{C}_t}$, we obtain that $V_a\big(\iota_{g_1\dagger}\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)\big)$ are pseudo-coherent over $\mathcal{O}_{U'\times\mathbb{C}_t}$, and we can easily check that they are finitely generated over $V\mathcal{R}_{X_1\times\mathbb{C}_t}$. Hence they are coherent over $V\mathcal{R}_{X_1\times\mathbb{C}_t}$. (See [27].) Then, we obtain that $V_\bullet\big(\iota_{g_1\dagger}\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)\big)$ is a V-filtration of $\iota_{g_1\dagger}\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)$ along t. In the case $\star = *$, the morphism $t : \mathrm{Gr}_0^V \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{-1}^V$ is an isomorphism. In the case $\star =!$, the morphism $\eth_t : \mathrm{Gr}_{-1}^V \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_0^V$ is an isomorphism. Hence, we have $\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(f_1)[\star g_1] \simeq p^*\mathcal{L}_\star(f)[\star g_1]$. Thus, the proof of Proposition 3.28 is finished. ∎

3.6.3 Good filtrations on holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules and $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneity

Let X be a complex manifold. We regard that X is equipped with the trivial $\mathbb{C}^*$-action μ_0, i.e., $\mu_0(a, x) = x$ for any $(a, x) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times X$. The induced action $\widetilde{\mu}_0$ on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$ is just the multiplication on the $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$-component. In this case, we have a relation between $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneity and good filtrations.

Let $\mathcal{C}_1(X)$ denote the category of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules with a good filtration (M, F). Morphisms $(M_1, F_1) \longrightarrow (M_2, F_2)$ in $\mathcal{C}_1(X)$ are morphisms $\varphi : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$ of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules such that $\varphi(F_jM_1) \subset F_jM_2$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_2(X)$ denote the category of coherent $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules $\mathcal{M}$ such that (i) it is $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous with respect to μ_0, (ii) $\mathcal{M}$ is coherent as an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module, (iii) $\mathcal{M}$ is strict as an $\mathcal{R}_X$-module i.e., the multiplication of $\lambda - \lambda_0$ is a monomorphism for any $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, (iv) $\Xi_{\mathrm{DR}}(\mathcal{M})$ is a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module. Morphisms $\mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ in $\mathcal{C}_2(X)$ are morphisms of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules.

Let (M, F) be an object in $\mathcal{C}_1(X)$. We have the Rees module $R(M, F) = \sum F_jM\lambda^j$ of (M, F), which is naturally an $\mathcal{O}_X[\lambda]$-module. By taking the analytification we obtain an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times X}$-module $\widetilde{R}(M, F)$ which is naturally an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module. This construction gives a functor $\widetilde{R} : \mathcal{C}_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2(X)$.

Proposition 3.29 *The functor $\widetilde{R}$ is an equivalence.*

Proof Set $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$. Let $p_\lambda : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow X$ denote the projection. Let $\mathrm{Hol}(X)$ denote the category of holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules on X. Let $\mathcal{C}_3(X)$ denote the category of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(*\lambda)$-modules $\mathcal{L}$ satisfying the conditions (i) $\mathcal{L}$ is $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous with respect to μ_0, (ii) $\mathcal{L}$ is coherent over $p_\lambda^*(\mathcal{D}_X)(*\lambda)$, (iii) $\mathcal{L}$ is strict as an $\mathcal{R}$-module, (iv) $\Xi_{\mathrm{DR}}(\mathcal{L})$ is a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module. Morphisms $\mathcal{L}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ in $\mathcal{C}_3(X)$ are $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant morphisms of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$-modules.

For any $M \in \mathrm{Hol}(X)$, we set $G_1(M) := p_\lambda^*(M)(*\lambda)$. Then, we obtain a functor $G_1 : \mathrm{Hol}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_3(X)$. Clearly G_1 is an exact functor.

Lemma 3.30 *G_1 is an equivalence.*

Proof Clearly G_1 is faithful. Let us prove that G_1 is full. Let $M_i \in \mathrm{Hol}(X)$. Let $f : G_1(M_1) \longrightarrow G_1(M_2)$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}_3(X)$. By applying Ξ_{DR}, we obtain a morphism $f_1 := \Xi_{\mathrm{DR}}(f) : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$. Because the restriction of $G_1(f_1) - f : G_1(M_1) \longrightarrow G_1(M_2)$ to $\mathbb{C}^* \times X$ is 0 because of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariance of f. Hence, we obtain $G_1(f_1) - f = 0$ on $\mathbb{C} \times X$, i.e., G_1 is full.

Let us prove that G_1 is essentially surjective. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an object in $\mathcal{C}_3(X)$. We set $L := \Xi_{\mathrm{DR}}(\mathcal{L})$. It is enough to prove that $G_1(L) \simeq \mathcal{L}$. Note that we have a natural isomorphism $\kappa : G_1(L)_{|\mathbb{C}^* \times X} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{|\mathbb{C}^* \times X}$ induced by the $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneity. It is enough to prove that κ is extended to an isomorphism on $\mathbb{C} \times X$. Take any point $P \in X$. It is enough to prove that for a small neighbourhood X_P of P in X, $\kappa_{|\mathbb{C}^* \times X_P}$ is extended on $\mathbb{C} \times X_P$. We have the subvariety $Z(\mathcal{L}) \subset X_P$ such that the support of the sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{|\mathcal{X}_P}$ on $\mathcal{X}_P$ is $\mathbb{C} \times Z(\mathcal{L})$. We use an induction on the dimension of $Z(\mathcal{L})$. To simplify the description, we set $Z := Z(\mathcal{L})$.

We have a stratification $Z = \coprod Z_i$ into locally closed smooth subvarieties such that the characteristic variety of L is the union of the conormal bundle $T^*_{Z_i} X$ of Z_i in X. By shrinking X_P, we may assume to have holomorphic function g such that $Z_i \subset g^{-1}(0)$ if and only if $\dim Z_i < \dim Z$. We may assume to have a complex manifold Y with a projective morphism $\varphi : Y \longrightarrow X$ such that (i) $\varphi(Y) \subset Z$ and $\dim(Z \setminus \varphi(Y)) < \dim Z$, (ii) we set $g_Y := g \circ \varphi$, and then $D_Y := g_Y^{-1}(0)$ is a normal crossing, (iii) φ induces $Y \setminus g_Y^{-1}(0) \simeq Z \setminus g^{-1}(0)$. We set $\widetilde{g} := g \circ p_\lambda$ and $\widetilde{g}_Y := g_Y \circ p_\lambda$. We have a meromorphic flat bundle L_1 on (Y, D_Y) with an isomorphism $\varphi_+ L_1 \simeq L(*g)$ of $\mathcal{D}_{X_P}$-modules. We have a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}}(*\widetilde{g}_Y)$-module $\mathcal{L}_1$ with an isomorphism $(\mathrm{id} \times \varphi)_+ \mathcal{L}_1 \simeq \mathcal{L}(*\widetilde{g})$. Note that $\mathcal{L}_1$ is a meromorphic flat bundle on $\mathcal{Y}$ with the pole $(\{0\} \times Y) \cup \widetilde{g}_Y^{-1}(0)$.

Because $\mathcal{L}(*\widetilde{g})$ is $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous with respect to the trivial action on X, $\mathcal{L}_1$ is $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous with respect to the trivial action on Y. It is easy to observe that $\mathcal{L}_{1|\mathbb{C} \times (Y \setminus D_Y)}$ is regular along $\{0\} \times (Y \setminus D_Y)$. Hence, the isomorphism $p_\lambda^*(L_1)_{|\mathbb{C}^* \times (Y \setminus D_Y)} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{1|\mathbb{C}^* \times (Y \setminus D_Y)}$ is extended to an isomorphism $G_1(L_1)_{|\mathbb{C} \times (Y \setminus D_Y)} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{1|\mathbb{C} \times (Y \setminus D_Y)}$. By using the Hartogs property, the isomorphism is extended to $G_1(L_1) \simeq \mathcal{L}_1$. We also obtain $\mathcal{L}_1[!\widetilde{g}_Y] \simeq G_1(L_1)[!\widetilde{g}_Y] \simeq G_1(L_1[!g_Y])$. Hence, we have a natural isomorphism $G_1\bigl(L(\star g)\bigr) \simeq \mathcal{L}(\star \widetilde{g})$.

Considering the Beilinson functors, we have $G_1\bigl(\Pi_g^{a,b}(L)(\star g)\bigr) \simeq \Pi_{\widetilde{g}}^{a,b}(\mathcal{L})[\star \widetilde{g}]$. We obtain $G_1\bigl(\psi_g^{(a)}(L)\bigr) \simeq \psi_{\widetilde{g}}^{(a)}(\mathcal{L})$ and $G_1\bigl(\Xi_g^{(a)}(L)\bigr) \simeq \Xi_{\widetilde{g}}^{(a)}(\mathcal{L})$. By the assumption of the induction, we have $G_1\bigl(\phi_g^{(a)}(L)\bigr) \simeq \phi_{\widetilde{g}}^{(a)}(\mathcal{L})$. The following is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_1\bigl(\psi_g^{(1)}(L)\bigr) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & G_1\bigl(\phi_g^{(0)}(L)\bigr) \oplus G_1\bigl(\Xi_g^{(0)}(L)\bigr) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & G_1\bigl(\psi_g^{(0)}(L)\bigr) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\psi_{\widetilde{g}}^{(1)}(\mathcal{L}) \arrow[r] & \phi_{\widetilde{g}}^{(0)}(\mathcal{L}) \oplus \Xi_{\widetilde{g}}^{(0)}(\mathcal{L}) \arrow[r] & \psi_{\widetilde{g}}^{(0)}(\mathcal{L})
\end{tikzcd}$$

We obtain $G_1(L) \simeq \mathcal{L}$. Thus, Lemma 3.30 is finished. ∎

Let $\mathcal{C}_4(X)$ denote the category whose objects are the same as those of $\mathcal{C}_3(X)$, but morphisms $f : \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ in $\mathcal{C}_4(X)$ are morphisms of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$-modules, i.e., we do not assume the $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariance.

Lemma 3.31 *The natural functor $\mathcal{C}_3(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_4(X)$ is an equivalence. Namely, any morphism $f : \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ in $\mathcal{C}_4(X)$ is $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant.*

Proof Let $M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{Hol}(X)$. Let $f : G_1(M_1) \longrightarrow G_1(M_2)$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}_4(X)$. Applying Ξ_{DR}, we obtain a morphism $f_0 : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$ of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{DR}_{\mathbb{C}^* \times X}\, G_1(f_0)_{|\mathbb{C}^* \times X} = \mathrm{DR}_{\mathbb{C}^* \times X}\, f_{|\mathbb{C}^* \times X}$. Hence, we obtain $G_1(f_0)_{|\mathbb{C}^* \times X} = f_{|\mathbb{C}^* \times X}$, and $G_1(f_0) = f$ on $\mathcal{X}$. ∎

Let us return to the proof of Proposition 3.29. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an object in $\mathcal{C}_2(X)$. Set $M := \Xi_{\mathrm{DR}}(\mathcal{M})$. For any local section s of M, we have the section $p_\lambda^*(s)$ of $\mathcal{M}(*\lambda) \simeq G_1(M)$ by Lemma 3.31. The number $i(s) := \min\bigl\{i \,\big|\, \lambda^i p_\lambda^*(s) \in \mathcal{M}\bigr\}$ exists because $\mathcal{M}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{R}_X$-module. It determines the filtration F on M, i.e., $F_j(M) = \{s \in M \,|\, i(s) \leq j\}$. We have $F_j\mathcal{D}_X \cdot F_k(M) \subset F_{j+k}(M)$, where $F_j\mathcal{D}_X$ denote the sheaf of differential operators whose orders are less than j.

Lemma 3.32 *We have a natural isomorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules $\widetilde{R}(M,F) \simeq \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof By Lemma 3.30, we naturally have $\widetilde{R}(M,F)(*\lambda) = G_1(M) \simeq \mathcal{M}(*\lambda)$. By the construction of F, we have $\widetilde{R}(M,F) \subset \mathcal{M}$. Let $\overline{p}_\lambda : \mathbb{P}^1_\lambda \times X \longrightarrow X$ be the projection. Let $\mathcal{M}'$ be the sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^1_\lambda \times X$ such that (i) the restriction to $\bigl(\mathbb{P}^1_\lambda \setminus \{0\}\bigr) \times X$ is equal to the restriction of $\overline{p}_\lambda^*(M)(*\infty)$, (ii) the restriction to $\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$ is equal to $\mathcal{M}$. Because $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant, $\mathcal{M}'$ is also $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant. It is easy to observe that $R^i\overline{p}_{\lambda*}\mathcal{M}' = 0$ for $i > 0$, and that the natural morphism $\overline{p}_{\lambda*}\mathcal{M}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{|\{0\}\times X}$ is an epimorphism. For any local section s of $\mathcal{M}/\lambda\mathcal{M}$, we take a section $\widetilde{s}$ of $\overline{p}_{\lambda*}\mathcal{M}'$ which is mapped to s. Because $\mathcal{M}' \subset \overline{p}_\lambda^*(M)\bigl(*(\{0,\infty\} \times X)\bigr)$, we have $\overline{p}_{\lambda*}\mathcal{M}' \subset M[\lambda,\lambda^{-1}]$. Hence, we have $\widetilde{s} = \sum_{j=-N}^{N} \lambda^j \widetilde{s}_j$ for a large N, where $\widetilde{s}_j$ are sections of M. By the $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariance of $\mathcal{M}$, we obtain that each $\lambda^j\widetilde{s}_j$ is a section of $\mathcal{M}$. By construction, $\lambda^j\widetilde{s}_j$ are sections of $\widetilde{R}(M,F)$. It implies that $\widetilde{R}(M,F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}/\lambda\mathcal{M}$ is an epimorphism, and hence we obtain $\widetilde{R}(M,F) = \mathcal{M}$. ∎

Let $\iota_0 : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be given by $\iota_0(P) = (0,P)$. Because $\mathrm{Gr}^F(M) \simeq \iota_0^{-1}\bigl(\mathcal{M}/\lambda\mathcal{M}\bigr)$, the $\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet\Theta_X$-module $\mathrm{Gr}^F(M)$ is coherent. Hence, F is a good filtration, i.e., (M,F) is an object in $\mathcal{C}_1(X)$. We obtain that $\widetilde{R}$ is essentially surjective.

Clearly $\widetilde{R}$ is faithful. Let us prove that $\widetilde{R}$ is full. Let $(M^{(i)},F^{(i)})$ $(i = 1,2)$ be objects in $\mathcal{C}_1(X)$. Let $f : \widetilde{R}(M^{(1)},F^{(1)}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{R}(M^{(2)},F^{(2)})$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}_2(X)$. By applying Ξ_{DR}, we have the morphism $f_1 : M^{(1)} \longrightarrow M^{(2)}$ of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. By Lemma 3.31, f is the restriction of $G_1(f_1)$ to $\widetilde{R}(M^{(1)},F^{(1)})$. Then, it is easy to deduce that f_1 preserves the filtrations, i.e., f_1 gives a morphism $(M^{(1)},F^{(1)}) \longrightarrow (M^{(2)},F^{(2)})$ in $\mathcal{C}_1(X)$, and $f = \widetilde{R}(f_1)$. Thus, Proposition 3.29 is proved. ∎

Let us give some complements. Let $\mathcal{C}_2'(X)$ denote the category whose objects are the same as those of $\mathcal{C}_2(X)$, and whose morphisms $\mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ are $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-homomorphisms.

Corollary 3.33 *The natural functor $\mathcal{C}_2'(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2(X)$ is an equivalence, i.e., any morphism in $\mathcal{C}_2(X)$ is $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant.*

Proof It follows from Lemma 3.31. It also follows from the equivalence of $\widetilde{R}$ and the $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariance of any morphism $\widetilde{R}(f) : \widetilde{R}(M^{(1)},F^{(1)}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{R}(M^{(2)},F^{(2)})$ induced by $f : (M^{(1)},F^{(1)}) \longrightarrow (M^{(2)},F^{(2)})$ in $\mathcal{C}_1(X)$. ∎

3.6.4 $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneity and specialization (Appendix)

Let X be a complex manifold with a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action μ. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent and strict $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module which is $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous with respect to μ. Let f be a holomorphic function on X such that there exists an integer m such that $f(\mu(a,x)) = a^m x$ for any $x \in X$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is strictly specializable along f. Recall that the V-filtration of any $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules are indexed by $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$, which is naturally identified with $\mathbb{R}$. So, we have the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules $\psi_{t,u}(\mathcal{M})$. They are not necessarily $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous. Let us observe that we can obtain homogeneous $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules by modifying $\psi_{f,b}(\mathcal{M})$ $(b \in \mathbb{R})$. By considering the graph construction of f, it is enough to consider the case where $X = X_0 \times \mathbb{C}_t$, $f = t$ and the action μ is given as the diagonal action of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action μ_0 on X_0 and the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathbb{C}_t$ given by $a \bullet t = a^m t$, and to study the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X_0}$-modules $\psi_{t,b}(\mathcal{M})$.

Let ∇ denote the meromorphic flat connection of $\mathcal{M}$ induced by the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module structure, i.e., $\nabla : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes \lambda^{-1}\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}(\log \mathcal{X}^0)$. Let $\widetilde{\mu} : \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be given by $\widetilde{\mu}(a,\lambda,x,t) = (a\lambda, \mu_0(a,x), a^m t)$. Let $p_2 : \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the projection. We have the isomorphism $p_2^*\mathcal{M} \simeq \widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{M}$ satisfying the cocycle condition under which $p_2^*(\nabla) = \widetilde{\mu}^*\nabla$.

Let $V_\bullet$ denote the V-filtration of $\mathcal{M}$ along t. Clearly $p^*(V_\bullet\mathcal{M})$ is the V-filtration of $p^*\mathcal{M}$ along t. It is easy to check that $\widetilde{\mu}^*(V_\bullet\mathcal{M})$ is also the V-filtration of $\widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{M} = p^*\mathcal{M}$ along t. We have $p^*V_\bullet = \widetilde{\mu}^*(V_\bullet)$. Hence, the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$-modules $\psi_{t,b}(\mathcal{M}) := V_b\mathcal{M}/V_{<b}\mathcal{M}$ are $\widetilde{\mu}_0^*$-equivariant, i.e., we have the isomorphism $\widetilde{\mu}_0^*\psi_{t,b}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq p_{0,2}^*\psi_{t,b}(\mathcal{M})$

satisfying the cocycle condition, where $p_{0,2} : \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{X}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ denotes the projection. Note that it is not necessarily $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous as an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X_0}$-module. We have $\nabla : V_b\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow V_b\mathcal{M} \otimes \lambda^{-1}\Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}}\big(\log(\mathcal{X}^0 \cup \mathcal{X}_0)\big)$, which induces

$$G^{(b)} : \psi_{t,b}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \psi_{t,b}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \Big(\lambda^{-1}\Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\log \mathcal{X}_0^0) \oplus \lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} dt/t\Big)$$

We have the decomposition $G^{(b)} = \nabla^{(b)} + \mathcal{B}^{(b)} dt/t$, where $\nabla^{(b)}$ is a meromorphic flat connection of the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X_0}$-module $\psi_{t,b}(\mathcal{M})$, and $\mathcal{B}^{(b)}$ is an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X_0}$-endomorphism of $\psi_{t,b}(\mathcal{M})$. By the relation $\widetilde{\mu}^*\nabla = p_2^*\nabla$, we obtain

$$\widetilde{\mu}_0^*(\nabla^{(b)}) + \widetilde{\mu}^*\mathcal{B}^{(b)} a^{-1} da = p_{0,2}^*(\nabla^{(b)}), \qquad \widetilde{\mu}_0^*\mathcal{B}^{(b)} = p_{0,2}^*(\mathcal{B}^{(b)})$$

Then, the meromorphic flat connection $\nabla^{(b)} + m\mathcal{B}^{(b)} d\lambda/\lambda$ gives an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X_0}$-module structure on $\psi_{t,b}(\mathcal{M})$ which is $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous.

Remark 3.34 *Note that* $\mathcal{B}^{(-1)}$ *on* $\psi_{t,-1}(\mathcal{M})$ *is nilpotent, and the induced action on* $\operatorname{Cok}(\mathcal{B}^{(-1)})$ *and* $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{B}^{(-1)})$ *are* 0. *Hence,* $\operatorname{Cok}(\mathcal{B}^{(-1)})$ *and* $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{B}^{(-1)})$ *are naturally* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-homogeneous. It also follows from the fact that* $\mathcal{M}[\star t]$ $(\star = *, !)$ *are* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-homogeneous, and the kernel and the cokernel of* $\mathcal{M}[!t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}[*t]$ *are also* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-homogeneous.* ∎

3.7 Hodge modules

3.7.1 Hodge modules and $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneity

Let $\mathrm{MHM}(X, \mathbb{R})$ denote the category of graded polarizable mixed $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge modules on X. Let $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathbb{Q}\times\{0\}}(X, \mathbb{R})$ denote the category of integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structure on X whose KMS-spectrum are contained in $\mathbb{Q} \times \{0\}$. As explained in [40], we have the fully faithful functor $\Psi_X : \mathrm{MHM}(X, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathbb{Q}\times\{0\}}(X, \mathbb{R})$. It is exact. It is compatible with the other operations such as the duality and the push-forward. Let us identify the essential image by the $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneity condition. Because we consider only graded polarizable mixed Hodge modules, we omit to distinguish "graded polarizable". We shall prove the following theorem in §3.7.2–3.7.4.

Theorem 3.35 *Let* $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ *be an object in* $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathbb{Q}\times\{0\}}(X, \mathbb{R})$. *Then,* $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ *is contained in the essential image of* Ψ_X *if and only if the underlying* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$*-modules* $W_k\mathcal{T}$ $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$ *are* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-homogeneous with respect to the trivial* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-action on* X.

3.7.2 Smooth case

Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathbb{Q}\times\{0\}}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Suppose that the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules are $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous with respect to the trivial action. Let us consider the case where the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules of $\mathcal{T}$ are smooth, i.e., locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X}$-modules. We set $\mathcal{T}_0 = (\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, C_{\mathcal{V}}) := \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{U}_X(-d_X, 0)$. It is naturally a variation of integrable twistor structure. It is also equipped with the real structure as a variation of integrable twistor structure, i.e., an isomorphism $\kappa : \widetilde{\gamma}^*_{sm}(\mathcal{T}_0) \simeq \mathcal{T}_0$ such that $\widetilde{\gamma}^*_{sm}\kappa \circ \kappa = \mathrm{id}$, where $\widetilde{\gamma}^*_{sm}(\mathcal{T}_0) := (j^*\mathcal{V}_2^\vee, j^*\mathcal{V}_1^\vee, C_{\mathcal{V}}^\vee)$. (Note that the notion of real structure for variations of integrable twistor structure is not equal to the notion of real structure for integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules.)

Recall that we have the C^∞-vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times X$ with some differential operator $\mathbb{D}^\triangle$ associated to the smooth $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple $\mathcal{T}_0$. We have the $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{V}_1^\vee$ on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$ which is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times X}$-module. Let $X^\dagger$ denote the conjugate complex manifold. Let $\sigma : \mathbb{C}_\mu \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ be given by $\sigma(\mu) = -\overline{\mu}$. We have the anti-holomorphic isomorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{C}_\mu \times X^\dagger \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$. We have the sheaf $\mathcal{E}^\dagger := \sigma^*\mathcal{V}_2$ which is naturally an $\mathcal{R}_{X^\dagger}$-module, and a locally $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\mu\times X^\dagger}$-module. Note that $\mathcal{E}$ is naturally a C^∞-bundle with a family of flat connections

$$\mathbb{D}^f : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Big(\lambda^{-1} p_\lambda^*\Omega^{1,0}_X \oplus p_\lambda^*\Omega^{0,1}_X\Big)$$

induced by the structure of $\mathcal{R}_X$-module, where $p_\lambda : \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X \longrightarrow X$ denote the projection. The family of flat connections is holomorphic with respect to λ. Note also that $\mathcal{E}^\dagger$ is naturally a C^∞-bundle with a family of flat connections

$$\mathbb{D}^{\dagger\, f} : \mathcal{E}^\dagger_{|\mathbb{C}^*_\mu\times X^\dagger} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^\dagger_{|\mathbb{C}^*_\mu\times X^\dagger} \otimes \Big(\mu^{-1} p_\mu^*\Omega^{1,0}_{X^\dagger} \oplus \Omega^{0,1}_{X^\dagger}\Big)$$

induced by the structure of $\mathcal{R}_{X^\dagger}$-module. The family of flat connections is holomorphic with respect to μ. We identify $\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda$ and $\mathbb{C}^*_\mu$ by $\lambda = \mu^{-1}$. Then, we have the unique isomorphism of family of flat connections $\Phi : (\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{D}^f)_{|\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda \times X} \simeq (\mathcal{E}^\dagger, \mathbb{D}^{\dagger\, f})_{|\mathbb{C}^*_\mu \times X^\dagger}$, such that (i) it is holomorphic with respect to λ, (ii) $\Phi_{|\boldsymbol{S} \times X}$ is compatible with the sesqui-linear pairing $C_{\mathcal{V}}$. Let $\mathcal{E}^\triangle$ be the C^∞-bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times X$ obtained as the gluing of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^\dagger$ by Φ. It is equipped with the holomorphic structure in the $\mathbb{P}^1$-direction d''_λ, and the differential operator $\mathbb{D}^\triangle : \mathcal{E}^\triangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^\triangle \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times X/\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ induced by the $\mathcal{R}$-modules structure on $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^\dagger$. We have the commutativity of d''_λ and $\mathbb{D}^\triangle$.

We set $W_k(\mathcal{T}_0) := W_{k+d_X}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_X(-d_X, 0)$. By applying the above construction to $W_k(\mathcal{T}_0)$, we obtain $W_k(\mathcal{E}^\triangle)$. We have natural inclusions $W_k(\mathcal{E}^\triangle) \subset \mathcal{E}^\triangle$. In this way, we obtain an increasing filtration W on $\mathcal{E}^\triangle$ compatible with d''_λ and $\mathbb{D}^\triangle$. By the construction, $(\mathcal{E}^\triangle, W)$ gives a variation of mixed twistor structure in the sense of [59, 35, 36].

Because the sesqui-linear pairings of the underlying $\mathcal{R}$-modules are compatible with the actions of $\lambda\partial_\lambda$, it is preserved by the action of $S^1 = \big\{t \in \mathbb{C}^* \,\big|\, |t| = 1\big\}$ obtained as the restriction of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. We obtain that the gluing Φ is $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant. Hence, $(\mathcal{E}^\triangle, \mathbb{D}^\triangle)$ is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant variation of mixed twistor structure.

Let $\gamma : \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be given by $\gamma(\lambda) = (\overline{\lambda})^{-1}$. The induced map $\mathbb{P}^1 \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times X$ is also denoted by γ. We have the naturally induced variation of mixed twistor structure $\gamma^*(\mathcal{E}^\triangle, \mathbb{D}^\triangle, W)$ as in [36]. The isomorphism $\kappa : \widetilde{\gamma}^*_{sm} \mathcal{T}_0 \simeq \mathcal{T}_0$ induces an isomorphism $\kappa_1 : \gamma^*(\mathcal{E}^\triangle, \mathbb{D}^\triangle, W) \simeq (\mathcal{E}^\triangle, \mathbb{D}^\triangle, W)$ such that (i) $\gamma^* \kappa_1 \circ \kappa_1 = \mathrm{id}$, (ii) κ_1 is equivariant with respect to $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Let $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be given by $\sigma(\lambda) = -(\overline{\lambda})^{-1}$. The induced morphism $\mathbb{P}^1 \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times X$ is also denoted by σ. We have the naturally defined variation of mixed twistor structure $\sigma^*(\mathcal{E}^\triangle, \mathbb{D}^\triangle, W)$ as in [59, 35, 36]. We have $\sigma = j \circ \gamma$. By the restriction of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, we naturally have $(\mathcal{E}^\triangle, \mathbb{D}^\triangle, W) \simeq j^*(\mathcal{E}^\triangle, \mathbb{D}^\triangle, W)$. Hence, we have the isomorphism $\kappa_2 : \sigma^*(\mathcal{E}^\triangle, \mathbb{D}^\triangle, W) \simeq (\mathcal{E}^\triangle, \mathbb{D}^\triangle, W)$ such that (i) $\sigma^*(\kappa_2) \circ \kappa_2 = \mathrm{id}$, (ii) κ_2 is equivariant with respect to the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Then, by using [35, Corollary 3.72], we obtain a variation of mixed $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure $(L_\mathbb{R}, F, W)$ which induces $(\mathcal{E}^\triangle, \mathbb{D}^\triangle, W)$ by the Rees construction, where $L_\mathbb{R}$ denotes the local system over $\mathbb{R}$, F denotes the Hodge filtration of $H \otimes_\mathbb{R} \mathcal{O}_X$, and W denotes the weight filtration. Because each integrable variation of pure twistor structure $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(\mathcal{T}_0)$ is assumed to have an integrable polarization compatible with the real structure, we obtain that each $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(\mathcal{E}^\triangle, \mathbb{D}^\triangle)$ has a polarization which is equivariant with respect to the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Hence, by [35, Corollary 3.72], the variation of mixed $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure $(L_\mathbb{R}, F, W)$ is polarizable.

Let $(P_\mathbb{R}, M, F, W)$ be the mixed Hodge module associated to the graded polarizable variation of mixed Hodge structure $(L_\mathbb{R}, F, W)$, i.e., $P_\mathbb{R} = L_\mathbb{R}[d_X]$, $M = L_\mathbb{R} \otimes_\mathbb{R} \mathcal{O}_X$, F is the Hodge filtration, $W_j(P) = W_{j+d_X}(L)[d_X]$. Then, by construction, we can observe that $\Psi_X(P_\mathbb{R}, M, F, W)$ is naturally isomorphic to $(\mathcal{T}, W)$.

3.7.3 Admissible variations of mixed twistor structure

Let H be a normal crossing hypersurface of X. Let $(\mathcal{T}_0, W)$ be an admissible variation of integrable mixed twistor structure with an integrable real structure and integrable graded polarization. Suppose that the underlying $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules of $(\mathcal{T}_0, W)$ are $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous with respect to the trivial $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. By the result in §3.7.2, we already have a graded polarizable variation of mixed $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure $(L_\mathbb{R}, F, W)$ on $X \setminus H$ corresponding to $(\mathcal{T}_0, W)_{|X \setminus H}$. By the assumption on the KMS-spectrum, the monodromy automorphisms of $L_\mathbb{R}$ along the loop around the irreducible components of H are quasi-unipotent.

In particular, the variation of pure twistor structure corresponding to $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(\mathcal{T}_0)$ comes from a polarized variation of pure Hodge structure of weight k. Hence, the underlying harmonic bundle of $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(\mathcal{T}_0)$ is tame, and the $\mathcal{R}$-modules of $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k \mathcal{T}_0$ are regular singular along H. We obtain that the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules of $\mathcal{T}_0$ are regular singular.

Let $(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C)$ be the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-triple of $\mathcal{T}_0$. It is easy to observe that the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules $\mathcal{M}_2[*H]$ are also $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous. It gives an object in $\mathcal{C}_2(X)$ in Proposition 3.29, and hence we have a good filtration F on $M := \Xi_{\mathrm{DR}}(\mathcal{M}_2[*H])$ with an isomorphism $\widetilde{R}(M, F) \simeq \mathcal{M}_2[*H]$. Let $F^\circ_j(M) := F_j(M) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(*H)$. Then, we have $\widetilde{R}(M, F^\circ) \simeq \mathcal{M}_2$. In particular, $F^\circ_j M$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_X(*H)$-submodules of M such that $F^\circ_j(M)/F^\circ_k(M)$ are also locally free $\mathcal{O}_X(*H)$-modules.

We set $W_k(M) := \Xi_{\mathrm{DR}}(\mathcal{M}_2)$. We obtain the filtration W on M, for which we naturally have $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(M) \simeq \Xi_{\mathrm{DR}}(\mathrm{Gr}^W_k \mathcal{M}_2)$. By applying the above construction to $W_k(\mathcal{T}_0)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(\mathcal{T}_0)$, we obtain good filtrations F° on $W_k(M)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(M)$.

Because $\mathcal{M}_2$ is an integrable $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-module which is regular singular along H, W_kM are meromorphic flat bundles obtained as the extension of $W_kL_{\mathbb{R}}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{O}_{X\setminus H}$. Similarly, $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(M)$ are regular singular meromorphic flat bundles obtained as the extension of $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(L_{\mathbb{R}})\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{O}_{X\setminus H}$. The restriction of F° on $W_k(M)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(M)$ to $X\setminus H$ are the Hodge filtrations F on $W_k(L_{\mathbb{R}})\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{O}_{X\setminus H}$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(L_{\mathbb{R}})\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{O}_{X\setminus H}$.

Let us prove that $(L_{\mathbb{R}},F,W)$ is admissible along H. It is enough to study it on a small neighbourhood X_P of any smooth point $P\in H$, according to [25]. We may also assume that the monodromy of $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ is unipotent, after the pull back by an appropriate covering ramified along H. Let z denote the defining equation of H on X_P. Let $V_{\bullet}$ denote the V-filtration along z for $W_k(\mathcal{M}_2)$, $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(\mathcal{M}_2)$, $W_k(M)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(M)$. Because the monodromy is unipotent, $V_{\bullet}$ is indexed by $\mathbb{Z}$, and $V_{-1}M$ is equal to the Deligne extension of $M_{|X\setminus H}$. We set $F^{\circ}_jV_{-1}:=F^{\circ}_j\cap V_{-1}$ on $W_k(M)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(M)$. Note that $V_{-1}W_k(\mathcal{M}_2)=\widetilde{R}(V_{-1}W_k(M),F^{\circ})$. Because $V_{-1}W_k(\mathcal{M}_2)$ and $V_{-1}\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(\mathcal{M}_2)$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_P}$-modules by the admissibility of $\mathcal{T}_0$, we obtain that $\mathrm{Gr}^{F^{\circ}}V_{-1}W_k(M)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^{F^{\circ}}V_{-1}\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(M)$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X_P}$-modules. Because the morphisms $V_{-1}W_k\mathcal{M}_2\longrightarrow V_{-1}\mathrm{Gr}^W_k\mathcal{M}_2$ are surjective for any $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ by the admissibility of $\mathcal{T}_0$, the morphisms $F^{\circ}_jV_{-1}W_kM\longrightarrow F^{\circ}_jV_{-1}\mathrm{Gr}^W_kM$ are surjective for any $k,j\in\mathbb{Z}$, i.e., F° on $V_{-1}\mathrm{Gr}^W_k(M)$ is equal to the filtration induced by $V_{-1}W_k(M)$. By the admissibility of $\mathcal{T}_0$, we have the relative weight filtration of the action of the nilpotent part of $z\partial_z$ on $(V_{-1}(M),W)_{|P}$. Hence, $(L_{\mathbb{R}},F,W)$ is admissible. (See [25].)

We have objects $\mathcal{T}_{\star}:=\Big((\mathcal{T}_0,W)\otimes\mathcal{U}_X(d_X,0)\Big)[\star H]$ in $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathbb{Q}\times\{0\}}(X,\mathbb{R})$ for $\star=*,!$. We also have the mixed $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge modules $(P_{\mathbb{R}\star},M(\star H),F,W)$ $(\star=*,!)$ on X such that (i) $W_jP_{\mathbb{R}\star|X\setminus H}=W_{j+d_X}L_{\mathbb{R}}[d_X]$, (ii) $F_{|X\setminus H}$ is the Hodge filtration of $(L_{\mathbb{R}},F,W)$, (iii) $W_k(P_{\mathbb{R}\star})_{|X\setminus H}=W_{k-d_X}L_{\mathbb{R}}[d_X]$. We have objects $\Psi_X(P_{\mathbb{R}\star},M(\star H),F,W)$ in $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathbb{Q}\times\{0\}}(X,\mathbb{R})$. By the construction, we have $\Psi_X(P_{\mathbb{R}\star},M(\star H),F,W)(*H)\simeq\mathcal{T}_0\otimes\mathcal{U}_X(d_X,0)\simeq\mathcal{T}_{\star}(*H)$. We also have $\Psi_X(P_{\mathbb{R}\star},M(\star H),F,W)[\star H]\simeq\Psi_X(P_{\mathbb{R}\star},M(\star H),F,W)$. Hence, we have $\Psi_X(P_{\mathbb{R}\star},M(\star H),F,W)\simeq(\mathcal{T}_{\star},W)$ in $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathbb{Q}\times\{0\}}(X,\mathbb{R})$, i.e., $(\mathcal{T}_{\star},W)$ are contained in the essential image of Ψ_X.

3.7.4 End of Proof of Theorem 3.35

Let us consider the general case. Let $(\mathcal{T},W)$ be an object in $\mathrm{MTM}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathbb{Q}\times\{0\}}(X,\mathbb{R})$ such that the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules are $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous with respect to the trivial action. We shall construct a mixed $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge module (P,M,F,W) with an isomorphism $\Psi_X(P,M,F,W)\simeq(\mathcal{T},W)$. Because the functor Ψ_X is fully faithful, it is enough to study the issue locally around any point P of X. Note that an integrable polarization compatible with real structure on $\mathrm{Gr}^W(\mathcal{T})$ induces a polarization of $\mathrm{Gr}^W(P,M,F)$. We take a small neighbourhood X_P of X. We use an induction on the dimension of the support $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{T})$ of $\mathcal{T}$.

We set $Z:=\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{T})$. By shrinking X_P, we may assume to have a holomorphic function g on X, a complex manifold Y with a projective morphism $\varphi:Y\longrightarrow X$ and a normal crossing hypersurface $H_Y\subset Y$, and a variation of mixed twistor structure $\mathcal{T}_1$ on (Y,H_Y) such that the following holds:

- $\varphi(Y)\subset Z$, $\dim(Z\setminus\varphi(Y))<\dim Z$, and $Z\setminus\varphi(Y)\subset g^{-1}(0)$.
- $H_Y=(g\circ\varphi)^{-1}(0)$.
- $\varphi_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}_1,W)\simeq(\mathcal{T},W)(*g)$.

We have the $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneity of $(\mathcal{T}_1,W)$ with respect to the trivial action. We set $g_Y:=g\circ\varphi$. Applying the results in §3.7.3, $\Pi^{a,b}_{g_Y}(\mathcal{T}_1,W)[\star H_Y]$ are contained in the essential image of Ψ_Y. Hence, we obtain that $\Pi^{a,b}_g(\mathcal{T},W)[\star g]$ are contained in the essential image of Ψ_X. It follows that $\psi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{T},W)$ and $\Xi^{(a)}_g(\mathcal{T},W)$ are contained in the essential image of Ψ_X. By construction, the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules of $\phi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T},W)$ are also $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous. By the assumption of the induction, $\phi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T},W)$ is also contained in the essential image of Ψ_X. Because $(\mathcal{T},W)$ is reconstructed as the cohomology of $\psi^{(1)}_g(\mathcal{T},W)\longrightarrow\phi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T},W)\oplus\Xi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T},W)\longrightarrow\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T},W)$, we obtain that $(\mathcal{T},W)$ is also contained in the essential image of Ψ_X. Thus, Theorem 3.35 is proved. $\blacksquare$

4 Graded sesqui-linear dualities

4.1 Sesqui-linear dualities and graded sesqui-linear dualities

Let X be a complex manifold. Let $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ be a mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module on X.

- A sesqui-linear duality of weight w on $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ is a morphism $\mathcal{S} : (\mathcal{T}, W) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}, W)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w)$ such that $\mathcal{S}^* = (-1)^w \mathcal{S}$.
- A graded sesqui-linear duality on $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ is a tuple of sesqui-linear dualities $\mathcal{S}_w$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$) of weight w on $\mathrm{Gr}^W_w \mathcal{T}$.
- A graded sesqui-linear duality $(\mathcal{S}_w \,|w \in \mathbb{Z})$ on $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ is called a graded polarization if each $\mathcal{S}_w$ is a polarization of $\mathrm{Gr}^W_w(\mathcal{T})$.

Remark 4.1 *The notions of sesqui-linear duality and graded sesqui-linear duality are the same if* $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ *is pure. But, in general, they are not directly related. A sesqui-linear duality* $\mathcal{S}$ *of weight* w *on a mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-module* $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ *induces just morphisms* $\mathrm{Gr}^W_\ell(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \Bigl(\mathrm{Gr}^W_{-\ell+2w}(\mathcal{T})\Bigr)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w)$. ▮

If $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ is pure of weight w, a sesqui-linear duality of weight w is called just a sesqui-linear duality.

4.2 Induced graded sesqui-linear dualities

4.2.1 Pure case

Let X be a complex manifold. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module of weight w on X. Let D be an effective divisor of X. Recall that we have the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}[\star D]$ ($\star = *, !$) obtained as the localizations. Note that a polarization $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ induces a graded polarization $\mathcal{S}[\star D]$ of $\mathcal{T}[\star D]$ as explained in [40]. By the same construction, from a sesqui-linear duality $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{T}$, we obtain a graded sesqui-linear duality $\mathcal{S}[\star D] = (\mathcal{S}[\star D]_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{T}[\star D]$. Let us recall the local construction, for which we can take a holomorphic function f such that $D = (f)_0$. (The graded sesqui-linear duality is eventually independent from the choice of the function f. See [40].)

Let $\mathcal{N} : \psi^{(a)}_f(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \psi^{(a-1)}_f(\mathcal{T})$ be the canonical morphism. Recall that the weight filtration of $\psi^{(a)}_f(\mathcal{T})$ is the shift of the monodromy weight filtration of $\mathcal{N}$, i.e., $W(\mathcal{N})_j \psi^{(a)}_f(\mathcal{T}) = W_{w+1-2a+j}\psi^{(a)}_f(\mathcal{T})$. In particular, the induced morphisms

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1-2a+j}\,\psi^{(a)}_f(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}^j} \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1-2a+j}\,\psi^{(a-j)}_f(\mathcal{T}) = \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1-2a-j}\bigl(\psi^{(a)}_f(\mathcal{T})\bigr) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j)$$

are isomorphisms for $j \geq 0$. The primitive part $P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1-2a+j}\,\psi^{(a)}_f(\mathcal{T})$ ($j \geq 0$) is defined to be the kernel of $\mathcal{N}^{j+1} : \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1-2a+j}\,\psi^{(a)}_f(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-1-2a+j}\,\psi^{(a-j-1)}_f(\mathcal{T})$. We formally set $P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1-2a+j}\,\psi^{(a)}_f(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ for $j < 0$, in this paper.

We have natural isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+j}\,\mathcal{T}[*f] \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & (j < 0) \\ \mathcal{T} & (j = 0) \\ P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+j}\,\psi^{(0)}_f\mathcal{T} & (j > 0) \end{cases}$$

A sesqui-linear duality $\mathcal{S}[*(f)_0]_{w+1+\ell}$ on $P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+\ell}\,\psi^{(0)}_f\mathcal{T}$ ($\ell \geq 0$) is induced by the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+\ell}\,\psi^{(0)}_f\mathcal{T} &\xrightarrow{a_1} \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+\ell}\,\psi^{(-\ell)}_f\mathcal{T} = \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1-\ell}\bigl(\psi^{(0)}_f\mathcal{T}\bigr) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-\ell) \xrightarrow{a_2} \mathrm{Gr}^W_{-w+1-\ell}\bigl(\psi^{(0)}_f(\mathcal{T}^*)\bigr) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-\ell) \\ &\overset{a_3}{\simeq} \Bigl(\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-1+\ell}\,\psi^{(1)}_f(\mathcal{T})\Bigr)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-\ell) \overset{a_4}{\simeq} \Bigl(\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+\ell}\bigl(\psi^{(0)}_f(\mathcal{T})\bigr) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(1)\Bigr)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-\ell) \\ &\overset{a_5}{\simeq} \Bigl(\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+\ell}\,\psi^{(0)}_f(\mathcal{T})\Bigr)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-1-\ell) \qquad (57) \end{aligned}$$

Here, a_1 is induced by $(-\mathcal{N})^{\ell}$, a_2 is induced by $\mathcal{S}$, and a_i $(i=3,4,5)$ are the isomorphisms in [40].

For $j<0$, let $P'\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1-2a+j}\psi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T}$ denote the image of $P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1-2a+j}\psi_f^{(a-j)}\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1-2a+j}\psi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T}$. We have the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+j}\mathcal{T}[!f]\simeq\begin{cases}0 & (j>0)\\ \mathcal{T} & (j=0)\\ P'\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+j}\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) & (j<0)\end{cases}$$

Because $P'\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1-2a+j}\psi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T}\simeq P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1-2a+j}\psi_f^{(a-j)}\mathcal{T}$, the pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $P'\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-1-\ell}\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})$ $(\ell\geq 0)$ are equipped with the induced sesqui-linear dualities $\mathcal{S}[!(f)_0]_{w-1-\ell}$. They are induced by the composite of the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-1-\ell}\psi_f^{(1)}\mathcal{T}&\xrightarrow{b_1}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{-w-1-\ell}\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}^*)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)\\ &\overset{b_2}{\simeq}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{-w+1-\ell}\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}^*)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w+1)=\Big(\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-1+\ell}\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})\Big)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w+1)\\ &\xrightarrow{b_3}\Big(\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-1-\ell}\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-\ell)\Big)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w+1)\overset{b_4}{\simeq}\Big(\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-1-\ell}\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})\Big)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w+1+\ell)\end{aligned}\tag{58}$$

Here, b_1 is induced by $\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{S})$, b_3 is the inverse of the induced morphism of $(-1)^{\ell}\big(\mathcal{N}^{\ell}\big)^*$, and b_i $(i=2,4)$ are the natural isomorphisms. It is also induced by the composite of the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-1-\ell}\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})&\xrightarrow{c_1}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-1+\ell}\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(\ell)\xrightarrow{c_2}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{-w-1+\ell}\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}^*)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w+\ell)\\ &=\operatorname{Gr}^W_{-w+1+\ell}\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}^*)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w+1+\ell)\overset{c_3}{\simeq}\Big(\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-1-\ell}\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})\Big)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w+1+\ell)\end{aligned}\tag{59}$$

Here, c_1 is the inverse of the induced morphism of $\mathcal{N}^{\ell}$, c_2 is induced by $\mathcal{S}$, and c_3 is the natural morphism.

The graded sesqui-linear dualities $\mathcal{S}[!D]$ and $\mathcal{S}[*D]$ induce graded sesqui-linear dualities on the kernel and the cokernel of the morphism $\varphi:\mathcal{T}[!D]\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}[*D]$, denoted as $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)}=\big(\mathcal{S}_{\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi),j}\,\big|\,j\in\mathbb{Z}\big)$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\operatorname{Cok}(\varphi)}=\big(\mathcal{S}_{\operatorname{Cok}(\varphi),j}\,\big|\,j\in\mathbb{Z}\big)$.

Suppose that the sesqui-linear duality $\mathcal{S}:\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)$ is an isomorphism. Note that we have the induced isomorphism $\operatorname{Cok}(\varphi)\simeq\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)$, and hence we have the following isomorphisms for $j>0$:

$$\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\operatorname{Cok}(\varphi)\simeq\Big(\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-1-j}\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)\Big)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w)\tag{60}$$

The following can be checked by a direct computation.

Lemma 4.2 *Under the isomorphism* (60), *we have* $\mathcal{S}_{\operatorname{Cok}(\varphi),w+1+j}=\big(\mathcal{S}_{\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi),w-1-j}\big)^{-1}$ *for* $j\geq 0$. ▮

Remark 4.3 *If* $\mathcal{S}$ *is a polarization, then* $\mathcal{S}[\star D]$ *are graded polarizations.* ▮

4.2.2 Mixed case

The construction was also generalized in the mixed case [40]. Let $(\mathcal{T},W)$ be a mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module on X. Let D be an effective divisor of X. A graded sesqui-linear duality $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}=(\mathcal{S}_w\,|\,w\in\mathbb{Z})$ of $(\mathcal{T},W)$ induces graded sesqui-linear dualities $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}[\star D]$ of the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $(\mathcal{T}[\star D],W)$. We recall the local construction. If we are given a holomorphic function f such that $D=(f)_0$, then $\psi_f^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$ is equipped with the two filtrations. One is the filtration L induced by the weight filtration of $\mathcal{T}$. The other is the relative monodromy weight filtration W of $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to L, which is equal to the weight filtration of the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $\psi_f^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$. The induced filtration L on $\operatorname{Gr}^W\psi_f^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$ has a canonical splitting due to Kashiwara:

$$\operatorname{Gr}^W\psi_f^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})=\bigoplus_w\operatorname{Gr}^L_w\operatorname{Gr}^W\psi_f^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})=\bigoplus_w\operatorname{Gr}^W\operatorname{Gr}^L_w\psi_f^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$$

Hence, the sesqui-linear dualities $\mathcal{S}_w$ on $\mathrm{Gr}^L_w(\mathcal{T})$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$) induce sesqui-linear dualities of $\mathrm{Gr}^W_j \psi^{(a)}_f(\mathcal{T})$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$). We have the decomposition:

$$\mathrm{Gr}^L_k(\mathcal{T}[*D]) = A_{1,k} \oplus A_{2,k}$$

Here, $A_{1,k}$ is the sum of the direct summands of $\mathrm{Gr}^L_k(\mathcal{T})$ whose strict supports are not contained in D, and the support of $A_{2,k}$ is contained in D. As shown in [40], $A_{2,k}$ is naturally isomorphic to a subobject in $\mathrm{Gr}^W_k \psi^{(0)}_f(\mathcal{T})$. Hence, it is equipped with the induced sesqui-linear duality, which is the k-th entry of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}[\star D]$. If $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is a graded polarization, then $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}[\star D]$ are graded polarizations.

4.3 Push-forward

4.3.1 A condition

We introduce a condition on the push-forward of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules equipped with a graded sesqui-linear duality. Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism of complex manifolds. Let $(\mathfrak{T}, W)$ be a mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module on X with a graded sesqui-linear duality $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = (\mathcal{S}_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z})$. Recall that we have the induced complex

$$F^{i-1}_{\dagger} \mathrm{Gr}^W_{j+1} \mathfrak{T} \xrightarrow{a^{i-1}_{j+1}} F^i_{\dagger} \mathrm{Gr}^W_j \mathfrak{T} \xrightarrow{a^i_j} F^{i+1}_{\dagger} \mathrm{Gr}^W_{j-1} \mathfrak{T},$$

and $\mathrm{Ker}\, a^i_j / \mathrm{Im}\, a^{i-1}_{j+1}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathrm{Gr}^W_j F^i_{\dagger}\mathfrak{T}$. Here, a^i_j are induced by the extensions $0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^W_{j-1} \longrightarrow W_j/W_{j-2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^W_j \longrightarrow 0$. We set $\alpha_j := a^{-1}_{j+1}$ and $\beta_j := a^0_j$. We have

$$F^{-1}_{\dagger} \mathrm{Gr}^W_{j+1} \mathfrak{T} \xrightarrow{\alpha_j} F^0_{\dagger} \mathrm{Gr}^W_j \mathfrak{T} \xrightarrow{\beta_j} F^1_{\dagger} \mathrm{Gr}^W_{j-1} \mathfrak{T}.$$

As the Hermitian adjoint, we have the following:

$$\Bigl(F^{-1}_{\dagger} \mathrm{Gr}^W_{j+1} \mathfrak{T}\Bigr)^* \xleftarrow{\alpha_j^*} \Bigl(F^0_{\dagger} \mathrm{Gr}^W_j \mathfrak{T}\Bigr)^* \xleftarrow{\beta_j^*} \Bigl(F^1_{\dagger} \mathrm{Gr}^W_{j-1} \mathfrak{T}\Bigr)^*$$

We also have the induced isomorphism $F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j : F^0_{\dagger} \mathrm{Gr}^W_j \mathfrak{T} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bigl(F^0_{\dagger} \mathrm{Gr}^W_j \mathfrak{T}\bigr)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j)$.

Lemma 4.4 *Let $\mathcal{I}_j$ denote the image of* $\mathrm{Ker}\,\beta_j \cap \mathrm{Ker}\bigl(\alpha_j^* \circ F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j\bigr) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}\,\beta_j / \mathrm{Im}\,\alpha_j$. *Then, the morphism*

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,\beta_j \cap \mathrm{Ker}\bigl(\alpha_j^* \circ F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j\bigr) \longrightarrow \Bigl(\mathrm{Ker}\,\beta_j \cap \mathrm{Ker}\bigl(\alpha_j^* \circ F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j\bigr)\Bigr)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j) \tag{61}$$

induced by $F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j$ is factorized as follows:

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,\beta_j \cap \mathrm{Ker}\bigl(\alpha_j^* \circ F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j\bigr) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_j \xrightarrow{\nu_j} \mathcal{I}_j^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j) \longrightarrow \Bigl(\mathrm{Ker}\,\beta_j \cap \mathrm{Ker}\bigl(\alpha_j^* \circ F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j\bigr)\Bigr)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j) \tag{62}$$

Namely, we have an induced sesqui-linear duality ν_j of weight j on $\mathcal{I}_j$.

Proof Because $\Bigl(\mathrm{Ker}\,\beta_j \cap \mathrm{Ker}\bigl(\alpha_j^* \circ F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j\bigr)\Bigr)^*$ is the quotient of $(F^0_{\dagger} \mathrm{Gr}^W_j \mathfrak{T})^*$ by $\mathrm{Im}\,\beta_j^* + \mathrm{Im}\bigl(F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j \circ \alpha_j\bigr)$. Hence, the morphism (61) factors through $\mathcal{I}_j$. Because $\mathcal{I}_j^*$ is the image of $\mathrm{Ker}(\alpha_j^*)$ to the quotient, the morphism (61) factors through $\mathcal{I}^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j)$. The condition $\nu_j^* = (-1)^j \nu_j$ is clear by the construction. ▮

Definition 4.5 *We say that Condition* **(A)** *is satisfied for the morphism $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ and the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $(\mathfrak{T}, W)$ with the graded sesqui-linear duality $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ if the following holds:*

(A) *The morphisms* $\mathrm{Ker}\,\beta_j \cap \mathrm{Ker}\bigl(\alpha_j^* \circ F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j\bigr) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}\,\beta_j / \mathrm{Im}\,\alpha_j$ *are epimorphisms for any j.* ▮

Remark 4.6 *If $F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j$ is a polarization of $F^0_{\dagger} \mathrm{Gr}^W_j \mathfrak{T}$, then* $\mathrm{Ker}\,\beta_j \cap \mathrm{Ker}\bigl(\alpha_j^* \circ F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j\bigr) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}\,\beta_j / \mathrm{Im}\,\alpha_j$ *is an isomorphism.* ▮

According to Lemma 4.4, if the condition **(A)** is satisfied, we have the induced sesqui-linear duality $[F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j]$ of weight j on $\mathrm{Gr}^W_j\, F^0_{\dagger}\mathfrak{T}$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F^0_{\dagger}\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_j\,\mathfrak{T} \arrow[r, "F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j"] \arrow[d, phantom] & \bigl(F^0_{\dagger}\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_j\,\mathfrak{T}\bigr)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j) \arrow[d] \\
\operatorname{Ker}\beta_j \cap \operatorname{Ker}\bigl(\alpha_j^* \circ F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j\bigr) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] \arrow[d] & \Bigl(\operatorname{Ker}\beta_j \cap \operatorname{Ker}\bigl(\alpha_j^* \circ F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j\bigr)\Bigr)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j) \\
\mathrm{Gr}^W_j\, F^0_{\dagger}\mathfrak{T} \arrow[r, "{[F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j]}"] & \Bigl(\mathrm{Gr}^W_j\, F^0_{\dagger}\mathfrak{T}\Bigr)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The tuple $\bigl([F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_j]\,\big|\, j\in\mathbb{Z}\bigr)$ is denoted by $[F^0_{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}]$.

4.3.2 Statements

Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism of complex manifolds. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module of weight w on X with a sesqui-linear duality $\mathcal{S}_X$. Let D_Y be an effective divisor of Y. We set $D_X := F^*D_Y$. As explained in §4.2.1, we have the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}[\star D_X]$ with the induced graded sesqui-linear duality $\mathcal{S}_X[\star D_X] = \bigl(\mathcal{S}_X[\star D_X]_m \,\big|\, m\in\mathbb{Z}\bigr)$. We shall prove the following theorem in §4.3.4.

Theorem 4.7 *Condition* **(A)** *is satisfied for the projective morphism F and the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{T}[\star D_X]$ with the graded sesqui-linear duality $\mathcal{S}_X[\star D_X]$.*

The pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}$ of weight w is equipped with the induced sesqui-linear duality $\mathcal{S}_Y := F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_X$. Moreover, it induces a graded sesqui-linear duality $\mathcal{S}_Y[\star D_Y] = (\mathcal{S}_Y[\star D_Y]_m \,|\, m\in\mathbb{Z})$ of $F^0_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T})[\star D_Y] \simeq F^0_{\dagger}(\mathcal{T}[\star D_X])$. We shall prove the following theorem in §4.3.4.

Theorem 4.8 *We have $\bigl[F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_X[\star D_X]\bigr] = \mathcal{S}_Y[\star D_Y]$.*

Corollary 4.9 *Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is a polarization, and that $F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}[\star D_X] = 0$ $(i\neq 0)$. Then, $[F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_X[\star D_X]]$ are graded polarizations.*

Proof The assumptions imply that $\mathcal{S}_Y$ is a polarization. Then, the claim follows from $[F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_X[\star D_X]] = \mathcal{S}_Y[\star D_Y]$. ∎

Let $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ denote the kernel and the cokernel of the natural morphism $\mathcal{T}[!D_X] \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}[*D_X]$. They are naturally equipped with the induced graded sesqui-linear dualities $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{K}} = (\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K},j} \,|\, j\in\mathbb{Z})$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{C}} = (\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C},j} \,|\, j\in\mathbb{Z})$.

Corollary 4.10 *Suppose that $F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}[\star D_X] = F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{K} = F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{C} = 0$ for $i\neq 0$. Then, Condition* **(A)** *is satisfied for the morphism F and the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{K}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}$) with $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{K}}$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{C}}$). Moreover, we have $[F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{K},j}] = \mathcal{S}_Y[!D_Y]_j$ for $j<w$ and $[F^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C},j}] = \mathcal{S}_Y[*D_Y]_j$ for $j>w$. Under the assumptions, if $\mathcal{S}$ is a polarization, $[F^0_{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{K}}]$ and $[F^0_{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{C}}]$ are graded polarizations.*

Proof Under the assumption of the corollary, we also have $F^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T} = 0$ $(i\neq 0)$. Then, the claims immediately follow from Theorem 4.7. ∎

For the proof of the theorems we give an argument in the case $\star = *$, and the other case is similar. So, to simplify the description, we denote $\mathcal{S}_X[*D_X]_j$ and $\mathcal{S}_Y[*D_Y]_j$ by $\mathcal{S}_{X,j}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{Y,j}$ in the following proof. Because it is enough to consider the issue locally on Y, we may assume to have a holomorphic function g_Y such that $D_Y = (g_Y)_0$. The pull back $g_Y\circ F$ is denoted by g. We shall use the notation in §4.3.1 with $\mathfrak{T} = \mathcal{T}[*D_X]$.

4.3.3 Preliminary

We have a natural isomorphism $F^i_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T}\simeq\psi^{(0)}_{g_Y}F^i_\dagger\mathcal{T}$ of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. By the spectral sequence for $\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})$ with the weight filtration, we have the complex

$$F^{-1}_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{j+1}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\xrightarrow{\xi_j}F^0_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_j\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\xrightarrow{\eta_j}F^1_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{j-1}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}),\tag{63}$$

and $\operatorname{Ker}\eta_j/\operatorname{Im}\xi_j$ is naturally isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gr}^W_j F^0_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\simeq\operatorname{Gr}^W_j\psi^{(0)}_{g_Y}F^0_\dagger(\mathcal{T})$.

For $\ell\geq 0$, let $P_\ell\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})$ denote the image of $P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(\ell)}_g(\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})$. Note $P_\ell\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(\ell)}_g(\mathcal{T})=0$ if $j+2\ell<0$. We have the primitive decomposition

$$\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})=\bigoplus_{\ell\geq 0}P_\ell\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}).$$

Lemma 4.11 *For $j\geq 0$, the morphism $F^0_\dagger P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow F^1_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})$ factors through*

$$F^1_\dagger P_0\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\oplus F^1_\dagger P_1\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}).$$

Proof Note that ξ_j and η_j are compatible with the canonical morphisms

$$\mathcal{N}^\ell:F^i_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_m\psi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T}\longrightarrow F^i_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_m\psi^{(-\ell)}_g\mathcal{T}=F^i_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{m-2\ell}\psi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-\ell).$$

For $j\geq 0$, the morphisms $\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(-j)}_g(\mathcal{T})=\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1-j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-j)$ are isomorphisms. Then, the claim easily follows. ∎

The restriction of η_{w+1+j} to $F^0_\dagger P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})$ induces the following morphisms:

$$\eta_{k,w+1+j}:F^0_\dagger P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow F^1_\dagger P_k\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}),\quad(k=0,1).$$

Note that $\operatorname{Gr}^W_j\operatorname{Cok}\bigl(\psi^{(1)}_g(\mathcal{T})\longrightarrow\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\bigr)\simeq P\operatorname{Gr}^W_j\psi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T}$. By using the spectral sequence for the cokernel, we obtain the following complex

$$F^{-1}_\dagger P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{j+1}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\xrightarrow{\ \kappa_{1j}\ }F^0_\dagger P\operatorname{Gr}^W_j\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\xrightarrow{\ \kappa_{2j}\ }F^1_\dagger P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{j-1}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}).\tag{64}$$

We have $\kappa_{2,w+1+j}=\eta_{0,w+1+j}$ by construction.

Lemma 4.12 *The following diagram is commutative up to signature:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F^0_\dagger P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r, "\eta_{1,w+1+j}"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & F^1_\dagger P_1\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}). \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\Bigl(F^0_\dagger P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\Bigr)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w-j-1) \arrow[r, "\kappa^*_{1,w+1+j}"] & \Bigl(F^{-1}_\dagger P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+j+2}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\Bigr)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w-j-1)
\end{tikzcd}\tag{65}$$

The vertical arrows are induced by the induced sesqui-linear dualities of $P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+k}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})$ $(k>0)$.

Proof Note that we have the following diagram which is commutative up to signatures.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F^0_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r, "\eta_{w+1+j}"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{N}^j"', "\simeq"] & F^1_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[d, "\mathcal{N}^j"'] \\
F^0_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1-j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-j) \arrow[r, "\eta_{w+1-j}"] \arrow[d, "F^0_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{S}_X"', "\simeq"] & F^1_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-j) \arrow[d, "F^1_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{S}_X"'] \\
F^0_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{-w+1-j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}^*)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w-j) \arrow[r, "\eta'_{-w+1-j}"] \arrow[d, "a_1"', "\simeq"] & F^1_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{-w-j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}^*)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w-j) \arrow[d, "a_2"'] \\
\Bigl(F^0_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\Bigr)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w-j-1) \arrow[r, "\xi^*_{w+1+j}"] & \Bigl(F^{-1}_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w+j+2}\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\Bigr)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-w-j-1)
\end{tikzcd}\tag{66}$$

Here, a_i are morphisms induced by the natural isomorphisms $\psi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}^*) \simeq \psi_g^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})^* \simeq \psi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$, and η'_{-w+1-j} denotes η_{-w+1-j} for $\mathcal{T}^*$. Let $\mu : F_\dagger^1 \operatorname{Gr}_{w+j}^W \psi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \Bigl(F_\dagger^{-1} \operatorname{Gr}_{w+j+2}^W \psi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})\Bigr)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-j-1)$ denote the composite of the right vertical arrows. The restriction of μ to $F_\dagger^1 P_0 \operatorname{Gr}_{w+j}^W \psi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$ is 0, and the restriction of μ to $F_\dagger^1 P_1 \operatorname{Gr}_{w+j}^W \psi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$ induces an isomorphism

$$F_\dagger^1 P_1 \operatorname{Gr}_{w+j}^W \psi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{\ \simeq\ } \Bigl(F_\dagger^{-1} P \operatorname{Gr}_{w+j+2}^W \psi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})\Bigr)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-j-1)$$

Thus, we obtain (65). ∎

For $j \geq 0$, we have the natural morphism

$$\operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(F_\dagger^0 P \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1+j}^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\eta_{w+1+j}} F_\dagger^1 \operatorname{Gr}_{w+j}^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T}\Bigr) \longrightarrow P \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1+j}^W F_\dagger^0 \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T}. \tag{67}$$

Lemma 4.13 *The morphism* (67) *is an epimorphism.*

Proof Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C)$. Let W denote the filtration of $\psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{M}_2$ associated to the weight filtration of $\psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$. It is enough to prove that

$$\operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(F_\dagger^0 P \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1+j}^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{M}_2 \longrightarrow F_\dagger^1 \operatorname{Gr}_{w+j}^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{M}_2\Bigr) \longrightarrow P \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1+j}^W F_\dagger^0 \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{M}_2 \tag{68}$$

is an epimorphism. Let f_1 be a section of $\operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(F_\dagger^0 \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1+j}^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{M}_2 \longrightarrow F_\dagger^1 \operatorname{Gr}_{w+j}^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{M}_2\Bigr)$ such that $\mathcal{N}^{j+1} f_1 = \eth f_2$ for $f_2 \in F_\dagger^{-1} \operatorname{Gr}_{w-j}^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{M}_2 \lambda^{j+1}$. We have $f_2' \in F_\dagger^{-1} \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1+j+1}^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{M}_2$ such that $\mathcal{N}^{j+1} f_2' = f_2$. Then, $f_1 - \eth f_2'$ is a section of $\operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(F_\dagger^0 P \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1+j}^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{M}_2 \longrightarrow F_\dagger^1 \operatorname{Gr}_{w+j}^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{M}_2\Bigr)$. Hence, (68) is an epimorphism. ∎

Lemma 4.14 *Under the identification* $\operatorname{Gr}_{w+1}^W(\mathcal{T}[*g]) \simeq P \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1}^W \psi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$*, the kernel of*

$$F_\dagger^0 P \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1}^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\eta_{w+1}} F_\dagger^1 \operatorname{Gr}_w^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \tag{69}$$

is contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\beta_{w+1}$. *(Recall that we use the notation in* §4.3.1 *with* $\mathfrak{T} = \mathcal{T}[*g]$*.)*

Proof Recall that $\phi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$ is equal to the image of $\psi_g^{(1)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the cokernel. Let $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$ denote the inverse image of $P \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1}^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{C}$ by the projection $\psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$. The extension $0 \longrightarrow \phi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_1 \longrightarrow P \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1}^W \psi_g^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow 0$ induces the following morphism:

$$F_\dagger^0 P \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1}^W \psi_g^{(1)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow F_\dagger^1 \phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}). \tag{70}$$

Because $\phi_g^{(0)}$ is an exact functor, the kernel of β_{w+1} is equal to the kernel of (70). Moreover, the kernel of (70) is equal to the kernel of the following induced morphism

$$F_\dagger^0 P \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1}^W \psi_g^{(1)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{c_1} \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1}^W F_\dagger^1 \phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}). \tag{71}$$

We have the following complex associated to $\phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$ with the weight filtration, and $\operatorname{Gr}_{w+1}^W F_\dagger^1 \phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$ is $\operatorname{Ker} c_3 \big/ \operatorname{Im} c_2$:

$$F_\dagger^0 \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1}^W \phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{c_2} F_\dagger^1 \operatorname{Gr}_w^W \phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{c_3} F_\dagger^2 \operatorname{Gr}_{w-1}^W \phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}).$$

We have $\operatorname{Gr}_w^W \psi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) = \operatorname{Gr}_w^W \phi_g^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$. The image of η_{w+1} is contained in $\operatorname{Ker} c_3$, and c_1 is the composite of

$$F_\dagger^0 P \operatorname{Gr}_{w+1}^W \psi_g^{(1)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\eta_{w+1}} \operatorname{Ker} c_3 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} c_3 \big/ \operatorname{Im} c_2.$$

Then, the claim of the lemma follows. ∎

4.3.4 Proof of Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 4.8

For $j > w$, we have natural isomorphisms $\mathrm{Gr}^W_j F^i_\dagger \mathcal{T}[*D_X] \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^W_j F^i_\dagger \psi_g \mathcal{T}$. For $j > w$, the morphism κ_{1j} is identified with α_j. For $j > w+1$, the morphism κ_{2j} in (64) is identified with β_j. We also have Lemma 4.14. By Lemma 4.12, we have

$$\mathrm{Ker}\Big(F^0_\dagger P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_j\,\psi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow F^1_\dagger\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{j-1}\,\psi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{T}\Big) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\,\beta_j \cap \mathrm{Ker}\big(\alpha^*_j \circ F^0_\dagger\mathcal{S}_{X,j}\big).$$

Hence, Lemma 4.13 implies Theorem 4.7.

Let us consider the morphism

$$F^0_\dagger\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\,\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{F^0_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_g\mathcal{S}_X\circ(-\mathcal{N})^j} \Big(F^0_\dagger\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\,\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})\Big)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-j-1)$$

It induces a sesqui-linear duality for $\mathrm{Ker}\,\eta_{w+1+j} \subset F^0_\dagger\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\,\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})$:

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,\eta_{w+1+j} \longrightarrow \Big(\mathrm{Ker}\,\eta_{w+1+j}\Big)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-j-1)$$

It is factorized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ker}\,\eta_{w+1+j} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\,F^0_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{b} \\ \Big(\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\,F^0_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T})^*\Big)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-j-1) \longrightarrow \Big(\mathrm{Ker}\,\eta_{w+1+j}\Big)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-j-1)\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

By construction, the restriction of b to $P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\,F^0_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_g(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\,F^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}[*D_X] = \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+j}\,F^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}$ is the induced sesqui-linear duality $\mathcal{S}_{Y,w+1+j}$. Then, the claim of Theorem 4.8 follows. ■

4.4 Basic examples of induced sesqui-linear dualities

Let X be a complex manifold. Set $d := \dim X$. We have the pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) = \big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\lambda^d, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, C_0\big)$. Here, C_0 is given by $C_0(s_1, \sigma^* s_2) = s_1 \cdot \overline{\sigma^*(s_2)}$. The canonical polarization $\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_X(d,0)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-d)$ is given by $\big(\lambda^d, 1\big) \longleftrightarrow \big((-1)^d \cdot 1 \cdot \lambda^d,\, (-1)^d \cdot \lambda^d \cdot \lambda^{-d}\big)$.

Let $D = \sum k_i D_i$ be an effective divisor of X such that $\bigcup D_i$ is normal crossing. We describe the induced graded polarization on $\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[*D]$.

4.4.1 The simplest case

Let us consider the case that $D = (t)_0$ for a coordinate function t. We will not distinguish D and $|(t)_0|$. We describe the induced graded polarizations of $\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[\star t]$ ($\star = *, !$). It is enough to describe the induced polarizations on $\psi^{(0)}_t\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \simeq \mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[*t]/\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)$ and $\psi^{(1)}_t\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\big(\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[!t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_X(d,0)\big)$.

Lemma 4.15 *Let $\iota : D \longrightarrow X$ be the inclusion as above. The natural isomorphisms*

$$\psi^{(0)}_t\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \simeq \iota_\dagger\mathcal{U}_D(d-1,0) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1), \quad \psi^{(1)}_t\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \simeq \iota_\dagger\mathcal{U}_D(d-1,0)$$

are compatible with the polarizations.

Proof The natural isomorphism $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \otimes \mathcal{U}_D(-1,0) \simeq \mathcal{U}_D(d-1,0)$ is compatible with the polarizations. Then, the claim follows from [40, Proposition 4.3.2]. ■

We give a more explicit description of the polarizations.

Lemma 4.16 *The induced polarization $\psi^{(1)}_t\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \longrightarrow \big(\psi^{(1)}_t\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)\big)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-d+1)$ is given by*

$$\big([t^{-1}\lambda^d], [\eth_t]\big) \longleftrightarrow \big((-1)^d[\eth_t]\lambda^{d-1}, (-1)^d[t^{-1}\lambda]\big). \tag{73}$$

The induced polarization $\psi^{(0)}_t\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \longrightarrow \big(\psi^{(0)}_t\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)\big)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-d-1)$ is given by

$$\big([\eth_t]\lambda^d, [t^{-1}]\big) \longleftrightarrow \big((-1)^{d+1}[t^{-1}]\lambda^{d+1}, (-1)^{d+1}[\eth_t]\lambda^{-1}\big). \tag{74}$$

Proof Recall that we have a natural isomorphism of $\psi_t^{(1)}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \simeq \iota_\dagger\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)\otimes\mathcal{U}_X(-1,0)$ studied in [40, Proposition 4.3.1]. In this case, the isomorphisms of the $\mathcal{R}$-modules are given as follows:

$$\psi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \simeq \iota_\dagger\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})\lambda^{-1}, \quad [t^{-1}] \longleftrightarrow (dt/\lambda)^{-1}\lambda^{-1}$$

$$\psi_t^{(1)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \simeq \iota_\dagger\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}), \quad [\eth_t] \longleftrightarrow -(dt/\lambda)^{-1}$$

Then, according to [40, Proposition 4.3.2], the induced polarization of $\psi_t^{(1)}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)$ is given by (73).

Similarly, the isomorphism $\psi_t^{(0)}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) = \mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[*t]/\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \simeq \iota_\dagger\psi_{-\boldsymbol{\delta}}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)\otimes\mathcal{U}_X(0,-1)$ is given by

$$\big(-[\eth_t]\lambda^d, [t^{-1}]\big) \longleftrightarrow \big((dt/\lambda)^{-1}\lambda^d,\ (dt/\lambda)^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\big).$$

Then, we obtain the claim for $\psi_t^{(0)}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)$. $\blacksquare$

We have an isomorphism of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules

$$\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[*t]/\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\big(\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[!t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_X(d,0)\big)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$$

given by $\big([\eth_t]\lambda^d, [t^{-1}]\big) \longleftrightarrow \big([t^{-1}]\lambda^{d+1}, [\eth_t]\lambda^{-1}\big)$. It is compatible with the polarizations (73) and (74).

4.4.2 Normal crossing case

Let us consider the case that X is equipped with a holomorphic coordinate system $(x_1,\dots,x_d)$ such that $D = \sum_{i=1}^m k_iD_i$ for some $(k_1,\dots,k_m)\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^m$, where $D_i = \{x_i = 0\}$. We have the decomposition

$$\operatorname{Gr}^W\big(\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[*D]\big) = \bigoplus_{j\geq d}\operatorname{Gr}^W_j\big(\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[*D]\big).$$

For $J\subset\{1,\dots,m\}$, we set $D_J := \bigcap_{i\in J}D_i$. Let $\iota_J : D_J \longrightarrow X$ denote the inclusion. We have

$$\operatorname{Gr}^W_j\big(\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[*D]\big) \simeq \bigoplus_{|J|=j}\iota_{J\dagger}\mathcal{U}_{D_J}(d-j,0)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-j). \tag{75}$$

Note that $\iota_{J\dagger}\mathcal{U}_{D_J}(d-j,0)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-j)$ is equipped with the natural polarization $\big((-1)^d,(-1)^d\big)$.

Proposition 4.17 *Under the natural isomorphism* (75), *the induced polarization on* $\iota_{J\dagger}\mathcal{U}_{D_J}(d-j,0)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-j)$ *is equal to* $\Big((-1)^d\prod_{i\in J}k_i^{-1}, (-1)^d\prod_{i\in J}k_i^{-1}\Big)$.

Proof It is enough to consider the induced polarization on $\operatorname{Gr}^W_m\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)$. For $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_i)\in\mathbb{Z}^m$, we set $\phi^{(\boldsymbol{a})} := \phi^{(a_1)}_{x_1}\circ\cdots\circ\phi^{(a_m)}_{x_m}$ and $\psi^{(\boldsymbol{a})} := \psi^{(a_1)}_{x_1}\circ\cdots\circ\psi^{(a_m)}_{x_m}$. Let $\boldsymbol{0}=(0,\dots,0)\in\mathbb{Z}^m$ and $\boldsymbol{1}=(1,\dots,1)\in\mathbb{Z}^m$. We set $f:=\prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{k_i}$. We have $\psi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{U}(d,0) = \big(\psi_f^{(1)}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\lambda^d, \psi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \psi_f^{(0)}C\big)$. We consider $\phi^{(\boldsymbol{0})}\psi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)$.

Recall that $\psi_f^{(a)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Cok}\Big(\Pi_f^{a,\infty}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[!f] \longrightarrow \Pi_f^{a,\infty}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[*f]\Big)$. Then, $\phi^{(\boldsymbol{0})}\psi_f^{(a)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is isomorphic to

$$\operatorname{Cok}\Big(\Pi_0^{a,\infty}\psi^{(\boldsymbol{1})}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{\rho} \Pi_0^{a,\infty}\psi^{(\boldsymbol{0})}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\Big).$$

The morphism ρ is induced by $(\lambda s)^j\prod_{i=1}^m\eth_{x_i} \longmapsto (\lambda s)^{j+m}\prod_{i=1}^m k_ix_i^{-1}$. Hence, we have the following isomorphism:

$$\phi^{(\boldsymbol{0})}\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{0\leq j\leq m-1}\psi^{(\boldsymbol{0})}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})(\lambda s)^j \tag{76}$$

Recall that $\psi_f^{(a)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is also isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ker}\Big(\Pi_f^{-\infty,a}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[!f] \longrightarrow \Pi_f^{-\infty,a}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[*f]\Big)$, and that $\phi^{(\boldsymbol{0})}\psi_f^{(a)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is isomorphic to

$$\operatorname{Ker}\Big(\Pi_0^{-\infty,a}\psi^{(\boldsymbol{1})}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \Pi_0^{-\infty,a}\psi^{(\boldsymbol{0})}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\Big).$$

We obtain the following isomorphism:

$$\phi^{(\mathbf{0})}\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{-m+1\leq j\leq 0} \psi^{(\mathbf{1})}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})(\lambda s)^j$$

Hence, $\phi^{(\mathbf{0})}\psi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{m-1}\psi^{(\mathbf{0})}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)\otimes \boldsymbol{T}(j)$, where $\psi^{(\mathbf{0})}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)$ is

$$\big(\psi^{(\mathbf{1})}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\lambda^d, \psi^{(\mathbf{0})}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \psi^{(\mathbf{0})}C\big) \simeq \iota_{\underline{m}\dagger}\mathcal{U}_{D_{\underline{m}}}(d-m,0)\otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-m).$$

The canonical morphism $\mathcal{N}^j : \phi^{(\mathbf{0})}\psi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \longrightarrow \phi^{(\mathbf{0})}\psi_f^{(a-j)}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)$ is given as follows; the second component is given as $b(\lambda s)^i \longmapsto b(\lambda s)^i$ if $i \leq a-j+m-1$, and $b(\lambda s)^i \longmapsto 0$ otherwise. The first component is given similarly.

Let us describe the morphism $\phi^{(\mathbf{0})}\psi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \longrightarrow \phi^{(\mathbf{0})}\psi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-d-1)$ induced by the polarization of $\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)$. We have the isomorphism $\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\lambda^d) \simeq \psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\lambda^d)\lambda^{-1}$ induced by $(\lambda s)^j \longmapsto -(\lambda s)^{j+1}\lambda^{-1}$. It induces an identification $\phi^{(\mathbf{0})}\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\lambda^d) \simeq \phi^{(\mathbf{0})}\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\lambda^d)\lambda^{-1}$. Note that the isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Cok}\big(\Pi_f^{1,\infty}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \Pi_f^{1,\infty}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\big) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\big(\Pi_f^{-\infty,1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \Pi_f^{-\infty,1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\big)$$

induces the isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{1\leq j\leq m}\psi^{(\mathbf{0})}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})(\lambda s)^j \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\Big(\Pi_0^{1,\infty}\psi^{(\mathbf{1})}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \Pi_0^{1,\infty}\psi^{(\mathbf{0})}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\Big)$$
$$\simeq \operatorname{Ker}\Big(\Pi_0^{-\infty,1}\psi^{(\mathbf{1})}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \Pi_0^{-\infty,1}\psi^{(\mathbf{0})}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\Big) \simeq \bigoplus_{-m+1\leq j\leq 0}\psi^{(\mathbf{1})}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})(\lambda s)^j \qquad (77)$$

which is given by $(\lambda s)^{p+m}\prod_{i=1}^m(k_ix_i^{-1}) \longmapsto (\lambda s)^p\prod_{i=1}^m\eth_i$. In all, the induced morphism

$$\phi^{(\mathbf{0})}\psi^{(0)}(\mathcal{S}) : \bigoplus_{0\leq j\leq m-1}\psi^{(\mathbf{0})}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})(\lambda s)^j \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{-m+1\leq j\leq 0}\psi^{(\mathbf{1})}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})(\lambda s)^j\lambda^{-1}$$

is given by $(\lambda s)^{p+m}\prod_{i=1}^m(k_ix_i^{-1}) \longmapsto (-1)^{d+1}\lambda^{-1}(\lambda s)^{p+1}\prod_{i=1}^m\eth_i$. Hence, the second component of the induced polarization of $\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+m}\phi^{(\mathbf{0})}\psi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{U}(d,0)$ is given by the isomorphisms $\prod_{i=1}^m(k_ix_i^{-1}) \longmapsto (-1)^{d+m}\lambda^{-m}\prod_{i=1}^m\eth_i$. The first component is obtained in the same way.

The isomorphism $\psi^{(\mathbf{0})}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \simeq \iota_\dagger\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_{\underline{m}}}\lambda^{-m}$ is given by $\prod_{i=1}^m(x_i^{-1}\lambda) \longleftrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m(dx_i/\lambda)^{-1}$, and the isomorphism $\psi^{(\mathbf{1})}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \simeq \iota_\dagger\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_{\underline{m}}}\lambda^{-m}$ is given by $\prod_{i=1}^m(-\eth_i) \longleftrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m(dx_i/\lambda)^{-1}$. Then, the claim of Proposition 4.17 follows. ∎

4.5 Nearby cycle functors and maximal functors

We give a relation between the induced graded sesqui-linear dualities on the nearby cycle sheaves and the maximal sheaves. Although we do not use the results directly in this paper, the argument will be useful in §5.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module of weight w on X. Let f be a holomorphic function on X. We have the following exact sequences of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \psi_f^{(a+1)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \Xi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{(a)}[*f] \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{(a)}[!f] \longrightarrow \Xi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \psi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow 0$$

Hence, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-2a+j}\psi_f^{(a+1)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-2a+j}\Xi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \quad (j<0)$$

$$\operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-2a+j}\Xi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Gr}^W_{w-2a+j}\psi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \quad (j>0)$$

The following exact sequences have the unique splittings:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-2a}\,\psi_f^{(a+1)}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-2a}\,\Xi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{(a)} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{(a)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-2a}\,\Xi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-2a}\,\psi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow 0$$

In other words, we have $\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-2a}\,\Xi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^{(a)} \oplus \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-2a}\,\psi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T}$, and $\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-2a}\,\psi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T} = \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-2a}\,\psi_f^{(a+1)}\mathcal{T}$ in $\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-2a}\,\Xi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T}$. The isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-2a}\,\psi_f^{(a+1)}\mathcal{T} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-2a}\,\psi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T}$ is induced by the canonical morphism $\mathcal{N} : \psi_f^{(a+1)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \psi_f^{(a)}\mathcal{T}$.

Let $\mathcal{N} : \Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ be the canonical morphism. Let $W(\mathcal{N})$ denote the monodromy weight filtration of $\mathcal{N}$. For $j \geq 0$, let $P\,\mathrm{Gr}_j^{W(\mathcal{N})}\,\Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$ denote the primitive part, i.e., the kernel of $\mathcal{N}^{j+1} : \mathrm{Gr}_j^{W(\mathcal{N})}(\Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{-j-2}^{W(\mathcal{N})}(\Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j-1)$.

Lemma 4.18 *We have* $W(\mathcal{N})_j = W_{w+j}$ $(j \in \mathbb{Z})$, *and*

$$P\,\mathrm{Gr}_j^{W(\mathcal{N})}\,\Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \simeq \begin{cases} \mathcal{T} & (j=0) \\ P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+j}\,\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) & (j>0) \end{cases} \tag{78}$$

Proof It is enough to consider the case $w = 0$. Because the morphism $\mathcal{N} : \Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ induces $W_j\Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \big(W_{j-2}\Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T}\big) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. Let us observe that the induced morphism $\mathrm{Gr}_j^W\,\Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{-j}^W\,\Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$ is an isomorphism for $j > 0$. Note that $\mathcal{N} : \Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \Xi_f^{(-1)}\mathcal{T}$ factors through $\Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \psi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \Xi_f^{(-1)}\mathcal{T}$. Hence, for $j > 0$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Gr}_j^W\,\Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{N}^j"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \mathrm{Gr}_j^W\,\Xi_f^{(-j)}\mathcal{T} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{N}"] & \mathrm{Gr}_j^W\,\Xi_f^{(-j-1)}\mathcal{T} \\
\mathrm{Gr}_j^W\,\psi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{N}^{j-1}", "\simeq"'] & \mathrm{Gr}_j^W\,\psi_f^{(-j+1)}\mathcal{T} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{N}"] \arrow[u, "\simeq"] & \mathrm{Gr}_{j-1}^W\,\psi^{(-j)}\mathcal{T} \arrow[u, "\simeq"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, we have $W = W(\mathcal{N})$ on $\Xi^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$. We also obtain (78) for $j > 0$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Gr}_2^W\,\Xi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \mathrm{Gr}_0^W\,\Xi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1) = \mathcal{T} \oplus \mathrm{Gr}_0^W\,\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Gr}_{-2}^W\,\Xi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-2) \\
\mathrm{Gr}_2^W\,\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \mathrm{Gr}_0^W\,\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathrm{Gr}_0^W\,\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \mathrm{Gr}_{-2}^W\,\psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[u, "\simeq"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then, we obtain (78) for $j = 0$. ∎

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a Hermitian sesqui-linear duality of $\mathcal{T}$. For $j \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{S}_j^{\Xi^{(0)}}$ denote the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\Xi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \overset{(-\mathcal{N})^j}{\longrightarrow} \Xi_f^{(-j)}(\mathcal{T}) = \Xi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j) \longrightarrow \Xi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}^*) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j-w) \simeq \Xi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j-w)$$

The middle morphism is induced by $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_j^{\psi^{(0)}}$ denote the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \overset{(-\mathcal{N})^j}{\longrightarrow} \psi_f^{(-j)}(\mathcal{T}) = \psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-j) \longrightarrow \psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}^*) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-j) \simeq \psi_f^{(1)}(\mathcal{T})^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-j) \\ \simeq \Big(\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(1)\Big)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-j) \simeq \psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-j-1)\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Proposition 4.19 *For $j \geq 0$, the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Xi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{S}_{j+1}^{\Xi_f^{(0)}}"] \arrow[d] & \Xi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-j-1) \\
\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{S}_{j}^{\psi_f^{(0)}}"] & \psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-j-1) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, the vertical morphisms are natural ones.

Proof We can check the claim by a direct computation. We remark that, in (79), the isomorphism $\boldsymbol{T}(1)^* \simeq \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ is given by $(-1,-1)$. ∎

Corollary 4.20 *Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is a polarization. For $j \geq 1$, the morphism $\mathcal{S}_j^{\Xi_f^{(0)}}$ induces a polarization of $P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+j}\,\Xi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$. It is equal to the polarization of $P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+j}\,\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})$ under the natural isomorphism. In particular, $\Xi_f^{(0)}\mathcal{T}$ is equipped with an induced graded polarization.* ∎

5 Comparisons of polarizations

5.1 A specialization

5.1.1 Statements

Let X be a complex manifold with a normal crossing hypersurface D. Set $d := \dim X$. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X(*D)$. We consider a meromorphic function $F := \tau f + g$ on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1_\tau$.

Assumption 5.1 *We assume the following.*

- *$|(f)_0| \cap |(f)_\infty| = \emptyset$, and $|(f)_0| \subset |(g)_\infty|$. We also have $D = |(f)_\infty| \cup |(g)_\infty|$.*
- *F is pure on $X \times \{\tau \neq 0\}$, and g is pure on $X \setminus |(f)_\infty|$.* ∎

For example, the assumptions are satisfied in the cases of Lemma 2.19 and Lemma 2.22.

We set $D^{(1)} := (\mathbb{P}^1 \times D) \cup (X \times \{\infty\})$. We have the associated mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_\star(F, D^{(1)})$ $(\star = *, !)$ which are equipped with natural real structure. Recall that $\mathcal{T}(F)$ denotes the image of $\mathcal{T}_!(F, D^{(1)}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_*(F, D^{(1)})$, which is a pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module of weight $d+1$. We have $\mathcal{T}_\star(F, D^{(1)})[\star(\tau)_0] = \mathcal{T}(F)[\star(\tau)_0] = \mathcal{T}(F)[\star\tau]$ for $\star = *, !$ by the assumption of purity.

Let $\iota : X \longrightarrow X \times \mathbb{P}^1_\tau$ be given by $\iota(x) = (x, 0)$. According to Proposition 3.25, for the morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{T}(F)[!\tau] \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(F)[*\tau]$, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi) \simeq \iota_\dagger \mathcal{T}_*(g, D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1), \quad \mathrm{Ker}(\varphi) \simeq \iota_\dagger \mathcal{T}_!(g, D)$$

The polarization $\mathcal{S}_F = \big((-1)^{d+1}, (-1)^{d+1}\big)$ of $\mathcal{T}(F)$ induces graded polarizations of $\mathcal{T}(F)[\star\tau]$, and they induce graded polarizations of $\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)$. The induced graded polarizations are denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi)} = (\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi),w} \,|\, w \in \mathbb{Z})$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)} = (\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi),w} \,|\, w \in \mathbb{Z})$. Note that by applying $\phi_\tau^{(0)}$ we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\varphi) \longrightarrow \psi_\tau^{(1)}\mathcal{T}(F) \longrightarrow \psi_\tau^{(0)}\mathcal{T}(F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cok}(\varphi) \longrightarrow 0$$

For the weight filtrations of $\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+1+j}\,\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi) \simeq P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+1+j}\,\psi_\tau^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}(F)) \ \ (j > 0), \qquad \mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+1+j}\,\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi) = 0 \ \ (j \leq 0),$$

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+1-j}\,\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi) \simeq P'\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+1-j}\,\psi_\tau^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}(F)) \ \ (j > 0), \qquad \mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+1-j}\,\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi) = 0 \ \ (j \leq 0).$$

Here, $P' \operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+1-j} \psi^{(1)}_{\tau}(\mathcal{T}(F))$ is the image of $P \operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+1-j} \psi^{(1-j)}_{\tau}(\mathcal{T}(F)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+1-j} \psi^{(1)}_{\tau}(\mathcal{T}(F))$. The isomorphisms are compatible with the induced polarizations.

We also have other polarizations. Let $\mathcal{T}(g)$ denote the image of $\mathcal{T}_!(g,D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_*(g,D)$, which is a pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module of weight d. It is equipped with the natural polarization $\mathcal{S}_g = ((-1)^d, (-1)^d)$. Because $\mathcal{T}(g)[\star(f)_\infty] = \mathcal{T}_\star(g,D)$, we have the induced graded polarizations $\iota_\dagger \mathcal{S}_g[*(f)_\infty]$ of $\iota_\dagger \mathcal{T}_*(g,D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$, and $\iota_\dagger \mathcal{S}_g[!(f)_\infty]$ of $\iota_\dagger \mathcal{T}_!(g,D)$. We shall prove the following proposition in §5.1.3–5.1.6.

Proposition 5.2 *Under the isomorphisms* $\operatorname{Cok}(\varphi) \simeq \iota_\dagger \mathcal{T}_*(g,D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ *and* $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi) \simeq \iota_\dagger \mathcal{T}_!(g,D)$, *we have* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\operatorname{Cok}(\varphi)} = \iota_\dagger \mathcal{S}_g[*(f)_\infty]$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)} = \iota_\dagger \mathcal{S}_g[!(f)_\infty]$.

5.1.2 Some consequences

Before going to the proof of Proposition 5.2, we give a consequence. Let X, D, f and g be as in §5.1.1. Let $\rho : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism of complex manifolds. We assume that $R^i\rho_*\bigl(\Omega^j_X(*D)\bigr) = 0$ for any $i > 0$ and $j = 0, \ldots, \dim X$. Set $\rho_1 := \rho \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{P}^1_\tau} : X \times \mathbb{P}^1_\tau \longrightarrow Y \times \mathbb{P}^1_\tau$. Note $\rho^i_{1\dagger}\mathcal{T}(F)[\star(\tau)_0] = 0$ $(i \neq 0)$ by Proposition 2.4. Let $\iota_Y : Y \longrightarrow Y \times \mathbb{P}^1_\tau$ be the inclusion induced by $\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_\tau$.

Corollary 5.3 *Suppose that* $\rho^i_\dagger \mathcal{T}_\star(g,D) = 0$ *for* $\star = *, !$ *and for* $i \neq 0$.

- *Condition* **(A)** *is satisfied for the morphism* ρ *and the mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-module* $\mathcal{T}_\star(g,D)$ *with the graded polarization* $\mathcal{S}_g[\star(f)_\infty]$. *The induced graded sesqui-linear duality* $\bigl[\rho^0_\dagger \mathcal{S}_g[\star(f)_\infty]\bigr]$ *on* $\rho^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_\star(g,D)$ *is a graded polarization.*

- *Let* $\mathcal{K}_Y$ *and* $\mathcal{C}_Y$ *denote the kernel and the cokernel of* $\rho^0_{1\dagger}\mathcal{T}(F)[!\tau] \longrightarrow \rho^0_{1\dagger}\mathcal{T}(F)[*\tau]$. *Let* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{C}_Y}$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{K}_Y}$ *denote the graded polarizations induced by the graded polarization* $\rho^0_{1\dagger}\mathcal{S}_F$ *of* $\rho^0_{1\dagger}\mathcal{T}(F)$. *Then, under the natural isomorphisms* $\iota_{Y\dagger}\rho^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_!(g,D) \simeq \mathcal{K}_Y$ *and* $\iota_{Y\dagger}\rho^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_*(g,D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1) \simeq \mathcal{C}_Y$, *we have* $\iota_{Y\dagger}\bigl[\rho^0_\dagger \mathcal{S}_g[!(f)_\infty]\bigr] = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{C}_Y}$ *and* $\iota_{Y\dagger}\bigl[\rho^0_\dagger \mathcal{S}_g[*(f)_\infty]\bigr] = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{K}_Y}$.

Proof It follows from Corollary 4.10 and Proposition 5.2. ∎

Let $\kappa : X' \longrightarrow X$ be a projective morphism of complex manifolds such that (i) $D' = \kappa^{-1}(D)$ is normal crossing, (ii) κ induces $X' \setminus D' \simeq X \setminus D$, (iii) Assumption 5.1 is also satisfied for $f' = \kappa^*(f)$ and $g' = \kappa^*(g)$. We have $\kappa^i_\dagger \mathcal{T}_\star(g',D') = 0$ $(i \neq 0)$, and we have natural isomorphisms $\kappa^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_\star(g',D') \simeq \mathcal{T}_\star(g,D)$. We set $\rho' := \rho \circ \kappa$. We have natural isomorphisms $\rho'^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_\star(g',D') \simeq \rho^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_\star(g,D)$.

Corollary 5.4

- *Condition* **(A)** *holds for* κ *and* $\mathcal{T}_\star(g',D')$ *with* $\mathcal{S}_{g'}[\star(f')_\infty]$. *We have* $\bigl[\kappa^0_\dagger \mathcal{S}_{g'}[\star(f')_\infty]\bigr] = \mathcal{S}_g[\star(f)_\infty]$ *under the natural isomorphisms.*

- *Condition* **(A)** *holds for* ρ' *and* $\mathcal{T}_\star(g',D')$ *with* $\mathcal{S}_{g'}[\star(f')_\infty]$. *We have* $\bigl[\rho'^0_\dagger \mathcal{S}_{g'}[\star(f')_\infty]\bigr] = \bigl[\rho^0_\dagger \mathcal{S}_g[\star(f)_\infty]\bigr]$ *under the natural isomorphisms.* ∎

5.1.3 Preliminary

Let us return to the proof of Proposition 5.2. By Lemma 4.2, it is enough to study the polarizations on $\operatorname{Cok}(\varphi) \simeq \iota_\dagger \mathcal{T}_*(g,D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. The comparison of the polarizations on $\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+2}\operatorname{Cok}(\varphi) \simeq \operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+2}\bigl(\iota_\dagger \mathcal{T}_*(g,D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)\bigr)$ can be given as in §4.4.1. Hence, we shall argue the other parts.

We have the identification $\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+2+j}\operatorname{Cok}(\varphi) = P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+2+j}\psi^{(0)}_\tau \mathcal{T}(F)$ for $j \geq 0$. For $j > 0$, we have the isomorphism $\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+2+j}\bigl(\mathcal{T}_*(g,D) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)\bigr) \simeq P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+2+j}\psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}(\mathcal{T}(g) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1))$. Let $\pi : X \times \mathbb{P}^1_\tau \longrightarrow X$ be the projection. To compare $\mathcal{S}_{\operatorname{Cok}(\varphi),d+j+2}$ and $\iota_\dagger \mathcal{S}_g[*(f)_\infty]_{d+j+2}$ for $j > 0$, it is enough to compare $\pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{S}_{\operatorname{Cok}(\varphi),d+j+2}$ and $\mathcal{S}_g[*(f)_\infty]_{d+j+2}$ under the isomorphisms:

$$\pi^0_\dagger P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+2+j}\psi^{(0)}_\tau \mathcal{T}(F) \simeq P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+2+j}\psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}\bigl(\mathcal{T}(g) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)\bigr) \tag{80}$$

$$\Big(P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+2+j}\,\psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}\big(\mathcal{T}(g)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\big)\Big)^*\simeq\Big(\pi^0_\dagger P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+2+j}\,\psi^{(0)}_\tau\mathcal{T}(F)\Big)^* \tag{81}$$

Recall that any morphisms of pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules are uniquely determined by their underlying morphisms of $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Hence, it is enough to compare the morphisms of the underlying $\mathcal{D}$-modules for $\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi),d+j+2}$ and $\mathcal{S}_g[*(f)_\infty]_{d+j+2}$.

The polarization $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{Cok}(\varphi),d+2+j}$ of $P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+2+j}\,\psi^{(0)}_\tau\mathcal{T}(F)$ is induced by the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{(0)}_\tau\mathcal{T}(F)\xrightarrow{(-\mathcal{N})^j}\psi^{(-j)}_\tau(\mathcal{T}(F))=\psi^{(0)}_\tau\mathcal{T}(F)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-j)&\\ \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}_F}\psi^{(0)}_\tau(\mathcal{T}(F)^*)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-j-d-1)\longrightarrow\psi^{(1)}_\tau\big(\mathcal{T}(F)\big)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-d-j-1)&\\ \simeq\psi^{(0)}_\tau(\mathcal{T}(F))^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-d-j-2)&\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

The polarization $\mathcal{S}_g[*(f)_\infty]_{d+2+j}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+2+j}\big(\mathcal{T}_*(g,D)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\big)$ is induced by the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}\big(\mathcal{T}(g)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\big)\xrightarrow{(-\mathcal{N})^{j-1}}\psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}\big(\mathcal{T}(g)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\big)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-j+1)&\\ \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}_g}\psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}\big(\mathcal{T}(g)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\big)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-j-d-1)\simeq\psi^{(1)}_{f^{-1}}\big(\mathcal{T}(g)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\big)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-j-d-1)&\\ \simeq\psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}\big(\mathcal{T}(g)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)\big)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-j-d-2)&\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

Let $L(F)$ denote the $\mathcal{D}_{X\times\mathbb{P}^1}$-module underlying $\mathcal{T}(F)$. Let $L(g)$ denote the $\mathcal{D}_X$-module underlying $\mathcal{T}(g)$. By the assumption of purity, we have $L(F)[\star\tau]=L_!(F,D^{(1)})[\star\tau]=L_*(F,D^{(1)})[\star\tau]$ and $L_\star(g,D)=L(g)[\star(f)_\infty]$.

By the above consideration, Proposition 5.2 is reduced to the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5 *We have the following morphisms of $\mathcal{D}$-modules*

$$B_1:\pi^0_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_\tau L(F)\longrightarrow\psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}L(g),\quad B_2:\psi^{(1)}_{f^{-1}}L(g)\longrightarrow\pi^0_\dagger\psi^{(1)}_\tau L(F)$$

with the following properties:

(P1) *B_1 and B_2 induce the isomorphisms of $\mathcal{D}$-modules underlying* (80) *and* (81)*.*

(P2) *The following diagrams are commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}\pi^0_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_\tau(L(F)) \arrow[r, "\epsilon"] \arrow[d, "B_1"'] & \pi^0_\dagger\psi^{(1)}_\tau L(F)\\ \psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}L(g) \arrow[r, "\delta"] & \psi^{(1)}_{f^{-1}}L(g) \arrow[u, "B_2"']\end{tikzcd} \tag{84}$$

> *Here, ϵ gives the morphism underlying the composite of the morphisms in* (82) *applied $\pi^0_\dagger$, and δ gives the morphism underlying the composite of the morphisms in* (83)*.*

5.1.4 The construction of the morphisms B_1 and B_2

As in Proposition 2.38, we have a natural isomorphism $\Lambda^{(a)}:\pi^0_+\psi^{(a)}_\tau L_*(F)\simeq\Xi^{(a)}_{f^{-1}}L_*(g)$. Let B_1 denote the composite of $\Lambda^{(0)}$ and the natural morphism $\Xi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}L_*(g)\longrightarrow\psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}L_*(g)$. Let B_2 be the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\psi^{(1)}_{f^{-1}}L_*(g)\xrightarrow{c_1}\Xi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}L_*(g)\xrightarrow[\simeq]{c_2}\Xi^{(1)}_{f^{-1}}L_*(g)\xrightarrow[\simeq]{c_3}\pi^0_+\psi^{(1)}_\tau L_*(F)$$

Here, c_1 is the canonical morphism, c_2 is induced by the multiplication of $-s$, and c_3 is $(\Lambda^{(1)})^{-1}$.

5.1.5 Proof of (P1)

Let us check that B_1 induces the morphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules underlying (80). We have the following natural morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_+\psi_\tau^{(0)}L(F) \xrightarrow{a_1} \pi_+ \operatorname{Cok}\bigl(\psi_\tau^{(1)}L(F) \longrightarrow \psi_\tau^{(0)}L(F)\bigr) \overset{a_2}{\simeq} \pi_+ \operatorname{Cok}\bigl(L(F)[!\tau] \longrightarrow L(F)[*\tau]\bigr) \overset{a_3}{\simeq} L_*(g,D) \\ \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(L_!(g,D) \longrightarrow L_*(g,D)\Bigr) \quad (85)\end{aligned}$$

(See (45) for a_3.) The composite induces the morphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules underlying (80). Under the natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(L_!(g,D) \longrightarrow L_*(g,D)\Bigr) \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\psi_{f^{-1}}^{(1)}L(g) \longrightarrow \psi_{f^{-1}}^{(0)}L(g)\Bigr) =: \mathcal{A},$$

the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Xi_{f^{-1}}^{(0)}L(g) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L_*(g,D) \arrow[d] \\
\psi_{f^{-1}}^{(0)}L(g) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{A}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, it is enough to prove that $b_1 := a_3 \circ a_2 \circ a_1$ is equal to the composite b_2 of $\Lambda^{(0)}$ and $\Xi_{f^{-1}}^{(0)}L(g) \longrightarrow L_*(g,D)$. The kernel of both of b_i are the image of $\pi_+\psi_\tau^{(1)}L(F) \longrightarrow \pi_+\psi_\tau^{(0)}L(F)$. The automorphisms of $L_*(g,D)$ are only the multiplication of locally constant functions. Hence, it is enough to check the claim in the case where D is empty, in which both of the morphisms are given by the correspondence $\tau^{-1}d\tau \longmapsto 1$. Therefore, we obtain that B_1 induces the morphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules underlying (80).

Let us check that B_2 induces the morphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules underlying (81). We have the following natural morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(L_!(g,D) \longrightarrow L_*(g,D)\Bigr) \longrightarrow L_!(g,D) \overset{c_1}{\simeq} \pi_+ \operatorname{Ker}\bigl(L(F)[!\tau] \longrightarrow L(F)[*\tau]\bigr) \\ \overset{c_2}{\simeq} \pi_+ \operatorname{Ker}\bigl(\psi_\tau^{(1)}L(F) \longrightarrow \psi_\tau^{(0)}L(F)\bigr) \xrightarrow{c_3} \pi_+\psi_\tau^{(1)}L(F) \quad (86)\end{aligned}$$

(See (46) for c_1.) As before, it is enough to check that $d_1 := c_3 \circ c_2 \circ c_1$ is equal to the composite d_2 of the following:

$$L_!(g,D) \longrightarrow \Xi_{f^{-1}}^{(0)}L(g) \overset{-s}{\simeq} \Xi_{f^{-1}}^{(1)}L(g) \xrightarrow{(\Lambda^{(1)})^{-1}} \pi_+^0\psi_\tau^{(1)}L_*(F)$$

The images of d_i are equal, and the automorphisms of $L_!(g,D)$ are multiplications of locally constant functions. Hence, it is enough to consider the case D is empty. We have the following:

$$\psi_\tau^{(1)}L(F) \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\Pi_\tau^{1,N}L(F)[!\tau] \longrightarrow \Pi_\tau^{1,N}L(F)[*\tau]\Bigr) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\Bigl(\Pi_\tau^{-N,1}L(F)[!\tau] \longrightarrow \Pi_\tau^{-N,1}L(F)[*\tau]\Bigr) \quad (87)$$

Under the isomorphism (87), $-\partial_\tau(1) + f$ in $\Pi_\tau^{-N,1}L(F)[!\tau]$ corresponds to $-s/\tau$ in $\Pi_\tau^{1,N}L(F)[*\tau]$. By using it, we can check $d_1 = d_2$. Hence, we obtain that B_2 induces the isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules underlying (81).

5.1.6 Proof of (P2)

Let us look at the morphisms of the $\mathcal{D}$-module underlying (82):

$$\psi_\tau^{(0)}L(F) \xrightarrow{(-s)^j} \psi_\tau^{(0)}L(F) \xrightarrow{(-1)^{d+1}} \psi_\tau^{(0)}L(F) \xrightarrow{-s} \psi_\tau^{(1)}L(F) \quad (88)$$

By applying π_+^0, we obtain the following morphisms:

$$\Xi_{f^{-1}}^{(0)}\bigl(L(g)\bigr) \xrightarrow{(-s)^j} \Xi_{f^{-1}}^{(0)}\bigl(L(g)\bigr) \xrightarrow{(-1)^{d+1}} \Xi_{f^{-1}}^{(0)}\bigl(L(g)\bigr) \overset{-s}{\simeq} \Xi_{f^{-1}}^{(1)}\bigl(L(g)\bigr)$$

Let us look at the morphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules underlying (83):

$$\psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}(L(g)) \xrightarrow{(-s)^{j-1}} \psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}(L(g)) \overset{(-1)^d}{\simeq} \psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}(L(g)) \overset{-1}{\simeq} \psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}(L(g)) \overset{-s}{\simeq} \psi^{(1)}_{f^{-1}}(L(g))$$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Xi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}L(g) \arrow[r, "(-1)^{d+1}(-s)^j"] \arrow[d] & \Xi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}L(g) \arrow[r, "-s"] & \Xi^{(1)}_{f^{-1}}L(g) \\
\psi^{(0)}_{f^{-1}}L(g) \arrow[r, "(-1)^{d+1}(-s)^j"] & \psi^{(1)}_{f^{-1}}L(g) \arrow[u] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

It implies the commutativity of (84). Thus, we finish the proof of Lemma 5.5 and Proposition 5.2. ▮

5.2 A push-forward

5.2.1 Statement

Let X be a complex manifold with a normal crossing hypersurface D. Set $d := \dim X$.

Assumption 5.6 *Let f be a meromorphic function on (X,D) such that (i) $|(f)_\infty| = D$, (ii) f is non-degenerate along D, (iii) $(f)_0$ is smooth.* ▮

We set $Z := |(f)_0|$. We have the meromorphic function tf on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1_t$. We have the associated mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}(tf)[\star t]$ and $\mathcal{T}_\star(tf)$. Let $\pi : X \times \mathbb{P}^1_t \longrightarrow X$ be the projection. According to Proposition 3.22 and Corollary 3.23, we have $\pi^i_\dagger \mathcal{T}(tf)[\star t] = 0$ for $i \neq 0$, and we have the isomorphisms

$$\Psi_* : \pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}(tf)[*t] \simeq \mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[!Z][*D] \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1), \quad \Psi_! : \pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}(tf)[!t] \simeq \mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[*Z][!D]. \tag{89}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{T}(tf)$ is the image of $\mathcal{T}_!(tf) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_*(tf)$. We have the description $\mathcal{T}(tf) = \big(\mathcal{L}(tf)\lambda^{d+1}, \mathcal{L}(tf), C_{tf}\big)$ as an $\mathcal{R}$-triple. The polarization is given by $\mathcal{S}_{tf} = \big((-1)^{d+1}, (-1)^{d+1}\big)$. It induces graded polarizations $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{tf\star}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{tf}[\star t]$) on $\mathcal{T}_\star(tf)$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}(tf)[\star t]$). The polarization $\mathcal{S}_0 = ((-1)^d, (-1)^d)$ of $\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)$ induces graded polarizations $\mathcal{S}_0[*(f)_0][!(f)_\infty]$ on $\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[*Z][!D]$, and $\mathcal{S}_0[!(f)_0][*(f)_\infty]$ on $\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[!Z][*D] \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$.

Proposition 5.7

- *We have $\pi^i_\dagger \operatorname{Gr}^W \mathcal{T}(tf)[\star t] = 0$ and $\pi^i_\dagger \operatorname{Gr}^w \mathcal{T}_\star(tf) = 0$ unless $i \neq 0$. In particular, we have*

  $$\operatorname{Gr}^W \pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_\star(tf) \simeq \pi^0_\dagger \operatorname{Gr}^W \mathcal{T}_\star(tf), \qquad \operatorname{Gr}^W \pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}(tf)[\star t] \simeq \pi^0_\dagger \operatorname{Gr}^W \mathcal{T}(tf)[\star t].$$

  Note that it implies that Condition **(A)** *holds for the projection π and the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}(tf)[\star t]$ with $\mathcal{S}_{tf}[\star t]$, and the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_\star(tf)$ with $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{tf\star}$.*

- *The isomorphisms $\operatorname{Gr}^W \Psi_\star$ $(\star = *, !)$ are compatible with the graded polarizations.*

Before going to the proof of Proposition 5.7, we give a consequence. Let $\rho : X \longrightarrow Y$ be any projective morphism of complex manifolds such that $R^j\rho_*\Omega^i_X(*D) = 0$ for any $j > 0$ and $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \dim X$. We also suppose $\rho^i_\dagger \mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[!Z_f][*D] = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. Note that it implies $\rho^i_\dagger \mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[*Z_f][!D] = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.

Corollary 5.8 *Condition* **(A)** *holds for ρ and $\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[!Z_f][*D]$ with $\mathcal{S}_0[!(f)_0][*(f)_\infty]$. We have*

$$\big[\rho^0_\dagger \mathcal{S}_0[!(f)_0][*(f)_\infty]\big] = \big[(\rho\circ\pi)^0_\dagger \mathcal{S}_{tf}[*(t)_0]\big].$$

Condition **(A)** *holds for ρ and $\mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[*Z_f][!D]$ with $\mathcal{S}_0[*(f)_0][!(f)_\infty]$. We have*

$$\big[\rho^0_\dagger \mathcal{S}_0[*(f)_0][!(f)_\infty]\big] = \big[(\rho\circ\pi)^0_\dagger \mathcal{S}_{tf}[!(t)_0]\big].$$

Proof Set $X^{(1)} := X \times \mathbb{P}^1_t$ and $D^{(1)} := (X \times \{0,\infty\}) \cup (D \times \mathbb{P}^1)$. We have $R^j(\rho\circ\pi)_*\Omega^i_{X^{(1)}}(*D^{(1)}) = 0$ for any $j > 0$ and $i = 0, \ldots, \dim X^{(1)}$. By the assumption and Proposition 2.23, we have $(\rho\circ\pi)^j_\dagger \mathcal{T}_\star(tf, D^{(1)}) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$. According to Corollary 5.3 and Lemma 2.22, Condition **(A)** holds for $\rho\circ\pi$ and $\mathcal{T}(tf)[\star t] = \mathcal{T}_\star(tf, D^{(1)})$ with $\mathcal{S}_{tf}[*t]$. Then, the claim follows from Proposition 5.7. ▮

5.2.2 First claim of Proposition 5.7

Let us prove the first claim of Proposition 5.7. We argue the case $\star = *$. We obtain the other case by using the Hermitian duality.

We have $\mathrm{Gr}^W_j \mathcal{T}(tf)[*t] = \mathrm{Gr}^W_j \mathcal{T}_*(tf) = 0$ for $j < d+1$, and $\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+1} \mathcal{T}(tf)[*t] = \mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+1} \mathcal{T}_*(tf) = \mathcal{T}(tf)$. The support of $\mathrm{Gr}^W_j \mathcal{T}(tf)[*t]$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^W_j \mathcal{T}_*(tf)$ $(j > d+1)$ are contained in $X \times \{t = 0\}$. Hence, it is enough to prove $\pi^i_\dagger \mathcal{T}(tf) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.

Let A_1 and A_2 denote the kernel of $\mathcal{T}(tf)[!t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(tf)$ and the cokernel of $\mathcal{T}(tf) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(tf)[*t]$. The support of A_i are contained in $\{t = 0\}$. Hence, we obtain $\pi^i_\dagger \mathcal{T}(tf) = 0$ $(i \neq 0)$, and the following exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi^0_\dagger A_1 \longrightarrow \pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}(tf)[!t] \longrightarrow \pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}(tf) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}(tf) \longrightarrow \pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}(tf)[*t] \longrightarrow \pi^0_\dagger A_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

In particular, we obtain the first claim of Proposition 5.7.

5.2.3 Preliminary for the second claim

Let us study the second claim. Let A_3 denote the cokernel of $\mathcal{T}_*(tf) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(tf)[*t]$. Let $\iota_Z : Z \longrightarrow X$ denote the inclusion, and let $D_Z := D \cap Z$. According to Proposition 3.22, we have the following isomorphisms of integrable mixed twistor D-modules with real structure:

$$\Psi_1 : \pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_*(tf) \simeq \iota_{Z\dagger} \mathcal{U}_Z(d-1,0)[*D_Z] \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1), \qquad \Psi_2 : \pi^0_\dagger A_3 \simeq \mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)[*D]$$

Note that the strict support of $\mathrm{Gr}^W \Big(\iota_{Z\dagger} \mathcal{U}_Z(d-1,0)[*D_Z] \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)\Big)$ are contained in Z, and that the strict support of any direct summand of $\mathrm{Gr}^W \mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)[*D]$ are not contained in Z. Hence, we have the unique splittings

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W \pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}(tf)[*t] = \mathrm{Gr}^W \pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_*(tf) \oplus \mathrm{Gr}^W \pi^0_\dagger A_3, \tag{90}$$

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W \Big(\mathcal{U}_X(d,0) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)[!Z][*D]\Big) = \mathrm{Gr}^W \Big(\iota_{Z\dagger} \mathcal{U}_Z(d-1,0)[*D_Z] \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)\Big) \oplus \mathrm{Gr}^W \mathcal{U}_X(d,0)[*D] \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1), \tag{91}$$

and we have $\mathrm{Gr}^W \Psi_* = \mathrm{Gr}^W \Psi_1 \oplus \mathrm{Gr}^W \Psi_2$. The graded polarizations are compatible with the decompositions (90, 91).

By Proposition 5.2, $\mathrm{Gr}^W \Psi_{2|X\setminus Z}$ is compatible with the graded polarizations. Hence, we obtain that $\mathrm{Gr}^W \Psi_2$ is compatible with the graded polarizations. Therefore, it is enough to study that $\mathrm{Gr}^W \Psi_1$ is compatible with the graded polarizations.

We remark that the graded polarization on $\mathrm{Gr}^W \Big(\iota_{Z\dagger} \mathcal{U}_Z(d-1,0)[*D_Z] \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)\Big)$ is equal to the graded polarization induced by the canonical polarization of $\mathcal{U}_Z(d-1,0)$, which follows from Lemma 4.15.

5.2.4 The degree $(d+1)$-part

Let us study the isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+1} \Psi_1 : \pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}(tf) \simeq \iota_{Z\dagger} \mathcal{U}_Z(d-1,0) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. We may assume that D is empty. To describe $\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d+1} \Psi_1$, let us look at the isomorphisms of the $\mathcal{R}$-modules underlying Ψ_* more closely, by assuming $D = \emptyset$.

Set $Y := X \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We have the following:

$$\pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{L}(tf)[*t] \simeq \mathrm{Cok}\Big(\pi_* \mathcal{L}(tf)[*t] \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \pi_* \mathcal{L}(tf)[*t] \cdot (dt/\lambda)\Big) \simeq \mathrm{Cok}\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[t] \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[t] \cdot (dt/t\lambda)\Big)$$

Here, $\varphi_1(c) = \eth_t(c) \cdot (dt/\lambda)$ and $\varphi_2(h\,t^j) = \big(jht^{j-1} + hft^j/\lambda\big)dt$. For any local section c of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[t] \cdot dt/t\lambda$, let $[c]_*$ denote the induced section of $\pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{L}(tf)[*t]$. The isomorphism $\pi^0_\dagger \big(\mathcal{L}(tf)[*t]\big)(*Z) \simeq \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*Z)$ is given by $[dt/\lambda t]_* \longleftrightarrow \lambda^{-1}$, which we can check by using (45).

Similarly, we have the following:

$$\pi^0_\dagger \big(\mathcal{L}(tf)[!t]\big) \simeq \mathrm{Cok}\Big(\pi_* \mathcal{L}(tf)[!t] \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \pi_* \mathcal{L}(tf)[!t] \cdot (dt/\lambda)\Big) \simeq \mathrm{Cok}\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[t] \cdot t \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[t] \cdot (dt/\lambda)\Big)$$

Here, φ_i are given in the same ways. For any local section c of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[t]\cdot(dt/\lambda)$, let $[c]_!$ denote the induced section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[t]$. The isomorphism $\pi^0_\dagger(\mathcal{L}(tf)[!t])(*Z)\simeq\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*Z)$ is given by $[f\,dt/\lambda]_!\longleftrightarrow 1$, which we can check by using (46).

We have the following:

$$\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{L}(tf)\simeq\operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\pi_*\mathcal{L}(tf)\xrightarrow{\varphi_1}\pi_*\mathcal{L}(tf)\cdot(dt/\lambda)\Bigr)\simeq\operatorname{Cok}\Bigl(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[t]\xrightarrow{\varphi_2}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[t]\cdot(dt/\lambda)\Bigr)$$

For any local section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[t](dt/\lambda)$, let $[c]$ denote the induced local section of $\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{L}(tf)$. We remark $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda[dt/\lambda]=0$.

Lemma 5.9 *The isomorphism* $\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+1}\Psi_1:\pi_\dagger\mathcal{T}(tf)\simeq\iota_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Z(d-1,0)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$ *is given as a pair of the following morphisms:*

$$\pi_\dagger\mathcal{L}(tf)\lambda^{d+1}\xleftarrow{a_1}\iota_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}\lambda^d,\quad a_1\bigl(\iota_{Z*}(\lambda^d(dt/\lambda)^{-1})\bigr)=[dt/\lambda]\cdot\lambda^{d+1}$$
$$\pi_\dagger\mathcal{L}(tf)\xrightarrow{a_2}\iota_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}\lambda^{-1},\quad a_2\bigl([dt/\lambda]\bigr)=-\iota_{Z*}(\lambda^{-1}(dt/\lambda)^{-1})$$

Proof We have the epimorphism $b_1:\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{L}(tf)[!t]\longrightarrow\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{L}(tf)$ and the monomorphism $b_2:\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{L}(tf)\longrightarrow\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{L}(tf)[*t]$. We have $b_1([c]_!)=[c]$ and $b_2([c])=[c]_*$. We have $[dt/\lambda]_!=f^{-1}[f\,dt/\lambda]_!$. For a holomorphic local coordinate $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ for $x_1=f$, we have $[dt/\lambda]_*=\eth_{x_1}[dt/t\lambda]_*$. Then, we obtain the claim of the lemma by construction. ∎

We can easily check that the isomorphism $\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+1}\Psi_1$ is compatible with the polarizations by the explicit description in Lemma 5.9.

5.2.5 Preliminary for the other parts

Let us study $\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+2+\ell}\Psi_1$ for $\ell\geq 0$. We have only to consider the issue locally around any point of $D\cap Z$. We may assume to have a holomorphic coordinate $(x_0,\ldots,x_{d-1})$ on X such that $Z=\{x_0=0\}$ and $D=\bigcup_{i=1}^m\{x_i=0\}$, such that $f=x_0\prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{k_i}$. We set $x^{\boldsymbol{k}}:=\prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{k_i}$.

We have the isomorphism

$$\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi^0_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+\ell+2}(\mathcal{T}(tf)[*t])\simeq P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+\ell+2}\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_t(\mathcal{T}(tf))\tag{92}$$

The polarization on $\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi^0_\dagger\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+\ell+2}(\mathcal{T}(tf)[*t])$ is induced by the composite of the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_t(\mathcal{T}(tf))&\xrightarrow{(-\mathcal{N})^\ell}\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_t(\mathcal{T}(tf))\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-\ell)\\&\xrightarrow{a_1}\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_t(\mathcal{T}(tf)^*)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-\ell-d-1)=\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_\dagger\psi^{(1)}_t(\mathcal{T}(tf))^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-\ell-d-1)\\&\xrightarrow{a_2}\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_t(\mathcal{T}(tf))^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-\ell-d-2)\end{aligned}\tag{93}$$

Here, a_1 is induced by the polarization on $\mathcal{T}(tf)$, and a_2 is the canonical isomorphism.

We have the isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+\ell+2}\iota_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Z(d,-1)[*D_Z]\simeq P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+\ell+2}\psi^{(0)}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\iota_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Z(d,-1)\tag{94}$$

The polarization on $\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+\ell+2}\iota_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Z(d,-1)[*D_Z]$ is induced by the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{(0)}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\iota_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Z(d,-1)&\xrightarrow{(-\mathcal{N})^\ell}\psi^{(0)}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\iota_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Z(d,-1)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-\ell)\\&\xrightarrow{b_1}\psi^{(0)}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\bigl(\iota_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Z(d,-1)^*\bigr)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-\ell-d-1)=\psi^{(1)}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\bigl(\iota_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Z(d,-1)\bigr)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-\ell-d-1)\\&\xrightarrow{b_2}\psi^{(0)}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\bigl(\iota_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Z(d,-1)\bigr)^*\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-\ell-d-2)\end{aligned}\tag{95}$$

Here, b_1 is induced by the polarization of $\mathcal{U}_Z(d,-1)$, and b_2 is the canonical isomorphism.

We have the following isomorphism induced by (92), (94) and $\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+\ell+2}\Psi_1$:

$$\Lambda:P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+\ell+2}\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_\dagger\psi^{(0)}_t(\mathcal{T}(tf))\simeq P\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+\ell+2}\psi^{(0)}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\iota_{Z\dagger}\mathcal{U}_Z(d,-1)\tag{96}$$

We obtain the compatibility of $\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+\ell+2}\Psi_1$ and the polarizations from the following lemma.

Lemma 5.10 *We have isomorphisms of $\mathcal{D}$-modules*

$$\Phi_0 : \phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_+\psi^{(0)}_t L(tf) \simeq \psi^{(0)}_{x^k}\iota_{Z+}\mathcal{O}_Z \tag{97}$$

$$\Phi_1 : \phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_+\psi^{(1)}_t L(tf) \simeq \psi^{(1)}_{x^k}\iota_{Z+}\mathcal{O}_Z \tag{98}$$

with the following property.

(P1) Φ_0 *induces the isomorphism of the $\mathcal{D}$-modules underlying Λ, and Φ_1^{-1} induces the isomorphism of the $\mathcal{D}$-modules underlying Λ^*.*

(P2) *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_+\psi^{(0)}_t L(tf) \arrow[r, "d_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_0"'] & \phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_+\psi^{(1)}_t L(tf) \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] \\
\psi^{(0)}_{x^k}\iota_{Z+}\mathcal{O}_Z \arrow[r, "d_2"] & \psi^{(1)}_{x^k}\iota_{Z+}\mathcal{O}_Z
\end{tikzcd} \tag{99}$$

Here, d_1 and d_2 are the morphisms of $\mathcal{D}$-modules underlying (93) *and* (95), *respectively.*

5.2.6 Construction of the morphisms Φ_i

According to Proposition 2.42, we have the isomorphism:

$$\pi_+\psi^{(a)}_t L(tf) \simeq \Xi'^{(a)}_{f^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \mathrm{Cok}\Big(\Pi^{a,N}_{f^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_X[*Z][!D] \xrightarrow{-s} \Pi^{a,N}_{f^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_X[!Z][*D]\Big) \\ \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\Pi^{-N,a}_{f^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_X[*Z][!D] \xrightarrow{-s} \Pi^{-N,a}_{f^{-1}}\mathcal{O}_X[!Z][*D]\Big) \tag{100}$$

We have the isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules (see Lemma 2.45 for the signature):

$$\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_+\psi^{(0)}_t L(tf) \simeq \mathrm{Cok}\Big(\iota_{Z+}\Pi^{0,N}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\mathcal{O}_Z[!D_Z] \xrightarrow{-1} \iota_{Z+}\Pi^{0,N}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\mathcal{O}_Z[*D_Z]\Big) \simeq \iota_{Z+}\psi^{(0)}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\mathcal{O}_Z \tag{101}$$

Let Φ_0 be the composite of the isomorphisms in (101).

We have the isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules:

$$\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_+\psi^{(1)}_t L(tf) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\iota_{Z+}\Pi^{-N,1}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\mathcal{O}_Z[!D_Z] \xrightarrow{-1} \Pi^{-N,1}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\mathcal{O}_Z[*D_Z]\Big) \simeq \iota_{Z+}\psi^{(1)}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\mathcal{O}_Z \tag{102}$$

We define Φ_1 as the composite of (102).

5.2.7 Property (P1)

Let Cok denote the cokernel of $L(tf)[!t] \longrightarrow L(tf)[*t]$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Pi^{0,N}_t L(tf)[*t] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L(tf)[*t] \arrow[d] \\
\psi^{(0)}_t L(tf) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Cok}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{103}$$

We apply $\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_+$ to (103). By the construction of the isomorphism Φ_0, (103) is transformed to the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\iota_{Z+}\Pi^{0,N}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\mathcal{O}_Z[*D_Z] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \iota_{Z+}\mathcal{O}_Z[*D_Z] \arrow[d] \\
\iota_{Z+}\psi^{(0)}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\mathcal{O}_Z \arrow[r] & \iota_{Z+}\big(\mathcal{O}_Z[*D_Z]/\mathcal{O}_Z\big)
\end{tikzcd}$$

It means that Φ_0 is the morphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules underlying Λ.

Similarly, let Ker_1 denote the kernel of $L(tf)[!t] \longrightarrow L(tf)[*t]$. Then, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker} \arrow[r] & \psi_t^{(1)} L(tf) \\
L(tf)[!t] \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \Pi^{-N,1} L(tf)[!t] \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{104}$$

We apply $\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_+$ to (104). Let Ker_2 denote the kernel of $\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Z[!D_Z] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$. Then, (104) is transformed to the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}_2 \arrow[r] & \iota_{Z+}\psi^{(1)}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\mathcal{O}_Z \\
\iota_{Z+}\mathcal{O}_Z[!D_Z] \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \iota_{Z+}\Pi^{-N,1}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\mathcal{O}_Z[!D_Z] \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

It implies that Φ_1 underlies Λ^*. Thus, we obtain that **(P1)** is satisfied.

5.2.8 Property (P2)

The morphism d_1 is induced by the following morphisms:

$$\psi_t^{(0)}L(tf) \xrightarrow{(-s)^{\ell}} \psi_t^{(0)}L(tf) \xrightarrow{(-1)^{d+1}} \psi_t^{(0)}L(tf) \overset{-s}{\simeq} \psi_t^{(1)}L(tf) \tag{105}$$

The morphism d_2 is induced by the following:

$$\psi^{(0)}_{x_k}\iota_{Z+}\mathcal{O}_Z \xrightarrow{(-s)^{\ell}} \psi^{(0)}_{x_k}\iota_{Z+}\mathcal{O}_Z \xrightarrow{(-1)^{d}} \psi^{(0)}_{x_k}\iota_{Z+}\mathcal{O}_Z \xrightarrow{-s} \psi^{(1)}_{x_k}\iota_{Z+}\mathcal{O}_Z \tag{106}$$

We remark that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_+\psi_t^{(0)}L(tf) \arrow[r, "s"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_0"'] & \phi^{(0)}_{x_0}\pi_+\psi_t^{(1)}L(tf) \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] \\
\psi^{(0)}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\iota_{Z+}\mathcal{O}_Z \arrow[r, "-s"] & \psi^{(1)}_{x^{\boldsymbol{k}}}\iota_{Z+}\mathcal{O}_Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

The signature comes from -1 in (101) and (102). Hence, we obtain the desired commutativity of (99). Thus, we finish the proof of Lemma 5.10 and Proposition 5.7. ∎

6 Mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules and GKZ-hypergeometric systems

6.1 Better behaved GKZ-hypergeometric systems

6.1.1 The associated toric varieties

We consider a finite subset $\mathcal{A} := \{\boldsymbol{a}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{(0,\ldots,0)\}$, where $\boldsymbol{a}_i = (a_{ij}\,|\,j = 1,\ldots,n)$. We assume that $\mathcal{A}$ generates $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Formally, we set $\boldsymbol{a}_0 := (0,\ldots,0)$.

We set $T^n := \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1},\ldots,t_n^{\pm 1}]$. The associated complex manifold is also denoted by T^n if there is no risk of confusion. We consider a morphism $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : T^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ given by $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = [1 : t^{\boldsymbol{a}_1} : \ldots : t^{\boldsymbol{a}_m}]$, where $t^{\boldsymbol{a}_i} := \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{a_{ij}}$. Let $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the closure of $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}(T^n)$ in $\mathbb{P}^m$.

For any subset $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{B})$ denote the convex hull of $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that 0 is an interior point of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A} \cup \{0\})$ if and only if 0 is an interior point of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$.

For any face σ of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A} \cup \{0\})$, we put $I_\sigma := \sigma \cap \big(\mathcal{A} \cup \{0\}\big)$ and $J_\sigma := (\mathcal{A} \cup \{0\}) \setminus I_\sigma$. We have the subspace

$$\mathbb{P}_\sigma := \big\{[z_0 : z_1 : \cdots : z_m] \,\big|\, z_j = 0 \ (a_j \in J_\sigma)\big\}.$$

We set $\mathbb{P}^*_\sigma := \big\{[z_0 : \ldots : z_m] \in \mathbb{P}_\sigma \,\big|\, z_i \neq 0 \ (i \in I_\sigma), z_i = 0 \ (i \in J_\sigma)\big\}$. Recall the following.

Proposition 6.1 (Proposition 1.9 [16]) *For any face σ of* $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A}\cup\{0\})$, *we have the non-empty intersection* $X_{\mathcal{A}}^{\sigma}=X_{\mathcal{A}}\cap\mathbb{P}_{\sigma}^{*}$, *and it is a* T^n*-orbit of* $[z_0^{\sigma}:\cdots:z_m^{\sigma}]$, *where* $z_i^{\sigma}=1$ $(i\in I_{\sigma})$ *or* $z_i^{\sigma}=0$ $(i\in J_{\sigma})$. *We have the decomposition into the orbits* $X_{\mathcal{A}}=\coprod_{\sigma}X_{\mathcal{A}}^{\sigma}$ *where σ runs through the set of faces of* $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A}\cup\{0\})$. ▮

Recall that we have a desingularization of $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ in the category of toric varieties. (See [7] for example.) Namely, there exist a smooth fan Σ and a toric birational projective morphism $\varphi_{\Sigma}:X_{\Sigma}\longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$, where X_{Σ} denotes the smooth toric variety associated to Σ. In that situation, we set $D_{\Sigma}:=\bigcup_{\tau\in\Sigma(1)}D_{\tau}$, where $\Sigma(1)$ denotes the set of the 1-dimensional cones of Σ, and $D_{\tau}\subset X_{\Sigma}$ denotes the hypersurface corresponding to τ. We have $T^n=X_{\Sigma}\setminus D_{\Sigma}$.

6.1.2 $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to families of Laurent polynomials

Suppose that we are given an algebraic map $\gamma:S\longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^m,\mathcal{O}(1))$. It determines a family of Laurent polynomials $(\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\times\mathrm{id}_S)^*(s/z_0)$ on $T^n\times S$ denoted by F_{γ}. We obtain the algebraic $\mathcal{D}$-module $L_{T^n\times S}(F_{\gamma})$ on $T^n\times S$ given as the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{T^n\times S}\cdot e$ with $\nabla e=e\,dF_{\gamma}$, where e denotes a global frame. We obtain the following algebraic $\mathcal{D}$-modules on $\mathbb{P}^m\times S$:

$$L_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma):=(\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\times\mathrm{id}_S)_{\star}L_{T^n\times S}(F_{\gamma})\quad(\star=!,*)$$

The image of $L_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\longrightarrow L_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ is denoted by $L_{\min}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$, which is the minimal extension of $L_{T^n\times S}(F_{\gamma})$ on $\mathbb{P}^m\times S$ via $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\times\mathrm{id}_S$. Let $\pi:\mathbb{P}^m\times S\longrightarrow S$ denote the projection. We obtain the algebraic $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\pi_+^iL_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ $(\star=*,!)$ and $\pi_+^iL_{\min}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$.

It is convenient for us to take a toric desingularization $\varphi_{\Sigma}:X_{\Sigma}\longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$ when we work in the complex analytic setting or when we are interested in the twistor property of the $\mathcal{D}$-modules. We set $(X_{\Sigma,S},D_{\Sigma,S}):=(X_{\Sigma},D_{\Sigma})\times S$. Let $\overline{\varphi}_{\Sigma,S}$ denote $X_{\Sigma,S}\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}^m\times S$ which is the composite of $\varphi_{\Sigma}\times\mathrm{id}_S$ and the inclusion $X_{\mathcal{A}}\times S\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}^m\times S$. We have the meromorphic function $F_{\gamma,\Sigma}:=\overline{\varphi}_{\Sigma,S}^*(s)/\overline{\varphi}_{\Sigma,S}^*(z_0)$ on $(X_{\Sigma},D_{\Sigma})\times S$. We obtain the $\mathcal{D}_{X_{\Sigma,S}}$-modules $L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})$ $(\star=*,!)$ on $X_{\Sigma,S}$. Then, we have $\overline{\varphi}_{\Sigma,S+}^{i}L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})=0$ for $i\neq 0$. In the algebraic case, we have $\overline{\varphi}_{\Sigma,S+}^{0}L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\simeq L_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$. In the complex analytic setting, we adopt it as the definition, i.e., $L_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma):=\overline{\varphi}_{\Sigma,S+}^{0}L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})$. Let $\pi_{\Sigma}:X_{\Sigma}\times S\longrightarrow S$ denote the projection. Then, we have the following natural isomorphisms for $\star=*,!$:

$$\pi_{\Sigma+}L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\simeq\pi_+L_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\tag{107}$$

If we are given another desingularization $\varphi_{\Sigma'}:X_{\Sigma'}\longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$, we can find a smooth fan Σ'' and toric morphisms $\psi_1:X_{\Sigma''}\longrightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ and $\psi_2:X_{\Sigma''}\longrightarrow X_{\Sigma'}$ such that $\varphi_{\Sigma}\circ\psi_1=\varphi_{\Sigma'}\circ\psi_2=:\varphi_{\Sigma''}$. We have natural isomorphisms $(\psi_1\times\mathrm{id}_S)_+L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma''},D_{\Sigma'',S})\simeq(\psi_1\times\mathrm{id}_S)_+^0L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma''},D_{\Sigma'',S})\simeq L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})$. We have similar isomorphisms for $L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'},D_{\Sigma',S})$. Hence, we have the following natural isomorphisms on $\mathbb{P}^m\times S$:

$$\overline{\varphi}_{\Sigma+}^0L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\simeq\overline{\varphi}_{\Sigma''+}^0L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma''},D_{\Sigma'',S})\simeq\overline{\varphi}_{\Sigma'+}^0L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'},D_{\Sigma',S})$$

In this sense, the $\mathcal{D}$-modules $L_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ are independent of the choice of a resolution. We also have the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\pi_{\Sigma+}^iL_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\simeq\pi_{\Sigma''+}^iL_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma''},D_{\Sigma'',S})\simeq\pi_{\Sigma'+}^iL_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'},D_{\Sigma',S})\simeq\pi_+^iL_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\tag{108}$$

Remark 6.2 *Although it is convenient for us to take a toric desingularization X_{Σ} of $X_{\mathcal{A}}$, we can also use any toric completion of T^n for the study of $\pi_+^iL_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$. Let X_{Σ_1} be any n-dimensional smooth toric variety. We fix an inclusion $T^n\subset X_{\Sigma_1}$. The family of Laurent polynomials F_{γ} gives a meromorphic function F_{γ,Σ_1} on $(X_{\Sigma_1,S},D_{\Sigma_1,S})$. Then, we naturally have $\pi_{\Sigma_1+}L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1})\simeq\pi_{\Sigma+}L_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma})\simeq\pi_+L_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$.* ▮

Let $\gamma_i:S\longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^m,\mathcal{O}(1))$ $(i=1,2)$ be holomorphic maps. We give some conditions under which we have $\pi_+^iL_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma_1)\simeq\pi_+^iL_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma_2)$. We have the T^n-action on $\mathbb{P}^m$ given by $(t_1,\ldots,t_n)[z_0:\cdots:z_m]=[t^{\boldsymbol{a}_0}z_0:\cdots:t^{\boldsymbol{a}_m}z_m]$. For $b\in T^n$, let m_b denote the induced action on $\mathbb{P}^m$, and let m_b^* denote the induced action on $H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m,\mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)$.

Lemma 6.3 *Suppose that there exists a holomorphic map* $\kappa : S \longrightarrow T^n$ *such that* $\gamma_1 = m_\kappa^* \gamma_2$, *i.e.,* $\gamma_1(x) = m_{\kappa(x)}^* \gamma_2(x)$ *for any* $x \in S$. *Then, we have the natural isomorphisms* $\pi_+ L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma_1) \simeq L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma_2)$ *for* $\star = *, !$. *If* γ_i *and* κ *are algebraic, then we have the isomorphisms of algebraic* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules.*

Proof We have the isomorphism $\widetilde{m}_\kappa : \mathbb{P}^m \times S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m \times S$ induced by κ and the identity of S. We have an isomorphism $\widetilde{m}_{\kappa +} L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma_1) \simeq L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma_2)$. Applying π_+, we obtain the desired isomorphisms. ∎

The following lemma is clear by construction.

Lemma 6.4 *Let* $\rho : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ *be a holomorphic map such that* $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 + \rho \cdot z_0$. *Then, we have the isomorphisms of the analytic* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules* $L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma_1) \simeq L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma_2)$. *In particular, we have the isomorphisms of the analytic* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules* $\pi_+^i L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma_1) \simeq \pi_+^i L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma_2)$. ∎

6.1.3 Non-degenerate families of sections

Let $p_1 : \mathbb{P}^m \times S \longrightarrow S$ be the projection. Let $\gamma : S \longrightarrow H^0\big(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)\big)$ be any holomorphic map. We have the expression $\gamma(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m \gamma_i(x) z_i$. It determines a family of Laurent polynomials $F_\gamma(x,t) = \sum_{i=0}^m \gamma_i(x) t^{\boldsymbol{a}_i}$. For any face σ of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A} \cup \{0\})$, we consider the associated family of Laurent polynomials $F_{\gamma,\sigma}(x,t) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{a}_i \in \sigma} \gamma_i(x) t^{\boldsymbol{a}_i}$. We can regard it as a function on $T^n \times S$. Let $dF_{\gamma,\sigma}$ denote the exterior derivative of $F_{\gamma,\sigma}$, which is a section of $\Omega^1_{T^n \times S}$. We use the following non-degeneracy condition which is a minor generalization of the classical non-degeneracy condition [33] (see also [1], [45]) in the sense that we also consider the derivatives in the S-direction.

Definition 6.5 γ *is called non-degenerate at* ∞ *for* $X_\mathcal{A}$ *if we have* $(F_{\gamma,\sigma})^{-1}(0) \cap (dF_{\gamma,\sigma})^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ *for any face* σ *of* $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A} \cup \{0\})$ *such that* $0 \notin \sigma$. ∎

The condition is equivalent to that the zero-divisors of $F_{\gamma,\sigma}$ are smooth and reduced for any face σ of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A} \cup \{0\})$ such that $0 \notin \sigma$. We remark the following.

Lemma 6.6 *If* $\sigma \subsetneq \mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A} \cup \{0\})$ *and* $0 \notin \sigma$, *we have* $(F_{\gamma,\sigma})^{-1}(0) \cap (dF_{\gamma,\sigma})^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ *if and only if* $(dF_{\gamma,\sigma})^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$.

Proof Under the assumption, there exists $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) \in (\mathbb{Q}^n)^\vee$ such that $\langle \beta, \boldsymbol{a}_i \rangle = 1$ for any $\boldsymbol{a}_i \in I_\sigma$. Because $\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j t_j \partial_{t_j}\Big) t^{\boldsymbol{a}_i} = \langle \beta, \boldsymbol{a}_i \rangle \cdot t^{\boldsymbol{a}} = t^{\boldsymbol{a}}$, we have $F_{\gamma,\sigma}^{-1}(0) \supset \big(dF_{\gamma,\sigma}\big)^{-1}(0)$. Hence, the condition $F_{\gamma,\sigma}^{-1}(0) \cap (dF_{\gamma,\sigma})^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ is equivalent to that $\big(dF_{\gamma,\sigma}\big)^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$. ∎

Let us reword the condition. We have the section s_γ of the line bundle $p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)$ such that $s_{\gamma | \mathbb{P}^m \times \{x\}} = \gamma(x) \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1))$ for any $x \in S$. We set $H_\gamma := s_\gamma^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{P}^m \times S$. We also put $H_\infty := \{z_0 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^m$.

Lemma 6.7 *Let* $\gamma : S \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1))$ *be a morphism. For any face* σ *of* $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A} \cup \{0\})$, *the following conditions are equivalent.*

- $(F_{\gamma,\sigma})^{-1}(0) \cap (dF_{\gamma,\sigma})^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$.
- *There exists an open neighbourhood* $\mathcal{U}_1$ *of* $X_\mathcal{A}^\sigma \times S$ *in* $\mathbb{P}^m \times S$ *such that (i)* $H_\gamma \cap \mathcal{U}_1$ *is smooth (ii)* H_γ *is transversal with* $X_\mathcal{A}^\sigma \times S$.

Proof Let $Q \in X_\mathcal{A}^\sigma$ be the point $[z_0^\sigma : \cdots : z_m^\sigma]$ as in §6.1.1. By using the T^n-action on $X_\mathcal{A}^\sigma$, we consider the map $\psi : T^n \times S \longrightarrow X_\mathcal{A}^\sigma \times S$ given by $\psi(t,x) = (t \cdot Q, x)$. Take any $i_0 \in I_\sigma$. We have

$$\psi^*(s_\gamma)/\psi^*(z_{i_0}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{a}_i \in I_\sigma} \gamma_i(x) t^{\boldsymbol{a}_i - \boldsymbol{a}_{i_0}} =: G_{\gamma,\sigma}(t,x).$$

Then, the second condition holds if and only if (i) $G_{\gamma,\sigma}$ is not constantly 0, (ii) the divisor $(G_{\gamma,\sigma}) = (F_{\gamma,\sigma})$ is smooth. It is equivalent to that $(dF_{\gamma,\sigma})^{-1}(0) \cap F_{\gamma,\sigma}^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$. ∎

Corollary 6.8 γ *is non-degenerate at* ∞ *for* $X_\mathcal{A}$ *if and only if the following holds:*

- *There exists a neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $H_\infty \times S$ in $\mathbb{P}^m \times S$ such that $H_\gamma \cap \mathcal{U}$ is a smooth hypersurface in $\mathcal{U}$.*
- *If σ is a face of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A} \cup \{0\})$ such that $0 \notin \sigma$, then H_γ is transversal with $X_{\mathcal{A}}^{\sigma} \times S$.* $\blacksquare$

The first condition in this corollary is trivial if the image of γ does not contain $0 \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1))$. The conditions particularly imply that $\gamma(U) \not\subset \mathbb{C} \cdot z_0$ for any open subset $U \subset S$.

Let $\varphi_\Sigma : X_\Sigma \longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$ be any toric desingularization. Let $\overline{\varphi}_\Sigma : X_\Sigma \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ be the composite of φ_Σ and the inclusion $X_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$.

Lemma 6.9 *Let $\gamma : S \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1))$ be a holomorphic map which is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then, $F_{\gamma,\Sigma}$ is non-degenerate along $D_\Sigma \times S$. We also have $|(F_{\gamma,\Sigma})_\infty| = \overline{\varphi}_\Sigma^{-1}(H_\infty) \times S$.*

Proof Let T_ρ be a T^n-orbit in X_Σ contained in $\varphi_\Sigma^{-1}(H_\infty)$. Note that $\varphi_\Sigma(T_\rho)$ is contained in $X_\Sigma \cap H_\infty$, and the morphism $T_\rho \longrightarrow \varphi_\Sigma(T_\rho)$ is smooth. Let $\overline{\varphi}_{\Sigma,S} := \overline{\varphi}_\Sigma \times \mathrm{id}_S$. The restriction of $\overline{\varphi}^*_{\Sigma,S}(s_\gamma)$ to $T_\rho \times S$ is not 0, and the 0-divisor of $\overline{\varphi}^*_{\Sigma,S}(s_\gamma)_{|T_\rho \times S}$ is smooth. Then, the claims of the lemma are easy to see. $\blacksquare$

Any element $s \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1))$ determines a morphism γ_s from the one-point set to $H^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1))$.

Definition 6.10 *We say that s is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ if γ_s is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ in the sense of Definition 6.5.* $\blacksquare$

It is standard that there exist non-empty Zariski open subsets $U \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1))$ such that any $s \in U$ is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $U_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ be the union of such open subsets.

6.1.4 Basic examples of non-degenerate family of sections

The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 6.11 *A holomorphic map $\gamma : S \longrightarrow U_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$.* $\blacksquare$

Note that even if $\gamma : S \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1))$ is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$, the image of γ is not necessarily contained in $U_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$. The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 6.12 *Let $\gamma : S \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1))$ be a holomorphic map. Let $\Phi_\gamma : H_\gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ be the induced morphism, i.e., the composite of the inclusion $H_\gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m \times S$ and the projection $\mathbb{P}^m \times S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$. Suppose that H_γ is a smooth hypersurface, and that any critical value of Φ_γ is not contained in $X_{\mathcal{A}} \cap H_\infty$. Then, γ is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$.* $\blacksquare$

Example 6.13 *We set $W := \big\{\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i z_i\big\} \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Then, the inclusion $\iota : W \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1))$ is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ for any $\mathcal{A}$.*

Indeed, we set $\widetilde{H}_\iota \subset \big(\mathbb{C}^{m+1} \setminus \{(0,\ldots,0)\}\big) \times W := \Big\{(z_0,\ldots,z_m;\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m) \,\Big|\, \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i z_i = 0\Big\}$. Then, $\widetilde{H}_\iota$ is clearly smooth. Because H_ι is the quotient of $\widetilde{H}_\iota$ by the natural free $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, H_ι is also smooth. Let $\widetilde{H}_\iota \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \setminus \{(0,\ldots,0)\}$ be the projection. Then, the critical values are $\{(z_0,0,\ldots,0) \,|\, z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$. Hence, the set of the critical values of $\Phi_\iota : H_\iota \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ is $\{[1:0:\cdots:0]\}$. Then, by Lemma 6.12, we obtain that ι is non-degenerate. $\blacksquare$

Set $W^* := \big\{\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i z_i \,\big|\, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^*\big\}$. We have the action of T^n on W^* by $t \cdot (\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m) = (t^{\boldsymbol{a}_1}\alpha_1,\ldots,t^{\boldsymbol{a}_m}\alpha_m)$. The action is free because $\mathcal{A}$ generates $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $q : W^* \longrightarrow W^*/T^n$ be the projection.

Lemma 6.14 *Let $\gamma : S \longrightarrow W^*$ be any holomorphic map such that $q \circ \gamma$ is submersive. Then, γ is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$.*

Proof Let σ be any face of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A} \cup \{0\})$ such that $0 \notin \sigma$. Take any $(P,x) \in H_\gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^m \times S$ such that $P \in X_{\mathcal{A}}^\sigma$. We have $P \in H_{\gamma(x)}$. Let $\Delta_\epsilon := \big\{z \in \mathbb{C} \,\big|\, |z| < \epsilon\big\}$ for $\epsilon > 0$. For any holomorphic map $g : \Delta_\epsilon \longrightarrow W^*$, we have the family of hyperplanes $H_g \subset \mathbb{P}^m \times \Delta_\epsilon$, and we obtain the induced map $\Phi_g : H_g \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$. Because $P \neq [1:0:\cdots:0]$, we can find a holomorphic map $g_0 : \Delta \longrightarrow W^*$ such that (i) $g_0(0) = \gamma(x)$, (ii) the tangent map of Φ_{g_0} at $(P,0)$ is an isomorphism. For some $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon$, we can find holomorphic maps $g_1 : \Delta_{\epsilon'} \longrightarrow S$ and $h_1 : \Delta_{\epsilon'} \longrightarrow T^n$ such that (i) $g_1(0) = x$, (ii) $h_1(0) = 1$, (iii) $\gamma \circ g_1(x) = h_1(x) \cdot g_0(x)$ for $x \in \Delta_{\epsilon'}$. Then, it is easy to see that the tangent map of Φ_γ at (P,x) is surjective. $\blacksquare$

Example 6.15 *Let $r : W^*/T^n \longrightarrow W^*$ be any section of q. Then, the induced morphism $r : W^*/T^n \longrightarrow H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)$ is non-degenerate.* ∎

6.1.5 $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to non-degenerate families of sections

Let $\gamma : S \longrightarrow H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)$ be any holomorphic map. Suppose that γ is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. We have the $\mathcal{D}$-modules $L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$ on $\mathbb{P}^m \times S$. We set $H_{\infty,S} := H_\infty \times S$.

Lemma 6.16 *We naturally have $L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)(*H_{\infty,S}) \simeq L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$ $(\star = *, !)$.*

Proof For a desingularization $\varphi_\Sigma : X_\Sigma \longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$, we obtain the $\mathcal{D}$-modules $L_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma}, D_{\Sigma,S})$. Because $F_{\gamma,\Sigma}$ is non-degenerate along $D_{\Sigma,S}$, we have

$$L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)(*H_{\infty,S}) \simeq \overline{\varphi}^0_{\Sigma,S+}\Bigl(L_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma}, D_{\Sigma,S})\bigl(*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma})_\infty\bigr)\Bigr) \simeq \overline{\varphi}^0_{\Sigma,S+}\bigl(L_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma}, D_{\Sigma,S})\bigr) \simeq L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma).$$

Thus, we are done. ∎

Let $K_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$ and $C_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$ denote the kernel and the cokernel of $L_!(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \longrightarrow L_*(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$.

Corollary 6.17 *We have $M(*H_{\infty,S}) \simeq M$ for the $\mathcal{D}$-modules $M = K_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}, C_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}, L_{\min}(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$.* ∎

Proposition 6.18 *We have $\pi^i_+ M = 0$ $(i \neq 0)$ for the $\mathcal{D}$-modules*

$$M = L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)\ (\star = *, !), L_{\min}(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma), K_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}, C_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}.$$

Proof Let us prove $\pi^i_+ L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) = 0$ $(i \neq 0)$. By using the duality, it is enough to prove that $\pi^i_+ L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and for $*, !$. By Lemma 6.16, we have $R^j\pi_*\bigl(L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \otimes \Omega^i_{\mathbb{P}^m}\bigr) = 0$ for any $j > 0$ and any i. By using the expression

$$\pi^j_+ L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \simeq \mathbb{R}^j\pi_{\Sigma *}\bigl(\Omega^{\bullet+m}_{\mathbb{P}^m \times S/S} \otimes L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)\bigr),$$

we obtain the claim.

Let us prove the vanishings for $K_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$, $C_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$ and $L_{\min}(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$. By Corollary 6.17, we obtain $\pi^i_+ K_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma} = 0$, $\pi^i_+ C_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma} = 0$ and $\pi^i_+ L_{\min}(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Let $-\gamma : S \longrightarrow H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)$ denote the composite of γ and the multiplication of -1 on $H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)$. We naturally have $\boldsymbol{D}K_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma} \simeq C_{\mathcal{A},S,-\gamma}$, $\boldsymbol{D}C_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma} \simeq K_{\mathcal{A},S,-\gamma}$, and $\boldsymbol{D}L_{\min}(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \simeq L_{\min}(\mathcal{A}, S, -\gamma)$. Then, we obtain $\pi^i_+ K_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma} = 0$, $\pi^i_+ C_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma} = 0$ and $\pi^i_+ L_{\min}(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) = 0$ for $i < 0$. ∎

Corollary 6.19 *The following are exact sequences:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi^0_+ K_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma} \longrightarrow \pi^0_+ L_!(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \longrightarrow \pi^0_+ L_{\min}(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi^0_+ L_{\min}(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \longrightarrow \pi^0_+ L_*(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \longrightarrow \pi^0_+ C_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma} \longrightarrow 0$$

In particular, $\pi^0_+ L_{\min}(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$ is isomorphic to the image of $\pi^0_+ L_!(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \longrightarrow \pi^0_+ L_*(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$. ∎

Corollary 6.20 *For any desingularization $\varphi_\Sigma : X_\Sigma \longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$, we have $\pi^i_{\Sigma+} L_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma}, D_{\Sigma,S}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.* ∎

Corollary 6.21 *Suppose that γ satisfies the stronger condition that $\gamma(S) \subset U^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then, the $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\pi^0_+ L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$, $\pi^0_+ L_{\min}(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$, $\pi^0_+ K_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$ and $\pi^0_+ C_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules with a flat connection.*

Proof We obtain the claims for $\pi^0_+ L_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$ from Corollary 2.18 and the isomorphisms (107). Then, the claims for the others follows. ∎

Suppose moreover that 0 is an interior point of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$. In this case, we have $L_!(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \simeq L_{\min}(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \simeq L_*(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$, which is denoted by $L(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$. We have $\pi^i_+ L(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. For a desingularization $\varphi_\Sigma : X_\Sigma \longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$, we have the $\mathcal{D}$-module $L(F_{\Sigma,\gamma}) = L_!(F_{\gamma,\Sigma}, D_{\Sigma,S}) = L_*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma}, D_{\Sigma,S})$. We have the following corollary as a special case.

Corollary 6.22 *If* 0 *is an interior point of* $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$*, we have* $\pi^i_{\Sigma+}L(F_{\gamma,\Sigma})=0$ *for* $i\neq 0$*. We naturally have* $\pi^0_{\Sigma+}L(F_{\gamma,\Sigma})\simeq\pi^0_+L(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$*.* ▮

Example 6.23 *The* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules associated to the non-degenerate section in Example* 6.13 *are called the GKZ-hypergeometric systems. The* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules associated to the non-degenerate sections in Example* 6.15 *are called the reduced GKZ-hypergeometric systems. Note that it is independent of the choice of a section, according to Lemma* 6.3*.* ▮

6.1.6 De Rham complexes

Let $\gamma: S\longrightarrow H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m,\mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)$ be a holomorphic map which is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. Take a toric desingularization $\varphi_\Sigma: X_\Sigma\longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proposition 6.24 *If* 0 *is contained in the interior part of* $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$*, we have the following natural isomorphism for* $L(F_{\gamma,\Sigma})=L_!(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})=L_*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})$*:*

$$\pi^0_{\Sigma+}\bigl(L(F_{\gamma,\Sigma})\bigr)\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_{\Sigma*}\bigl(\Omega^\bullet_{X_{\Sigma,S}/S}(*D_{\Sigma,S}),d+dF_{\gamma,\Sigma}\bigr)\tag{109}$$

If γ *is algebraic, it means* $\pi^0_+\bigl(L(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\bigr)\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\bigl(\Omega^\bullet_{T^n\times S/S},d+dF_\gamma\bigr)$*.*

If 0 *is a boundary point of* $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A}\cup\{0\})$*, we have the following isomorphisms:*

$$\pi^0_{\Sigma+}L_*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_{\Sigma*}\Bigl(\Omega^\bullet_{X_{\Sigma,S}/S}(\log D_{\Sigma,S})(*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma})_\infty),d+dF_{\gamma,\Sigma}\Bigr)\tag{110}$$

$$\pi^0_{\Sigma+}L_!(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_{\Sigma*}\Bigl(\Omega^\bullet_{X_{\Sigma,S}/S}(\log D_{\Sigma,S})(-D_{\Sigma,S})(*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma})_\infty),d+dF_{\gamma,\Sigma}\Bigr)\tag{111}$$

Proof We immediately (109) from $L(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\simeq\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\Sigma,S}}(*D_{\Sigma,S}),d+dF_{\gamma,\Sigma}\bigr)$. By applying the arguments in §2.2.6 and §2.2.7, we obtain (110) and (111). ▮

Let $\check{\varphi}_{\mathcal{A}}:\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}\longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the normalization of $X_{\mathcal{A}}$, which is the normal toric variety. Let us rewrite (110) and (111) in terms of $\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}$. We put $\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}}:=\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}\setminus T^n$. We also set $\check{D}_{\mathcal{A},\infty}:=\check{\varphi}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(X_{\mathcal{A}}\cap H_\infty)$.

Let $\Omega^i_{\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}}(\log\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}})$ denote the sheaf of logarithmic i-forms $(\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}})$ as in [3]. Let $\Omega^i_{\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ denote the sheaf of i-forms on $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ whose restrictions to each stratum of $\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is 0 as in [8]. Let $\Omega^\bullet_{\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}\times S/S}(\log\check{D}_{\mathcal{A},S})$ and $\Omega^\bullet_{(\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}})\times S/S}$ denote the pull back of $\Omega^\bullet_{\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}}(\log\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $\Omega^\bullet_{(\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}})}$ by the projection $\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}\times S\longrightarrow\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}$, respectively.

Proposition 6.25 *We have the following isomorphisms:*

$$\pi^0_+L_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\Bigl(\Omega^\bullet_{\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}\times S/S}(\log\check{D}_{\mathcal{A},S})(*\check{D}_{\mathcal{A},\infty,S}),d+dF_\gamma\Bigr)\tag{112}$$

$$\pi^0_+L_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\Bigl(\Omega^\bullet_{(\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}})\times S/S}(*\check{D}_{\mathcal{A},\infty,S}),d+dF_\gamma\Bigr)\tag{113}$$

Proof According to [3, Lemma 6.1], we have

$$R\varphi_{\Sigma*}\Omega^i_{X_\Sigma}(\log D_\Sigma)\simeq\Omega^i_{\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}}(\log\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}}).\tag{114}$$

For a smooth toric variety X_Σ, we have $\Omega^i_{X_\Sigma,D_\Sigma}\simeq\Omega^i_{X_\Sigma}(\log D_\Sigma)(-D_\Sigma)$. According to [8, Proposition 1.8], we have

$$R\varphi_{\Sigma*}\Omega^i_{X_\Sigma}(\log D_\Sigma)(-D_\Sigma)\simeq\Omega^i_{\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}}}.\tag{115}$$

Then, we obtain (112) and (113) from (110) and (111). ▮

In the algebraic setting, we set $X^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}:=X_{\mathcal{A}}\setminus H_\infty$. Let $\check{\varphi}^{\mathrm{aff}}:\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}\longrightarrow X^{\mathrm{aff}}$ denote the normalization. Let $\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}:=\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}\setminus T^n$. We obtain the following similarly.

Proposition 6.26 *We have the following isomorphisms:*

$$\pi^0_{+}L_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\Bigl(\Omega^{\bullet}_{\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A},S}/S}(\log\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A},S}),d+dF_{\gamma}\Bigr)\tag{116}$$

$$\pi^0_{+}L_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\Bigl(\Omega^{\bullet}_{(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A},S},\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A},S})/S},d+dF_{\gamma}\Bigr)\tag{117}$$

∎

Remark 6.27 *In the case of Example* 6.13, *by using Proposition* E.4, *we can describe* (116) *and* (117) *as the $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to better behaved GKZ-systems in* [5].

6.2 Mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules

6.2.1 Mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to families of Laurent polynomials

We use the notation in §6.1. Let $\gamma:S\longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^m,\mathcal{O}(1))$ be a holomorphic map. We take a toric desingularization $\varphi_{\Sigma}:X_{\Sigma}\longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $d_S:=\dim S$. We have the integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})$ ($\star=*,!$) with real structure on $X_{\Sigma}\times S$:

$$\mathcal{T}_*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})=\Bigl(\lambda^{n+d_S}\mathcal{L}_!(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S}),\mathcal{L}_*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S}),\mathcal{C}_*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\Bigr).$$

$$\mathcal{T}_!(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})=\Bigl(\lambda^{n+d_S}\mathcal{L}_*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S}),\mathcal{L}_!(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S}),\mathcal{C}_!(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\Bigr).$$

The weight filtration is denoted by W. The image of $\mathcal{T}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\min}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$.

On $\mathbb{P}^m\times S$, we obtain the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{P}^m\times S}$-modules $\mathcal{L}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma):=\varphi^0_{\Sigma,S+}\mathcal{L}_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})$ and the integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with induced real structure $\mathcal{T}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma):=\overline{\varphi}^0_{\Sigma,S+}\mathcal{T}_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})$:

$$\mathcal{T}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)=\Bigl(\lambda^{n+d_S}\mathcal{L}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma),\mathcal{L}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma),\mathcal{C}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\Bigr)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)=\Bigl(\lambda^{n+d_S}\mathcal{L}_*(F_{\gamma},D_{\mathcal{A},S}),\mathcal{L}_!(F_{\gamma},D_{\mathcal{A},S}),\mathcal{C}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\Bigr)$$

Here, $\mathcal{C}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ ($\star=*,!$) are obtained as the push-forward of $\mathcal{C}_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})$. They are twistor enhancement of $L_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$. As in the case of $\mathcal{D}$-modules (§6.1.2), they are independent of the choice of a toric desingularization. We also obtain integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structure $\pi^i_{\dagger}\bigl(\mathcal{T}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\bigr)$ ($\star=*,!$) on S which are naturally isomorphic to $\pi^i_{\Sigma\dagger}\bigl(\mathcal{T}_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\bigr)$.

Remark 6.28 *Let X_{Σ_1} be any n-dimensional smooth toric variety with a fixed inclusion $T^n\subset X_{\Sigma_1}$. We have the meromorphic function F_{γ,Σ_1} on $(X_{\Sigma_1,S},D_{\Sigma_1,S})$ associated to the family of Laurent polynomials F_{γ}. We have the associated integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1,S})$. As in the case of $\mathcal{D}$-modules, we naturally have $\pi^i_{\Sigma_1\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_1},D_{\Sigma_1,S})\simeq\pi^i_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$.* ∎

6.2.2 Non-degenerate family of sections

Let $\gamma:S\longrightarrow H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)\bigr)$ be a holomorphic map which is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proposition 6.29 *We naturally have $\mathcal{L}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)(*H_{\infty,S})\simeq\mathcal{L}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$.*

Proof We obtain the claim by using the argument in Lemma 6.16 together with Corollary 3.12 and Proposition 3.13. ∎

Let $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$ denote the kernel and the cokernel of $\mathcal{T}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$.

Corollary 6.30 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{P}^m\times S}$-modules underlying $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$ or $\mathcal{T}_{\min}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$. Then, we have $\mathcal{M}(*H_{\infty,S})\simeq\mathcal{M}$.* ∎

We obtain the following from Proposition 6.18.

Proposition 6.31 *We have* $\pi^i_+\mathcal{T}=0$ $(i\neq 0)$ *for the integrable mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules for* $\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{T}_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ $(\star=*,!)$ $\mathcal{T}_{\min}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$*,* $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$*, and* $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$*.* ▮

We obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 6.32 *We have the following exact sequences of integrable mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules on* S*:*

$$0\longrightarrow \pi^0_+\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}\longrightarrow \pi^0_+\mathcal{T}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\longrightarrow \pi^0_+\mathcal{T}_{\min}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\longrightarrow 0$$

$$0\longrightarrow \pi^0_+\mathcal{T}_{\min}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\longrightarrow \pi^0_+\mathcal{T}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\longrightarrow \pi^0_+\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}\longrightarrow 0$$

As a result, the image of the morphism $\pi^0_+\mathcal{T}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\longrightarrow\pi^0_+\mathcal{T}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ *is naturally isomorphic to the integrable pure twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-module* $\pi^0_+\mathcal{T}_{\min}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$*. Moreover, we have*

$$\operatorname{Gr}^W_{n+d_S}\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\simeq\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_{\min}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\simeq\operatorname{Gr}^W_{n+d_S}\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma).$$

▮

Corollary 6.33 *For any desingularization* $\varphi_\Sigma:X_\Sigma\longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$*, we have* $\pi^i_{\Sigma\dagger}\big(\mathcal{T}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\big)=0$ $(i\neq 0)$. ▮

6.2.3 Descriptions in terms of the de Rham complexes

Let $\gamma:S\longrightarrow H^0\big(\mathbb{P}^m,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)\big)$ be a morphism which is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. We give descriptions of the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules $\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{L}_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$. We use the notation in §3.2.4 and §6.1.6.

Proposition 6.34 *Take a toric desingularization* $\gamma:X_\Sigma\longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$*. If* 0 *is an interior point of* $\operatorname{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$*, we have*

$$\pi^0_{\Sigma\dagger}\big(\mathcal{L}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma})\big)\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_{\Sigma*}\big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X_{\Sigma,S}/S}(*D_{\Sigma,S}),d+\lambda^{-1}dF_{\gamma,\Sigma}\big).$$

If moreover γ *is algebraic, it means* $\pi^0_\dagger\big(\mathcal{L}(F_\gamma)\big)\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{T^n\times S/S},d+\lambda^{-1}dF_\gamma\big)$*.*

If 0 *is a boundary point of* $\operatorname{Conv}(\mathcal{A}\cup\{0\})$*, we have the following natural isomorphisms:*

$$\pi^0_{\Sigma\dagger}\mathcal{L}_*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_{\Sigma*}\Big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X_{\Sigma,S}/S}(\log D_{\Sigma,S})(*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma})_\infty),d+\lambda^{-1}dF_{\gamma,\Sigma}\Big)\tag{118}$$

$$\pi^0_{\Sigma\dagger}\mathcal{L}_!(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_{\Sigma*}\Big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X_{\Sigma,S}/S}(\log D_{\Sigma,S})(-D_{\Sigma,S})(*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma})_\infty),d+\lambda^{-1}dF_{\gamma,\Sigma}\Big)\tag{119}$$

Proof They are the special cases of the isomorphisms given in §3.2.4. ▮

Let $q:\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}\times S\longrightarrow\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the projection. Let $\overline{\Omega}^i_{\check{X}_{\mathcal{A},S}/S}(\log\check{D}_{\mathcal{A},S})$ denote $\lambda^{-i}q^*\Omega^i_{\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}}(\log\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Let $\overline{\Omega}^i_{\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ denote $\lambda^{-i}q^*\Omega^i_{\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}}}$.

Proposition 6.35 *We have the following natural isomorphisms:*

$$\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{L}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\Big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}}\times S/S}(\log\check{D}_{\mathcal{A},S})(*\check{D}_{\mathcal{A},\infty,S}),d+\lambda^{-1}dF_\gamma\Big)\tag{120}$$

$$\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{L}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\Big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{(\check{X}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}_{\mathcal{A}})\times S/S}(*\check{D}_{\mathcal{A},\infty,S}),d+\lambda^{-1}dF_\gamma\Big)\tag{121}$$

In the algebraic setting, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{L}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\Big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}\times S/S}(\log\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A},S}),d+\lambda^{-1}dF_\gamma\Big)\tag{122}$$

$$\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{L}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\simeq\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\Big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}})\times S/S},d+\lambda^{-1}dF_\gamma\Big)\tag{123}$$

Proof We obtain the isomorphisms from Proposition 6.34 with the isomorphisms (114) and (115). ▮

Corollary 6.36 *The image of the natural morphism*

$$\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\Bigl(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}})\times S/S},\, d+\lambda^{-1}dF_\gamma\Bigr)\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\Bigl(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}\times S/S}(\log\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A},S}),\, d+\lambda^{-1}dF_\gamma\Bigr)\tag{124}$$

underlies a pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module. ∎

Let $U^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}\subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^m,\mathcal{O}(1))$ be the open subset as in §6.1.3. Suppose that the image of γ is contained in $U^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. In this case, $\pi^0_{\dagger}\bigl(\mathcal{L}_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\bigr)$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times S}$-modules. Let $\pi^0_{\dagger}\bigl(\mathcal{L}_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\bigr)^{\vee}$ be the dual as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times S}$-modules, which are naturally equipped with the meromorphic connection. They are naturally isomorphic to $\lambda^{-d_S}\boldsymbol{D}\pi^0_{\dagger}\bigl(\mathcal{L}_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\bigr)$ up to signatures. The real structure of $\pi_\dagger\mathcal{T}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ gives

$$\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\simeq j^*\boldsymbol{D}\pi^0_{\dagger}\bigl(\lambda^{n+d_S}\mathcal{L}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\bigr)\simeq\lambda^{-n-d_S}j^*\boldsymbol{D}\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\simeq\lambda^{-n}\Bigl(j^*\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\Bigr)^{\vee}$$

Corollary 6.37 *$\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ is naturally identified with $\lambda^{-n}j^*\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\Bigl(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}\times S/S}(\log\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A},S}),d+\lambda^{-1}dF_\gamma\Bigr)^{\vee}$. The image of the natural morphism*

$$\lambda^{-n}j^*\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\Bigl(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}\times S/S}(\log\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A},S}),\, d+\lambda^{-1}dF_\gamma\Bigr)^{\vee}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^n\pi_*\Bigl(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}\times S/S}(\log\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A},S}),\, d+\lambda^{-1}dF_\gamma\Bigr)$$

underlies an integrable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module. ∎

Remark 6.38 *If S and γ are as in Example* 6.13*, we can describe* (122) *and* (123) *in terms of systems of differential equations as in* §E.2. ∎

6.2.4 Graded polarizations

Let $\mathcal{A}=\{\boldsymbol{a}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{a}_m\}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_0:=(0,\ldots,0)$. Let $\gamma:S\longrightarrow H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m,\mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)$ be a morphism which is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. We obtain the family of Laurent polynomials

$$F_\gamma(x,t)=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\gamma_i(x)t^{\boldsymbol{a}_i}$$

Take $\boldsymbol{b}\in\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that 0 is contained in the interior part of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A}\cup\{\boldsymbol{b}\})$. It gives a monomial $t^{\boldsymbol{b}}$. We shall observe that the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ are equipped with graded sesqui-linear dualities depending on the choice of $\boldsymbol{b}$.

Let $\varphi_\Sigma:X_\Sigma\longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$ be a toric desingularization. We have the meromorphic function $t^{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\Sigma}$ on (X_Σ,D_Σ) induced by $t^{\boldsymbol{b}}$. We also have the meromorphic functions $F_{\gamma,\Sigma}$ and $t^{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\Sigma,S}$ on $(X_{\Sigma,S},D_{\Sigma,S})$ induced by F_γ and $t^{\boldsymbol{b}}$.

Lemma 6.39 *Suppose that $|(t^{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\Sigma})_0|\cap|(t^{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\Sigma})_\infty|=\emptyset$. Then, the other conditions in Lemma* 2.19 *are satisfied for $g=F_{\gamma,\Sigma}$ and $f=t^{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\Sigma,S}$.*

Proof By the non-degeneracy assumption on γ, $F_{\gamma,\Sigma}$ is non-degenerate along $D_{\Sigma,S}$. We clearly have $|(t^{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\Sigma,S})_0|\cup|(t^{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\Sigma,S})_\infty|\subset D_{\Sigma,S}$. It is enough to prove that $D_{\Sigma,S}=\bigl|(t^{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\Sigma,S})_\infty\bigr|\cup\bigl|(F_{\gamma,\Sigma})_\infty\bigr|$.

We set $\boldsymbol{a}_{m+1}:=\boldsymbol{b}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}:=\mathcal{A}\cup\{\boldsymbol{a}_{m+1}\}$. We set $\widetilde{S}:=S\times\mathbb{C}_\tau$. We can naturally regard $H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^{m+1},\mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)=H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m,\mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)\times\bigl(\mathbb{C}\cdot z_{m+1}\bigr)$. We consider the morphism $\widetilde{\gamma}:=\gamma\times\mathrm{id}:\widetilde{S}\longrightarrow H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^{m+1},\mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)$. It is enough to prove that $F_{\widetilde{\gamma}}(x,\tau,t)=F_\gamma(x,t)+\tau t^{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\Sigma,S}$ is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$. Let σ be any face of $\mathrm{Conv}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})$. If $\boldsymbol{b}\notin\sigma$, then σ is a face of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A}\cup\{0\})$ such that $0\notin\sigma$. Hence, we have $(dF_{\widetilde{\gamma},\sigma})^{-1}(0)=(dF_{\gamma,\sigma})^{-1}(0)=\emptyset$ by applying Lemma 6.7 to $\mathcal{A}$. If $\boldsymbol{b}\in\sigma$, then $\partial_\tau F_{\widetilde{\gamma},\sigma}=t^{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\Sigma,S}$ is nowhere vanishing. Thus, we obtain the claim of the lemma. ∎

We have the canonical polarization $\bigl((-1)^{n+d_S},(-1)^{n+d_S}\bigr)$ on the pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{T}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})$ of weight $n+d_S$ on $X_{\Sigma,S}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma,\Sigma}$. By Lemma 6.39, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})=\mathcal{T}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})[\star(t^{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\Sigma,S})_\infty].$$

As explained in §4.2.1, we have the induced graded polarization $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma,\Sigma}[\star(t^{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\Sigma,S})_{\infty}]$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})$, which we denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma,\Sigma,\star,\boldsymbol{b}}$. By Corollary 5.3, Condition **(A)** holds for the projection π_{Σ} and the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})$ with $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma,\Sigma,\star,\boldsymbol{b}}$, and we obtain the graded polarization $\bigl[\pi^{0}_{\Sigma\dagger}\mathcal{S}_{\gamma,\Sigma,\star,\boldsymbol{b}}\bigr]$ on $\pi^{0}_{\Sigma\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{\star}(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})\simeq\pi^{0}_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$. We obtain the following lemma from Corollary 5.4.

Lemma 6.40 *The graded polarizations* $\bigl[\pi^{0}_{\Sigma\dagger}\mathcal{S}_{\gamma,\Sigma,\star,\boldsymbol{b}}\bigr]$ *on* $\pi^{0}_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ *are independent of the choice of a toric desingularization* φ_{Σ}. *We denote them by* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma,\boldsymbol{b})$. ▮

If 0 is contained in the interior part of Conv$(\mathcal{A})$, then $\pi^{0}_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ is pure, and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma,\boldsymbol{b})$ is equal to $\pi^{0}_{\dagger}\mathcal{S}_{\gamma,\Sigma}$ for any toric desingularization $\varphi_{\Sigma}:X_{\Sigma}\longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$.

6.2.5 The smooth part and the induced graded pairings

Recall that we have the open subset $U^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ in $H^{0}\bigl(\mathbb{P}^{m},\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m}}(1)\bigr)$. (See §6.1.3.) For any $\gamma:S\longrightarrow H^{0}\bigl(\mathbb{P}^{m},\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m}}(1)\bigr)$, we set $S^{\mathrm{reg}}:=\gamma^{-1}(U^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

Proposition 6.41 *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be the* $\mathcal{R}_{S}$*-modules underlying* $\pi^{0}_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}$ *for* $\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{T}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ $(\star=*,!,\min)$, $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$ *and* $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A},S,\gamma}$. *Then,* $\mathcal{M}_{|S^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ *is a locally free* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times S^{\mathrm{reg}}}$*-module. In particular, the mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-module* $\pi^{0}_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{|S^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ *comes from a graded polarizable variation of mixed twistor structure on* S^{reg}. *It is admissible along* $S\setminus S^{\mathrm{reg}}$.

Proof It follows from Corollary 6.21 and the general property of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. ▮

Corollary 6.42 *The restriction of* (118) *and* (119) *to* S^{reg} *are locally free* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times S^{\mathrm{reg}}}$*-modules. Equivalently, the restriction of* (120), (121), (122) *and* (123) *to* S^{reg} *are locally free* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times S^{\mathrm{reg}}}$*-modules. The restriction of the image of* (124) *to* S^{reg} *is also an* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times S^{\mathrm{reg}}}$*-module.* ▮

Let $\gamma:S\longrightarrow H^{0}\bigl(\mathbb{P}^{m},\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m}}(1)\bigr)$ be a morphism which is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. Suppose that (i) $S^{\mathrm{reg}}\neq\emptyset$, (ii) we are given a hypersurface Y which contains $S\setminus S^{\mathrm{reg}}$. Take $\boldsymbol{b}\in\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that 0 is an interior point of Conv$(\mathcal{A}\cup\{\boldsymbol{b}\})$. We have the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\pi^{0}_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ with the induced graded polarization $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma,\boldsymbol{b})$ on S. They are also equipped with the natural real structure. We set

$$\mathcal{V}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma):=\pi^{0}_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)(*Y).$$

We obtain the filtration $\widetilde{W}$ and the graded pairing $P_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma,\boldsymbol{b})=\bigl(P_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma,\boldsymbol{b})_{k}\bigm|k\in\mathbb{Z}\bigr)$ by the procedure in §B.2.3. We explain it in this situation. The $\mathcal{R}$-modules $\pi^{0}_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ are equipped with the filtration W underlying the weight filtration of $\pi^{0}_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$. We set

$$\widetilde{W}_{k}\mathcal{V}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma):=\Bigl(W_{k+d_{S}}\pi^{0}_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\Bigr)(*Y)$$

The polarization and the real structure of $\mathrm{Gr}^{W}_{k+d_{S}}\pi^{0}_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ induce a pairing $P_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)_{k}$ of weight k on $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_{k}\mathcal{V}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$. Thus, we obtain a graded pairing $P_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)=\bigl(P_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)_{k}\bigm|k\in\mathbb{Z}\bigr)$ on $\bigl(\mathcal{V}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma),\widetilde{W}\bigr)$. In this way, we obtain mixed TEP-structures $\bigl(\mathcal{V}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma),\widetilde{W},P_{\star}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\bigr)$.

6.2.6 Algebraicity

Let Z be any smooth complex quasi-projective variety. An integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $(\mathcal{T},W)$ on Z is called algebraic if the following holds:

- Let $\overline{Z}$ be a smooth projective manifold with an open immersion $Z\longrightarrow\overline{Z}$. Then, there exists an integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{T}'$ on $\overline{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{T}'_{|Z}=\mathcal{T}$.

We also have the notion of the algebraicity of the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules of integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Let $\mathcal{D}^{a}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times Z}$ denote the sheaf of algebraic linear differential operators on $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times Z$. Let Θ^{a}_{Z} denote the algebraic tangent sheaf of Z. Let $p:\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times Z\longrightarrow Z$ denote the projection. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{a}_{Z}\subset\mathcal{D}^{a}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times Z}$ denote the sheaf of subalgebras generated by $\lambda \cdot p^*\Theta_Z$ and $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times Z}$. We say that an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Z$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is algebraic if there exists an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Z^a$-module $\mathcal{M}^a$ such that $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to the analytification of $\mathcal{M}^a$.

We naturally regard $H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)$ as a quasi-projective variety. Let $\gamma : S \longrightarrow H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)$ be an algebraic morphism of smooth quasi-projective varieties.

Proposition 6.43

- *The integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$ and $\pi^i_\dagger\mathcal{T}_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$ are algebraic. The underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules of $\mathcal{T}_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$ and $\pi^i_\dagger\mathcal{T}_\star(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma)$ are algebraic.*
- *For any toric desingularization $\varphi_\Sigma : X_\Sigma \longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$, the integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_\star(F_{\gamma,S}, D_{\Sigma,S})$ are algebraic. The underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules of $\mathcal{T}_\star(F_{\gamma,S}, D_{\Sigma,S})$ are algebraic.*

Proof The claims for $\mathcal{T}_\star(F_{\gamma,S}, D_{\Sigma,S})$ is clear. Then, we obtain the claims for the others by construction. ∎

6.3 Description as a specialization

6.3.1 $\mathcal{D}$-modules

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\boldsymbol{a}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset which generates $\mathbb{Z}^n$. We take $\boldsymbol{a}_{m+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that 0 is contained in $\mathrm{Conv}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A} \cup \{\boldsymbol{a}_{m+1}\}$. Let us compare the $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to $\mathcal{A}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

We identify $\mathbb{P}^m$ with the subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ determined by $z_{m+1} = 0$. We naturally regard $H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^{m+1}, \mathcal{O}(1)\bigr) = H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1)\bigr) \times \mathbb{C} \cdot z_{m+1}$.

Let $\gamma : S \longrightarrow H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)$ be a morphism which is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. We set $\widetilde{S} := S \times \mathbb{C}_\tau$. We have the induced map $\widetilde{\gamma} = \gamma \times \mathrm{id} : \widetilde{S} \longrightarrow H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^{m+1}, \mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)$ given by $\widetilde{\gamma}(x, \tau) = \gamma(x) + \tau z_{m+1}$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}^m \times S \longrightarrow S$ and $\widetilde{\pi} : \mathbb{P}^{m+1} \times \widetilde{S} \longrightarrow \widetilde{S}$ denote the projections. We have the following proposition on the associated $\mathcal{D}$-modules.

Proposition 6.44

- *We have $L_*(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{\gamma}) \simeq L_!(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{\gamma}) \simeq L_{\min}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{\gamma})$. We also have $\widetilde{\pi}^i_+ L_\star(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{\gamma}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.*
- *Let $\iota : S \longrightarrow \widetilde{S}$ be the inclusion induced by $\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The kernel and the cokernel of the morphism*
$$\widetilde{\pi}^0_+ L_{\min}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{\gamma})(!\tau) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\pi}^0_+ L_{\min}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{\gamma})(*\tau)$$
are naturally isomorphic to $\iota_+\pi^0_+ L_!\bigl(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma\bigr)$ and $\iota_+\pi^0_+ L_*\bigl(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma\bigr)$, respectively.

Proof Let $\varphi_\Sigma : X_\Sigma \longrightarrow X_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ be a toric desingularization. We may assume to have a toric morphism $\varphi_{\Sigma,\mathcal{A}} : X_\Sigma \longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$ which is a desingularization. For the meromorphic function $f = t^{\boldsymbol{a}_{m+1}}$ on X_Σ, we may assume $|(f)_0| \cap |(f)_\infty| = \emptyset$.

We have $F_{\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma}} = F_{S,\gamma} + \tau t^{\boldsymbol{a}_{m+1}}$. We can check that the assumption in Lemma 2.19 is satisfied for $F_{S,\gamma}$ and $t^{\boldsymbol{a}_{m+1}}$. (See §6.2.4.) Hence, we have the purity of $F_{\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma}}$ on $(X_{\Sigma,\widetilde{S}}, D_{\Sigma,\widetilde{S}})$. Let $\widetilde{\pi}_\Sigma : X_{\Sigma,\widetilde{S}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{S}$ be the projection. By using Proposition 2.4, we obtain $\widetilde{\pi}^i_{\Sigma+} L(F_{\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma}}, D_{\Sigma,\widetilde{S}}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. Thus, we obtain the first claim of Proposition 6.44.

Let $\iota_1 : X_{\Sigma,S} \longrightarrow X_{\Sigma,\widetilde{S}}$ denote the inclusion induced by $\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. According to Proposition 2.31, the kernel and the cokernel of the morphism $L(F_{\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma}}, D_{\Sigma,\widetilde{S}})(!\tau) \longrightarrow L(F_{\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma}}, D_{\Sigma,\widetilde{S}})(*\tau)$ are naturally isomorphic to $\iota_{1+}L_!\bigl(F_{S,\gamma}, D_{\Sigma,S}\bigr)$ and $\iota_{1+}L_*\bigl(F_{S,\gamma}, D_{\Sigma,S}\bigr)$, respectively.

Let $\pi_\Sigma : X_\Sigma \times S \longrightarrow S$ be the projection. By Proposition 6.18, we have $\pi^i_\Sigma L_\star(F_{S,\gamma}, D_{\Sigma,S}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. We also have the vanishing in the first claim. Then, we obtain the second claim of Proposition 6.44. ∎

We have the canonical nilpotent map N on $\psi_\tau\widetilde{\pi}^0_+ L_*(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{\gamma}) \simeq \psi_\tau\widetilde{\pi}^0_+ L_!(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{\gamma})$ induced by $t\partial_t$.

Corollary 6.45 *We have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Ker} N \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \operatorname{Cok} N \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\pi^0_+ L_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma) \arrow[r] & \pi^0_+ L_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma).
\end{tikzcd}$$

The lower horizontal arrow is the natural morphism.

Proof It follows from Proposition 2.40. ▮

6.3.2 Graded polarized mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules

We continue to use the setting in §6.3.1, and we consider the associated mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Let us show the relations between the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated $(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})$, although we have already used it in §6.2.4 implicitly.

Proposition 6.46

- *We have* $\mathcal{T}_!(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})\simeq\mathcal{T}_*(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})\simeq\mathcal{T}_{\min}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})$, *and* $\widetilde{\pi}^i_\dagger\mathcal{T}_{\min}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})=0$ *for* $i\neq 0$.
- *Let* $\mathcal{K}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})$ *and* $\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})$ *denote the kernel and the cokernel of the following morphism of the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules:*
$$\widetilde{\pi}^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_*(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})[!\tau]\longrightarrow\widetilde{\pi}^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_*(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})[*\tau].$$
Then, we have the following natural isomorphisms:
$$\mathcal{K}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})\simeq\iota_\dagger\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma),\quad \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})\simeq\iota_\dagger\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1).$$
The isomorphisms are compatible with the real structures.

Proof We obtain the first claim from Proposition 6.44. As in the proof of Proposition 6.44, by using the vanishing of the cohomology in Proposition 6.31 and the first claim of this proposition, we obtain the isomorphisms from Proposition 3.25. We can easily compare the real structures by using Proposition 3.18. ▮

On one hand, we have the graded polarizations $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma,\boldsymbol{a}_{m+1})$ on $\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ as explained in §6.2.4. They induce graded sesqui-linear dualities on $\iota_\dagger\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ and $\iota_\dagger\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-1)$. On the other hand, as explained in §6.2.4, the pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_{\min}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})$ is equipped with the induced polarization. It induces the graded polarizations $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{C}}$ of $\mathcal{K}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})$ and $\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})$, as explained in §4.2.1.

Proposition 6.47 *The isomorphisms in Proposition* 6.46 *are compatible with the graded polarizations.*

Proof We use the notation in the proof of Proposition 6.44. Let $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_1$ be the kernel and the cokernel of the morphism of the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_!(F_{\widetilde{\gamma},\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,\widetilde{S}})\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}_*(F_{\widetilde{\gamma},\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,\widetilde{S}})$. According to Proposition 5.2, the isomorphisms $\mathcal{K}_1\simeq\iota_{1\dagger}\mathcal{T}_!(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})$ and $\mathcal{C}_1\simeq\iota_{1\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma},D_{\Sigma,S})$ are compatible with the graded sesqui-linear dualities. Then, the claims of the propositions follow from Corollary 4.10 and the construction of the graded polarizations. ▮

Let $\mathcal{N}:\widetilde{\psi}_{\tau,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}\widetilde{\pi}^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})\otimes\mathcal{U}(-1,0)\longrightarrow\widetilde{\psi}_{\tau,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}\widetilde{\pi}^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})\otimes\mathcal{U}(0,-1)$ be the morphism given by the pair $(-t\partial_t,-t\partial_t)$.

Corollary 6.48 *We have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{N}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \operatorname{Cok}(\mathcal{N})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(1) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma) \arrow[r] & \pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{125}$$

The horizontal arrows are the natural morphisms.

Proof Because $\iota_\dagger\operatorname{Cok}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\iota_\dagger\operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{N})$ are naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})$, we obtain the vertical isomorphisms in (125) from Proposition 6.47. The commutativity of the diagram follows from Corollary 6.45. ▮

6.3.3 The induced mixed TEP-structures

We set $\mathcal{V} := \widetilde{\pi}^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})(*\tau)$. Let $\lambda N : \psi_{\tau,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \psi_{\tau,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})$ be the morphism induced by $\lambda\tau\partial_\tau$. We restate the result in §B.3 in this situation.

Assumption 6.49 *$\mathcal{V}$ is regular singular along $\tau = 0$. There exists an open subset $\widetilde{B}$ in $\widetilde{S}$ such that $\mathcal{V}_{|\widetilde{B}}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times\widetilde{B}}(*\tau)$-module.* ▮

We set $B := \iota^{-1}(\widetilde{B})$ which is an open subset in S. Under the assumption $\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)_{|B}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times B}$-module. We also have the pairing $P_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ of weight n on $\mathcal{V}_{|\widetilde{B}}$ induced by the real structure and the polarization of $\widetilde{\pi}^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{S},\widetilde{\gamma})$. By the procedure in §B.1.3, we have the weight filtration $\widetilde{W}^{(1)}$ and the graded pairing $(P^{(1)}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},k}\,|\,k\in\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)_{|B}$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N)_{|B}$.

Note that $\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)$ is the underlying $\mathcal{R}$-module of $\mathrm{Cok}(\mathcal{N})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(1)\simeq\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$, i.e., $\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)$ is isomorphic to $\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{L}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$. As explained in §6.2.5, we obtain the weight filtration $\widetilde{W}^{(2)}$ and the graded pairing $(P^{(2)}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},k}\,|\,k\in\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)_{|B}$ from the real structure and the graded polarization of $\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$. Similarly, $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N)$ is the underlying $\mathcal{R}$-module of $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{N})\simeq\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$, i.e., $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N)$ is isomorphic to $\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{L}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$. As explained in §6.2.5, we have the filtration $\widetilde{W}^{(2)}$ and the graded pairing $(P^{(2)}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},k}\,|\,k\in\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N)$.

Proposition 6.50 *On $\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N)$, we have $\widetilde{W}^{(1)}=\widetilde{W}^{(2)}$ and $P^{(1)}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},k}=P^{(2)}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},k}$ for any $k\in\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof It follows from Proposition B.6 and the isomorphisms $\mathrm{Cok}(\mathcal{N})\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(1)\simeq\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{N})\simeq\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ in Corollary 6.48. ▮

6.4 A regular singular case

6.4.1 The $\mathcal{D}$-modules

Let $\mathcal{A}=\{\boldsymbol{a}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{a}_m\}$ be as in §6.1.1. Suppose that there exists $\alpha\in(\mathbb{Z}^n)^\vee$ such that $\alpha(\boldsymbol{a}_i)=1$ for any $\boldsymbol{a}_i\in\mathcal{A}$. In [3], [30] and [61], the $\mathcal{D}$-modules are described in terms of the Gauss-Manin connection for the relative cohomology groups of some families. We review it in the language of $\mathcal{D}$-modules, which fits to the theory of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules.

With an appropriate choice of a frame of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, we may assume that $\boldsymbol{a}_i=(\boldsymbol{b}_i,1)\in\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{Z}$ for each $\boldsymbol{a}_i\in\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{B}\subset\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ denote the image of $\mathcal{A}$ via the projection of $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{Z}\longrightarrow\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. In other words, we have $\mathcal{A}=\{(\boldsymbol{b},1)\,|\,\boldsymbol{b}\in\mathcal{B}\}$. For simplicity, we impose the following in this subsection.

Assumption 6.51 *We assume that $\mathcal{B}$ generates $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, and 0 is contained in the interior part of* $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{B})$. ▮

Let $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ denote the closure of the image of the morphism $\psi_{\mathcal{B}}:T^{n-1}\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}^m$ as in §6.1.1. We set $W:=\Bigl\{\sum_{i=1}^m\alpha_iz_i\,\Big|\,\alpha_i\in\mathbb{C}\Bigr\}\subset H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m,\mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)=\Bigl\{\sum_{i=0}^m\alpha_iz_i\Bigr\}$. We use the notation in §6.1.3.

Lemma 6.52 *Let $\gamma:S\longrightarrow W$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- *γ is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$.*
- *If $\gamma=\sum_{i=1}^m\gamma_iz_i$, the family of Laurent polynomials $F_{\gamma,\mathcal{B}}=\sum_{i=1}^m\gamma_it^{\boldsymbol{b}_i}$ is* $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{B})$*-regular in the sense that $F^{-1}_{\gamma,\mathcal{B},\sigma}(0)\cap(dF_{\gamma,\mathcal{B},\sigma})^{-1}(0)=\emptyset$ for any face σ of* $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{B})$.

Proof We have the family of Laurent polynomials $F_\gamma=\sum\gamma_it^{\boldsymbol{a}_i}$ associated to $\mathcal{A}$ and γ. For any face σ of $\mathcal{B}$, we have the face $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ given by $\sigma(\mathcal{A}):=\bigl\{(1,\boldsymbol{c})\,\big|\,\boldsymbol{c}\in\sigma\bigr\}$. We have $F_{\gamma,\sigma(\mathcal{A})}=t_nF_{\gamma,\mathcal{B},\sigma}$ and $dF_{\gamma,\sigma(\mathcal{A})}=t_ndF_{\gamma,\mathcal{B},\sigma}+dt_nF_{\gamma,\mathcal{B},\sigma}$. We have $F^{-1}_{\gamma,\mathcal{B},\sigma}(0)=F^{-1}_{\gamma,\sigma(\mathcal{A})}(0)$ and $(dF_{\gamma,\sigma(\mathcal{A})})^{-1}(0)=F^{-1}_{\gamma,\mathcal{B},\sigma}(0)\cap(dF_{\gamma,\mathcal{B},\sigma})^{-1}(0)$. Then, the claim is clear. ▮

Let $\gamma : S \longrightarrow W$ be any holomorphic map. Let us study the $\mathcal{D}$-modules $L_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ and $\pi^i_+\bigl(L_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)\bigr)$. It is convenient to consider a toric compactification which is different from that in §6.1. We set $X'_{\mathcal{B}} := X_{\mathcal{B}} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ which is naturally a toric variety. We take a toric desingularization $\varphi_{\Sigma_0} : X_{\Sigma_0} \longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{B}}$. We naturally have a fan Σ'_0 such that $X_{\Sigma'_0} = X_{\Sigma_0} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We set $\varphi_{\Sigma'_0} := \varphi_{\Sigma_0} \times \mathrm{id} : X_{\Sigma'_0} \longrightarrow X'_{\mathcal{B}}$. Let $\overline{\varphi}_{\Sigma'_0,S}$ denote the composite of $\varphi_{\Sigma'_0} \times \mathrm{id}_S$ and the inclusion $X'_{\mathcal{B},S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times S$. We have the meromorphic function F_{γ,Σ'_0} on $(X_{\Sigma'_0,S}, D_{\Sigma'_0,S})$ determined by the family of Laurent polynomials F_γ. (See §6.1.2 for F_γ.) For $\star = *, !$, we have the $\mathcal{D}$-modules $L_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'_0}, D_{\Sigma'_0,S})$ on $X_{\Sigma'_0,S}$. We set

$$L'_\star(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma) := \overline{\varphi}_{\Sigma'_0,S+} L_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'_0}, D_{\Sigma'_0,S})$$

on $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times S$. It is easy to see that they are independent of the choice of a desingularization φ_{Σ_0} up to natural isomorphisms. Let $\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times S \longrightarrow S$ and $\pi_{\Sigma'_0} : X_{\Sigma'_0,S} \longrightarrow S$ denote the projections. We have the natural isomorphisms $\pi^i_{\mathcal{B}+} L'_\star(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma) \simeq \pi^i_{\Sigma'_0+} L_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'_0}, D_{\Sigma'_0,S})$ for $\star = *, !$.

Lemma 6.53 *We have natural isomorphisms* $\pi^i_{\mathcal{B}+} L'_\star(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma) \simeq \pi^i_+ L_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$.

Proof We take a toric desingularization $\varphi_{\Sigma_1} : X_{\Sigma_1} \longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$. We may assume that we have a toric morphism $\rho : X_{\Sigma_1} \longrightarrow X_{\Sigma'_0}$ which is birational. We have natural isomorphisms $\rho_+ L_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_1}, D_{\Sigma_1,S}) \simeq L_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'_0}, D_{\Sigma'_0,S})$. They induce the desired isomorphisms. ∎

The family of Laurent polynomials F_γ is described as

$$F_\gamma = t_n f_\gamma, \qquad f_\gamma := \sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_i t^{\boldsymbol{b}_i}.$$

Let $Z_{f_\gamma} \subset X_{\Sigma_0,S}$ denote the zero set of f_γ. Suppose that γ is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. As remarked in Lemma 6.52, the family of Laurent polynomials f_γ is $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{B})$-regular. According to Proposition 2.23, we have

$$\pi^0_+ L_*(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma) \simeq \pi^0_{\Sigma'_0+} L_*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'_0}, D_{\Sigma'_0,S}) \simeq \pi^0_{\Sigma_0+}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(!Z_{f_\gamma})(*D_{\Sigma_0,S})\bigr). \tag{126}$$

$$\pi^0_+ L_!(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma) \simeq \pi^0_{\Sigma'_0+} L_!(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'_0}, D_{\Sigma'_0,S}) \simeq \pi^0_{\Sigma_0+}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(*Z_{f_\gamma})(!D_{\Sigma_0,S})\bigr). \tag{127}$$

We have $\pi^i_{\Sigma_0+}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(!Z_{f_\gamma})(*D_{\Sigma_0,S})\bigr) = 0$ and $\pi^i_{\Sigma_0+}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(*Z_{f_\gamma})(!D_{\Sigma_0,S})\bigr) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$, which follow from $\pi^i_{\Sigma+} L_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.

If moreover the image of γ is contained in $W \cap U^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}$, the $\mathcal{D}_S$-modules (126) and (127) are flat bundles on S. The fiber of (126) over $s \in S$ is the relative cohomology group $H^{n-1}(T^{n-1}, Z^\circ_{f_{\gamma(s)}})$ with $\mathbb{C}$-coefficient, where $Z^\circ_{f_{\gamma(s)}} := Z_{f_{\gamma(s)}} \cap T^{n-1}$. The fiber of (127) over $s \in S$ is $H^{n-1}(X_{\Sigma_0} \setminus Z_{f_{\gamma(s)}}, D_{\Sigma_0} \setminus Z_{f_{\gamma(s)}})$.

Remark 6.54 *Under the identification* $X_{\Sigma'_0} = \mathbb{P}^1_s \times X_{\Sigma_0}$, *as remarked in* §2.4.5, (126) *is also naturally isomorphic to* $\pi^0_{\Sigma'_0+}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{X'_{\Sigma_0},S}(!Z_{f_\gamma+s})(*D_{\Sigma'_0})\bigr)$. ∎

6.4.2 The mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules

We continue to use the notation in §6.4.1. Suppose that γ is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. We have the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'_0}, D_{\Sigma'_0,S})$ ($\star = *, !$) on $X_{\Sigma'_0,S}$. We obtain the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules on $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times S$:

$$\mathcal{T}'_\star(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma) := \overline{\varphi}_{\Sigma'_0,S\dagger} \mathcal{T}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'_0}, D_{\Sigma'_0,S})$$

They are independent of the choice of a toric desingularization $\varphi_{\Sigma_0} : X_{\Sigma_0} \longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{B}}$. We have the natural isomorphisms $\pi^i_{\mathcal{B}\dagger} \mathcal{T}'_\star(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma) \simeq \pi^i_{\Sigma'_0\dagger} \mathcal{T}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'_0}, D_{\Sigma'_0,S})$ ($\star = *, !$). As in the case of $\mathcal{D}$-modules, we have the following.

Lemma 6.55 *We have natural isomorphisms* $\pi^i_{\mathcal{B}\dagger} \mathcal{T}'_\star(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma) \simeq \pi^i_\dagger \mathcal{T}_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$ *for* $\star = *, !$. ∎

Let $\mathcal{L}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_0'}, D_{\Sigma_0',S})$ ($\star = *, !$) be the $\mathcal{R}$-modules underlying $\mathcal{T}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_0'}, D_{\Sigma_0',S})$ on $X_{\Sigma_0',S}$. Set $\mathcal{L}'_\star(\mathcal{B}, S, \gamma) := \overline{\varphi}^0_{\Sigma_0',S\dagger}\mathcal{T}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_0'}, D_{\Sigma_0',S})$ on $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times S$, which underlie $\pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}'_\star(\mathcal{B}, S, \gamma)$. We consider the standard $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ given by $a \cdot [y_0 : y_1] = [ay_0 : y_1]$. It induces $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions on $X_{\Sigma_0',S}$ and $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times S$.

Lemma 6.56 *The $\mathcal{R}$-modules $\mathcal{L}'_\star(\mathcal{B}, S, \gamma)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_0'}, D_{\Sigma_0',S})$ are $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous. (See* §3.6.1 *for the notion of homogeneity.)*

Proof We consider the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on T^n given by $a \cdot (t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}, t_n) = (t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}, at_n)$. It induces a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $X_{\Sigma_0',S}$. We have $a^* F_{\gamma,\Sigma_0'} = a \cdot F_{\gamma,\Sigma_0'}$. By Proposition 3.28, the $\mathcal{R}$-modules $\mathcal{L}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_0'}, D_{\Sigma_0',S})$ are $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous. Then, we obtain that $\mathcal{L}'_\star(\mathcal{B}, S, \gamma)$ are also $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous. ∎

Let $q_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m \times S$ and $q_{\Sigma_0} : X_{\Sigma_0',S} \longrightarrow X_{\Sigma_0,S}$ be the projections.

Corollary 6.57 *The $\mathcal{R}$-modules $q_{\mathcal{B}\dagger}\mathcal{L}'_\star(\mathcal{B}, S, \gamma)$ and $q_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_0'}, D_{\Sigma_0',S})$ are $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous for the trivial $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions on $\mathbb{P}^m \times S$ and $X_{\Sigma_0} \times S$. Hence, $q_{\mathcal{B}\dagger}\mathcal{T}'_\star(\mathcal{B}, S, \gamma)$ and $q_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\mathcal{T}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_0'}, D_{\Sigma_0',S})$ come from mixed Hodge modules. In particular, the underlying $\mathcal{D}$-modules are equipped with the good filtrations such that the analytification of the Rees modules are isomorphic to $q_{\mathcal{B}\dagger}\mathcal{L}'_\star(\mathcal{B}, S, \gamma)$ and $q_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_0'}, D_{\Sigma_0',S})$.*

Proof Because $q_{\mathcal{B}}$ and q_{Σ_0} are $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant, the first claim follows from Lemma 6.56. The second follows from Theorem 3.35. ∎

Corollary 6.58 *The $\mathcal{R}$-modules $\pi^0_{\mathcal{B}\dagger}\mathcal{L}'_\star(\mathcal{B}, S, \gamma) \simeq \pi^0_{\Sigma_0'\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_0'}, D_{\Sigma_0',S})$ are $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous for the trivial $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions on S. Hence, $\pi^0_{\mathcal{B}\dagger}\mathcal{T}'_\star(\mathcal{B}, S, \gamma)$ come from mixed Hodge modules. In particular, the underlying $\mathcal{D}$-modules are equipped with the good filtrations such that the analytification of the Rees modules are isomorphic to $\pi^0_{\mathcal{B}\dagger}\mathcal{L}'_\star(\mathcal{B}, S, \gamma)$.* ∎

Proposition 6.59 *Suppose that $\gamma : S \longrightarrow W$ is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then, we have the following natural isomorphisms of the integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules compatible with the real structure:*

$$\pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_*(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \simeq \pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\Big(\big(\mathcal{U}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(n + d_S, -1)\big)[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Big). \tag{128}$$

$$\pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_!(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \simeq \pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\Big(\big(\mathcal{U}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(n + d_S - 1, 0)\big)[*Z_{f_\gamma}][!D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Big). \tag{129}$$

Proof We give an argument for (128). The other case is similar. According to Proposition 3.22, we have the isomorphism of the integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structure:

$$q^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_0'}, D_{\Sigma_0',S}) \simeq \big(\mathcal{U}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(n - 1 + d_S, 0) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)\big)[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]. \tag{130}$$

Thus, we obtain the following isomorphisms:

$$\pi^0_\dagger \mathcal{T}_*(\mathcal{A}, S, \gamma) \simeq \pi^0_{\Sigma_0'\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(F_{\gamma,\Sigma_0'}, D_{\Sigma_0',S}) \simeq \pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\Big(\big(\mathcal{U}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(n - 1 + d_S, 0) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-1)\big)[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Big). \tag{131}$$

Thus, we obtain the claim of the proposition. ∎

Let M be the pure Hodge module on $X_{\Sigma_0,S}$ of weight $\dim S + n - 1$, corresponding to the constant sheaf. Let $M(-1)$ be the (-1)-th Tate twist of M. We obtain the mixed Hodge module $\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}M(-1)[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]$ which induces the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module in the right hand side of (128). We also have the mixed Hodge module $\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}M[*Z_{f_\gamma}][!D_{\Sigma_0,S}]$.

Corollary 6.60 *The Hodge filtrations of $\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}M(-1)[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]$ and $\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}M[*Z_{f_\gamma}][!D_{\Sigma_0,S}]$ are equal to the good filtrations in Corollary* 6.58. ∎

Let $\iota : Z_{f_\gamma} \longrightarrow X_{\Sigma_0,S}$ be the inclusion of the complex manifolds. We have the following natural morphisms of integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules on S:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\mathcal{U}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(n + d_S - 1, 0)[*Z_{f_\gamma}][!D_{\Sigma_0,S}] &\xrightarrow{a_1} \pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\iota_\dagger\mathcal{U}_{Z_{f_\gamma}}(n + d_S - 1, -1) \\ &\xrightarrow{a_2} \pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\mathcal{U}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(n + d_S, -1)[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\end{aligned} \tag{132}$$

Proposition 6.61 *We have* $\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\iota_\dagger\mathcal{U}_{Z_{f_\gamma}}(n+d_S-1,-1)=\operatorname{Im}a_1\oplus\operatorname{Ker}a_2$. *We also have*

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{n+d_S}\Bigl(\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\mathcal{U}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(n+d_S-1,0)[*Z_{f_\gamma}][!D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Bigr)&\simeq\operatorname{Im}a_1\\ &\simeq\operatorname{Gr}^W_{n+d_S}\Bigl(\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\mathcal{U}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(n+d_S,-1)[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Bigr)\end{aligned}\tag{133}$$

Proof According to Corollary 6.32, the morphism $a_2\circ a_1$ induces an isomorphism of the weight $(n+d_S)$-parts. Hence, we obtain $\operatorname{Im}(a_2)=\operatorname{Im}(a_2\circ a_2)$, which implies the claims of the proposition. ∎

6.4.3 Graded polarizations and induced pairings

Let $\boldsymbol{b}=(0,-1)\in\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{Z}$. Note that 0 is contained in the interior part of $\operatorname{Conv}(\mathcal{A}\cup\{\boldsymbol{b}\})$.

Let $\gamma:S\longrightarrow W$ be a morphism which is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. As explained in §6.2.4, we obtain graded polarizations $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma,\boldsymbol{b})$ of $\pi^0_\dagger\mathcal{T}_\star(\mathcal{A},S,\gamma)$. Suppose moreover that the image of γ is contained in $W\cap U^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then, $\mathcal{V}'_\star(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma):=\pi^0_{\Sigma'_0\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'_0},D_{\Sigma'_0,S})$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times S}$-modules. Let W denote the filtration of $\mathcal{V}'_\star(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma)$ underlying the weight filtration of $\pi^0_{\Sigma'_0\dagger}\mathcal{T}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'_0},D_{\Sigma'_0,S})$. We set $\widetilde{W}_k\mathcal{V}'_\star(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma):=W_{d_S+k}\mathcal{V}'_\star(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma)$. Then, the polarization and the real structure of $\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d_S+k}\pi^0_{\Sigma'_0,\dagger}\mathcal{T}_\star(F_{\gamma,\Sigma'_0},D_{\Sigma'_0,S})$ induce a pairing $\widetilde{P}_k$ of weight k on $\operatorname{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k\mathcal{V}'_\star(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma)$, as explained in §6.2.5. Let us give a description of the pairings $\widetilde{P}_k$ in the case $\star=*$.

We have the following isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{V}'_*(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma)\simeq\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times X_{\Sigma_0,S}}[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\tag{134}$$

Note that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times X_{\Sigma_0,S}}[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]$ is equipped with the filtration W which underlies the weight filtration of $\mathcal{U}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(n+d_S,-1)[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]$. By using the spectral sequence, we have the following complex

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi^{-1}_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d_S+n+k+1}\Bigl(\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_{\Sigma_0,S}}[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Bigr)\xrightarrow{\alpha_k}\\ &\qquad\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d_S+n+k}\Bigl(\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_{\Sigma_0,S}}[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Bigr)\xrightarrow{\beta_k}\\ &\qquad\qquad\pi^1_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d_S+n+k-1}\Bigl(\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_{\Sigma_0,S}}[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Bigr),\end{aligned}\tag{135}$$

and the cohomology is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_{n+k}\mathcal{V}'_*(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma)$. The real structure and the polarization of

$$\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d_S+n+k}\mathcal{U}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(n+d_S,-1)[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]$$

induces a pairing $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n+k}$ of weight $n+k$ on $\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d_S+n+k}\Bigl(\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_{\Sigma_0,S}}[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Bigr)$. The pairing $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n+k}$ induces the following isomorphism:

$$\Phi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n+k}}:\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d_S+n+k}\Bigl(\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_{\Sigma_0,S}}[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Bigr)\simeq\lambda^{-n-k}\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d_S+n+k}\Bigl(\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_{\Sigma_0,S}}[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Bigr)^\vee$$

As the dual of (135), we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi^1_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d_S+n+k-1}\Bigl(\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_{\Sigma_0,S}}[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Bigr)^\vee\xrightarrow{\beta_k^\vee}\\ &\qquad\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d_S+n+k}\Bigl(\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_{\Sigma_0,S}}[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Bigr)^\vee\xrightarrow{\alpha_k^\vee}\\ &\qquad\qquad\pi^{-1}_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d_S+n+k+1}\Bigl(\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_{\Sigma_0,S}}[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Bigr)^\vee\end{aligned}\tag{136}$$

We obtain the subsheaf $\operatorname{Ker}\beta_k\cap\operatorname{Ker}\bigl(\alpha_k^{\vee}\circ\Phi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n+k}}\bigr)$ in $\pi^0_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d_S+n+k}\Bigl(\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_{\Sigma_0,S}}[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]\Bigr)$. Condition **(A)** for π_{Σ_0} and $\mathcal{U}_{X_{\Sigma_0,S}}(n+d_S,-1)[!Z_{f_\gamma}][*D_{\Sigma_0,S}]$ with the graded polarization implies that the induced morphism

$$\operatorname{Ker}\beta_k\cap\operatorname{Ker}\bigl(\alpha_k^{\vee}\circ\Phi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n+k}}\bigr)\longrightarrow\operatorname{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_{n+k}\mathcal{V}'_*(\mathcal{B},S,\gamma)\tag{137}$$

is an epimorphism. The following proposition holds by the construction of $\widetilde{P}_{n+k}$.

Proposition 6.62 *The pull back of* $\widetilde{P}_{n+k}$ *by* (137) *is equal to the restriction of* $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n+k}$ *to* $\operatorname{Ker}\beta_k\cap\operatorname{Ker}\bigl(\alpha_k^{\vee}\circ\Phi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n+k}}\bigr)$*.* $\blacksquare$

In the sense of Proposition 6.62, a description of the complex (135) and the pairing $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n+k}$ gives a description of $\widetilde{P}_{n+k}$.

A Hodge theoretic description of the pairings We shall give a Hodge theoretic description of (135) and $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n+k}$ in the case where S is a point. According to Proposition B.10, it is enough to consider the case where S is a point.

To simplify the description, we denote f_γ by f, and the zero-set is denoted by Z_f. We have the irreducible decomposition $D_{\Sigma_0}=\bigcup_{i\in\Lambda}D_{\Sigma_0,i}$. For $I\subset\Lambda$, we set $D_{\Sigma_0,I}:=\bigcap_{i\in I}D_{\Sigma_0,i}$. Formally, $D_{\Sigma_0,\emptyset}:=X_{\Sigma_0}$. Let $\iota_I:D_{\Sigma_0,I}\longrightarrow X_{\Sigma_0}$ be the inclusion. Let $Z_{f,I}:=Z_f\cap D_{\Sigma_0,I}$ which are also smooth. Let $\iota_{Z_f,I}$ denote the inclusion $Z_{f,I}\longrightarrow X_{\Sigma_0}$.

We set $\Lambda(k):=\bigl\{I\subset\Lambda\,\big|\,|I|=\Lambda\bigr\}$. Let W be the filtration on $\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_{\Sigma_0}}[!Z_f][*D_{\Sigma_0,I}]$ underlying the weight filtration of the mixed Hodge module $\mathcal{U}_{X_{\Sigma_0}}(n,-1)[!Z_f][*D_{\Sigma_0}]$. We have the following natural isomorphism:

$$\operatorname{Gr}^W_{n+k}\Bigl(\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_{\Sigma_0}}[!Z_f][*D_{\Sigma_0}]\Bigr)\simeq\bigoplus_{I\in\Lambda(k-1)}\iota_{I\dagger}\bigl(\lambda^{-k}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times D_{\Sigma_0,I}}\bigr)\oplus\bigoplus_{I\in\Lambda(k)}\iota_{Z_f,I\dagger}\bigl(\lambda^{-k-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times Z_{f,I}}\bigr)$$

Let $F^\bullet$ be the Hodge filtration on $H^*(D_{\Sigma_0,I})$ which is a decreasing filtration. We set $F_jH^m(D_{\Sigma_0,I}):=F^{-j}H^m(D_{\Sigma_0,I})$. Let $R_FH^m(D_{\Sigma_0,I})$ be the Rees module:

$$R_FH^m(D_{\Sigma_0,I})=\sum F_jH^m(D_{\Sigma_0,I})\lambda^j=\sum F^jH^m(D_{\Sigma_0,I})\lambda^{-j}$$

The associated $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$-module is also denoted by the same notation. We have the following natural isomorphism:

$$\pi^i_{\Sigma_0\dagger}\operatorname{Gr}^W_{n+k}\Bigl(\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_{\Sigma_0}}[!Z_f][*D_{\Sigma_0}]\Bigr)\simeq\bigoplus_{I\in\Lambda(k-1)}\lambda^{-k}R_FH^{n-k+i}(D_{\Sigma_0,I})\oplus\bigoplus_{I\in\Lambda(k)}\lambda^{-k-1}R_FH^{n-2-k+i}(Z_{f,I})\tag{138}$$

We obtain the complex (135) by applying the Rees construction to the following exact sequence of pure Hodge structures:

$$\begin{aligned}&\bigoplus_{I\in\Lambda(k)}H^{n-k-2}(D_{\Sigma_0,I})\otimes\mathbb{Q}(-k-1)\oplus\bigoplus_{I\in\Lambda(k+1)}H^{n-4-k}(Z_{f,I})\otimes\mathbb{Q}(-k-2)\longrightarrow\\&\qquad\bigoplus_{I\in\Lambda(k-1)}H^{n-k}(D_{\Sigma_0,I})\otimes\mathbb{Q}(-k)\oplus\bigoplus_{I\in\Lambda(k)}H^{n-2-k}(Z_{f,I})\otimes\mathbb{Q}(-k-1)\longrightarrow\\&\qquad\qquad\bigoplus_{I\in\Lambda(k-2)}H^{n-k+2}(D_{\Sigma_0,I})\otimes\mathbb{Q}(-k+1)\oplus\bigoplus_{I\in\Lambda(k-1)}H^{n-k}(Z_{f,I})\otimes\mathbb{Q}(-k)\end{aligned}\tag{139}$$

Here, $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ denote the i-th Tate object in the category of Hodge structures. We can also obtain the complex (139) by using the theory of mixed Hodge modules [57], or more explicitly by using the theory of mixed Hodge complexes (see [42]).

We have the following pairings on $\mathcal{P}_I$ on $H^{n-1-|I|}(D_{\Sigma_0,I})$ and $\mathcal{P}_{Z_f,I}$ on $H^{n-|I|-2}(Z_{f,I})$:

$$\mathcal{P}_I(a,b) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{n-1-|I|} \int_{D_{\Sigma_0,I}} ab, \qquad \mathcal{P}_{Z_f,I}(a,b) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{n-2-|I|} \int_{Z_{f,I}} ab.$$

For $i \in \Lambda$, let $p_i(f)$ be the pole order of f along D_i. For any integer ℓ, let $\epsilon(\ell) := (-1)^{\ell(\ell-1)/2}$. We set

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_I := \epsilon(n-|I|-1) \cdot \prod_{i\in I} p_i(f)^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{P}_I, \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{Z_f,I} := \epsilon(n-2-|I|) \cdot \prod_{i\in I} p_i(f)^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{Z_f,I}.$$

We have the pairing of weight $n-|I|-1$ on $R_F H^{n-|I|-1}(D_{\Sigma_0,I})$ induced by $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_I$, and the pairing of weight $n-|I|-2$ on $R_F H^{n-|I|-2}(Z_{f,I})$ induced by $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{Z_f,I}$. The induced pairings are also denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_I$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{Z_f,I}$ respectively.

Proposition 6.63 *We have* $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n+k} = \bigoplus_{I\in\Lambda(k-1)} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_I \oplus \bigoplus_{I\in\Lambda(k)} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{Z_f,I}$.

Proof It follows from Proposition 4.17 and Proposition B.10. ▮

6.5 Mixed TEP-structures on reduced GKZ-hypergeometric systems

6.5.1 Preliminary

As in §6.1.1, we consider $\mathcal{A} = \{\boldsymbol{a}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{a}_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ which generates $\mathbb{Z}^n$. We set $N_{\mathcal{A}} := \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $M_{\mathcal{A}} := \mathbb{Z}^m$. Let $e_1,\dots,e_m$ be the standard basis of $M_{\mathcal{A}}$. We have the surjective morphism $\Xi_{\mathcal{A}} : M_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow N_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $L_{\mathcal{A}} := \operatorname{Ker} \Xi_{\mathcal{A}}$. We obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\mathcal{A}}} M_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}} N_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By taking the dual, we obtain the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow N_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee}} M_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee}} L_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0$. For a finitely generated free abelian group A, we set $A_{\mathbb{C}^*} := \mathbb{C}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$. We can naturally regard it as a complex algebraic variety or a complex manifold. Particularly, we set $S_{\mathcal{A}} := L_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$. We have the natural surjection $\Theta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee} : M_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} \longrightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$.

We have the action ρ_1 of $N_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$ on $M_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$ induced by $-\varphi$. We also have the natural action ρ_0 of $N_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$ on itself by the multiplication. We can naturally regard $\Theta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee} : M_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} \longrightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$ as the quotient of the projection $N_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} \times M_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} \longrightarrow M_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$ via the above actions of $N_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$.

The identifications $M_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $N_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{Z}^n$ induce the coordinate systems $(w_1,\dots,w_m)$ on $M_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$ and $(t_1,\dots,t_n)$ on $N_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$. We set $G_{\mathcal{A}} := \sum_{i=1}^m w_i$ on $M_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$. The algebraic function $F_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i t^{\boldsymbol{a}_i}$ on $N_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} \times M_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$ is $N_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$-invariant, and $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the descent of $F_{\mathcal{A}}$. Any splitting $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}} : L_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee} \longrightarrow M_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee}$ of $\Theta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee}$ induces an algebraic morphism $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}} : L_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} \longrightarrow M_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$, and we obtain $\mathrm{id} \times \gamma_{\mathcal{A}} : N_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} \times L_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} \longrightarrow N_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} \times M_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$. The splitting $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ also gives an isomorphism $M_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee} \simeq N_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee} \times L_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee}$, and hence $M_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} \simeq N_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} \times L_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$. The pull back of $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ by $\mathrm{id} \times \gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ is equal to $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ under the identification.

6.5.2 Mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules and the induced mixed TEP-structures

We naturally regard $M_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^m = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i z_i \,\middle|\, \alpha_i \neq 0\right\}$, and $N_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} = T^n$ in §6.1.1. Let $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}} : L_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee} \longrightarrow M_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}^{\vee}$ be the splitting as above. Note that it is non-degenerate at ∞ for $X_{\mathcal{A}}$, as remarked in Example 6.15. We obtain the following integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules with real structure on $S_{\mathcal{A}}$:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\star} := \pi_{\dagger}^{0} \mathcal{T}_{\star}(\mathcal{A}, S_{\mathcal{A}}, \gamma_{\mathcal{A}}) \quad (\star = *, !).$$

The underlying $\mathcal{D}$-modules are the reduced GKZ-hypergeometric systems. As in Lemma 6.3, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ are independent of the choice of a splitting $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$.

We set $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\min} := \pi_{\dagger}^{0} \mathcal{T}_{\min}(\mathcal{A}, S_{\mathcal{A}}, \gamma_{\mathcal{A}})$. By Corollary 6.32, it is isomorphic to the image of the natural morphism $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},!} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},*}$, and $\operatorname{Gr}_m^W \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},*} = \operatorname{Gr}_m^W \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},!} = \operatorname{Gr}_m^W \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\min} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\min}$. If 0 is contained in the interior part of $\operatorname{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}!} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},*} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\min}$.

We set $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A},\star}:=\mathcal{L}_{\star}\big(\mathcal{A},S_{\mathcal{A}},\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}\big)$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}:=\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$. The $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ underlie $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$. More precisely, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ is expressed as a pair of $\mathcal{R}$-modules $\lambda^m\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},!}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},*}$ with the induced sesqui-linear pairing, and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},!}$ is expressed as a pair of $\mathcal{R}$-modules $\lambda^m\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},*}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},!}$ with the induced sesqui-linear pairing. Let W denote the filtration of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ underlying the weight filtration of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$. We set $\widetilde{W}_k\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}:=W_{k+n-m}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$. Note $\dim S_{\mathcal{A}}=m-n$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A},\min}$ be the image of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A},!}\longrightarrow\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A},*}$, and set $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\min}:=\pi^0_{\dagger}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A},\min}$. It is naturally isomorphic to the image of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},!}\longrightarrow\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},*}$ by Corollary 6.32. The $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-module $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\min}$ underlies $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}\min}$. We have $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_n\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},!}\simeq\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_n\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},*}\simeq\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\min}$.

If 0 is an interior point of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$, then $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}*}=\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\min}=\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},!}$ is pure of weight m, and it is equipped with the canonical polarization. As explained in §6.2.4, even if 0 is not an interior point of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$, we have the graded polarizations $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{A},\star,\boldsymbol{b}}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ depending on the choice of $\boldsymbol{b}\in\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that 0 is an interior point of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A}\cup\{\boldsymbol{b}\})$. The weight m-part of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{A},\star,\boldsymbol{b}}$ are independent of $\boldsymbol{b}$.

Let $S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}:=\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(U^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}})$. The restriction $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star|S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}}$-modules. Take a hypersurface Y such that $Y\supset S_{\mathcal{A}}\setminus S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. The real structure and the graded polarization of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ induce graded pairing $P_{\mathcal{A},\star,\boldsymbol{b}}$ of $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}(*Y),\widetilde{W})$. In this way, we obtain mixed TEP-structures $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}(*Y),\widetilde{W},P_{\mathcal{A},\star,\boldsymbol{b}})$.

Remark 6.64 *Let* $\mathbb{C}[M_{\mathcal{A}}]$ *denote the group ring of* $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ *over* $\mathbb{C}$. *We may naturally regard* $M^\vee_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}$ *as the algebraic variety* $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{C}[M_{\mathcal{A}}]$. *Then,* $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ *is algebraic in the sense of* §6.2.6. ∎

Remark 6.65 *If* 0 *is contained in the interior part of* $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$, *we shall often omit the subscripts* $*,!$ *because* $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}!}=\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}*}=\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\min}$. ∎

6.5.3 Homogeneity

Let $e_1^\vee,\ldots,e_m^\vee$ denote the dual basis of $M^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}$. We have the morphism $\mathbb{Z}\longrightarrow M^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}$ given by $1\longmapsto\mathfrak{v}:=\sum_{i=1}^m e_i^\vee$. It induces a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $M^\vee_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}$. By the composition $\mathbb{Z}\longrightarrow M^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}\longrightarrow L^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}$, we obtain a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $S_{\mathcal{A}}$. The map $\Theta^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}:M^\vee_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}\longrightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant. For the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, we have $a^*G_{\mathcal{A}}=a\cdot G_{\mathcal{A}}$ for any $a\in\mathbb{C}^*$.

We consider the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ given by the multiplication. For the diagonal $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times M^\vee_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}$, we have $a^*(\lambda^{-1}G_{\mathcal{A}})=\lambda^{-1}G_{\mathcal{A}}$ for any $a\in\mathbb{C}^*$.

Lemma 6.66 *The* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$*-modules* $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ *on* $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ *are* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-homogeneous in the sense of* §3.6.1.

Proof Take any toric desingularization $\varphi_\Sigma:X_\Sigma\longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$. We have the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $X_\Sigma\times S_{\mathcal{A}}$ which is the extension of $T^n\times S_{\mathcal{A}}\simeq M^\vee_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^*}$. For the action, we have $a^*(\lambda^{-1}F_{\gamma_{\mathcal{A}},\Sigma})=\lambda^{-1}F_{\gamma_{\mathcal{A}},\Sigma}$.

We set $Y:=X_\Sigma\times S_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $D_Y:=D_\Sigma\times S_{\mathcal{A}}$. By Proposition 3.28, the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules $\mathcal{L}_\star(F_{\gamma_{\mathcal{A}},\Sigma},D_Y)$ are $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous. Then, $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}\simeq\pi^0_{\Sigma\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(F_{\gamma_{\mathcal{A}},\Sigma},D_Y)$ are also $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous. It is easy to check that the $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions are independent of the choice of φ_Σ. ∎

6.5.4 Variation of Hodge structure

Let us consider the special case $\Theta^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{v})=0$, i.e., the natural $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ is trivial. By the general result in Theorem 3.35, we have the following.

Proposition 6.67 *If* $\Theta^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{v})=0$, *then the integrable mixed twistor* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules* $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ *come from mixed* $\mathbb{R}$*-Hodge modules.* ∎

Remark 6.68 *In particular, the underlying* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules of* $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ *are regular singular. Note that the condition* $\Theta^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{v})=0$ *is equivalent to the standard criterion for the GKZ-system to be regular singular. Indeed, we have* $\Theta^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{v})=0$ *if and only if there exists* $\alpha\in N^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}$ *such that* $\alpha(\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}(e_i))=1$ $(i=1,\ldots,m)$. *If* $\Theta^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{v})=0$, *we have* $\alpha\in N^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}$ *such that* $\Xi^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)(\mathfrak{v})$, *and hence we have* $\langle\alpha,\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}(e_i)\rangle=\langle\Xi^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha),e_i\rangle=\langle\mathfrak{v},e_i\rangle=1$. *Conversely, suppose that there exists* $\alpha\in N^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}$ *such that* $\alpha(\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}(e_i))=1$. *Because* $\langle\Xi^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha),e_i\rangle=1$, *we have* $\Xi^\vee_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)=\mathfrak{v}$. ∎

Corollary 6.69 $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\star|S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ *comes from a graded polarizable variation of mixed Hodge structure on* $S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. *For any algebraic embedding* $S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}\subset Z$, *the graded polarizable variation of mixed Hodge structure is admissible along* $Z\setminus S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof It follows from the algebraicity in Proposition 6.43 and a general property of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. ∎

6.5.5 Appendix: Comparison with the construction of Reichelt-Sevenheck

As in §D.2, we set $V := H^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^m \times V$ be the 0-set of the universal section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_V$. We decompose $V = V_1 \times V_2$, where $V_1 := \bigl\{\alpha_0 z_0 \bigm| \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}\bigr\}$ and $V_2 := \bigl\{\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i z_i \bigm| \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}\bigr\}$. We identify $V_2 = M_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. We have the splitting $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}} : S_{\mathcal{A}} = L_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow M_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{C}^* \subset V_2$. We obtain $\mathrm{id} \times \gamma_{\mathcal{A}} : V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow V_1 \times V_2$. Let $Z_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the fiber product of Z and $V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}$ over $V_1 \times V_2$. We have the naturally induced morphisms $q_1 : Z_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ and $q_2 : Z_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Recall that we have the morphism $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : T^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ induced by $\mathcal{A}$. Let $U := \psi_{\mathcal{A}}(T^n)$. We set $Z_{\mathcal{A},U} := Z_{\mathcal{A}} \times_{\mathbb{P}^m} U$. Let $\iota_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}} : Z_{\mathcal{A},U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m \times (V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}})$ be the inclusion.

Let us consider the pure Hodge module $\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}}, F\bigr)$ and the mixed Hodge modules $\iota_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}\star}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}}, F\bigr)$ $(\star = *, !)$ as in §D.2.3. Let $\mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_{\mathcal{A},U})$ denote the image of the morphism $\iota_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}!}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}}, F\bigr) \longrightarrow \iota_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}*}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}}, F\bigr)$. Let $\pi_{V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}} : \mathbb{P}^m \times (V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the projection. Then, we obtain the mixed Hodge modules $\pi^0_{V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}\dagger} \iota_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}\star}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}}, F\bigr)$ $(\star = !, *)$ and $\pi^0_{V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}\dagger} \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_{\mathcal{A},U})$. By applying the procedure in §D.1.1, we obtain the following $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{S_{\mathcal{A}}}$-modules:

$$G_0 \,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_{\mathcal{A}}}\bigl(\pi^0_{V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}\dagger} \iota_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}\star}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}}, F)\bigr), \qquad G_0 \,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_{\mathcal{A}}}\bigl(\pi^0_{V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}\dagger} \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_{\mathcal{A},U})\bigr).$$

Proposition 6.70 *We have isomorphisms of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules:*

$$\lambda \cdot \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}\star} \simeq G_0 \,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_{\mathcal{A}}}\bigl(\pi^0_{V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}\dagger} \iota_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}\star}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}}, F)\bigr)$$

We also have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\lambda \cdot \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}!} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & G_0 \,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_{\mathcal{A}}}\bigl(\pi^0_{V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}\dagger} \iota_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}!}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}}, F)\bigr) \arrow[d] \\
\lambda \cdot \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}*} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & G_0 \,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_{\mathcal{A}}}\bigl(\pi^0_{V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}\dagger} \iota_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}}, F)\bigr)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof We can obtain the claim from Proposition D.6 by using the non-characteristic pull back. We can also prove it directly by the argument in the proof of Proposition D.6. ∎

Corollary 6.71 *We naturally have $\lambda \cdot \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\min} \simeq G_0 \,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_{\mathcal{A}}}\bigl(\pi^0_{V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}\dagger} \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_{\mathcal{A},U})\bigr)$.*

Proof Reichelt-Sevenheck proved that $G_0 \,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_{\mathcal{A}}}\bigl(\pi^0_{V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}\dagger} \mathcal{M}^{IC}(Z_{\mathcal{A},U})\bigr)$ is naturally isomorphic to the image of $G_0 \,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_{\mathcal{A}}}\bigl(\pi^0_{V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}\dagger} \iota_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}!}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}}, F)\bigr) \longrightarrow G_0 \,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_{\mathcal{A}}}\bigl(\pi^0_{V_1 \times S_{\mathcal{A}}\dagger} \iota_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{A},U}}, F)\bigr)$. As mentioned in §6.5.2, $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}\,\min}$ is naturally isomorphic to the image of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}!} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}*}$ by Corollary 6.32. Hence, we have the desired isomorphism. ∎

7 Quantum $\mathcal{D}$-modules

7.1 Some mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules in mirror symmetry

7.1.1 Toric data

Let X be an n-dimensional smooth weak Fano toric variety. Let Σ be a fan of X. Let $\Sigma(1) = \{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m\}$ denote the set of the 1-dimensional cones in Σ. Let $[\rho_i] \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the primitive generator of $\rho_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Let K_X denote the canonical bundle of X. Let $\mathcal{L}_j$ $(j = 1, \ldots, r)$ be nef line bundles on X such that $\bigl(K_X \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \mathcal{L}_j\bigr)^{\vee}$ is nef. We may assume that $\mathcal{L}_j = \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{ji} D_i)$ $(j = 1, \ldots, r)$ for some $\beta_{ji} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where D_i are the hypersurfaces corresponding to the cones ρ_i. Let $\mathbb{Z}^{n+r} = \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{Z}^r$. Let $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ denote the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^r$. We set $\boldsymbol{a}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{Z}^r$ as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{a}_i := \begin{cases} [\rho_i] + \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_{ji} n_j & (i = 1, \ldots, m), \\ n_{i-m} & (i = m+1, \ldots, m+r). \end{cases}$$

We put $\mathcal{A} := \{\boldsymbol{a}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_{r+m}\}$. We also set $\boldsymbol{a}_{r+m+1} := -\sum_{j=1}^r n_j$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A} \cup \{\boldsymbol{a}_{r+m+1}\}$. Note that 0 is contained in the interior part of the convex hull of $\mathrm{Conv}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})$. (We shall use $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ in §7.2.)

7.1.2 $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules with filtration and graded pairing associated to $\mathcal{A}$

Applying the construction in §6.5, we obtain the integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ $(\star=*,!)$ with real structure on $S_{\mathcal{A}}$. They are equipped with the graded polarization $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{A},\star,\boldsymbol{a}_{r+m+1}}$. Let $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ denote their underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules. They are $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous as in §6.5.3. By the procedure in §B.2.2–B.2.3, we obtain the filtration $\widetilde{W}$ and the graded pairing $(P_{\mathcal{A},\star,k}\,|\,k\in\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star|S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}}$.

We apply the same construction to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},\star}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(n+r)$. Let $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ be the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules. They are $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous. The restriction $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star|S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ is equipped with the filtration $\widetilde{W}$ and the graded pairings $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A},\star}=(\mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A},\star,k}\,\big|\,k\in\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, we obtain mixed TEP-structures $\bigl(\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star|S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}},\widetilde{W},\mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A},\star}\bigr)$ on $S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

By construction, we have $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}=\lambda^{n+r}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$ and $\widetilde{W}_k\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}=\lambda^{n+r}\widetilde{W}_{k+2(n+r)}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$. We have $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}=\lambda^{n+r}\,\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_{k+2(n+r)}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}$. The graded pairings on $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}(*\lambda)=\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_{k+2(n+r)}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A},\star}(*\lambda)$ are equal.

7.1.3 Expression as the systems of differential equations

Let $[z_0:\cdots:z_{m+r}]$ be the homogeneous coordinate system on $\mathbb{P}^{m+r}$. We set $W:=\bigl\{\sum_{i=1}^{m+r}\alpha_iz_i\bigr\}\subset H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^{m+r},\mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)=M_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee}\otimes\mathbb{C}$. The splitting $S_{\mathcal{A}}=L^{\vee}_{\mathcal{A}}\otimes\mathbb{C}^*\longrightarrow M^{\vee}_{\mathcal{A}}\otimes\mathbb{C}^*$ induces a morphism $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}:S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}\longrightarrow W$. We set $W^{\mathrm{reg}}:=W\cap U^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. We have $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}})\subset W^{\mathrm{reg}}$.

We use the notation in §E. By applying the construction in §E.2.1 to $\mathcal{A}=\{\boldsymbol{a}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{a}_{m+r}\}\subset\mathbb{Z}^{n+r}$ with $\boldsymbol{\beta}=0\in\mathbb{C}^{n+r}$, we obtain the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^{m+r}}$-modules $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0)$ on $W=\mathbb{C}^{m+r}=\{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{m+r})\}$.

We have the induced morphism $\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}:\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}\times W^{\mathrm{reg}}$. The restrictions of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0)$ to W^{reg} give locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times W^{\mathrm{reg}}}$-modules. We take the pull back of them by $\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ as $\mathcal{O}$-modules. Then, $(\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})^*\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0)$ and $(\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})^*\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0)$ are naturally equipped with the meromorphic flat connection with which they are $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}}$-modules.

Theorem 7.1 *We have the following commutative diagram.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\lambda^n(\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})^*\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & \lambda^{-r}\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}!} \arrow[d] \\
\lambda^n(\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})^*\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \lambda^{-r}\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}*}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof By Proposition 6.35 and Proposition E.6, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})^*\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}\bigl(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0\bigr) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}!} \arrow[d] \\
(\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})^*\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}*}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{140}$$

Then, we obtain the claim of the theorem by construction. ∎

According to [45], we have $K(\mathcal{A})=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}$ and $K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ}=K(\mathcal{A})+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\boldsymbol{a}_{n+i}$. We set $\boldsymbol{c}_1=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\boldsymbol{a}_{n+i}$. Let $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},0)$ and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_1)$ be the left ideals of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_W$ given as in §E.2.3. As remarked in §E.2.3, we have the following commutative diagram on $W=\mathbb{C}^{m+r}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_W/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_1) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_W/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},0)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, the right vertical arrow is induced by the multiplication of $\prod_{i=1}^{r}(\lambda\partial_{m+i})$.

7.1.4 Relation with quantum $\mathcal{D}$-modules of complete intersections (Appendix)

Inspired by the work of E. Mann and T. Mignon [34], Reichelt and Sevenheck [45] constructed the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules ${}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0,\underline{0},\underline{0})}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and ${}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{(-r,\underline{0},\underline{0})}_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $W\setminus\{0\}$ in terms of the differential systems. (See [45] for the precise definition of the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules. But, we remark the commutativity (141) below.) See [34] and [45] for the precise relation of the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules and the reduced quantum $\mathcal{D}$-module of complete intersection of general sections of $\mathcal{L}_i$ $(i=1,\ldots,r)$. By formal computations, we can obtain the following commutative diagram on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}=\bigl\{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{m+r})\bigm|\alpha_i\neq 0\bigr\}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigl(\lambda^n\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_W/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_1)\bigr)_{|(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & {}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0,\underline{0},\underline{0})}_{\mathcal{A}|(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}} \arrow[d] \\
\bigl(\lambda^n\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_W/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},0)\bigr)_{|(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & {}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{(-r,\underline{0},\underline{0})}_{\mathcal{A}|(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{141}$$

The vertical arrows are the natural morphisms.

Corollary 7.2 *We have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\lambda^{-r}\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}!} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & (\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})^*\bigl({}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0,\underline{0},\underline{0})}_{\mathcal{A}|(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}}\bigr) \arrow[d, "a_1"] \\
\lambda^{-r}\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}*} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & (\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})^*\bigl({}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{(-r,\underline{0},\underline{0})}_{\mathcal{A}|(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}}\bigr)
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules $(\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})^*\bigl({}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0,\underline{0},\underline{0})}_{\mathcal{A}|(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}}\bigr)$ and $(\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})^*\bigl({}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{(-r,\underline{0},\underline{0})}_{\mathcal{A}|(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}}\bigr)$ underlie mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules, and the $\mathrm{Im}\,a_1$ underlies a pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module. ∎

Remark 7.3 *In* [45], *Reichelt and Sevenheck constructed $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules by using the partial Fourier-Laplace transform of GKZ-hypergeometric systems and the Brieskorn lattices associated to the Hodge filtrations of the GKZ-hypergeometric systems. They conjectured in* [45, Conjecture 6.13] *that the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules are isomorphic to $(\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})^*\bigl({}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^{(0,\underline{0},\underline{0})}_{\mathcal{A}|(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}}\bigr)$ and $(\mathrm{id}\times\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})^*\bigl({}^{*}_{0}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{(-r,\underline{0},\underline{0})}_{\mathcal{A}|(\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+r}}\bigr)$.*

In §D, *we review their construction of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules. In Proposition* D.6, *we compare their $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules with the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules in a general setting. See also Proposition* 6.70. *So, Theorem* 7.1 *also verifies their conjecture. Reichelt and Sevenheck also verified their conjecture* [46] *in a different way.* ∎

Remark 7.4 *In the first version of this preprint, we used some results in* [45] *for the comparison with some $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules associated to differential systems, which required us to go a roundabout way with careful comparison of dualities. In the second version, as explained* §E, *we give a direct comparison of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules associated to differential systems and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules underlying mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Hence, the argument is made more transparent.* ∎

7.2 Description as a specialization

This subsection is the continuation of §7.1.1–§7.1.2, and it is the preparation for Theorem 7.34.

7.2.1 The $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-module with pairing associated to $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$

Applying the construction in §6.5, we obtain the integrable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{T}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ on $S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$. Let $\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ denote the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-module which is $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous. We have the filtration $\widetilde{W}$ and the graded pairing $P_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ induced by the real structure and the graded polarization on $\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$. In this case, $\widetilde{W}$ is pure of weight $n+r$.

We apply the same construction to $\mathcal{T}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(n+r)$. We obtain the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-module $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ on $S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ which is $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous. The restriction $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}$ is equipped with the filtration $\widetilde{W}$ which is pure of weight $-n-r$, and the pairing $\mathfrak{P}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ of weight $-n-r$. In other words, we obtain a TEP$(n+r)$-structure $\bigl(\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}},\mathfrak{P}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}\bigr)$. By construction, we have $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}=\lambda^{n+r}\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$, and $P_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}=\mathfrak{P}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ on $\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}(*\lambda)=\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}(*\lambda)$.

7.2.2 Relation of $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$

We set $\widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}} := S_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}_{\tau}$. Applying the construction in §6.3, we obtain the integrable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and the underlying $\mathcal{R}$-module $\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}})$ on $\mathbb{P}^{m+r+1} \times \widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $\widetilde{\pi} : \mathbb{P}^{m+r+1} \times \widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the projection. We set $\widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}} := \lambda^{n+r} \widetilde{\pi}^{0}_{\dagger} \mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}})(*\tau)$. It is equipped with the pairing $\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of weight $-n-r$ as in the case of §7.2.1.

Lemma 7.5 *We can naturally identify $S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ with $S_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}_{\tau} \subset \widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$ under which $\widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}|S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}} = \mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$. In other words, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a meromorphic extension of $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ on $\widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$. We also have the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $\widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$ for which the inclusions $S_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq S_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{0\} \subset \widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are equivariant.*

Proof We use the notation in §6.5. We naturally have $M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} = M_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_{m+r+1}$ and $N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} = N_{\mathcal{A}}$. The natural inclusion $M_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ induces $L_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow L_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$, and hence $L^{\vee}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \longrightarrow L^{\vee}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

We also consider $M_X := \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $N_X := \mathbb{Z}^n$ and a morphism $\Theta_X : M_X \longrightarrow N_X$ determined by $\Theta_X(e_i) = [\rho_i]$, where the tuple $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ is the standard basis of M_X, and $[\rho_i]$ are as in §7.1.1. Let L_X be the kernel of Θ_X. The projections $M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \longrightarrow M_X$ and $N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \longrightarrow N_X$ induces $L_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \longrightarrow L_X$. It is well known and easy that the composite of $L_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow L_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \longrightarrow L_X$ is an isomorphism.

Let Υ denote the image of $e^{\vee}_{m+r+1}$ by $M^{\vee}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \longrightarrow L^{\vee}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$. Then, Υ is the frame of the kernel of $L^{\vee}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \longrightarrow L^{\vee}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Hence, $L^{\vee}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ is the direct sum of the image of $L^{\vee}_X$ and $\mathbb{Z}\Upsilon$. The direct sum induces

$$S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} = L^{\vee}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{*} = \bigl(L^{\vee}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{*}\bigr) \times \mathbb{C}^{*} = S_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}.$$

We may assume that the splitting $\kappa_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} : L^{\vee}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \longrightarrow M^{\vee}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ is given by the direct sum of $\kappa_{\mathcal{A}} : L^{\vee}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow M^{\vee}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}\Upsilon \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}e^{\vee}_{m+r+1}$. Then, we have $F_{\gamma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}(x, \tau, t) = F_{\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, t) + \tau t^{\boldsymbol{a}_{m+r+1}}$. Then, by the construction of $\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}}$, we have $\widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}|S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}} = \gamma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$. We obtain $\mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}})_{|\mathbb{P}^m \times S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}} = \mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}, \gamma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}})$, and hence $\widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}|S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}} = \mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$. The claim on the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action is also easy to see. ∎

Recall the following due to Reichelt-Sevenheck who proved it in a more general situation.

Proposition 7.6 ([44]) *$\widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is regular along τ.* ∎

Lemma 7.7 *We consider the morphism $\lambda N : \psi_{\tau,-\boldsymbol{\delta}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \psi_{\tau,-\boldsymbol{\delta}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}}$, where N is induced by $\tau\partial_{\tau}$.*

- *The kernel and the cokernel of λN are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},!}$ and $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},*}$ respectively.*
- *Let $W(N)$ denote the weight filtration of λN on $\psi_{\tau,-\boldsymbol{\delta}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. The induced filtrations on* $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N)$ *and* $\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)$ *are also denoted by $W(N)$. Then,*

$$W(N)_k\bigl(\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)\bigr) \simeq \widetilde{W}_{k-n-r}\bigl(\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},*}\bigr)$$

$$W(N)_k\bigl(\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N)\bigr) \simeq \widetilde{W}_{k-n-r}\bigl(\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},!}\bigr)$$

Proof The first follows from the isomorphisms in Corollary 6.48 and the constructions of $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. The second also follows from the isomorphisms in Corollary 6.48, the comparison of the filtrations $W(\mathcal{N})$ and W on $\psi_{\tau,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})$, and the constructions of the filtrations $\widetilde{W}$. ∎

Let $\widetilde{B}$ be any open set in $\widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \times \widetilde{B}}(*\tau)$-module, and regular singular along $\tau = 0$. The polarization and the real structure of $\pi^{0}_{\dagger}\mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{\mathcal{A}})$ induce a pairing $\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of weight $-n-r$ on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}|\widetilde{B}}$. We clearly have $\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}_{\mathcal{A}|\widetilde{B}\setminus\{\tau=0\}} = \mathfrak{P}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|\widetilde{B}\setminus\{\tau=0\}}$. We obtain the induced graded pairing $\mathrm{sp}_{\tau}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}_{\mathcal{A}})$ on $(\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N), \widetilde{W})$ by the procedure in §B.1.3. We also have $\mathrm{sp}_{\tau}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}_{\mathcal{A}})$ on $(\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N), \widetilde{W})$.

Lemma 7.8 *We have* $\mathrm{sp}_{\tau}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A},*}$ *under the isomorphism* $(\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N), \widetilde{W}) \simeq (\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}*}, \widetilde{W})$. *We also have* $\mathrm{sp}_{\tau}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A},!}$ *under the isomorphism* $(\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N), \widetilde{W}) \simeq (\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}!}, \widetilde{W})$.

Proof It follows from Proposition 6.50. ∎

7.2.3 Cylindrical ends

We set $Y := \mathbb{P}\big(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathcal{L}_j\big)$ with the projection $\pi : Y \longrightarrow X$. It is the projective completion of the vector bundle $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathcal{L}_j^{\vee}$. Recall that Y is also weak Fano. Indeed, for the canonical bundle K_Y of Y, we have $K_Y^{\vee} = \pi^*\big(K_X \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{L}_i\big)^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(1)^{\otimes(r+1)}$. By the assumption, $\pi^*(K_X \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{L}_i)^{\vee}$ is nef. Because $\mathcal{L}_i$ are nef line bundles on a toric variety, they are globally generated. Then, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ is also globally generated. In particular, it is nef. As a result, $K_Y^{\vee}$ is nef.

We have the natural morphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_X^{\vee} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & L_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}^{\vee} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & L_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee} \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] & H^2(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "i_0^*"] & H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})
\end{tikzcd}$$

We take a frame $\eta_1,\ldots,\eta_\ell$ of $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ such that each η_i is the first Chern class of a nef line bundle. Let $\eta_{\ell+1} \in H^2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$ be the first Chern class of the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ of Y over X. By the assumption on the line bundles $\mathcal{L}_j$, $\eta_{\ell+1}$ is also a nef class. The tuple $\eta_1,\ldots,\eta_{\ell+1}$ gives a frame of $H^2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\xi_i \in L_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee}$ be the elements corresponding to η_i. We have $\xi_{\ell+1} = \Upsilon$.

The tuple $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_\ell$ gives a frame of $L_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee}$. It gives a coordinate system $(x_1,\ldots,x_\ell)$ on $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ with which $S_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\ell}$. Similarly, the tuple $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_{\ell+1}$ gives a frame of $L_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}^{\vee}$. It gives a coordinate system $(x_1,\ldots,x_{\ell+1})$ on $S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ with which $S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\ell+1}$. We have $\tau = x_{\ell+1}$ under the identification in Lemma 7.5. Recall the following due to Iritani.

Lemma 7.9 ([21]) *There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the following holds:*

- $B_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} = \big\{(x_1,\ldots,x_{\ell+1}) \,\big|\, 0 < |x_i| < \epsilon \ (i = 1,\ldots,\ell+1)\big\}$ *is contained in* $S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$.
- $B_{\mathcal{A}} = \big\{(x_1,\ldots,x_\ell) \,\big|\, 0 < |x_i| < \epsilon \ (i = 1,\ldots,\ell)\big\}$ *is contained in* $S_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$.

Proof The first claim is proved in [21, Appendix A1]. Let σ be a face of $\mathrm{Conv}(\mathcal{A} \cup \{0\})$ such that 0 is not contained in σ. Then, σ is a face of $\mathrm{Conv}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})$. We have the equality $F_{\gamma_{\mathcal{A}},\sigma} = F_{\gamma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}},\sigma}$, and $F_{\gamma_{\mathcal{A}},\sigma}$ does not contain $x_{\ell+1}$. Hence, the second claim follows. ▮

We consider the following subset $\widetilde{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$ in $\widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$:

$$\widetilde{B}_{\mathcal{A}} = \big\{(x_1,\ldots,x_{\ell+1}) \,\big|\, 0 < |x_i| < \epsilon \ (i = 1,\ldots,\ell),\ |x_{\ell+1}| < \epsilon\big\}$$

The restriction of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}|B_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times B_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}(*x_{\ell+1})$-module, and it is regular along $x_{\ell+1}$.

7.2.4 Coverings

We set $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{A}} := \mathbb{C} \otimes L_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee}$. The exponential map $\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ induces the covering map $\chi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$. We obtain an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-module $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}^*\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}\star}$ equipped with the filtration $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}^*\widetilde{W}$ on $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$. The restriction to $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{reg}} := \chi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(S_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{reg}})$ are equipped with graded pairings $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}^*\mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A}\star}$.

We set $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\mathcal{A}} := \mathbb{C} \otimes L_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}^{\vee}$. By the decomposition $L_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}^{\vee} = L_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\Upsilon$ in the proof of Lemma 7.5, we naturally have $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}$. The map $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and the identity on $\mathbb{C}$ induces $\widetilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}} : \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Take a frame $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_\ell$ of $L_{\mathcal{A}}^{\vee}$ and an open subset $\widetilde{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$ as in §7.2.3. The restriction of $\widetilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ to $\widetilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\widetilde{B}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is equipped with the pairing $\widetilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of weight $-n-r$.

Lemma 7.10 *The restriction of the mixed TEP-structure $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}^*\big(\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}\star|S_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}, \widetilde{W}, \mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A}*}\big)$ to $\widetilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(B_{\mathcal{A}})$ ($\star = *, !$) are obtained from $\widetilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}_{\mathcal{A}})_{|\widetilde{B}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ by the procedure in* §B.1.3. ▮

7.2.5 Logarithmic extension and endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$

This is the continuation of §7.2.3. Let us recall the explicit description of the logarithmic extension of $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ due to Reichelt and Sevenheck in [44]. See [44] for more details. We also give an easy remark on uniqueness of automorphisms, although it is also essentially implied in [44].

Let $B_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ be as in Lemma 7.9. We set

$$\overline{B}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} := \bigl\{(x_1,\ldots,x_{\ell+1}) \bigm| |x_i| < \epsilon \ (i=1,\ldots,\ell+1)\bigr\}.$$

First, the following holds.

Proposition 7.11 (§3.2 of [44]) *There exists a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times\overline{B}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}$-module $\mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ with an isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times B_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}} \simeq \mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|B_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}$$

such that the following holds:

- *The meromorphic flat connection ∇ of $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|B_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}$ gives a meromorphic flat connection $\nabla^{\mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}$ of $\mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ which is logarithmic along $\{x_i=0\}$ $(i=1,\ldots,\ell+1)$.*
- *The residues $\mathrm{Res}_{x_i}(\nabla^{\mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}})_{|\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times\{0\}}$ are nilpotent.*

Such $\mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ is unique up to canonical isomorphisms. ∎

They considered the residual connection ∇^E on $E := \mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times\{0\}}$. They give a quite explicit description of the meromorphic flat bundle $\bigl(E,\nabla^E\bigr)$. Let $N_i : E \longrightarrow \lambda^{-1}E$ $(i=1,\ldots,\ell+1)$ denote $\mathrm{Res}_{x_i}(\nabla^{\mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}})_{|\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times\{0\}}$. We have the fundamental vector fields $\underline{\mathfrak{v}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} k_i x_i \partial_{x_i}$ of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ (see §6.5.3). Note that for the $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneity of $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$, the fundamental vector field of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ is $\lambda\partial_\lambda+\underline{\mathfrak{v}}$. Let us consider the $\mathbb{C}$-homomorphism $L : E\longrightarrow E$ given by $\nabla^E(\lambda\partial_\lambda)+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1}k_iN_i$. Because $[L,N_i]=0$, we have $[L,\lambda N_i]=\lambda N_i$. For the following proposition, and more detailed description in terms of the cohomology group of Y, see Lemma 3.8 and its proof in [44].

Proposition 7.12 (§3.2 of [44]) *There exists a frame $u_1,\ldots,u_{\mathrm{rank}\,E}$ for which we have the following:*

- *$L(u_j) = c_j u_j$ for $c_j\in\mathbb{Z}$.*
- *We have $c_1 < c_i$ $(i\neq 1)$.*
- *We have $N_iu_j = \sum\alpha^i_{kj}u_k$ for some $\alpha^i_{kj}\in\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\mathrm{Sym}\Bigl(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell+1}\mathbb{C}N_i\Bigr)$ acts on $F := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mathrm{rank}\,E}\mathbb{C}u_i$.*
- *The map $\mathrm{Sym}\Bigl(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell+1}\mathbb{C}N_i\Bigr)\longrightarrow F$ given by $P(N_1,\ldots,N_{\ell+1})\longmapsto P(N_1,\ldots,N_{\ell+1})u_1$ is surjective.* ∎

By the first property in Proposition 7.12, we have the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on E which induces L. As a result, we have a vector space H with an increasing filtration F indexed by integers such that the $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant bundle E is isomorphic to the analytification of the Rees module of (H,F). Let $d_0 := \min\{d \mid \mathrm{Gr}^F_d(H)\neq 0\}$. The second property implies that $\dim F_{d_0}(H)=1$. The third and the fourth properties imply that $F_{d_0}(H)$ generates H over the induced actions of the residues. The following is also essentially implied in the proof of [44, Lemma 3.8].

Corollary 7.13 *For any endomorphism φ of the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-module $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|B_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}$, there exists a complex number α such that $\varphi=\alpha\,\mathrm{id}$.*

Proof Because $\mathfrak{Q}_{|\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda\times\overline{B}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}$ is the Deligne extension of $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda\times B_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^*_\lambda\times\overline{B}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$, the morphism φ is extended to an endomorphism of the $\mathcal{O}$-module $\mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ compatible with $\nabla^{\mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}$. Hence, it induces an endomorphism φ^E of (E,∇^E) compatible with the actions of the residues. Because the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action is determined by ∇^E and the residues, φ^E is $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant. Hence, it induces an endomorphism φ^H of (H,F) compatible with the induced actions of residues. Because F_{d_0} generates H over the actions of the residues, φ^H is uniquely determined by the restriction $\varphi^H_{|F_{d_0}}$. Because $\dim F_{d_0}=1$, we have $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $\varphi^H_{|F_{d_0}}$ is the multiplication of α. If $\alpha=0$, then $\varphi=0$. Hence, we have $\varphi=\alpha\,\mathrm{id}$. ∎

Corollary 7.14 *Let $U \subset S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ be any connected open subset such that $B_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \subset U$. For any endomorphism φ of the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-module $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times U}$, there exists a complex number α such that $\varphi = \alpha\,\mathrm{id}$.* $\blacksquare$

Corollary 7.15 *Let $P' : \mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}} \otimes j^*\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}} \longrightarrow \lambda^{n+r}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times S^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ be a morphism of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules. Then, there exists a complex number α such that $P' = \alpha\mathfrak{P}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$.* $\blacksquare$

7.2.6 Logarithmic extension and endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}}$

We can easily deduce the same property for $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}\star}$ ($\star = *, !$). Let $B_{\mathcal{A}}$ be as in Lemma 7.9. We set

$$\overline{B}_{\mathcal{A}} := \bigl\{(x_1, \ldots, x_\ell) \bigm| |x_i| < \epsilon \ (i = 1, \ldots, \ell)\bigr\}.$$

We regard $\overline{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$ as $\bigl\{(x_1, \ldots, x_{\ell+1}) \in \overline{B}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \bigm| x_{\ell+1} = 0\bigr\}$. We obtain the vector bundle $\mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|\overline{B}_{\mathcal{A}}}$. It is equipped with the endomorphism $\lambda \operatorname{Res}_{x_{\ell+1}}(\nabla^{\mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}})$, and the induced connection.

Lemma 7.16 *The conjugacy classes of $\lambda \operatorname{Res}_{x_{\ell+1}}(\nabla^{\mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}})_{|(\lambda, P)}$ are independent of the choice of $(\lambda, P) \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \overline{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$.*

Proof By the construction, $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ comes from a harmonic bundle. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{Q}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ is equal to the prolongment of the family of λ-flat bundles $\mathcal{P}_0\bigl(\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}|B_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}\bigr)$ associated to tame harmonic bundles, as remarked in [44]. Then, the claim follows from a general theory of harmonic bundles [35]. $\blacksquare$

Let $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the cokernel of $\lambda \operatorname{Res}_{x_{\ell+1}}(\nabla^{\mathfrak{Q}})$. It is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \overline{B}_{\mathcal{A}}}$-module. The following is clear by the relation of $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}*}$ and $\mathfrak{V}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$.

Lemma 7.17 *We have natural isomorphisms of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times B_{\mathcal{A}}} \simeq \mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}*|\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times B_{\mathcal{A}}}$.* $\blacksquare$

Set $E_{\mathcal{A}} := \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \{0\}}$. It is equipped with the induced connection $\nabla^{E_{\mathcal{A}}}$. We obtain an explicit description of $(E_{\mathcal{A}}, \nabla^{E_{\mathcal{A}}})$ from the description of (E, ∇^E) in [44]. Let $N_i : E_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \lambda^{-1}E_{\mathcal{A}}$ ($i = 1, \ldots, \ell$) denote $\operatorname{Res}_{x_i}(\nabla^{\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathcal{A}}})_{|\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \{0\}}$. We have the fundamental vector fields $\underline{\mathfrak{v}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} k_i x_i \partial_{x_i}$ of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ (see §6.5.3). Note that for the $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneity of $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}*}$, the fundamental vector field of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times S_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $\lambda\partial_\lambda + \underline{\mathfrak{v}}$. Let us consider the $\mathbb{C}$-homomorphism $L : E_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow E_{\mathcal{A}}$ given by $\nabla^E(\lambda\partial_\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} k_i N_i$. Because $[L, N_i] = 0$, we have $[L, \lambda N_i] = \lambda N_i$. The following is a direct consequence of Proposition 7.12.

Proposition 7.18 *There exists a frame $u_1, \ldots, u_{\operatorname{rank} E_{\mathcal{A}}}$ for which we have the following:*

- *$L(u_j) = c_j u_j$ for $c_j \in \mathbb{Z}$.*
- *We have $c_1 < c_i$ ($i \neq 1$).*
- *We have $N_i u_j = \sum \beta^i_{kj} u_k$ for $\beta^i_{kj} \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\operatorname{Sym}\Bigl(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{C}N_i\Bigr)$ acts on $F_{\mathcal{A}} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\operatorname{rank} E_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathbb{C}u_i$.*
- *The map $\operatorname{Sym}\Bigl(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{C}N_i\Bigr) \longrightarrow F_{\mathcal{A}}$ given by $P(N_1, \ldots, N_\ell) \longmapsto P(N_1, \ldots, N_\ell)u_1$ is surjective.* $\blacksquare$

We omit a more detailed description in terms of the cohomology group of X.

By the first property in Proposition 7.18, we have the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ which induces L. As a result, we have a vector space $H_{\mathcal{A}}$ with an increasing filtration F indexed by integers such that the $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant bundle $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ is isomorphic to the analytification of the Rees module of $(H_{\mathcal{A}}, F)$. Let $d_0 := \min\{d \mid \operatorname{Gr}^F_d(H) \neq 0\}$. The second property implies that $\dim F_{d_0}(H_{\mathcal{A}}) = 1$. The third and the fourth properties imply that $F_{d_0}(H_{\mathcal{A}})$ generates $H_{\mathcal{A}}$ over the induced actions of the residues.

Corollary 7.19 *Let $U \subset S_{\mathcal{A}}$ be any connected open subset such that $B_{\mathcal{A}} \subset U$. For any endomorphism φ of the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-module $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}\star|\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times U}$ ($\star = *, !$), there exists a complex number α such that $\varphi = \alpha\,\mathrm{id}$.*

Proof We obtain the claim for $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},*}$ by the argument in the proof of Corollary 7.13 and Corollary 7.14. Because $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},!} \simeq \lambda^{2n-m+r} j^* \boldsymbol{D} \mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}*}$, we obtain the claim for $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},!}$. ▮

We have an isomorphism $\Psi : j^*\big(\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},*|S_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}\big)^\vee \simeq \lambda^{-n-r}\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},!|S_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ induced by the duality isomorphism $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},!} \simeq \lambda^{2n-m+r} j^* \boldsymbol{D}\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},*}$.

Corollary 7.20 *Let $U \subset S_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ be any open subset such that $B_{\mathcal{A}} \subset U$. For any morphism of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules $\Psi' : j^*\big(\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},*|\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times U}\big)^\vee \longrightarrow \lambda^{-n-r}\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},!|\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times U}$, there exists a complex number α such that $\Psi' = \alpha\Psi$.* ▮

7.3 Preliminary on the A-side

We recall some basic matters on the quantum products of toric varieties. For example, see [20], [21], [30], [31], [44], [45] for more details.

7.3.1 The cohomology ring of projective bundles

Let X be a complex manifold. For simplicity, we assume $H^{2i+1}(X,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for any i. We shall omit the coefficient of the cohomology group if the coefficient is $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-module of rank r. Let $\mathcal{E}^\vee$ denote the dual. Let $Y := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathrm{Proj}\big(\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}\oplus\mathcal{O}_X)\big)$ denote the projective completion of $\mathcal{E}^\vee$. We have the natural inclusions $i_0 : X \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow Y$ and $i_\infty : H_\infty := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow Y$. We have $i_0(X) \subset \mathcal{E}^\vee$ and $Y = \mathcal{E}^\vee \cup H_\infty$.

Let $\pi : Y \longrightarrow X$ denote the projection. Let π^* and i_0^* denote the pull back of the cohomology. We have $H^*(Y) = \mathrm{Ker}\, i_0^* \oplus \mathrm{Im}\,\pi^*$. Let $i_{0*} : H^i(X) \longrightarrow H^{i+2r}(Y)$ and $\pi_* : H^i(Y) \longrightarrow H^{i-2r}(X)$ denote the Gysin map. We have $H^*(Y) = \mathrm{Im}\, i_{0*} \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,\pi_*$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ denote the tautological line bundle of Y over X. We set $\gamma := c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)\big) \in H^2(Y)$. We have $H^*(Y) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^r \gamma^j \cdot \pi^*\big(H^*(X)\big)$. Note that γ is the cohomology class representing H_∞. In particular, we have $i_0^*\gamma = 0$. Hence, $\mathrm{Ker}\, i_0^* = \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \pi^* H^*(X)\gamma^j$. Because $c_{r+1}(\mathcal{E}^\vee \oplus \mathcal{O}) = 0$, we have

$$\gamma \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{r} \gamma^{r-j}\pi^* c_j(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = 0. \tag{142}$$

Let $N : H^*(Y) \longrightarrow H^*(Y)$ be determined by $N(\sigma) = \gamma\sigma$. By (142), we have $\mathrm{Im}\, N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \gamma^i \cdot \pi^*\big(H^*(X)\big)$, and $\mathrm{Cok}\, N \simeq \mathrm{Im}\,\pi^*$.

Lemma 7.21 *We have* $\mathrm{Im}\, i_{0*} = \mathrm{Ker}\, N$.

Proof Because $H_\infty \cap i_0(X) = 0$, we have $\mathrm{Im}(i_{0*}) \subset \mathrm{Ker}\, N$. By comparison of the dimension, we obtain $\mathrm{Im}\, i_{0*} = \mathrm{Ker}\, N$. ▮

In particular, $\mathrm{Ker}(N) \cap H^{2r}(Y) = i_{0*}H^0(X,\mathbb{C})$ is one dimensional, and that $\sum_{j=0}^r \gamma^{r-j}\pi^*c_j(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ is a base. By considering the restriction to a ball in X, we obtain that $i_{0*}(1) = \sum_{j=0}^r \gamma^{r-j}\pi^* c_j(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$. In particular, we have $i_{0*}\sigma = \pi^*\sigma \sum_{j=0}^r \gamma^{r-j}\pi^*c_j(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$.

7.3.2 Quantum products of Y in the weak Fano toric case

Suppose that (i) X is a smooth weak Fano toric variety, (ii) $\mathcal{E}$ is the direct sum of holomorphic line bundles $\mathcal{L}_i$ $(i = 1,\ldots,r)$, (iii) $\mathcal{L}_i$ $(i = 1,\ldots,r)$ and $(\bigotimes_{i=1}^r \mathcal{L}_i \otimes K_X)^\vee$ are nef. Then, Y is also a weak Fano toric manifold.

Let $\mathrm{Eff}^*(Y) \subset H_2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$ denote the subset of the homology classes of non-empty algebraic curves. We set $\mathrm{Eff}(Y) := \mathrm{Eff}^*(Y) \cup \{0\}$. We take a homogeneous base $\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_{\chi(Y)}$ of $H^*(Y,\mathbb{C})$. Let $\phi^1,\ldots,\phi^{\chi(Y)}$ be the dual base of $H^*(Y)$ with respect to the Poincaré pairing.

For $d \in \mathrm{Eff}(Y)$, let $Y_{0,3,d}$ denote the moduli stack of stable maps $f : C \longrightarrow Y$ where C denotes a 3-pointed pre-stable curve with genus 0, and f denotes a morphism such that the homology class of $f(C)$ is d. Let $p : \mathcal{C}_{0,3,d} \longrightarrow Y_{0,3,d}$ be the universal curve, and let $\mathrm{ev}_i : \mathcal{C}_{0,3,d} \longrightarrow X$ be the evaluation map at the i-th marked point. Let $[Y_{0,3,d}]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ denote the virtual fundamental class of $Y_{0,3,d}$. For $\alpha_i \in H^*(Y)$ $(i = 1,2,3)$, we obtain the number $\langle\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3\rangle_{0,3,d}^Y := \int_{[Y_{0,3,d}]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \prod_{i=1,2,3} \mathrm{ev}_i^*\,\alpha_i$ called the Gromov-Witten invariants of Y.

According to a result of Iritani [20] (see also [21] and [44]), there exists an open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset H^2(Y,\mathbb{C})$ such that the following holds:

- $\mathcal{U}$ is of the form $\bigl\{u \in H^2(Y,\mathbb{C}) \bigm| \mathrm{Re}\langle u,d\rangle < -M,\ \ \forall d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(Y)\bigr\}$ for some $M > 0$.
- For any $u \in \mathcal{U}$, the quantum product $\bullet_u$ on $H^*(Y,\mathbb{C})$ is convergent:

$$\alpha \bullet_u \beta := \sum_{d \in \mathrm{Eff}(Y)} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(Y)} \langle \alpha,\beta,\phi_i\rangle^Y_{0,3,d} \cdot \phi^i \cdot e^{\langle u,d\rangle}$$

We have the decomposition $H^2(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \pi^*\bigl(H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\bigr) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\gamma$, which induces $H^2(Y) = \pi^*\bigl(H^2(X)\bigr) \oplus \mathbb{C}\gamma$.

Lemma 7.22 *For a large $M' > 0$, we have*

$$\pi^*\bigl\{\sigma \in H^2(X,\mathbb{C}) \bigm| \mathrm{Re}(\langle \sigma,d\rangle) < -M',\ \forall d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X)\bigr\} \times \{c\cdot\gamma \,|\, c \in \mathbb{C},\ \mathrm{Re}(c) < -M'\} \subset \mathcal{U}.$$

Proof Any algebraic curve C is homologous to a curve $C_0 \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^r C_j \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i$ where C_0 is contained in $i_0(X)$, C_j are contained in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L}_j) \subset Y$, and F_i $(i = 1,\ldots,\ell)$ are contained in fibers of $\pi : Y \longrightarrow X$. We have

$$\sum_{j=0}^r \mathrm{Re}\langle \sigma + c\gamma, [C_j]\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{Re}\langle \sigma + c\gamma, [F_i]\rangle \leq \sum_{j=0}^r \mathrm{Re}\langle \sigma, [C_j]\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{Re}(c)\langle \gamma, [F_i]\rangle$$

Then, the claim of the lemma is clear. ∎

We set $\mathcal{U}_X := \bigl\{\sigma \in H^2(X,\mathbb{C}) \bigm| \mathrm{Re}(\langle\sigma,d\rangle) < -M'\bigr\}$, $\mathcal{U}_\gamma := \bigl\{c\cdot\gamma \bigm| c \in \mathbb{C},\ \ \mathrm{Re}(c) < -M'\bigr\}$ and $\mathcal{U}_Y := \mathcal{U}_X \times \mathcal{U}_\gamma$. A point $\sigma + c\gamma \in \mathcal{U}_Y$ is denoted by (σ,c). We have a natural action of $2\pi\sqrt{-1}H^2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{U}_Y$ by the addition. The quantum product $\bullet_{\sigma,c}$ depends only on the equivalence class of (σ,c) in $\mathcal{U}_Y/2\pi\sqrt{-1}H^2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$.

7.3.3 Degenerated quantum products on $H^*(Y)$ and $H^*(X)$

Let $\mathrm{Eff}(Y,\gamma)$ denote the set of $[C] \in \mathrm{Eff}(Y)$ such that $\langle\gamma,[C]\rangle = 0$. We set $\mathrm{Eff}^*(Y,\gamma) := \mathrm{Eff}(Y,\gamma) \cap \mathrm{Eff}^*(Y)$. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_X$. As in [31], by taking the limit of $\bullet_{(\sigma,c)}$ for $\mathrm{Re}(c) \longrightarrow -\infty$, we obtain the following product $\bullet_\sigma$ on $H^*(Y)$:

$$\alpha \bullet_\sigma \beta := \sum_{d \in \mathrm{Eff}(Y,\gamma)} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(Y)} \langle \alpha,\beta,\phi_i\rangle^Y_{0,3,d} \cdot \phi^i \cdot e^{\langle \sigma,\pi_* d\rangle}$$

As in §7.3.1, let $N : H^*(Y) \longrightarrow H^*(Y)$ be the endomorphism given by the cup product of γ, i.e., $N(\beta) := \gamma \cup \beta$ for $\beta \in H^*(Y)$. Note that $\gamma \bullet_\sigma \beta = \beta \bullet_\sigma \gamma = \beta \cup \gamma$ for any $\beta \in H^*(Y)$, which follows from the divisor axiom. Hence, $\mathrm{Im}(N)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(N)$ are the ideal of the algebra $(H^*(Y),\bullet_\sigma)$. By the natural isomorphism of vector spaces $H^*(X) \simeq \mathrm{Cok}(N)$, we obtain the induced product $\bullet_\sigma^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}$ on $H^*(X)$. The algebra $(H^*(X),\bullet_\sigma^{\mathcal{E}^\vee})$ is denoted by $Q_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ in this paper. The multiplication $\mathrm{Cok}(N) \times \mathrm{Ker}(N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(N)$ and the natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Ker}(N) \simeq H^*(X)$ induces a structure of $Q_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$-module on $H^*(X)$. The $Q_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$-module is denoted by $K_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$. The multiplication of $b_1 \in Q_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ and $b_2 \in K_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ is denoted by $b_1 \bullet^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_{K,\sigma} b_2$.

7.3.4 Filtrations and the graded pairings

Let $W(N)$ be the weight filtration of the nilpotent map N on $H^*(Y)$. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_X$, by shifting the filtrations of Konishi-Minabe in [31], we set

$$\widetilde{W}_k Q_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee) := \mathrm{Im}\Bigl(W(N)_{k+n+r} H^*(Y) \longrightarrow Q_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)\Bigr).$$

Here, $Q_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ is identified with $\mathrm{Cok}(N)$. Note that

$$\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_{k-n-r}\, Q_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee) \simeq \begin{cases} P\,\mathrm{Gr}^{W(N)}_k H^*(Y) & (k \geq 0) \\ 0 & (k < 0) \end{cases}$$

Here, $P\,\mathrm{Gr}_k^{W(N)} H^*(Y)$ is the primitive part, i.e., the kernel of $N^{k+1} : \mathrm{Gr}_k^{W(N)} H^*(Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{-k-2}^{W(N)} H^*(Y)$. The Poincaré pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)_Y$ on $H^*(Y)$ and the nilpotent map N induces the following symmetric pairing on $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_{k-n-r} Q_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$:

$$P^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_{k-n-r}\big(a,b\big) := \big(a, N^k b\big)_Y$$

It is easy and standard to see that the pairings are non-degenerate.

We also have the filtration W on $K_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ given as follows:

$$\widetilde{W}_k K_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee) := K_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee) \cap W(N)_{k+n+r} H^*(Y).$$

Here $K_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ is identified with $\mathrm{Ker}(N)$. We have

$$\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_{k-n-r} K_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee) \simeq \begin{cases} P'\,\mathrm{Gr}_k^{W(N)} H^*(Y) & (k \leq 0), \\ 0 & (k > 0). \end{cases}$$

Here, $P'\,\mathrm{Gr}_k^{W(N)} H^*(Y)$ is the image of $P\,\mathrm{Gr}_{-k}^{W(N)} H^*(Y)$ by N^{-k}. Hence, we have the induced pairings $\big(\cdot,\cdot\big)^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_{k-n-r}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_{k-n-r} K_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$.

Note that the filtrations $\widetilde{W}$ and the symmetric pairings $P_k^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}$ are independent of the choice of σ. Namely, we have the filtrations $\widetilde{W}$ on $\mathrm{Cok}(N)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(N)$ such that $\widetilde{W}_k \mathrm{Cok}(N) = \widetilde{W}_k Q_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ and $\widetilde{W}_k \mathrm{Ker}(N) = \widetilde{W}_k K_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$. We also have symmetric pairings $P_k^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\widetilde{W}} \mathrm{Cok}(N)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\widetilde{W}} \mathrm{Ker}(N)$ which are equal to the pairings on $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\widetilde{W}} Q_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\widetilde{W}} K_\sigma H^*(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ for any σ.

7.3.5 Degenerated quantum products and local Gromov-Witten invariants (Appendix)

Let us recall the relation between the degenerated quantum products and local Gromov-Witten invariants in some special cases. We essentially follow [31].

Let $d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X)$. Let $X_{0,3,d}$ be the moduli stack of stable maps $f : C \longrightarrow X$ where C denotes a 3-pointed pre-stable curve with genus 0, and f denotes a morphism such that the homology class of $f(C)$ is d. Let $p : \mathcal{C}_{0,3,d} \longrightarrow X_{0,3,d}$ be the universal curve, and let $\mu : \mathcal{C}_{0,3,d} \longrightarrow X$ be the universal map. Let us consider the following concavity condition for d:

(B) $H^0(C, f^*\mathcal{E}^\vee) = 0$ for any $(C,f) \in X_{0,3,d}$.

Recall that $\mathcal{E}$ is the direct sum of the nef line bundles $\mathcal{L}_i$ $(i = 1,\ldots,r)$. We recall the following standard lemma.

Lemma 7.23 *Suppose that* $\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}_i^\vee), d\rangle < 0$ *for any* i. *Then, Condition* (B) *holds for* d.

Proof Take $d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X)$ and $(C,f) \in X_{0,3,d}$. Let $C = \bigcup C_a$ be the irreducible decomposition. Because $\mathcal{L}_i$ is nef, the degree of $f^*(\mathcal{L}_i^\vee)_{|C_a}$ is non-positive for any a. By the assumption $\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}_i^\vee, d\rangle) < 0$, we have an irreducible component C_{a_0} of C such that the degree of $f^*(\mathcal{L}_i^\vee)_{|C_a}$ is strictly negative. We also remark that C is connected. Then, we have $H^0(C, f^*\mathcal{L}_i) = 0$, i.e., Condition (B) holds for d. ∎

If Condition (B) holds for d, we obtain the locally free sheaf $R^1 p_* \mu^* \mathcal{E}^\vee$ on $X_{0,3,d}$. Let $[X_{0,3,d}]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ denote the virtual fundamental class of $X_{0,3,d}$. Let $\mathrm{ev}_i : X_{0,3,d} \longrightarrow X$ be the evaluation map at the i-th marked point. For any vector bundle E, let $e(E)$ denote the Euler class. Then, for any $\alpha_i \in H^*(X)$ $(i = 1,2,3)$, we set

$$\big\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \big\rangle^{X,\mathcal{E}^\vee,e^{-1}}_{0,3,d} := \int_{[X_{0,3,d}]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \prod_{i=1,2,3} \mathrm{ev}_i^*(\alpha_i) \cdot e(Rp_*\mu^*\mathcal{E}^\vee).$$

They are called e^{-1}-twisted Gromov-Witten invariants or local Gromov-Witten invariants.

For any $\alpha, \beta \in H^*(X)$, let $\alpha \cup \beta$ denote the ordinary cup product. We take a homogeneous base $\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_\ell$ of $H^*(X)$. Let $\rho^1,\ldots,\rho^\ell$ denote the dual base of $H^*(X)$ with respect to the Poincaré pairing of X.

Proposition 7.24 *Suppose one of the following holds:*

Case 1. *X is Fano, and $\mathcal{L}_i$ $(i = 1, \dots, r)$ are ample. Note that Condition* (B) *holds for any $d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X)$.*

Case 2. *X is a weak Fano surface, $r = 1$, and $\mathcal{L}_1 = K_X^{-1}$. Note that Condition* (B) *holds for any $d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X)$ with $\langle c_1(K_X^\vee), d\rangle \neq 0$.*

Then, we have the following for $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_X$ and for $\alpha, \beta \in Q_\sigma H^*(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee)$:

$$\alpha \bullet_\sigma^{\mathcal{E}^\vee} \beta = \begin{cases} \alpha \cup \beta + \displaystyle\sum_{d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X)} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \big\langle \alpha, \beta, e(\mathcal{E}^\vee)\rho_i \big\rangle_{0,3,d}^{X, \mathcal{E}^\vee, e^{-1}} e^{\langle \sigma, d\rangle} \rho^i & \text{(Case 1)} \\ \alpha \cup \beta + \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X), \\ \langle c_1(K_X), d\rangle \neq 0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \big\langle \alpha, \beta, e(\mathcal{E}^\vee)\rho_i \big\rangle_{0,3,d}^{X, \mathcal{E}^\vee, e^{-1}} e^{\langle \sigma, d\rangle} \rho^i & \text{(Case 2)} \end{cases} \tag{143}$$

We also have the following for $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_X$ and for $\alpha \in Q_\sigma H^*(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee)$, and $\beta \in K_\sigma H^*(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee)$:

$$\alpha \bullet_{K,\sigma}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee} \beta = \begin{cases} \alpha \cup \beta + \displaystyle\sum_{d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X)} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \big\langle \alpha, e(\mathcal{E}^\vee)\beta, \rho_i \big\rangle_{0,3,d}^{X, \mathcal{E}^\vee, e^{-1}} e^{\langle \sigma, d\rangle} \rho^i & \text{(Case 1)} \\ \alpha \cup \beta + \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X) \\ \langle c_1(K_X), d\rangle \neq 0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \big\langle \alpha, e(\mathcal{E}^\vee)\beta, \rho_i \big\rangle_{0,3,d}^{X, \mathcal{E}^\vee, e^{-1}} e^{\langle \sigma, d\rangle} \rho^i & \text{(Case 2)} \end{cases} \tag{144}$$

Proof For the proof of the proposition, we study the degenerated quantum products $\bullet_\sigma$ on $H^*(Y)$. Any element $\alpha \in H^*(Y)$ has the expression $\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^r \pi^*\alpha_j \cdot \gamma^j$ where $\alpha_j \in H^*(X)$.

Lemma 7.25 *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_X$. Suppose Case* 1 *or Case* 2*. For $\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^r \pi^*(\alpha_j)\gamma^j$ and $\beta = \sum_{j=0}^r \pi^*(\beta_j)\gamma^j$, we have the following:*

$$\alpha \bullet_\sigma \beta = \begin{cases} \alpha \cup \beta + \displaystyle\sum_{d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X)} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle \alpha_0, \beta_0, \rho_i \rangle_{0,3,d}^{X, \mathcal{E}^\vee, e^{-1}} e^{\langle \sigma, d\rangle} i_{0*}(\rho^i) & \text{(Case 1)} \\ \alpha \cup \beta + \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X) \\ \langle c_1(K_X), d\rangle \neq 0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle \alpha_0, \beta_0, \rho_i \rangle_{0,3,d}^{X, \mathcal{E}^\vee, e^{-1}} e^{\langle \sigma, d\rangle} i_{0*}(\rho^i) & \text{(Case 2)} \end{cases} \tag{145}$$

Before giving a proof of Lemma 7.25, we deduce Proposition 7.24 from Lemma 7.25. We give an argument in Case 1. The other case can be given similarly. Because $i_0^* i_{0*}(\rho^j) = e(\mathcal{E}^\vee)\rho^j$, we obtain the following for $\alpha, \beta \in H^*(X)$ from (145):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \bullet_\sigma^{\mathcal{E}^\vee} \beta &= \alpha \cup \beta + \sum_{d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X)} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \big\langle \alpha, \beta, \rho_i \big\rangle_{0,3,d}^{X, \mathcal{E}^\vee, e^{-1}} e^{\langle \sigma, d\rangle} e(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \rho^i \\ &= \alpha \cup \beta + \sum_{d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X)} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \big\langle \alpha, \beta, e(\mathcal{E}^\vee)\rho_i \big\rangle_{0,3,d}^{X, \mathcal{E}^\vee, e^{-1}} e^{\langle \sigma, d\rangle} \rho^i \end{aligned} \tag{146}$$

Thus, we obtain (143). We identify $\mathrm{Ker}(N) = i_{0*}H^*(X)$. We have $i_{0*}(\beta) = e(\mathcal{E}^\vee)\beta + \sum_{j=1}^r c_j \gamma^j$ for some $c_j \in H^*(X)$. Hence we have the following for $\alpha \in H^*(X)$ and $\beta \in H^*(X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} i_{0*}\big(\alpha \bullet_{K,\sigma}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee} \beta\big) = \pi^*(\alpha) \bullet_\sigma i_{0*}(\beta) &= \pi^*(\alpha) \cup i_{0*}\beta + \sum_{d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X)} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \big\langle \alpha, e(\mathcal{E}^\vee)\beta, \rho_i \big\rangle_{0,3,d}^{X, \mathcal{E}^\vee, e^{-1}} e^{\langle \sigma, d\rangle} i_{0*}\rho^i \\ &= i_{0*}\Big(\alpha \cup \beta + \sum_{d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(X)} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \big\langle \alpha, e(\mathcal{E}^\vee)\beta, \rho_i \big\rangle_{0,3,d}^{X, \mathcal{E}^\vee, e^{-1}} e^{\langle \sigma, d\rangle} \rho^i \Big) \end{aligned} \tag{147}$$

Thus, we obtain (144). It remains to prove Lemma 7.25.

Let us prove Lemma 7.25 in Case 1. For any algebraic curve C in Y, let $[C] \in H_2(Y)$ denote the homology class of C.

Lemma 7.26 *Let C be a non-empty algebraic curve in Y. Then, we have $\langle \gamma, [C] \rangle = 0$ if and only if $C \subset i_0(X)$.*

Proof Because $\mathcal{O}_Y(1) = \mathcal{O}(H_\infty)$, the second condition implies the first. Let us prove that the first condition implies the second. We may assume that C is irreducible. If $C \subset H_\infty$, we clearly have $\langle \gamma, [C] \rangle > 0$. Hence, we have $C \not\subset H_\infty$. If $C \cap H_\infty \neq \emptyset$, we have $\langle \gamma, [C] \rangle > 0$. Hence, we obtain that $C \cap H_\infty = \emptyset$. Let $\varphi : \widetilde{C} \longrightarrow C \subset \mathcal{E}^\vee$ be the normalization. Let $q : \mathcal{E}^\vee \longrightarrow X$ be the projection. Note that $q(C)$ is not a point. We obtain the morphism $q \circ \varphi : \widetilde{C} \longrightarrow X$ and a section s of $(q \circ \varphi)^* \mathcal{E}^\vee$. Because $(q \circ \varphi)^* \mathcal{L}_i$ are ample, we have $s = 0$. It means $C \subset i_0(X)$. Thus, we obtain Lemma 7.26. ▮

Lemma 7.27 *For $d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(Y, \gamma)$ and for $i > 0$, we have $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma^i \alpha_3 \rangle^Y_{0,3,d} = 0$.*

Proof The support of the cohomology class $\gamma^i \alpha_3$ is contained in H_∞. For $(C, f) \in Y_{0,3,d}$, we have $f(C) \subset i_0(X)$. Then, the claim of Lemma 7.27 is clear. ▮

By Lemma 7.26, we have $\mathrm{Eff}^*(Y, \gamma) = \mathrm{Eff}^*(X)$. By Lemma 7.27, for $d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(Y, \gamma)$ and for $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=0}^r \pi^* \alpha_{i,j} \gamma^j$, we have

$$\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle^Y_{0,3,d} = \langle \pi^* \alpha_{1,0}, \pi^* \alpha_{2,0}, \pi^* \alpha_{3,0} \rangle^Y_{0,3,d} = \int_{[X_{0,3,d}]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \prod \mathrm{ev}_i^*(\alpha_{i,0}) \cdot e\big(R^1 p_* \mu^* \mathcal{E}^\vee\big)$$
$$= \langle \alpha_{1,0}, \alpha_{2,0}, \alpha_{3,0} \rangle^{X, \mathcal{E}^\vee, e^{-1}}_{0,3,d} \qquad (148)$$

We set $\phi_{ij} := \rho_i \gamma^j$ ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$, $0 \leq j \leq r$) which give a frame of $H^*(Y)$. Let ϕ^{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$, $0 \leq j \leq r$) be the dual base with respect to the Poincaré pairing of Y. Note that $\phi^{i0} = i_{0*}(\rho^i)$. Indeed, it is enough to check $\langle \phi_{kj}, i_{0*}(\rho^i) \rangle = 0$ ($j > 0$), $\langle \phi_{k0}, i_{0*}(\rho^i) \rangle = 0$ ($k \neq i$) and $\langle \phi_{k0}, i_{0*}(\rho^i) \rangle = 1$, which can be checked by direct computations. Then, we obtain the equality (145) in Case 1.

Let us prove (145) in Case 2. It is exactly the case given in [31]. We just revisit it in a slightly different way. Let $d \in \mathrm{Eff}(Y, \gamma)$. Note that the virtual dimension of $Y_{0,3,d}$ is 3. Indeed, because we have $K_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(2)$, the virtual dimension of $Y_{0,n,d}$ is $n - 3 + \dim Y - \langle c_1(K_Y), d \rangle = n$.

Lemma 7.28 *For $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i0} + \alpha_{i1} \gamma$ ($\alpha_{ij} \in H^*(X)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$), we have*

$$\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle^Y_{0,3,d} = \langle \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{20}, \alpha_{30} \rangle^Y_{0,3,d}.$$

Proof By the dimension reason, we have $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle^Y_{0,3,d} = 0$ unless the cohomological degree of α_i are 2. Moreover, by the divisor axiom, we have $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle^Y_{0,3,d} = 0$ if one of α_i is γ. Then, the claim of Lemma 7.28 follows. ▮

Lemma 7.29 *Suppose that $d \in \mathrm{Eff}^*(Y, \gamma)$ satisfies $\langle \pi^* c_1(K_X), d \rangle = 0$. Then, we have $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle^Y_{0,3,d} = 0$ for any $\alpha_i \in H^*(Y)$.*

Proof It is enough to consider the case where $\alpha_i \in \pi^* H^2(X)$. Let us observe that the induced morphism $Y_{0,3,d} \longrightarrow X_{0,3,d}$ is smooth and the fibers are $\mathbb{P}^1$. Take $(C, g) \in X_{0,3,d}$. Because $K_X^\vee$ is nef, and because we have $\langle c_1(K_X), [g(C)] \rangle = 0$, the restriction of $g^* K_X$ to each irreducible component of C is of degree 0. In particular, we have $H^1(C, g^* K_X) = 0$, and $\dim H^0(C, g^* K_X) = 1$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{0,3,d}$ denote the universal curve over $X_{0,3,d}$. Let $\mu : \mathcal{C}_{0,3,d} \longrightarrow X$ be the universal morphism. Let $p : \mathcal{C}_{0,3,d} \longrightarrow X_{0,3,d}$ denote the projection. Then, $p_* \mu^* (\mathcal{O} \oplus K_X^\vee)$ is a locally free sheaf, and the projectivization is naturally isomorphic to $Y_{0,3,d}$. Then, it is easy to see that $[Y_{0,3,d}]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ is the pull back of $[X_{0,3,d}]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ via the natural morphism $q : Y_{0,3,d} \longrightarrow X_{0,3,d}$. Because $q_*([Y_{0,3,d}]^{\mathrm{vir}}) = 0$, we obtain $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle^Y_{0,3,d} = 0$. Thus, we obtain Lemma 7.29. ▮

Lemma 7.30 *Let $d \in \mathrm{Eff}(Y,\gamma)$. If $\langle \pi^* c_1(K_X), d\rangle < 0$, then we have $f(C) \subset i_0(X)$ for any $(C,f) \in Y_{0,3,d}$. In particular, d comes from $\mathrm{Eff}^*(X)$.*

Proof Let $(C,f) \in Y_{0,3,d}$. We have $f(C) = \sum m_i [C_i]$ $(m_i > 0)$ for algebraic curves in Y. Note that C is connected. Suppose $f(C) \cap H_\infty \neq \emptyset$. If $f(C) \not\subset H_\infty$, we have $\langle \gamma, [C_i]\rangle \geq 0$ for any i, and $\langle \gamma, [C_{i_0}]\rangle > 0$ for some i_0, and hence $\langle \gamma, [f(C)]\rangle > 0$ which contradicts with the assumption. If $f(C) \subset H_\infty$, we have $\langle \gamma, f(C)\rangle = \langle c_1(K_X^\vee), d\rangle > 0$ which also contradicts with the assumption. Hence, we have $f(C) \cap H_\infty = \emptyset$. Then, f is equivalent to a morphism $g : C \longrightarrow X$ and a section s of $g^*(K_X)$. But, because $\langle c_1(K_X), d\rangle < 0$, we have $s = 0$. Thus, we obtain Lemma 7.30. ■

We can deduce the equality (145) in Case 2 from Lemma 7.28, Lemma 7.29 and Lemma 7.30 as in Case 1. Thus, Lemma 7.25 and Proposition 7.24 are proved. ■

7.4 Associated quantum $\mathcal{D}$-modules

We continue to use the notation in §7.3. We recall some basic matters on the quantum $\mathcal{D}$-modules of toric varieties. Again, see [20], [21], [30], [31], [44], [45] for more details.

7.4.1 Quantum $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to degenerated quantum products on $H^*(X)$

We set $\mathrm{QDM}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \mathcal{U}_X} \otimes H^*(X)$. As in [31], we have the meromorphic connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}$ on $\mathrm{QDM}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ which is a variant of the connections of Dubrovin and Givental. It is given as follows. Let μ_X be the grading operator on $H^*(X)$ defined by $\mu(a) := ka$ for $a \in H^{2k}(X)$. We can naturally identify $H^2(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_X}$ with the tangent sheaf of $\mathcal{U}_X$, and so we can regard $\xi \in H^2(X)$ as a vector field on $\mathcal{U}_X$. We can naturally regard $b \in H^*(X)$ as a section of $\mathrm{QDM}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$. Then, $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}$ is determined by the following on $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{U}_X$:

$$\big(\nabla^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_\xi b\big)_{(\lambda,\sigma)} = -\lambda^{-1}\xi \bullet^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_\sigma b, \quad \big(\nabla^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_{\lambda\partial_\lambda} b\big)_{(\lambda,\sigma)} = \lambda^{-1} E \bullet^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_\sigma b + \mu_X(b), \qquad ((\lambda,\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{U}_X).$$

The filtrations $\widetilde{W}$ of $Q^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_\sigma H^*(X)$ $(\sigma \in \mathcal{U}_X)$ give a filtration $\widetilde{W}$ on $\mathrm{QDM}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$. It is preserved by the connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}$. We have the induced meromorphic connection on $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k \mathrm{QDM}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$, denoted by the same notation.

We regard $b_i \in \mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k \mathrm{Cok}(N)$ $(i = 1,2)$ as sections of $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k \mathrm{QDM}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$. We set

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_k(b_1, j^* b_2) := \lambda^{-k} P^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_k(b_1,b_2).$$

Thus, we obtain a morphism $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_k : \mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k \mathrm{QDM}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes j^* \mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k \mathrm{QDM}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-k}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times\mathcal{U}_X}$.

We will give a proof of the following lemma by using the description as a specialization of the quantum $\mathcal{D}$-module of Y in §7.4.3.

Lemma 7.31 *$\nabla^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}$ is flat, and $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_k$ are pairings of weight k on $\big(\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k \mathrm{QDM}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee), \nabla^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}\big)$. In other words, $\big(\mathrm{QDM}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee), \widetilde{W}, \{\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_k\}\big)$ is a mixed TEP-structure.*

We also set $\mathcal{K}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \mathcal{U}_X} \otimes H^*(X)$. As in the case of $\mathrm{QDM}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$, it is equipped with the meromorphic flat connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}$ satisfying the following:

$$\big(\nabla^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_\xi b\big)_{(\lambda,\sigma)} = -\lambda^{-1}\xi \bullet^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_{K,\sigma} b, \quad \big(\nabla^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_{\lambda\partial_\lambda} b\big)_{(\lambda,\sigma)} = \lambda^{-1} E \bullet^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_{K,\sigma} b + \mu_X(b) + rb, \qquad ((\lambda,\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{U}_X)$$

Here, $b \in H^*(X)$ and $\xi \in H^2(X)$. The filtration $\widetilde{W}$ is preserved by $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}$. We obtain a morphism $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_k$: $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k \mathcal{K}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee) \times j^* \mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k \mathcal{K}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-k}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times\mathcal{U}_X}$ by the formula:

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_k(b_1, j^* b_2) = (-1)^{k-n-r}\lambda^{-k} P^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_k(b_1,b_2)$$

We will give a proof of the following lemma by using the description as a specialization of the quantum $\mathcal{D}$-module of Y in §7.4.3.

Lemma 7.32 *$\nabla^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}$ is flat, and $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_k$ are pairings of weight k on $\big(\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k \mathcal{K}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee), \nabla^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}\big)$. In other words, $\big(\mathcal{K}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee), \widetilde{W}, \{\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_k\}\big)$ is a mixed TEP-structure.*

7.4.2 Quantum $\mathcal{D}$-module of Y

We recall the quantum $\mathcal{D}$-module associated to the quantum products of Y. We set $\mathcal{U}_{\gamma 1} := \mathcal{U}_{\gamma}/2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}\gamma$. We set $\mathcal{U}_{Y1} := \mathcal{U}_X \times \mathcal{U}_{\gamma 1}$ which is the quotient of $\mathcal{U}_Y$ by the action of $2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}\gamma$. We embed $\mathcal{U}_{\gamma 1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ induced by $c \longmapsto \exp(c)$. The quantum products $\bullet_{\sigma,c}$ depends only on (σ, e^c). So, we denote them by $\bullet_{\sigma,e^c}$.

We set $\mathrm{QDM}(Y) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \mathcal{U}_{Y1}} \otimes H^*(Y)$. Recall that we have the meromorphic flat connection ∇^G on $\mathrm{QDM}(Y)$ due to Dubrovin and Givental. Let μ_Y be the grading operator on $H^*(Y)$, given by $\mu_Y(b) := kb$ for $b \in H^{2k}(Y)$. We naturally regard $\xi \in H^2(Y)$ as a vector field on $\mathcal{U}_Y$, which induces a vector field on $\mathcal{U}_{Y1}$. We also naturally regard $b \in H^*(Y)$ as a section of $\mathrm{QDM}(Y)$. Then, ∇^G is determined by the following on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda^* \times \mathcal{U}_{Y1}$:

$$\big(\nabla^G_{\xi} b\big)_{(\lambda,\sigma,t)} = -\lambda^{-1}\xi \bullet_{(\sigma,t)} b, \qquad \big(\nabla^G_{\lambda\partial_\lambda} b\big)_{(\lambda,\sigma,t)} = \lambda^{-1}E \bullet_{(\sigma,t)} b + \mu_Y(b), \qquad ((\lambda,\sigma,t) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathcal{U}_{Y1}).$$

It is equipped with the pairing $\mathcal{P}_Y$ of weight $-n-r$ induced by the Poincaré pairing:

$$\mathcal{P}_Y(b_1, j^*b_2) := \lambda^{n+r}(b_1, b_2) \tag{149}$$

It is well known that $\mathcal{P}_Y$ is ∇^G-flat. It is clearly equivariant with respect to the action of $2\pi\sqrt{-1}H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$.

7.4.3 Logarithmic extension and the specialization

Put $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{\gamma 1} := \mathcal{U}_{\gamma 1} \cup \{0\}$ which is a neighbourhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}$. We set $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{Y1} := \mathcal{U}_X \times \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{\gamma 1}$. We set $\overline{\mathrm{QDM}}(Y) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{Y1}} \otimes H^*(Y)$. We naturally regard $\overline{\mathrm{QDM}}(Y)_{|\mathcal{U}_{Y1}} = \mathrm{QDM}(Y)$. The meromorphic flat connection ∇^G of $\mathrm{QDM}(Y)$ naturally gives a meromorphic flat connection on $\overline{\mathrm{QDM}}(Y)$ which is logarithmic along $t = 0$. The pairing $\mathcal{P}_Y$ is naturally extended to the following morphism which is also denoted by $\mathcal{P}_Y$:

$$\overline{\mathrm{QDM}}(Y) \otimes j^*\overline{\mathrm{QDM}}(Y) \longrightarrow \lambda^{n+r}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{Y1}}$$

We set $\mathrm{QDM}(Y)^{\mathrm{sp}} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \mathcal{U}_X} \otimes H^*(Y)$. We naturally have

$$\mathrm{QDM}(Y)^{\mathrm{sp}} = \overline{\mathrm{QDM}}(Y)_{|\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times \mathcal{U}_X \times 0}.$$

The residue $\mathrm{Res}_t(\nabla^G) : \lambda\,\mathrm{QDM}(Y)^{\mathrm{sp}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{QDM}(Y)^{\mathrm{sp}}$ is given by the multiplication of $-\lambda^{-1}\gamma$. The specialization of the connection $\nabla^{G\,\mathrm{sp}}$ is induced by the degenerated quantum products:

$$\big(\nabla^{G\,\mathrm{sp}}_{\xi} b\big)_{(\lambda,\sigma)} = -\lambda^{-1}\xi \bullet_{\sigma} b, \qquad \big(\nabla^{G\,\mathrm{sp}}_{\lambda\partial_\lambda} b\big)_{(\lambda,\sigma)} = \lambda^{-1}E \bullet_{\sigma} b + \mu_Y(b), \qquad \big((\lambda,\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda^* \times \mathcal{U}_X\big)$$

It is equipped with the pairing $\mathcal{P}_Y$ of weight $-n-r$ given by the formula (149).

By the construction $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}\big(\overline{\mathrm{QDM}}(Y)(*t)\big)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathrm{QDM}(Y)^{\mathrm{sp}}$ with $\nabla^{G\,\mathrm{sp}}$. By the construction, we have the following isomorphisms which are compatible with the meromorphic connections:

$$\mathrm{Cok}\big(\lambda\,\mathrm{Res}_t(\nabla^G)\big) \simeq \mathrm{QDM}(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee), \qquad \mathrm{Ker}\big(\lambda\,\mathrm{Res}_t(\nabla^G)\big) \simeq \mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee)$$

By the construction, we have the following which implies Lemma 7.31 and Lemma 7.32.

Lemma 7.33 *The weight filtration $\widetilde{W}$ on $\mathrm{QDM}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ and the pairings $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{E}^\vee}_k$ on $\mathrm{Gr}^{W(N)}_k\mathrm{QDM}(X,\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ are constructed from $\big(\mathrm{QDM}(Y), \nabla^G, \mathcal{P}_Y\big)$ by the procedure in* §B.1.3. ∎

7.5 Local mirror isomorphism

Recall that the isomorphism $L_X^\vee \simeq H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is induced by $M_X^\vee \longrightarrow H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ given by $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i e_i^\vee \longmapsto \sum a_i[D_i]$, where $e_i^\vee$ $(i = 1,\dots,m)$ is the dual frame of the standard basis $e_1,\dots,e_m$.

Let $\eta_1,\dots,\eta_\ell$ be a frame of $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ such that the first Chern class of $K_X^\vee \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \mathcal{L}_i^\vee$ is contained in the cone generated by η_i $(i = 1,\dots,\ell)$. We can check the existence of such a frame in an elementary way. We have a frame $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_\ell$ of $L_X^\vee$ corresponding to $\eta_1,\dots,\eta_\ell$ by the above isomorphism. For $M \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$\mathcal{B}_M(\boldsymbol{\eta}) := \Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\alpha_i\eta_i \in H^2(X,\mathbb{C}) \,\Big|\, \mathrm{Re}(\alpha_i) < -M \ (i = 1,\dots,\ell)\Big\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}'_M(\boldsymbol{\xi}) := \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \beta_i \xi_i \in \mathfrak{S}_A = L_X^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{C} \,\Big|\, \mathrm{Re}(\beta_i) < -M \ (i = 1, \ldots, \ell) \Big\}.$$

We obtain the following theorem as a corollary of the theorem of Givental, according to which we have isomorphisms between the mixed TEP-structures associated to local A-models and local B-models in [30, 31]. It is also related with [45, Conjecture 6.14]. We use the notation in §7.2 and §7.4.

Theorem 7.34 *We have the following:*

- *An open subset $U_1 \subset \mathcal{U}_X \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$; It contains $\mathcal{B}_{M_1}(\boldsymbol{\eta})$ for a positive number M_1; It is preserved by the natural action of $2\pi\sqrt{-1}H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.*
- *An open subset $U_2 \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$; It contains $\mathcal{B}_{M_2}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ for a positive number M_2; It is preserved by the natural action of $(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z})L_X^{\vee}$.*
- *A holomorphic isomorphism $\varphi : U_1 \simeq U_2$; It preserves the Euler vector fields.*
- *Isomorphism of mixed TEP-structures*

$$\Phi_* : \big(\mathrm{QDM}(X, \mathcal{E}^{\vee}), \widetilde{W}, \{\alpha\mathcal{P}_k^{\mathcal{E}^{\vee}} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}\big)_{|U_1} \simeq \varphi^* \chi_{\mathcal{A}}^* \big(\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},*}, \widetilde{W}, \{\mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A},*,k} \,|\, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}\big)_{|U_2}$$

$$\Phi_! : \big(\mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{E}^{\vee}), \widetilde{W}, \{\alpha\mathcal{P}_k^{\mathcal{E}^{\vee}} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}\big)_{|U_1} \simeq \varphi^* \chi_{\mathcal{A}}^* \big(\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A},!}, \widetilde{W}, \{\mathfrak{P}_{\mathcal{A},!,k} \,|\, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}\big)_{|U_2}$$

Here, α is a non-zero complex number. We also have the following commutative diagram of mixed TE-structures:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\big(\mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{E}^{\vee}), \widetilde{W}\big)_{|U_1} \arrow[r, "\Phi_!", "\simeq"'] \arrow[d] & \varphi^* \chi_{\mathcal{A}}^* (\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}!}, \widetilde{W})_{|U_2} \arrow[d] \\
\big(\mathrm{QDM}(X, \mathcal{E}^{\vee}), \widetilde{W}\big)_{|U_1} \arrow[r, "\Phi_*", "\simeq"'] & \varphi^* \chi_{\mathcal{A}}^* (\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}*}, \widetilde{W})_{|U_2}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The isomorphisms φ and $\Phi_\star$ ($\star = *, !$) are equivariant with respect to the actions of $2\pi\sqrt{-1}H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq (2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z})L_X^{\vee}$.

Proof Let $B_\epsilon(t) := \big\{|t| < \epsilon\big\}$. According to [17], as reformulated in [21] and [44], we have the following.

- An open subset $U_Y \subset \mathcal{U}_Y$; It contains $\mathcal{B}_{M_1}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \times B_{\epsilon_1}(t_1)$ for a large M_1 and a small $\epsilon_1 > 0$; It is preserved by the action of $2\pi\sqrt{-1}H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.
- An open subset $U_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\mathcal{A}} = L_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$; It contains $\mathcal{B}_{M_2}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \times B_{\epsilon_2}(t_2)$; It is preserved by the action of $2\pi\sqrt{-1}L_X^{\vee}$; The restriction of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}}$ to $U_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ is locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times U_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}(*t_2)$-module.
- A holomorphic isomorphism $\widehat{\varphi} : U_Y \simeq U_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}$; It preserves the Euler vector fields; We have $\widehat{\varphi}^{-1}\{t_2 = 0\} = \{t_1 = 0\}$.
- An isomorphism of TEP-structures $\widehat{\Phi} : \big(\overline{\mathrm{QDM}}(Y)(*t), \alpha\mathcal{P}_Y\big)_{|U_Y} \simeq \widehat{\varphi}^* \widetilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^* \big(\widetilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}_{\mathcal{A}}\big)_{|U_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}}$.
- The isomorphisms $\widehat{\varphi}$ and $\widehat{\Phi}$ are equivariant with respect to the action of $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})L_X^{\vee} \simeq H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

We set $U_1 := U_Y \cap \{t_1 = 0\}$ and $U_2 := U_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \cap \{t_2 = 0\}$. As the restriction of $\widehat{\varphi}$, we obtain a holomorphic isomorphism $\varphi : U_1 \simeq U_2$ which is equivariant with respect to the action of the lattices. It also preserves the Euler vector fields. Then, the claim follows from Lemma 7.33 and Lemma 7.10. ∎

Remark 7.35 *If the Euler vector fields are 0, then the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules are $\mathbb{C}^*$-homogeneous with respect to the trivial action on the base space. So, they are equipped with the Hodge filtration. Because the Hodge filtration can be recovered from the connection, the isomorphisms in Theorem 7.34 also preserve the Hodge filtrations.* ∎

Remark 7.36 *If $r = 1$ and $\mathcal{L}_1 = K_X^{\vee}$, the mixed TEP-structure is expressed as in* §6.4 *in terms of the variation of Hodge structure associated to $\mathcal{B}$. Here, $\mathcal{B}$ is related to $\mathcal{A}$ as in* §6.4.1. $\blacksquare$

Remark 7.37 *We set $U_1' := U_1 / \bigl(2\pi\sqrt{-1}H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\bigr)$ and $U_2' := U_2/\bigl(2\pi\sqrt{-1}L_X^{\vee}\bigr)$. We have the induced isomorphism $\varphi' : U_1' \simeq U_2'$. We may regard $U_1' \subset H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^*$ and $U_2' \subset L_X^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{C}^*$. They are naturally extended to neighbourhoods $\overline{U}_1' \subset H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$ and $\overline{U}_2' \subset L_X^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of 0. According to* [44]*, the isomorphism φ' is extended to an isomorphism $\overline{U}_1' \simeq \overline{U}_2'$.*

We can consider the descent of the objects and the isomorphisms in Theorem 7.34 *to U_i'. The objects are extended on $\overline{U}_i'$ in the logarithmic way such that the residues endomorphisms are nilpotent. Then, by the uniqueness of such extensions, the isomorphisms given on U_i' are extended to the isomorphisms on $\overline{U}_i'$.* $\blacksquare$

Remark 7.38 *In* [45]*, it is announced that a result in* [24] *implies the comparison of the TE-structures in Theorem* 7.34*. At this moment, it is not clear to the author if we could also deduce the comparison of the weight filtrations from* [24]. $\blacksquare$

A Duality of meromorphic flat bundles

A.1 Isomorphism

Let X be a complex manifold with a hypersurface H. Let $d_X := \dim X$. Let M be a reflexive meromorphic flat connection on (X,H), i.e., M is a $\mathcal{D}_X$-module and that M is a coherent and reflexive $\mathcal{O}_X(*H)$-module. We set $M^{\vee} := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X(*H)}\bigl(M, \mathcal{O}_X(*H)\bigr)$ which is naturally a reflexive meromorphic flat connection. In this section, let $\boldsymbol{D}$ denote the duality functor on the category of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. As well known, $\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M) := \boldsymbol{D}(M)(*H)$ is isomorphic to $M^{\vee}$ as a meromorphic flat connection. Let us recall the construction of the isomorphism $\nu_M : \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M) \xrightarrow{\simeq} M^{\vee}$ as in [40].

Let Θ_X denote the tangent sheaf of X. We set $\Theta_X^{-p} := \bigwedge^p \Theta_X$ for $p \geq 0$. We have the Spencer resolution $\mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Theta_X^{\bullet}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$ by a locally free left $\mathcal{D}$-modules. We set $\Omega_X := \Omega_X^{d_X}$. The dual line bundle is denoted by Ω_X^{-1}. We have the following natural identification:

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M) \simeq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X(*H)}\bigl(\mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Theta_X^{\bullet} \otimes M, \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1}(*H)\bigr)[d_X].$$

The degree 0 term in the right hand side is $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X(*H)}(\mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1} \otimes M, \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1}(*H)) \simeq \mathcal{D}_X \otimes M^{\vee}$. The isomorphism ν_M is induced by the canonical isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M) \simeq \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Theta^{\bullet} \otimes M^{\vee}$ whose degree 0-term is the identity.

We have another description of the isomorphism ν_M in the case $H = \emptyset$. Let $L_{M^{\vee}}$ be the sheaf of flat sections of $M^{\vee}$ which is a $\mathbb{C}$-local system. We have a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}_X M = R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, \mathcal{O}_X[d_X]) \simeq L_{M^{\vee}}[d_X]$. We have $\mathrm{DR}(\nu_M) = (-1)^{d_X}\,\mathrm{id}$ which characterizes ν_M.

Under the natural identification $\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)} \circ \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M) = M$, we have the induced morphism $\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}\nu_M : \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M^{\vee}) \simeq M$. We shall use the following lemma implicitly. (See [40].)

Lemma A.1 *We have $\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}\nu_M = (-1)^{d_X}\nu_{M^{\vee}}$.* $\blacksquare$

A.1.1 A commutative diagram

For any morphism of meromorphic flat bundles $f : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$ on (X,H), the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}M_2 \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}f"] \arrow[d, "\nu_{M_2}"'] & \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}M_1 \arrow[d, "\nu_{M_1}"'] \\
M_2^{\vee} \arrow[r, "f^{\vee}"] & M_1^{\vee}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let M_i be reflexive meromorphic flat connections on (X,H). Let $\rho : M_1 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}M_2$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules. We have the induced morphisms $\nu_{M_2} \circ \rho : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2^{\vee}$ and $\nu_{M_1} \circ \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}\rho : M_2 \longrightarrow M_1^{\vee}$. We shall use the following lemma in Proposition B.2.

Lemma A.2 *We have* $\nu_{M_1} \circ \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}\rho = (-1)^{d_X}(\nu_{M_2} \circ \rho)^\vee$.

Proof We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M_1) \arrow[d, "\nu_{M_1}"'] & & \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M_2^\vee) \arrow[ll, "\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(\nu_{M_2}\circ\rho)"'] \arrow[d] \\
M_1^\vee & & M_2 \arrow[ll, "(\nu_{M_2}\circ\rho)^\vee"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, the right vertical arrow is the composite of $\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M_2^\vee) \overset{\nu_{M_2^\vee}}{\simeq} (M_2^\vee)^\vee \simeq M_2$. Note that $\nu_{M_1} \circ \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}\rho$ precisely means the composite of $M_2 \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}M_2) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}\rho} \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}M_1 \overset{\nu_{M_1}}{\simeq} M_1^\vee$. We remark that the composite of the following natural isomorphisms is the multiplication of $(-1)^{d_X}$.

$$M_2 \longrightarrow (M_2^\vee)^\vee \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(M_2^\vee) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}\nu_{M_2}} \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}(\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}M_2) \longrightarrow M_2$$

Then, the claim of Lemma A.2 follows. ∎

A.2 Duality and localizations of flat bundles

This subsection is a preliminary for §A.3 and §3.3.

A.2.1 Statement

Let X be a complex manifold with a smooth hypersurface Y. Set $d_X := \dim X$. Let $\iota : Y \longrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Let M be a flat bundle on X, i.e., a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-module with a flat connection. The pull back of M to Y is denoted by M_Y. For any $\mathcal{D}_X$-module N, we set $N(*Y) := N \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(*Y)$ and $N(!Y) := \boldsymbol{D}_X\big(\boldsymbol{D}_X(N)(*Y)\big)$.

We have the natural morphisms $\rho_{M,1} : M(*Y) \longrightarrow \iota_+ M_Y$ and $\rho_{M,2} : \iota_+ M_Y \longrightarrow M(!Y)$. Locally, they are given as follows. Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a holomorphic local coordinate system of X such that $Y = \{x_1 = 0\}$. We have $\iota_+ M_Y \simeq \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \iota_* M_Y (dx_1)^{-1}\, \partial_{x_1}^n$. Then, we have $\rho_{M,1}(f \cdot x_1^{-1}) = \iota_*(f_{|Y}(dx_1)^{-1})$ for any section f of M. And, we have $\rho_{M,2}(g\iota_*(dx_1)^{-1}) = -\partial_{x_1}(\widetilde{g})$ in $M(!Y)$ for any section g of M_Y, where $\widetilde{g}$ is a section of M satisfying $\widetilde{g}_{|Y} = g$ and $\partial_{x_1}(\widetilde{g}) = 0$ in M. They are independent of the choice of coordinate systems, and we have the global morphisms.

The isomorphism $\nu_M : \boldsymbol{D}M \simeq M^\vee$ induces $\nu_{M*} : \boldsymbol{D}\big(M(*Y)\big) \simeq M^\vee(!Y)$ and $\nu_{M!} : \boldsymbol{D}\big(M(!Y)\big) \simeq M^\vee(*Y)$. We have $\nu_{M_Y} : \boldsymbol{D}M_Y \longrightarrow M_Y^\vee$ determined by a similar condition. The composite $\boldsymbol{D}\iota_+ M_Y \simeq \iota_+ \boldsymbol{D}M_Y \simeq \iota_+ M_Y^\vee$ is denoted by $\iota_+\nu_{M_Y}$. We shall prove the following proposition in §A.2.2–A.2.4.

Proposition A.3 *We have* $\nu_{M*} \circ \boldsymbol{D}\rho_{M,1} = -\rho_{M^\vee,2} \circ \iota_+\nu_{M_Y}$ *and* $\iota_+\nu_{M_Y} \circ \boldsymbol{D}\rho_{M,2} = \rho_{M^\vee,1} \circ \nu_{M!}$.

Clearly, it is enough to prove the case $M = \mathcal{O}_X$ for which we naturally identify $M^\vee = \mathcal{O}_X$. We shall $\rho_{M,i}$ by ρ_i, and ν_{M_X} and ν_{M_Y} by ν_X and ν_Y, respectively.

We set $d_Y := \dim Y = d_X - 1$. Because $\boldsymbol{D}\nu_X = (-1)^{d_X}\nu_X$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\nu_Y = (-1)^{d_Y}\nu_Y$, the two equalities are equivalent. Hence, it is enough to prove $\iota_+\nu_Y \circ \boldsymbol{D}\rho_2 = \rho_1 \circ \nu_{X!}$.

We have only to prove the claim locally around any point of Y. We may assume that $X = \mathbb{C}_t \times Y$. We have natural isomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_t} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_Y$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_t} \boxtimes \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Y$. The equality $\iota_+\nu_Y \circ \boldsymbol{D}\rho_2 = \rho_1 \circ \nu_{X!}$ means the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{D}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_t}(!t)\big) \boxtimes \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Y \arrow[r, "\nu_{\mathbb{C}_t!}\boxtimes\nu_Y"] \arrow[d, "\boldsymbol{D}\rho_2\boxtimes\mathrm{id}"] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_t}(*t) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_Y \arrow[d, "\rho_1\boxtimes\mathrm{id}"] \\
\boldsymbol{D}(\iota_+\mathcal{O}_{\{0\}}) \boxtimes \boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Y \arrow[r, "\iota_+\nu_{\{0\}}\boxtimes\nu_Y"] & \iota_+\mathcal{O}_{\{0\}} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, it is enough to consider the case $\dim X = 1$, i.e., $X = \mathbb{C}_t$ and $Y = \{0\}$, which we assume in the following.

A.2.2 Commutativity of duality and push-forward

First, let us describe the isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y \simeq \iota_+\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Y$. We naturally have $\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \iota_*\mathbb{C} \cdot \partial_t^j (dt)^{-1}$. We have the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_X \xrightarrow{\kappa_0} \mathcal{D}_X \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} \iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0$, where $\kappa_0(P) = P \cdot t$ and $\kappa_1(1) = \iota_*(dt)^{-1}$. It induces the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X, \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_0'} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X, \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_1'} \boldsymbol{D}(\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow 0$$

Here, $\kappa_0'(g)(P) = g(Pt)$. Under the natural identifications $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X, \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1}$, we have $\kappa_0'(Q) = tQ$.

We naturally have $\iota_+\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Y = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \iota_* \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \partial_t^j \iota_*(dt)^{-1}$.

Lemma A.4 *The isomorphism* $\boldsymbol{D}(\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y) \simeq \iota_+\boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ *is given by* $\kappa_1'((dt)^{-1}) \longmapsto -\iota_*\bigl(\mathrm{id} \cdot (dt)^{-1}\bigr)$.

Proof Note that the following diagram is commutative, due to M. Saito [56] (see also [40, Proposition 12.4.25]):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{DR}(\boldsymbol{D}\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{DR}(\iota_+\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Y) \arrow[d] \\
\boldsymbol{D}\iota_* \mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Y \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \iota_*\boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, the vertical arrows are the exchange of the de Rham functors with the others, and the horizontal arrows are the exchange of the duality functor and the push-forward. We describe the induced morphism $\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y \simeq \iota_*\boldsymbol{D}\,\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Y$. We set $\omega_X^{top} = \mathfrak{Db}_X^{\bullet}[2]$ and $\omega_Y^{top} = \mathbb{C}_Y$. Then, it is described as the composite of the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}\bigl(\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X[1]\bigr) \overset{a}{\simeq} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}\bigl(\mathrm{DR}\,\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y, \omega_X^{top}\bigr) \overset{b}{\simeq} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}\bigl(\iota_*\,\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Y, \omega_X^{top}\bigr) \\
\overset{c}{\simeq} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\iota_*\,\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_Y, \iota_*\omega_Y^{top}) = \iota_* \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}). \quad (150)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us describe the morphisms more explicitly. We have $\mathcal{O}_X[1] = \mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,\bullet}[1] = \bigl(\mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,0} \xrightarrow{-\overline{\partial}} \mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,1}\bigr)$, where $\mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,0}$ sits in the degree -1. We have

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X[1]) \simeq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,\bullet}[1]) \simeq \mathfrak{Db}_{X,Y}^{0,\bullet}[1],$$

where $\mathfrak{Db}_{X,Y}^{0,i}$ denotes the sheaf of $(0,i)$-currents on X whose supports are contained in Y. The last isomorphism is given by $\Psi \longmapsto \Psi(\iota_*(dt)^{-1})$. We have the section $-\overline{\partial}(t^{-1})$ of $\mathfrak{Db}_{X,Y}^{0,1}$. Recall that, for a complex manifold Z with $\dim Z = d_Z$, we identify the total complex of $\Omega_Z^{\bullet}[d_Z] \otimes \mathfrak{Db}_Z^{0,\bullet}[d_Z]$ with $\mathfrak{Db}_Z^{\bullet}[2d_Z]$ by the correspondence $\eta^{d_Z+i} \otimes \overline{\eta}^{d_Z+j} \longmapsto (-1)^{d_Z(d_Z-1)/2+d_Z i}\eta^{d_Z+i} \wedge \overline{\eta}^{d_Z+j}$. We have

$$a\bigl(-\overline{\partial}(1/t)\bigr)\bigl(\partial_t^j \iota_*(dt)^{-1} dt\bigr) = -\partial_t^j dt \overline{\partial}(t^{-1}) = \partial_t^j \overline{\partial}(dt/t), \quad a\bigl(-\overline{\partial}(1/t)\bigr)\bigl(\partial_t^j \iota_*(dt)^{-1}\bigr) = \partial_t^j \overline{\partial}(t^{-1}).$$

Hence, we have $(b \circ a)(-\overline{\partial}(t^{-1}))\bigl(\iota_*(dt)^{-1} dt\bigr) = \overline{\partial}(dt/t)$. Note that c is induced by the trace morphism $\iota_*\omega_Y^{top} \longrightarrow \omega_X^{top}$, for which we have $\iota_*(1) \longmapsto \overline{\partial}(t^{-1}dt)$. Hence, we have $(c \circ b \circ a)(-\overline{\partial}(t^{-1})) = \iota_* 1$.

We have the quasi-isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{H}om(\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,\bullet}[1]) \simeq \mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}(\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y) \simeq \Bigl(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X, \mathcal{O})\Bigr) \tag{151}$$

The complexes (151) are naturally quasi-isomorphic to the total complex associated to the following double complex:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X, \mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,0}) \arrow[r, "t"] \arrow[d, "-\overline{\partial}"'] & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X, \mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,0}) \arrow[d, "-\overline{\partial}"'] \\
\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X, \mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,1}) \arrow[r, "t"] & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X, \mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,1})
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\left(\begin{tikzcd}
(0,-1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (1,-1) \arrow[d] \\
(0,0) \arrow[r] & (1,0)
\end{tikzcd}\right)$$

Here, the right square in the parenthesis indicates the degrees of the double complex. Then, we can easily deduce $\overline{\partial}(t^{-1})$ in $\mathcal{H}om(\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y,\mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,1})$ is equal to 1 in $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X,\mathcal{O})$ in the cohomology of (151).

Hence, the isomorphism $\mathrm{DR}\,\iota_+\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Y\simeq\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}(\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y)$ is identified with $\iota_*\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})\simeq\operatorname{Cok}(\mathcal{O}\xrightarrow{t}\mathcal{O})$ for which $\iota_*\,\mathrm{id}$ is mapped to the image of -1 in $\operatorname{Cok}(\mathcal{O}\xrightarrow{t}\mathcal{O})$. Then, the claim of Lemma A.4 follows. ∎

The isomorphism $\nu_Y:\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_Y\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_Y$ is given by $\mathrm{id}\longmapsto 1$. We have the induced isomorphism $\iota_+\nu_Y:\boldsymbol{D}(\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y)\simeq\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y$. The following lemma is an immediate corollary of Lemma A.4.

Lemma A.5 *We have* $\iota_+\nu_Y\big(\kappa_1'((dt)^{-1})\big)=-\kappa_1(1)$. ∎

A.2.3 A description of ν_X

Let us describe the isomorphism $\nu_X:\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_X\simeq\mathcal{O}_X$. We use the following natural free resolution of $\mathcal{O}_X$:

$$\mathcal{O}_X\simeq\big(\mathcal{D}_X\xrightarrow{\cdot\partial_t}\mathcal{D}_X\big)$$

It induces the following free resolution of $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_X$:

$$\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_X\simeq\big(\mathcal{D}_X\otimes\Omega_X^{-1}\xrightarrow{\partial_t\cdot}\mathcal{D}_X\otimes\Omega_X^{-1}\big)$$

We have the morphism of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules $\mu:\mathcal{D}_X\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}_X\otimes\Omega_X^{-1}$ given by $a_j\partial_t^j\longmapsto(-1)^j\partial_t^ja_j\otimes(dt)^{-1}$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_X\otimes\Omega_X^{-1} \arrow[r, "\partial_t\cdot"] \arrow[d, "-\mu^{-1}"'] & \mathcal{D}_X\otimes\Omega_X^{-1} \arrow[d, "\mu^{-1}"'] \\
\mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r, "\cdot\partial_t"] & \mathcal{D}_X
\end{tikzcd} \tag{152}$$

It induces $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_X\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_X$. We can check that the induced morphism $\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_X\simeq\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_X$ is given by $\mathbb{C}\simeq\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$, $1\longmapsto-\mathrm{id}$. Hence, it is ν_X.

A.2.4 End of the proof of Proposition A.3

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r, "\cdot\partial_t"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"'] & \mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cdot t"'] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r, "\cdot\partial_t t"] \arrow[d, "\cdot\partial_t"'] & \mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r, "\varphi_1"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"'] & \mathcal{O}_X(*t) \arrow[d, "\rho_1"'] \\
\mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r, "\cdot t"] & \mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r, "\kappa_1"] & \iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y
\end{tikzcd} \tag{153}$$

Here $\varphi_1(1)=t^{-1}$. We also have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r, "\cdot t"] \arrow[d, "-\mathrm{id}"'] & \mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r, "\kappa_1"] \arrow[d, "\cdot(-\partial_t)"'] & \iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y \arrow[d, "\rho_2"'] \\
\mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r, "\cdot t\partial_t"] \arrow[d, "\cdot t"'] & \mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r, "\varphi_2"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"'] & \mathcal{O}_X(!t) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r, "\cdot\partial_t"] & \mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X
\end{tikzcd} \tag{154}$$

Here $\varphi_2(1) = 1$. As the dual of (154), we obtain the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{D}(\iota_+\mathcal{O}_Y) & \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1} \arrow[l, "\kappa_1'"'] & \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1} \arrow[l, "t\cdot"'] \\
\boldsymbol{D}\big(\mathcal{O}_X(!t)\big) \arrow[u, "\boldsymbol{D}\rho_2"] & \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1} \arrow[l] \arrow[u, "-\operatorname{id}"] & \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1} \arrow[l, "t\partial_t\cdot"'] \arrow[u, "(-\partial_t)\cdot"] \\
\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{O}_X \arrow[u] & \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1} \arrow[l] \arrow[u, "t"] & \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1} \arrow[l, "\partial_t\cdot"'] \arrow[u, "\operatorname{id}"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{155}$$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_X \otimes \Omega_X^{-1} \arrow[r, "(t\partial_t)\cdot"] \arrow[d, "-\mu^{-1}"'] & \mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mu^{-1}"'] & \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{O}_X(!t)) \arrow[d, "\nu_{X!}"'] \\
\mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r, "\cdot(\partial_t t)"] & \mathcal{D}_X \arrow[r, "\varphi_1"] & \mathcal{O}_X(*t)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Because $\varphi_1(1) = t^{-1}$, we have $\boldsymbol{D}\rho_2 \circ \nu_{X!}^{-1}(t^{-1}) = -\kappa_1'\big((dt)^{-1}\big)$. We also have $\rho_1(t^{-1}) = \kappa_1(1)$. Then, we obtain $\boldsymbol{D}\rho_2 \circ \nu_{X!}^{-1} = (\iota_+\nu_Y)^{-1} \circ \rho_1$ by Lemma A.5. Thus, the proof of Proposition A.3 is finished. ∎

A.3 Duality and nearby cycle functors

We shall generalize Proposition A.3. This subsection is a preliminary for §B.3.

A.3.1 Some induced isomorphisms

Let t be a function on X such that the zero divisor $(t)_0$ is smooth and reduced, i.e., the zero set H of t is smooth and reduced as a subscheme. Let M be a regular singular meromorphic flat bundle on (X, H).

We use the natural identification $\big(\Pi_t^{a,b}M\big)^\vee \simeq \Pi_t^{-b+1,-a+1}M^\vee$. (See [40, §2.3] where the identification is explained for $\mathcal{R}$-modules. The identification for flat bundles is obtained as the specialization at $\lambda = 1$.) We have the isomorphisms $\nu_{\Pi_t^{a,b}M} : (\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}\Pi_t^{a,b}M) \simeq \Pi_t^{-b+1,-a+1}M^\vee$, which induce

$$\nu_{\Pi_t^{a,b}M,*} : \boldsymbol{D}_X\big(\Pi_{t*}^{a,b}M\big) \simeq \Pi_{t!}^{-b+1,-a+1}M^\vee, \qquad \nu_{\Pi_t^{a,b}M,!} : \boldsymbol{D}_X\big(\Pi_{t!}^{a,b}M\big) \simeq \Pi_{t*}^{-b+1,-a+1}M^\vee.$$

We have the following isomorphism for a sufficiently large N:

$$\psi_t^{(a)}(M) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\Big(\Pi_{t!}^{a-N,a}(M) \longrightarrow \Pi_{t*}^{a-N,a}(M)\Big) \simeq \operatorname{Cok}\Big(\Pi_{t!}^{a,a+N}(M) \longrightarrow \Pi_{t*}^{a,a+N}(M)\Big),$$

Hence, we have the following canonical isomorphisms:

$$\nu_{M,\psi_t^{(a)}} : \boldsymbol{D}_H\psi_t^{(a)}(M) \simeq \psi_t^{(-a+1)}(M^\vee)$$

We have the V-filtration U of M along t. Here, we adopt the condition that $\partial_t t + \alpha$ is nilpotent on $\psi_{t,\alpha}(M) := U_\alpha(M)\big/U_{<\alpha}(M)$. The natural perfect pairing $M \otimes M^\vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(*H)$ naturally induces a perfect pairing of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules $V_{-1}(M) \otimes V_{-1}(M^\vee) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$. It induces a perfect pairing $\psi_{t,-1}(M) \times \psi_{t,-1}(M^\vee) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H$ of flat bundles. It gives the following natural identification:

$$\psi_{t,-1}(M)^\vee \simeq \psi_{t,-1}(M^\vee). \tag{156}$$

We have the canonical isomorphisms $\xi_M^{(0)} : \psi_t^{(0)}(M) \simeq \iota_+\psi_{t,-1}(M)$ and $\xi_M^{(1)} : \psi_t^{(1)}(M) \simeq \iota_+\psi_{t,-1}(M)$. The construction of the isomorphisms is explained in the case of $\mathcal{R}$-modules in [40, S4.3]. The construction for $\mathcal{D}$-modules is obtained as the specialization to $\lambda = 1$.

A.3.2 Some commutative diagrams

Proposition A.6 *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{D}_X\psi_t^{(1)}(M) \arrow[r, "\nu_{M,\psi_t^{(1)}}"] & \psi_t^{(0)}(M^\vee) \arrow[d, "\xi^{(0)}_{M^\vee}", "\simeq"'] \\
\boldsymbol{D}_X\iota_+\psi_{t,-1}(M) \arrow[u, "\simeq", "\boldsymbol{D}_X\xi^{(1)}_M"'] \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \iota_+\psi_{t,-1}(M^\vee)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{157}$$

Here, the lower horizontal arrow is induced as the composite of the natural isomorphisms $\boldsymbol{D}_X\iota_+\psi_{t,-1}(M) \simeq \iota_+\boldsymbol{D}_H\psi_{t,-1}(M) \simeq \iota_+\psi_{t,-1}(M)^\vee \simeq \iota_+\psi_{t,-1}(M^\vee)$.

The following diagram is also commutative.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{D}_X\psi_t^{(0)}(M) \arrow[r, "-\nu_{M,\psi^{(0)}}"] & \psi_t^{(1)}(M^\vee) \arrow[d, "\xi^{(1)}_{M^\vee}", "\simeq"'] \\
\boldsymbol{D}_X\iota_+\psi_{t,-1}(M) \arrow[u, "\simeq", "\boldsymbol{D}_X\xi^{(0)}_M"'] \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \iota_+\psi_{t,-1}(M^\vee)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{158}$$

Here, the lower horizontal arrow is induced as the composite of the natural isomorphisms $\boldsymbol{D}_X\iota_+\psi_{t,-1}(M) \simeq \iota_+\boldsymbol{D}_H\psi_{t,-1}(M) \simeq \iota_+\psi_{t,-1}(M)^\vee \simeq \iota_+\psi_{t,-1}(M^\vee)$.

Proof Let V be any regular singular meromorphic flat bundle on (X,H). We set $V_\star := V(\star H)$. Let K_V and C_V denote the kernel and the cokernel of $V_! \longrightarrow V_*$. We have the following natural commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \boldsymbol{D}_XC_V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\nu_{V,C}"'] & \boldsymbol{D}_XV_* \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\nu_{V*}"'] & \boldsymbol{D}_XV_! \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\nu_{V!}"'] & \boldsymbol{D}_XK_V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\nu_{V,K}"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & K_{V^\vee} \arrow[r] & (V^\vee)_! \arrow[r] & (V^\vee)_* \arrow[r] & C_{V^\vee} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let K_{0V} and C_{0V} denote the kernel and the cokernel of the morphism $\psi_{t,-1}(V) \xrightarrow{N} \psi_{t,-1}(V)$. We have natural isomorphisms $\xi_{C,V} : C_V \simeq \iota_+C_{0V}$ and $\xi_{K,V} : K_V \simeq \iota_+K_{0V}$. The construction of the isomorphisms is explained in [40, §4.3.2] for $\mathcal{R}$-modules. The construction for $\mathcal{D}$-modules is obtained as the specialization at $\lambda = 1$. Under the natural identification $\psi_{t,-1}(V)^\vee = \psi_{t,-1}(V^\vee)$, we have $C_{0V}^\vee = K_{0V^\vee}$ and $K_{0V}^\vee = C_{0V^\vee}$.

Lemma A.7 *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{D}_XK_V \arrow[r, "\nu_{V,K}"] & C_{V^\vee} \arrow[d, "\xi_{C,V^\vee}", "\simeq"'] \\
\boldsymbol{D}_X(\iota_+K_{0V}) \arrow[u, "\simeq", "\boldsymbol{D}_X\xi_{K,V}"'] \arrow[r] & \iota_+C_{0V^\vee}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{159}$$

The lower horizontal arrow is the composite of $\boldsymbol{D}_X(\iota_+K_{0V}) \simeq \iota_+\boldsymbol{D}_HK_{0V} \simeq \iota_+K_{0V}^\vee \simeq \iota_+C_{0V^\vee}$.

The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{D}_XC_V \arrow[r, "-\nu_{V,C}"] & K_{V^\vee} \arrow[d, "\xi_{K,V^\vee}", "\simeq"'] \\
\boldsymbol{D}_X(\iota_+C_{0V}) \arrow[u, "\simeq", "\boldsymbol{D}_X\xi_{C,V}"'] \arrow[r] & \iota_+K_{0V^\vee}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{160}$$

The lower horizontal arrow is the composite of $\boldsymbol{D}_X(\iota_+C_{0V}) \simeq \iota_+\boldsymbol{D}_HC_{0V} \simeq \iota_+C_{0V}^\vee \simeq \iota_+K_{0V^\vee}$.

Proof It is enough to consider the case that the monodromy of V along the loop around $t=0$ is unipotent. Moreover, we may assume that the logarithm of the monodromy is a Jordan block. Then, we have a flat subbundle $L \subset V$ of rank one such that $K_L \simeq K_V$ and $K_{0L} \simeq K_{0V}$. We also have $V^\vee \longrightarrow L^\vee$ which induces $C_{0V^\vee} \simeq C_{0L^\vee}$ and $C_{V^\vee} \simeq C_{L^\vee}$. Then, we obtain (159) from the claim for L, which follows from Proposition A.3. We obtain (160) similarly. ▮

We have $K_{0,\Pi^{-N,1}M} \simeq \psi_{t,-1}(M)$ and $C_{0,\Pi^{0,N+1},M^\vee} \simeq \psi_{t,-1}(M^\vee)$. Hence, we obtain the commutativity of (157) from Lemma A.7. We obtain the commutativity of (158) similarly. ▮

A.3.3 Specialization of pairings

Let M_i be regular singular meromorphic flat bundles on (X, H). Let $P : M_1 \otimes M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(*H)$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules. We have the naturally induced pairing $\psi_{t,-1}(P) : \psi_{t,-1}(M_1) \otimes \psi_{t,-1}(M_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H$.

The pairing P and the isomorphism ν_{M_2} induce a morphism $\Psi_P : M_1 \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)} M_2$. For $a = 0, 1$, we have the induced morphisms

$$\psi^{(a)}(M_1) \longrightarrow \psi^{(a)}(\boldsymbol{D}_X M_2) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_X \psi^{(-a+1)}(M_2).$$

By the natural isomorphisms $\iota_+ \psi_{t,-1}(M_i) \simeq \psi^{(a)}(M_i)$ and the isomorphism $\nu_{\psi_{t,-1}(M_2)}$, we obtain

$$\iota_+ \psi_{t,-1}(M_1) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_X \iota_+ \psi_{t,-1}(M_2) \simeq \iota_+ \boldsymbol{D}_H \psi_{t,-1}(M_2) \simeq \iota_+ \psi_{t,-1}(M_2)^\vee.$$

It comes from a morphism $\rho_a : \psi_{t,-1}(M_1) \simeq \psi_{t,-1}(M_2)^\vee$, which is equivalent to a pairing

$$P_a : \psi_{t,-1}(M_1) \times \psi_{t,-1}(M_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H.$$

Corollary A.8 *We have* $P_0 = \psi_{t,-1}(P)$ *and* $P_1 = -\psi_{t,-1}(P)$.

Proof Let $\mu : \psi_{t,-1}(M_1) \longrightarrow \psi_{t,-1}(M_2)^\vee$ be the morphism induced by $\psi_{t,-1}(P)$. The push-forward $\iota_+ \mu : \iota_+ \psi_{t,-1}(M_1) \longrightarrow \iota_+ \psi_{t,-1}(M_2)^\vee$ is the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\iota_+ \psi_{t,-1}(M_1) \simeq \psi^{(a)}(M_1) \longrightarrow \psi^{(a)}(M_2^\vee) \simeq \iota_+ \psi_{t,-1}(M_2^\vee)$$

By the construction, $\iota_+ \rho_a$ is composite of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_+ \psi_{t,-1}(M_1) \simeq \psi^{(a)}(M_1) \longrightarrow \psi^{(a)}(M_2^\vee) \simeq \psi^{(a)}(\boldsymbol{D}_X M_2) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_X \psi^{(-a+1)}(M_2) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_X \iota_+ \psi_{t,-1}(M_2) \\ \simeq \iota_+ \boldsymbol{D}_X \psi_{t,-1}(M_2) \simeq \iota_+ \psi_{t,-1}(M_2)^\vee \qquad (161)\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition A.6, we have $\iota_+ \mu = \iota_+ \rho_0$ and $\iota_+ \mu = -\iota_+ \rho_1$. ∎

A.4 Push-forward

This subsection is a preliminary for §B.4.

A.4.1 Statement

Let X be a complex manifold. Let M_i be flat bundles on X. Let $P : M_1 \otimes M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules. By the isomorphism $\nu_{M_2} : \boldsymbol{D}_X M_2 \simeq M_2^\vee$, P is equivalent to a morphism $\varphi : M_1 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_X M_2$.

Let $F : X \longrightarrow S$ be a smooth proper morphism of complex manifolds. We have the flat bundles $F_+^j M_i$ on S. We obtain the following morphism:

$$F_+^j M_1 \longrightarrow F_+^j \boldsymbol{D}_X M_2 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_S F_+^{-j} M_2$$

The composite is denoted by $F_+^j \varphi$. By the isomorphism $\nu_{F_+^{-j} M_2} : \boldsymbol{D}_S F_+^{-j} M_2 \simeq \bigl(F_+^{-j} M_2\bigr)^\vee$, we obtain a flat morphism

$$P(F_+^j \varphi) : F_+^j M_1 \otimes F_+^{-j} M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S.$$

We give a more direct expression of the pairing $P(F_+^j \varphi)$. For each $Q \in S$, we set $X_Q := F^{-1}(Q)$, and let $M_{i,Q}$ denote the restriction of the flat bundles M_i to X_Q. Let $d := \dim X - \dim S$. Let $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{DR}}(X_Q, M_{i,Q})$ denote the de Rham cohomology of the flat bundle $M_{i,Q}$. The fiber of $F_+^j(M_i)_{|Q}$ is naturally identified with $H^{d+j}_{\mathrm{DR}}\bigl(X_Q, M_{i,Q}\bigr)$. The pairing P naturally induces the following pairing $P_{F,j,Q}$:

$$H^{d+j}_{\mathrm{DR}}\bigl(X_Q, M_{1,Q}\bigr) \times H^{d-j}_{\mathrm{DR}}\bigl(X_Q, M_{2,Q}\bigr) \longrightarrow H^{2d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(X_Q, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

Here, $H^{2d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(X_Q, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is induced by $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-d} \int_{X_Q}$. The family $\{P_{F,j,Q} \,|\, Q \in S\}$ gives a flat morphism $P_{F,j} : F_+^j M_1 \otimes F_+^{-j} M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$. We shall prove the following proposition in §A.4.2–A.4.3.

Proposition A.9 *We have* $P(F_+^j \varphi) = \epsilon(d)(-1)^{dj} P_{F,j}$, *where* $\epsilon(d) = (-1)^{d(d-1)/2}$.

A.4.2 Preliminary

We have only to prove the claim locally around any point of S. So, we may assume that S is a multi-disc. Let t be a holomorphic function on S such that the zero divisor $(t)_0$ is smooth and reduced. Let $S_0 := |(t)_0|$. The pull back $t \circ F$ is also denoted by t. Set $X_0 := \{Q \in X \,|\, t(Q) = 0\}$. The induced morphism $X_0 \longrightarrow S_0$ is denoted by F_0. We naturally identify the restriction of the flat bundles M_i to X_0 with $\psi_{t,-1}(M_i)$. We also identify the restriction of $F_+^j M_i$ to S_0 with $\psi_{t,-1}F_+^j(M_i)$, which is also naturally isomorphic to $F_{0+}^j \psi_{t,-1}(M_i)$.

We obtain the following pairings as the restriction of P, $P(F_+^j\varphi)$ and $P_{F,j}$:

$$\psi_{t,-1}P : \psi_{t,-1}(M_1) \otimes \psi_{t,,-1}(M_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0}$$

$$\psi_{t,-1}P(F_+^j\varphi) : \psi_{t,-1}F_+^j(M_1) \otimes \psi_{t,-1}F_+^{-j}(M_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_0}$$

$$\psi_{t,-1}P_{F,j} : \psi_{t,-1}F_+^j(M_1) \otimes \psi_{t,-1}F_+^{-j}(M_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_0}$$

By the construction, we have $(\psi_{t,-1}P)_{F_0,j} = \psi_{t,-1}P_{F,j}$.

Let $\varphi_0 : \psi_{t,-1}M_1 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_{X_0}\psi_{t,-1}M_2$ be the morphism corresponding to $\psi_{t,-1}P$.

Lemma A.10 *We have $\psi_{t,-1}P(F_+^j\varphi) = P(F_+^j\varphi_0)$. As a consequence, to prove Proposition* A.9*, it is enough to consider the case where S is a point.*

Proof Let $\iota_{X_0} : X_0 \longrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. By Proposition A.6, the morphism $\iota_{X_0+}\varphi_0 : \iota_{X_0+}\psi_{t,-1}M_1 \longrightarrow \iota_{X_0+}\boldsymbol{D}_{X_0}\psi_{t,-1}M_2$ is identified with the composite of the following morphism:

$$\psi_t^{(0)}(M_1) \xrightarrow{\psi_t^{(0)}\varphi} \psi_t^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{D}_X M_2) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_X \psi_t^{(1)}(M_2) \tag{162}$$

We have the morphism $\rho_j : \psi_{t,-1}F_+^j M_1 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_{S_0}\psi_{t,-1}F_+^j M_2$ corresponding to $\psi_{t,-1}P(F_+^j\varphi)$. Let $\iota_{S_0} : S_0 \longrightarrow S$ be the inclusion. By Proposition A.6, $\iota_{S_0+}\rho_j$ is identified with

$$\psi_t^{(0)}F_+^j M_1 \xrightarrow{\psi_t^{(0)}F_+^j\varphi} \psi_t^{(0)}\boldsymbol{D}_S F_+^{-j}M_2 \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_S\psi_t^{(1)}F_+^{-j}M_2. \tag{163}$$

Note that the following diagram of the natural isomorphisms is commutative, which can be checked easily:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{D}_S\psi_t^{(1)}F_+^{-j}M_2 \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \psi_t^{(0)}\boldsymbol{D}_S F_+^{-j}M_2 \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \psi_t^{(0)}F_+^{-j}\boldsymbol{D}_X M_2 \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\boldsymbol{D}_S F_+^{-j}\psi_t^{(1)}M_2 \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & F_+^{-j}\boldsymbol{D}_X\psi_t^{(1)}M_2 \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & F_+^{-j}\psi_t^{(0)}\boldsymbol{D}_X M_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then, the morphism (163) is identified with the push-forward of (162) by F. Hence, we have $\rho_j = F_+^j\varphi_0$, which implies the first claim of the lemma. The second claim follows from the first claim and the equality $(\psi_{t,-1}P)_{F_0,j} = \psi_{t,-1}P_{F,j}$. $\blacksquare$

A.4.3 The case where S is a point

Let $d := \dim X$. Let L_i be the sheaf of flat sections of M_i. Let $L_i^\vee$ denote the local system obtained as the dual of L_i. The pairing P induces $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : L_1 \times L_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_X$, which induces $\upsilon : L_1 \longrightarrow L_2^\vee$. We have the natural isomorphism $\mathrm{DR}\, M_1 \simeq L_1[d]$ and $\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}M_2 \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M_2, \mathcal{O}_X[d]) \simeq L_2^\vee[d]$. The morphism φ induces $(-1)^d\upsilon : L_1[d] \longrightarrow L_2^\vee[d]$.

Let us describe the induced morphism $L_1[d] \longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}\big(\mathrm{DR}\, M_2, \mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}_X[d]\big)$. A section e of L_1 naturally gives a section of $(L_1[d])^{-d}$, which is denoted by e'. We have the section $(-1)^d\upsilon(e')$ of $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M_2, \mathcal{O}[d])^{-d}$. The image of $(-1)^d\upsilon(e')$ in $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathrm{DR}\, M_2, \mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}[d])^{-d}$ is denoted by F_e. Then, we have

$$F_e(\eta^{d+j}m) = (-1)^{dj}\eta^{d+j}\cdot(-1)^d\upsilon(e')(m) = (-1)^{dj+d}\eta^{d+j}\langle e, m\rangle'$$

Here, η^{d+j} is a section of $(\Omega^\bullet[d])^j = \Omega^{d+j}$, m is a section of M_2, and $\langle e, m\rangle'$ denotes the section of $(\mathcal{O}[d])^{-d}$ corresponding to $\langle e, m\rangle$.

We have the natural quasi isomorphisms $\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{O}[d] \simeq \mathrm{Tot}\big(\Omega^{\bullet}[d] \otimes \mathfrak{Db}_X^{0,\bullet}[d]\big) \simeq \mathfrak{Db}_X^{\bullet}[2d]$, where the latter is given by $\eta^{d+j} \otimes \overline{\eta}^{d+i} \longmapsto \epsilon(d)(-1)^{dj}\eta^{d+j} \wedge \overline{\eta}^{d+i}$. Let $\widetilde{F}_e$ denote the image of F_e in $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathrm{DR}\,M_2, \mathfrak{Db}_X^{\bullet}[2d])^{-d}$. It is given by

$$\widetilde{F}_e(\eta^{d+j}m) = \epsilon(d)(-1)^d \eta^{d+j}\langle e, m\rangle$$

which is naturally regarded as a section of $(\mathfrak{Db}_X^{\bullet}[2d])^{j-d}$. The morphism $L_1[d] \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathrm{DR}\,M_2, \mathfrak{Db}_X^{\bullet}[2d])^{-d}$ is extended to the morphism $\mathrm{DR}\,M_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathrm{DR}\,M_2, \mathfrak{Db}_X^{\bullet}[2d])^{-d}$ induced by the multiplication $\mathrm{DR}\,M_1 \times \mathrm{DR}\,M_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Db}_X^{\bullet}[2d]$ given by $(\xi^{d+k}e, \eta^{d+j}m) \longmapsto \epsilon(d)(-1)^{kd}\xi^{d+k}\eta^{d+j}\langle e, m\rangle$. Then, we obtain the claim of Proposition A.9 in the case where S is a point. By Lemma A.10, the proof of Proposition A.9 is finished. ▮

B Pairings and their functoriality

B.1 Mixed TEP-structures and their functoriality

B.1.1 Pairing of weight w and graded pairing

Let X be a complex manifold with a hypersurface H. Set $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$. For any $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-module N, let $N(*H) := N \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\big(*(\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times H)\big)$. An $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-module $\mathcal{V}$ is called smooth if (i) $\mathcal{V}_{|\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times (X\setminus H)}$ is locally free as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda \times (X\setminus H)}$-module, (ii) $\mathcal{V}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*H)$-coherent and reflexive. For a smooth $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-module $\mathcal{V}$, a pairing of weight w on $\mathcal{V}$ is defined to be an $\mathcal{R}_X$-homomorphism $P : \mathcal{V} \otimes j^*\mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \lambda^{-w}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*H)$ such that (i) $j^*P = (-1)^w P \circ \mathrm{exchange}$, (ii) P is non-degenerate, i.e., the induced morphism $\mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*H)}\big(j^*\mathcal{V}, \lambda^{-w}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*H)\big)$ is an isomorphism. Such a pair $(\mathcal{V}, P)$ is a TP$(-w)$-structure in the sense of [14]. Note that P naturally induces $P(*\lambda) : \mathcal{V}(*\lambda) \otimes j^*\mathcal{V}(*\lambda) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*H)(*\lambda)$, and P is the restriction of $P(*\lambda)$ to $\mathcal{V} \otimes j^*\mathcal{V}$. We also obtain pairings $P^{(a)}$ of weight $w + 2a$ on $\lambda^{-a}\mathcal{V}$ as the restriction of $P(*\lambda)$. We have $P^{(a)}(\lambda^{-a}v_1, j^*(\lambda^{-a}v_2)) = (-1)^a\lambda^{-2a}P(v_1, j^*v_2)$.

Suppose that a smooth $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-module $\mathcal{V}$ is equipped with an exhaustive increasing filtration $\widetilde{W}$ of $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-submodules indexed by integers such that $\mathrm{Gr}_w^{\widetilde{W}}(\mathcal{V})$ are also smooth $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-modules. A graded pairing of $(\mathcal{V}, \widetilde{W})$ is defined to be a tuple of pairings P_w ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$) of weight w on $\mathrm{Gr}_w^{\widetilde{W}}(\mathcal{V})$. Such a filtered smooth $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-module $(\mathcal{V}, W)$ with a graded pairing $\{P_w \,|\, w \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is called a mixed TP-structure. For any integer a, we set $\widetilde{W}_{k+2a}(\lambda^{-a}\mathcal{V}) := \lambda^{-a}\widetilde{W}_k\mathcal{V}$. We naturally have $\mathrm{Gr}_{k+2a}^{\widetilde{W}}(\lambda^{-a}\mathcal{V}) = \lambda^{-a}\,\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\widetilde{W}}(\mathcal{V})$. The pairing P_k on $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\widetilde{W}}(\mathcal{V})$ induces $P_{k+2a}^{(a)}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_{k+2a}^{\widetilde{W}}(\lambda^{-a}\mathcal{V})$. Thus, we obtain another mixed TP-structure which consists of a filtered $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-module $(\lambda^{-a}\mathcal{V}, \widetilde{W})$ and a graded pairing $(P_w^{(a)} \,|\, w \in \mathbb{Z})$.

A mixed TP-structure $(\mathcal{V}, \widetilde{W}, P)$ is called integrable if (i) $\mathcal{V}$ is an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-module, (ii) $\widetilde{W}$ is a filtration by $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-submodules, (iii) P_w are also $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-homomorphisms. In that case, $(\mathcal{V}, \widetilde{W}, P)$ is also called a mixed TEP-structure.

B.1.2 Specialization of pairings

Suppose that Y is a hypersurface of X given as $\{t = 0\}$ for a holomorphic function t such that dt is nowhere vanishing. We introduce a procedure for pairings in the context of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*Y)$-modules, which we call specialization. The procedure can also work in the non-integrable case.

We set $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times X$ and $\mathcal{Y} := \mathbb{C}_\lambda \times Y$. Let $p_\lambda : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow X$ be the projection. Let $V\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$ denote the sheaf of subalgebras generated by $\lambda p_\lambda^*\Theta_X(\log Y)$ and $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a smooth $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*Y)$-module. We assume the following:

(B0) $\mathcal{V}$ is regular along t, i.e., there exists a filtration $V_\bullet(\mathcal{V}) = (V_a(\mathcal{V}) \,|\, a \in \mathbb{R})$ by $V\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-submodules such that (i) $V_a(\mathcal{V})$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-submodules and $\mathrm{Gr}_a^V(\mathcal{V})$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-modules, (ii) $\lambda\partial_t V_a \subset V_{a+1}$ and $tV_a(\mathcal{V}) = V_{a-1}(\mathcal{V})$, (iii) $\lambda\partial_t t + \lambda a$ are nilpotent on $\mathrm{Gr}_a^V(\mathcal{V})$.

We obtain $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-modules $\mathrm{Gr}_a^V(\mathcal{V})$ which are smooth. We are particularly interested in $\mathrm{Gr}_{-1}^V(\mathcal{V})$. It is also denoted as $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})$. We also assume the following:

(B1) The conjugacy classes of $(\lambda N)_{|(\lambda,W)} : \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})_{(\lambda,Q)} \longrightarrow \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})_{(\lambda,Q)}$ are independent of the choice of $(\lambda, Q) \in \mathcal{Y}$. Here, $N : \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})\lambda^{-1}$ denote the morphism induced by the multiplication of $t\partial_t$.

Let P be a pairing of weight w on $\mathcal{V}$. We have the induced morphism $P : V_{-1}\mathcal{V} \otimes j^*V_{-1}\mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \lambda^{-w}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Then, we have the naturally induced pairing of weight w:

$$\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P) : \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes j^*\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-w}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$$

Let $W(N)$ denote the monodromy weight filtration of λN on $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})$. By the assumption, $W(N)$ is a filtration by subbundles. We have the induced non-degenerate pairings:

$$\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P)_k : \mathrm{Gr}^{W(N)}_{-k}\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \times j^*\,\mathrm{Gr}^{W(N)}_{k}\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-w}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$$

For $k \geq 0$, let $P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_k\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})$ denote the primitive part, i.e., the kernel of $N^{k+1} : \mathrm{Gr}^W_k\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-k-1}\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{-k-2}\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})$. We have the induced pairings:

$$\mathrm{sp}_t(P)_{w+k} := \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P)_k \circ (N^k \times \mathrm{id}) : P\,\mathrm{Gr}^{W(N)}_k\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \times j^*P\,\mathrm{Gr}^{W(N)}_k\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-w-k}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$$

By using $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P)\circ(\mathrm{id}\times j^*N) = -\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P)\circ(N\times\mathrm{id})$, we can easily check that $\mathrm{sp}_t(P)_{w+k}$ is a pairing of weight $w+k$ on $P\,\mathrm{Gr}^{W(N)}_k\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})$.

Let $k \leq 0$. Let $P'\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_k\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathrm{Gr}^W_k\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})$ denote the image of $\lambda^{-k}P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{-k}\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})$ by N^{-k}. We have the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{id}\times j^*N^{-k} : P'\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_k\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \times j^*\big(\lambda^{-k}P\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_{-k}\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})\big) \simeq P'\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_k\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \times j^*P'\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_k\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}).$$

We obtain the induced pairing of weight $w+k$.

$$\mathrm{sp}_t(P)_{w+k} := \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P)_k \circ (\mathrm{id}\times j^*N^{-k})^{-1} : P'\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_k\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \times j^*P'\,\mathrm{Gr}^W_k\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-k-w}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$$

It is also induced by $(-1)^k\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P)_{-k}\circ(N^k\times\mathrm{id})^{-1}$.

B.1.3 Filtration and graded pairings

We continue to use the notation in §B.1.2. Let $W(N)$ denote the filtration on $\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)$ induced by the filtration $W(N)$ on $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})$. It is equipped with the flat connection. We set

$$\widetilde{W}_k\,\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N) := W(N)_{k-w}\,\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N).$$

In other words, $\widetilde{W}_k\,\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)$ is the image of $W(N)_{k-w}\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)$. We have

$$\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k\,\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N) \simeq \begin{cases} P\,\mathrm{Gr}^{W(N)}_{k-w}\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) & (k \geq w) \\ 0 & (k < w) \end{cases}$$

Hence, we have the pairing of weight k on $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k\,\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)$ induced by $\mathrm{sp}_t(P)_k$. The induced pairing is also denoted by $\mathrm{sp}_t(P)_k$. The tuple $\big(\mathrm{sp}_t(P)_k \,\big|\, k \in \mathbb{Z}\big)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{sp}_t(P)$. Thus, we obtain a mixed TEP-structure $(\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N), \widetilde{W}, \mathrm{sp}_t(P))$.

Similarly, we set $\widetilde{W}_k\,\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N) := W(N)_{k-w} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N)$. We have

$$\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k\,\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N) \simeq \begin{cases} P'\,\mathrm{Gr}^{W(N)}_{k-w}\,\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) & (k \leq w) \\ 0 & (k > w) \end{cases}$$

Hence, we have the pairing $\mathrm{sp}_t(P)_k$ of weight k on $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k\,\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N)$. The induced pairing is also denoted by $\mathrm{sp}_t(P)_k$. Thus, we obtain a mixed TEP-structure $(\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N), \widetilde{W}, \mathrm{sp}_t(P))$, where $\mathrm{sp}_t(P) := \big(\mathrm{sp}_t(P)_k \,\big|\, k \in \mathbb{Z}\big)$.

B.1.4 Dependence on defining functions

Let τ be a holomorphic function on X such that (i) $Y = \{\tau = 0\}$, (ii) $d\tau$ is nowhere vanishing. The V-filtrations for t and τ are the same, and we naturally have $\psi_{\tau,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) = \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-modules. Let N_t and N_τ denote the morphisms $\psi_{\tau,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-1}\psi_{\tau,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})$ induced by $t\partial_t$ and $\tau\partial_\tau$. We remark the following standard lemma.

Lemma B.1 *We have* $N_t = N_\tau$ *and* $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P) = \psi_{\tau,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P)$. *As a result, we have* $\mathrm{Cok}(N_t) = \mathrm{Cok}(N_\tau)$ *and* $\mathrm{Ker}(N_t) = \mathrm{Ker}(N_\tau)$. *On* $\mathrm{Cok}(N_t) = \mathrm{Cok}(N_\tau)$ *and* $\mathrm{Ker}(N_t) = \mathrm{Ker}(N_\tau)$, *the induced connections are also equal. Moreover, the induced filtrations and the graded pairings are also equal.* ▮

In other words, the induced mixed TEP-structures are well defined in the sense that they are independent of the choice of a defining function of Y. Note that the induced connections are not the same on $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) = \psi_{\tau,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})$, in general.

B.2 Pairings associated with real structure and graded sesqui-linear duality

B.2.1 Compatibility of sesqui-linear duality and real structure

Let X be a complex manifold. Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'', C)$ be a pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module of weight w on X. Let $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^*(-w)$ be a sesqui-linear duality. Let $\kappa : \widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a real structure. We say that $\mathcal{S}$ and κ are compatible if the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{T} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\kappa"'] & \widetilde{\gamma}^*(\mathcal{T}^*)(-w) \\
\mathcal{T} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{S}"] & \mathcal{T}^*(-w) \arrow[u, "\kappa^*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{S}$ is expressed as a pair of morphisms $\mathcal{M}' \xleftarrow{\mathcal{S}'} \mathcal{M}''\lambda^w$ and $\mathcal{M}'' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}''} \mathcal{M}'\lambda^{-w}$ satisfying $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}''$, and that κ is expressed as a pair of morphisms $\boldsymbol{D}_X j^*\mathcal{M}'' \xleftarrow{\kappa'} \mathcal{M}'$ and $\boldsymbol{D}_X j^*\mathcal{M}' \xrightarrow{\kappa''} \mathcal{M}''$ satisfying $j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X\kappa'' \circ \kappa' = \mathrm{id}$ and $\kappa'' \circ j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X\kappa' = \mathrm{id}$. Here, $\boldsymbol{D}_X$ denotes the duality functor for $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules. Note that $\widetilde{\gamma}^*\big(\mathcal{T}(-w)\big) \simeq \widetilde{\gamma}^*(\mathcal{T})(-w)$ is given by $\big((-1)^w, (-1)^w\big)$. Then, the commutativity of the above diagram means the commutativity of the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X(\mathcal{M}') \arrow[r, "(-1)^w j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{S}''"] \arrow[d, "\kappa''"'] & j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X(\mathcal{M}'')\lambda^{-w} \\
\mathcal{M}'' \arrow[r, "\mathcal{S}''"] & \mathcal{M}'\lambda^{-w} \arrow[u, "\kappa'"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Because $\kappa'' = (j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X\kappa')^{-1}$, the commutativity means $\kappa' \circ \mathcal{S}'' = (-1)^w j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X(\kappa' \circ \mathcal{S}'')$.

Let $(\mathcal{T}, W)$ be a mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module with a real structure κ and a graded sesqui-linear duality $(\mathcal{S}_w \,|\, w \in \mathbb{Z})$. We say that the real structure and the graded sesqui-linear duality are compatible if the induced real structure and the sesqui-linear duality on $\mathrm{Gr}^W_w(\mathcal{T})$ are compatible for any w.

B.2.2 The associated TEP-structure in the pure case

Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'', C)$ be an integrable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module of weight w on a complex manifold X with a real structure κ and a polarization $\mathcal{S}$ which are compatible and integrable. We have the following isomorphisms of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules:

$$\mathcal{M}'' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}''} \mathcal{M}'\lambda^{-w} \xrightarrow{\kappa'} \lambda^{-w} j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M}''$$

Let H be a hypersurface of X. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}'(*H)$ and $\mathcal{M}''(*H)$ are smooth $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-modules. We have a natural isomorphism

$$\nu_{\mathcal{M}''} : (\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M}'')(*H) \simeq \lambda^{d_X} \cdot \mathcal{M}''(*H)^\vee$$

whose restriction to $\{\lambda_0\}\times X$ ($\lambda_0\neq 0$) is given by the morphism in §A.1. We consider the pairing P induced by $(-1)^{d_X}\nu_{\mathcal{M}''}$ and $\kappa'\circ\mathcal{S}''$:

$$P:\mathcal{M}''(*H)\times j^*\mathcal{M}''(*H)\longrightarrow\lambda^{d_X-w}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*H)$$

Proposition B.2 *We have $j^*P\circ\mathrm{exchange}=(-1)^{d_X-w}P$. In other words, P is a pairing of weight $w-d_X$ on $\mathcal{M}''(*H)$. In other words, $(\mathcal{M}''(*H),P)$ is a $TEP(d_X-w)$-structure.*

Proof The claim of this proposition follows from the relation $\kappa'\circ\mathcal{S}''=(-1)^w j^*\boldsymbol{D}(\kappa'\circ\mathcal{S}'')$ and Lemma A.2. ∎

For any $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, the pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-n)$ of weight $w+2n$ naturally equipped with the polarization and the real structure which are compatible. The polarization is given by $((-1)^n\mathcal{S}',(-1)^n\mathcal{S}'')$. The real structure is given by $((-1)^n\kappa',(-1)^n\kappa'')$. Hence, the induced pairing is $P^{(n)}$ which was introduced in §B.1.1.

Example B.3 *Let $\mathcal{T}(F)=\big(\lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{L}(F),\mathcal{L}(F),C\big)$ be the integrable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module of weight d_X associated to a holomorphic function F on X, where $d_X:=\dim X$. The polarization $\mathcal{S}$ is given by $\big((-1)^{d_X},(-1)^{d_X}\big)$. The real structure $\widetilde{\gamma}^*\mathcal{T}(F)\simeq\mathcal{T}(F)$ is given by $\big(\nu^{-1},(-1)^{d_X}\nu\big)$. The induced pairing $\mathcal{L}(F)\times j^*\mathcal{L}(F)\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is just the multiplication.* ∎

B.2.3 The associated mixed TEP-structure

Let $(\mathcal{T},W)$ be a mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module on X. Let $(\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{M}'',C)$ be the underlying $\mathcal{R}_X$-triple of $\mathcal{T}$. We often pick $\mathcal{M}''$, and we say that $\mathcal{M}''$ is the underlying $\mathcal{R}_X$-module of $\mathcal{T}$. We have the filtration W on $\mathcal{M}''$ such that $W_j\mathcal{M}''$ are the underlying $\mathcal{R}$-modules of $W_j\mathcal{T}$, which we call the filtration of $\mathcal{M}''$ underlying the weight filtration of $(\mathcal{T},W)$. In the following, we shall often use the shifted filtration $\widetilde{W}$ on $\mathcal{M}''$ given by $\widetilde{W}_k(\mathcal{M}''):=W_{k+\dim X}\mathcal{M}''$.

Let $(\mathcal{T},W)$ be an integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module with real structure and a graded sesqui-linear duality which are compatible and integrable. Let H be a hypersurface of X. Let $\mathcal{M}''$ be the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}''(*H)$ is a smooth $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-module. Let W be the filtration of $\mathcal{M}''$ underlying the weight filtration of $\mathcal{T}$. We set $\widetilde{W}_k\big(\mathcal{M}''(*H)\big):=W_{k+d_S}\mathcal{M}''(*H)$. Each $\mathrm{Gr}^{\widetilde{W}}_k(\mathcal{M}''(*H))$ is equipped with the pairing P_k of weight k induced by the real structure and the sesqui-linear duality. In this way, we obtain a mixed TEP-structure $(\mathcal{M}''(*H),\widetilde{W},\{P_k\})$.

Remark B.4 *The underlying $\mathcal{R}$-module of $\mathcal{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-n)$ is $\lambda^{-n}\mathcal{M}''(*H)$. The filtration $\widetilde{W}(\lambda^{-n}\mathcal{M}''(*H))$ induced by the mixed twistor structure $(\mathcal{T},W)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-n)$ is given by $\widetilde{W}_k(\lambda^{-n}\mathcal{M}''(*H))=\lambda^{-n}\widetilde{W}_{k-2n}\mathcal{M}''(*H)$, which is equal to the shift of the filtration in* §B.1.1. *The graded pairing on $(\lambda^{-n}\mathcal{M}''(*H),\widetilde{W})$ induced by the real structure and the graded sesqui-linear duality on $(\mathcal{T},W)\otimes\boldsymbol{T}(-n)$ is equal to that induced by the graded pairing on $(\mathcal{M}''(*H),\widetilde{W})$ by the procedure in* §B.1.1. ∎

B.3 Comparison of the specializations

B.3.1 Statement

Let X be a complex manifold with a smooth hypersurface Y. Suppose that Y is given as $\{t=0\}$ for a holomorphic function t such that dt is nowhere vanishing. Let $\mathcal{T}=(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2,C)$ be an integrable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module of weight w on X with a sesqui-linear duality $\mathcal{S}$ and a real structure κ which are compatible and integrable.

Assumption B.5 *We assume that $\mathcal{M}_i(*Y)$ are smooth $\mathcal{R}_X(*Y)$-modules, and regular along Y. (See the condition* **(B0)** *in* §B.1.2 *for the regularity.)* ∎

Set $d:=\dim X$. We have the pairing P of weight $w-d$ on $\mathcal{M}_2(*Y)$. We have the endomorphism $\lambda N:\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2)\longrightarrow\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2)$, where N is the induced by $t\partial_t$. Note that the condition **(B1)** is satisfied because

$\mathcal{M}_2$ comes from a pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module. By the procedure in §B.1.3, we obtain filtrations $\widetilde{W}^{[1]}$ and graded pairings $(P^{[1]}_k \,|\, k \in \mathbb{Z}) := \mathrm{sp}_t(P)$ on $\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N)$.

Let $\mathcal{N} : \widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1,0) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(0,-1)$ be given by $(-N,-N)$, where $N : \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_i) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-1}\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_i)$ are induced by $t\partial_t$. According to the isomorphism in Proposition 4.3.1 of [40], we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi_t^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \psi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\iota_\dagger \widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1,0) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{N}"] & \iota_\dagger \widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(0,-1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, the upper horizontal arrow is the canonical morphism. Hence, we have the following natural isomorphisms of mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules:

$$\iota_\dagger \,\mathrm{Cok}(\mathcal{N}) \simeq \mathrm{Cok}\big(\psi_t^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \psi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})\big) \simeq \mathrm{Cok}\Big(\mathcal{T}[!t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}[*t]\Big)$$

$$\iota_\dagger \,\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{N}) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\big(\psi_t^{(1)}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \psi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})\big) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\mathcal{T}[!t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}[*t]\Big)$$

So, $\mathrm{Cok}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{N})$ are equipped with the real structure and the graded sesqui-linear duality which are compatible and integrable. The underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-modules of $\mathrm{Cok}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{N})$ are $\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N)$, respectively. Applying the procedure in §B.2.3, we obtain filtrations $\widetilde{W}^{[2]}$ and graded pairings $(P^{[2]}_k \,|\, k \in \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathrm{Cok}(\lambda N)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\lambda N)$.

Proposition B.6 *We have $\widetilde{W}^{[1]} = \widetilde{W}^{[2]}$ and $P^{[1]}_k = P^{[2]}_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In other words, the induced mixed TEP-structures are the same.*

For the monodromy weight filtration $W(\mathcal{N})$ of $\mathcal{N}$ on $\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})$, we have $W(\mathcal{N})_j \widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) = W_{j+w}\widetilde{\psi}_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T})$. Then, we can check the equality for the filtrations easily. We shall compare the pairings in §B.3.2–B.3.3.

B.3.2 Preliminary

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a smooth $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*Y)$-module which is regular along $t = 0$. We have a natural isomorphism $\nu_{\mathcal{V}} : \boldsymbol{D}(\mathcal{V})(*Y) \simeq \lambda^d \cdot \mathcal{V}^\vee$ whose specialization at $\{\lambda_0\} \times X$ ($\lambda_0 \neq 0$) is equal to the morphism in §A.1. By a procedure similar to that in §A.3.1, it induces the following isomorphisms of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules for $a = 0, 1$:

$$\nu_{\mathcal{V},\psi_t^{(a)}} : \boldsymbol{D}_X \psi_t^{(a)}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \psi_t^{(-a+1)}(\mathcal{V}^\vee)\lambda^d$$

Lemma B.7 *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{D}_X \psi_t^{(1)}(\mathcal{V}) \arrow[r, "\nu_{\mathcal{V},\psi_t^{(1)}}", "\simeq"'] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \psi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{V}^\vee)\lambda^d \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\boldsymbol{D}_X \iota_\dagger \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \iota_\dagger \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee)\lambda^{d-1}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{164}$$

Here, the vertical arrows are the isomorphisms in §4.3 *of* [40], *and the lower horizontal arrow is induced as the composite of the isomorphisms $\boldsymbol{D}_X(\iota_\dagger\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})) \simeq \iota_\dagger \boldsymbol{D}_Y \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \iota_\dagger \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})^\vee \lambda^{d-1} \simeq \iota_\dagger \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee)\lambda^{d-1}$.*

The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{D}_X\big(\psi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{V})\big) \arrow[r, "-\nu_{\mathcal{V},\psi_t^{(0)}}", "\simeq"'] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \psi_t^{(1)}(\mathcal{V}^\vee)\lambda^d \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\boldsymbol{D}_X\big(\iota_\dagger\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V})\lambda^{-1}\big) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \iota_\dagger \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee)\lambda^{d}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{165}$$

The vertical arrows and the lower horizontal arrows are given as in the diagram (164).

Proof We have only to prove the commutativity of the diagrams after taking the specializations to $\{\lambda_0\} \times X$ for any generic $\lambda_0 \neq 0$, which follows from Proposition A.6. ∎

Let $\mathcal{V}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be smooth $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*Y)$-modules which are regular along $t = 0$. Let $P' : \mathcal{V}_1 \otimes j^*\mathcal{V}_2 \longrightarrow \lambda^{-m}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*Y)$ be a morphism of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*Y)$-modules. We have the induced morphism of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-modules

$$\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P') : \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes j^*\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}_2) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-m}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}.$$

The pairing P' and the isomorphism $(-1)^d\nu_{\mathcal{V}_2}$ induce an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-homomorphism $\mathcal{V}_1 \longrightarrow \lambda^{-m-d}(j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{V}_2)(*Y)$. It induces the following morphisms for $a = 0, 1$:

$$\psi_t^{(a)}(\mathcal{V}_1) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-m-d}j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X\psi_t^{(1-a)}(\mathcal{V}_2)$$

By the isomorphisms $\lambda^{-1+a} \cdot \iota_\dagger\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}_1) \simeq \psi_t^{(a)}(\mathcal{V}_1)$ and $\lambda^{-a}\iota_\dagger\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}_2) \simeq \psi_t^{(1-a)}(\mathcal{V}_2)$, we obtain the following:

$$\iota_\dagger\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}_1) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-m-d+1}j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X\big(\iota_\dagger\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}_2)\big) \simeq \lambda^{-m-d+1}\iota_\dagger j^*\boldsymbol{D}_Y\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}_2) \tag{166}$$

The morphism (166) and $(-1)^{d-1}\nu_{\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}_2)}$ induce a pairing $P'_a : \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}_1) \times j^*\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}_2) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-m}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. We obtain the following lemma from Lemma B.7, as in the case of Corollary A.8. We remark the twist of the signatures, i.e., we use $(-1)^d\nu_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $(-1)^{d-1}\nu_{\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{V}_2)}$.

Lemma B.8 *We have* $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P') = P'_1$ *and* $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P') = -P'_0$. ∎

B.3.3 Proof of Proposition B.6

For $a = 0, 1$, from the morphisms $\psi_t^{(a)}(\mathcal{S}) : \psi_t^{(a)}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \psi_t^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-1+2a)$ and $\psi_t^{(a)}(\widetilde{\gamma}^*) : \widetilde{\gamma}^*\psi_t^{(a)}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \psi_t^{(a)}(\mathcal{T})$, we have the following $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-homomorphisms:

$$\psi_t^{(a)}(\mathcal{M}_2) \xrightarrow{b_1^{(a)}} \lambda^{-w-1+2a}\psi_t^{(1-a)}(\mathcal{M}_1) \xrightarrow{b_2^{(a)}} \lambda^{-w-1+2a}j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X\psi_t^{(a)}(\mathcal{M}_2) \tag{167}$$

The composite $b_2^{(a)} \circ b_1^{(a)}$ comes from an isomorphism $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2)\lambda^{-1} \simeq \lambda^{-w-1}j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X\big(\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2)\lambda^{-1}\big)$, in the case $a = 0$, or $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2) \simeq \lambda^{-w+1}j^*\boldsymbol{D}_X\big(\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2)\big)$ in the case $a = 1$. Together with $(-1)^{d-1}\nu_{\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2)}$, we obtain a pairing $\widetilde{P}_a : \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2) \times j^*\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2) \longrightarrow \lambda^{d-w}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Lemma B.9 *We have* $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P) = \widetilde{P}_0 = \widetilde{P}_1$.

Proof According to §4.3 of [40], we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{T}) \arrow[r, "\psi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{S})"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \psi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{T})^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-1) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\iota_\dagger\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(0,-1) \arrow[r] & \Big(\iota_\dagger\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(0,-1)\Big)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w-1)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{168}$$

The lower horizontal arrow is induced by $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{S})$. It means that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{M}_2) \arrow[r, "b_1^{(0)}"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \psi_t^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}_1)\lambda^{-w-1} \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\iota_\dagger\lambda^{-1}\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2) \arrow[r, "\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{S}'')"] & \iota_\dagger\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_1)\lambda^{-w-1}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{169}$$

We have the pairing $P' : \mathcal{M}_1(*Y) \times j^*\mathcal{M}_2(*Y) \longrightarrow \lambda^d\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*Y)$ induced by $\kappa' : \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow j^*\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_2$ and $(-1)^d\nu_{\mathcal{M}_2(*Y)}$. The morphism $b_2^{(0)}$ comes from $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_1) \longrightarrow j^*\boldsymbol{D}(\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2)\lambda^{-1})$. Together with the morphism $(-1)^{d-1}\nu_{\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2)}$, we obtain a pairing $P_1' : \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_1) \times j^*\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2) \longrightarrow \lambda^d\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. We obtain $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P) = \widetilde{P}_0$ from the equality $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P') = P_1'$ in Lemma B.8, and the commutativity (169).

We also have the following commutative diagram from (168):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi_t^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}_2) \arrow[r, "b_1^{(1)}"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \psi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{M}_1)\lambda^{-w+1} \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\iota_\dagger\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2) \arrow[r, "-\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{S}'')"] & \iota_\dagger\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_1)\lambda^{-w}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{170}$$

The morphism $b_2^{(1)}$ comes from a morphism $\lambda^{-1}\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_1) \longrightarrow j^*\boldsymbol{D}\big(\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2)\big)$. It induces $P_0' : \psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_1) \times j^*\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathcal{M}_2) \longrightarrow \lambda^d\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. From the equality $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P') = -P_0'$ and the commutativity of the diagram (170), we obtain $\psi_{t,-\boldsymbol{\delta}}(P) = \widetilde{P}_1$. Thus, the proof of Lemma B.9 is finished. ∎

The graded sesqui-linear duality on $\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w+1+k}\,\iota_\dagger\,\mathrm{Cok}(\mathcal{N})$ is induced by $\psi_t^{(0)}(\mathcal{S}) \circ (-\mathcal{N})^k$. Hence, by Lemma B.9, we obtain $P^{[1]} = P^{[2]}$ on $\mathrm{Cok}(\mathcal{N})$. The graded sesqui-linear duality on $\mathrm{Gr}^W_{w-1-k}\,\iota_\dagger\,\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{N})$ is induced by $\psi_t^{(1)}(\mathcal{S}) \circ \mathcal{N}^k$. Hence, by Lemma B.9, we obtain $P^{[1]} = P^{[2]}$ on $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{N})$. Thus the proof of Proposition B.6 is finished. ∎

B.4 Push-forward

Let X be a complex manifold. We set $d_X := \dim X$. Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, C)$ be an integrable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module of weight w on X with a sesqui-linear duality $\mathcal{S}$ and a real structure κ which are compatible and integrable. Suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ is smooth, i.e., $\mathcal{M}_i$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-modules. We have the associated pairing $P(\mathcal{S},\kappa)$ on $\mathcal{M}_2$ of weight $w - d_X$.

Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a smooth projective morphism. We set $d_Y := \dim Y$. We have the pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $F_\dagger^i(\mathcal{T}) = (F_\dagger^{-i}\mathcal{M}_1, F_\dagger^i\mathcal{M}_2, F_\dagger^i C)$. They are equipped with the induced real structure κ_i. We also have the induced morphisms $\mathcal{S}_i : F_\dagger^i\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \big(F_\dagger^{-i}\mathcal{T}\big)^* \otimes \boldsymbol{T}(-w)$. Note that $F_\dagger^j\mathcal{M}_i$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-modules. As in §B.2.2, we have the associated morphism

$$P(F_\dagger\mathcal{S}, F_\dagger\kappa)_i : F_\dagger^i\mathcal{M}_2 \otimes j^*F_\dagger^{-i}\mathcal{M}_2 \longrightarrow \lambda^{-w+d_Y}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$$

induced by the composite of the following morphisms:

$$F_\dagger^i\mathcal{M}_2 \xrightarrow{F_\dagger^i\mathcal{S}} \lambda^{-w}F_\dagger^i\mathcal{M}_1 \xrightarrow{F_\dagger^i\kappa} \lambda^{-w}F_\dagger^i j^*\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}_2 \simeq \lambda^{-w}j^*\boldsymbol{D}F_\dagger^{-i}\mathcal{M}_2 \simeq \lambda^{-w+d_Y}j^*\big(F_\dagger^{-i}\mathcal{M}_2\big)^\vee \tag{171}$$

The last isomorphism is given by $(-1)^{d_Y}\nu_{F_\dagger^{-i}\mathcal{M}_2}$. We give an expression of $P(F_\dagger\mathcal{S}, F_\dagger\kappa)_i$ in terms of P.

Let $Q \in Y$ be any point of Y. We set $X_Q := F^{-1}(Q)$. Let $F_Q : X_Q \longrightarrow \{Q\}$ be the restriction of F. Let $\mathcal{T}_Q := (\mathcal{M}_{1Q}, \mathcal{M}_{2Q}, C_Q)$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{T}$ to X_Q as smooth $\mathcal{R}$-triple. Let $F_\dagger^i(\mathcal{T})_Q$ be the restriction of $F_\dagger^i(\mathcal{T})$ to Q as smooth $\mathcal{R}$-triple. We naturally have $F_{Q\dagger}^i(\mathcal{T}_Q) = F_\dagger^i(\mathcal{T})_Q$.

We set $\overline{\Omega}^1_{X_Q} := \lambda^{-1}p_\lambda^*\Omega^1_{X_Q}$ and $\overline{\Omega}^p_{X_Q} := \bigwedge^p\overline{\Omega}^1_{X_Q}$. We have the de Rham complex $\overline{\Omega}^\bullet_{X_Q} \otimes (\mathcal{M}_{2Q})$ for $\mathcal{M}_{2Q}$. We have

$$F_{Q\dagger}^i(\mathcal{M}_{2Q}) = R^{i+d}F_*\Big(\overline{\Omega}^\bullet_{X_Q} \otimes (\mathcal{M}_{2Q}).\Big)$$

Set $d := d_X - d_Y = \dim X_Q$. Let $\Omega^{0,q}_{X_Q}$ denote the sheaf of C^∞ $(0,q)$-forms on X_Q. Let $\Omega^{p,q}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times X_Q/\mathbb{C}_\lambda} := p_\lambda^*(\Omega^p_{X_Q}) \otimes_{p_\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{X_Q}} p_\lambda^{-1}\big(\Omega^{0,q}_{X_Q}\big)$. The pairing P induces the following:

$$\Big(\lambda^{-k}\Omega^{k,p}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_Q/\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{M}_2\Big) \times j^*\Big(\lambda^{-(d-k)}\Omega^{d-k,d-p}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_Q/\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{M}_2\Big) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-d-w+d_X}\Omega^{d,d}_{\mathbb{C}\times X_Q/\mathbb{C}}$$

given by $(\xi^{k,p}m_1, \xi^{d-k,d-p}m_2) \longmapsto \xi^{k,p}\xi^{d-k,d-p}P(m_1,m_2)$. Note that $-d-w+d_X = -w+d_Y$. It induces

$$P_{i|Q} : R^{d+i}F_*\big(\overline{\Omega}^\bullet_{X_Q}(\mathcal{M}_{2Q})\big) \times j^*R^{d-i}F_*\big(\Omega^\bullet_{X_Q}(\mathcal{M}_2)\big) \longrightarrow \lambda^{-w+d_Y}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$$

By varying Q, we obtain $P_{F,i} : F_\dagger^i\mathcal{M}_2 \otimes F_\dagger^{-i}\mathcal{M}_2 \longrightarrow \lambda^{-w+d_Y}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Proposition B.10 *We have* $P(F_\dagger\mathcal{S},F_\dagger\kappa)_i=\epsilon(d)(-1)^{di}P_{F,i}$.

Proof It is enough to compare the specializations along $\{\lambda\}\times X$ for $\lambda\neq 0$, which follows from Proposition A.9. ▮

C Some functoriality of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules

In an earlier version, in the proof of Theorem 7.1, we used the comparison of the duality functors for $\mathcal{R}$-modules and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules. After the proof of the theorem has been simplified, we do not need it in the current version. (See Remark 7.4.) Hence, the most results in this section are not used in the other part of this paper. However, because the author hopes that the results in this section would be useful for our understanding of the duality of integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules, we keep this section.

C.1 Preliminary

C.1.1 Some sheaves

For any complex manifold X, let $\mathcal{X}:=\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times X$. Let $p_\lambda:\mathcal{X}\longrightarrow X$ be the projection. Set $d_X:=\dim X$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$ denote the sheaf of functions on $\mathcal{X}$ which are locally constant in the X-direction, and holomorphic in the $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$-direction.

Let Ω^1_X denote the sheaf of holomorphic 1-forms on X. Let $\overline{\Omega}^1_X:=\lambda^{-1}p_\lambda^*\Omega^1_X$ and $\overline{\Omega}^p_X:=\bigwedge^p\overline{\Omega}^1_X$. In particular, we set $\overline{\Omega}_X:=\overline{\Omega}^{d_X}_X$. As in the case of $\mathcal{D}$-modules, for any left $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{N}^\ell$, we naturally obtain a right $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\overline{\Omega}_X\otimes\mathcal{N}^\ell$. Conversely, for any right $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{N}^r$, we naturally obtain a left $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{N}^r\otimes\overline{\Omega}^{-1}_X$.

Let Θ_X be the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields on X . We set $\overline{\Theta}_X:=\lambda p_\lambda^*\Theta_X$. We set $\overline{\Theta}^p_X:=\bigwedge^{-p}\overline{\Theta}_X$. We obtain the Spencer resolution $\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\overline{\Theta}^\bullet_X$ of $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ by locally free left $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules. (See [47].)

Let $\mathcal{X}^0:=\{0\}\times X$. Let $\Omega^1_\mathcal{X}(\log\mathcal{X}^0)$ be the sheaf of logarithmic holomorphic 1-forms on $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}^0)$. We set $\widetilde{\Omega}^1_\mathcal{X}:=\Omega^1_\mathcal{X}(\log\mathcal{X}^0)\otimes\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{X}^0)$, and $\widetilde{\Omega}^p_\mathcal{X}:=\bigwedge^p\widetilde{\Omega}^1_\mathcal{X}$. We set $\widetilde{\Omega}_\mathcal{X}:=\widetilde{\Omega}^{\dim X+1}_\mathcal{X}$. For any left $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module $\mathcal{N}^\ell$, we naturally obtain a right $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module $\widetilde{\Omega}_\mathcal{X}\otimes\mathcal{N}^\ell$. Conversely, for any right $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module $\mathcal{N}^r$, we naturally obtain a left $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module $\mathcal{N}^r\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_\mathcal{X}$. We have the natural isomorphism $\lambda^{-2}\overline{\Omega}_X\simeq\widetilde{\Omega}_\mathcal{X}$ given by $\tau\longmapsto\tau d\lambda$.

Let $\Theta_\mathcal{X}(\log\mathcal{X}^0)$ be the sheaf of tangent vectors on $\mathcal{X}$ which are logarithmic along $\mathcal{X}^0$. Set $\widetilde{\Theta}_\mathcal{X}:=\lambda\Theta_\mathcal{X}(\log\mathcal{X}^0)$ and $\widetilde{\Theta}^p_\mathcal{X}:=\bigwedge^{-p}\widetilde{\Theta}_\mathcal{X}$. We obtain the Spencer resolution $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\otimes\widetilde{\Theta}^\bullet_\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ by locally free left $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{X}$-modules in the standard way.

C.1.2 Partial Spencer resolution

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be any $\mathcal{R}_X$-module. We set $\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle:=\bigoplus_{j=0}^\infty\partial_\lambda^j\otimes\lambda^{2j}\mathcal{N}$. We have the isomorphism of sheaves $\Phi:\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\otimes_{\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{N}\longrightarrow\big(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\triangledown\big)$ given by $\sum(\partial_\lambda^j\lambda^{2j})\otimes m_j\longmapsto\sum\partial_\lambda^j\otimes(\lambda^{2j}m_j)$. The natural $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action on $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\otimes_{\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{N}$ is transferred onto $\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$. We denote it by $\triangledown$ which is explicitly described as follows.

- Let P and m denote local sections of $\mathcal{R}_X$ and $\mathcal{N}$, respectively. For any $j\geq 0$, we have local sections P_{ij} ($i=0,\ldots,j$) of $\mathcal{R}_X$ such that $P\partial_\lambda^j\lambda^{2j}=\sum_{i=0}^j\partial_\lambda^iP_{ij}\lambda^{2i}$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$. Then, $P\triangledown(\partial_\lambda^j\otimes\lambda^{2j}m)=\sum_{i=0}^j\partial_\lambda^i\otimes(P_{ij}\lambda^{2i}m)$.
- We also have $(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\triangledown(\partial_\lambda^j\otimes\lambda^{2j}m)=\partial_\lambda^{j+1}\otimes(\lambda^{2j+2}m)-2j\partial_\lambda^j\otimes\lambda^{2j+1}m+j(j-1)\partial_\lambda^{j-1}\otimes\lambda^{2j}m$.

We have the inclusion $\iota:\mathcal{N}\longrightarrow\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ given by $\iota(m)=1\otimes m$. It is an $\mathcal{R}_X$-homomorphism. We have the decomposition:

$$\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle=\bigoplus_{j=0}^\infty(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)^j\triangledown\iota(\mathcal{N})\tag{172}$$

We also have the $\mathcal{R}_X$-action $\blacktriangledown$ on $\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ given as follows.

- For any P and m as above, we set $P\blacktriangledown(\partial_\lambda^j\otimes\lambda^{2j}m)=\partial_\lambda^j\otimes P(\lambda^{2j}m)$.

If moreover $\mathcal{N}$ is an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module, the $\mathcal{R}_X$-action $\blacktriangledown$ is extended to the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action $\blacktriangledown$ on $\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ given as follows:

$$\partial_\lambda\lambda^2\blacktriangledown(\partial_\lambda^j\otimes\lambda^{2j}m)=\partial_\lambda^j\otimes\partial_\lambda\lambda^{2+2j}m-\partial_\lambda^{j+1}\otimes\lambda^{2j+2}m.$$

It is easy to check that $(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\blacktriangledown)$ is an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module. (See also Lemma C.1 below.) We have the decomposition:

$$\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty}(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)^j\blacktriangledown\iota(\mathcal{N}). \tag{173}$$

For any $\ell\in\mathbb{Z}$, we set $\lambda^\ell\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle:=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty}\partial_\lambda^j\otimes\lambda^{2j+\ell}\mathcal{N}$. It is equipped with the natural $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-actions $\triangledown$ and $\blacktriangledown$, obtained as above. We naturally have $\lambda^2\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle=\lambda^2\triangledown\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle=\lambda^2\blacktriangledown\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$. We have the well defined morphisms of sheaves $\partial_\lambda\triangledown:\lambda^2\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\longrightarrow\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ and $\partial_\lambda\blacktriangledown:\lambda^2\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\longrightarrow\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$.

It is easy to check the following lemma.

Lemma C.1 *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module.*

- *For any local section m of $\mathcal{N}$, we have the following relation*

$$(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\triangledown\iota(m)+(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\blacktriangledown\iota(m)=\iota(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2m). \tag{174}$$

- *For the above isomorphism $\Phi:\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\otimes_{\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{N}\simeq\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$, we have*

$$\partial_\lambda\lambda^2\blacktriangledown\Phi\big(\partial_\lambda^j\lambda^{2j}\otimes m\big)=\Phi\big(\partial_\lambda^j\lambda^{2j+2}(-\partial_\lambda)\otimes m\big)+\Phi\big(\partial_\lambda^j\lambda^{2j+2}\otimes\partial_\lambda m\big).$$

  For any $P\in\mathcal{R}_X$, we have the following:

$$P\blacktriangledown\Phi(\partial_\lambda^j\lambda^{2j}\otimes m)=\Phi(\partial_\lambda^j\lambda^{2j}\otimes Pm).$$

- *$\partial_\lambda\triangledown$ gives a morphism $\big(\lambda^2\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\blacktriangledown\big)\longrightarrow\big(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\blacktriangledown\big)$, and $\partial_\lambda\blacktriangledown$ gives a morphism $\big(\lambda^2\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\triangledown\big)\longrightarrow\big(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\triangledown\big)$.* ∎

By the lemma, for any $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module $\mathcal{N}$, we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0\longrightarrow(\lambda^2\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\blacktriangledown)\xrightarrow{\partial_\lambda\triangledown}(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\blacktriangledown)\xrightarrow{a_1}\mathcal{N}\longrightarrow 0$$

Here, a_1 is the projection onto the 0-th component with respect to the decomposition (172). We also have the following exact sequence:

$$0\longrightarrow(\lambda^2\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\triangledown)\xrightarrow{\partial_\lambda\blacktriangledown}(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\triangledown)\xrightarrow{a_2}\mathcal{N}\longrightarrow 0$$

Here, a_2 is the projection onto the 0-th component with respect to the decomposition (173). We obtain the following $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-resolutions of $\mathcal{N}$:

$$S_\lambda^{\blacktriangledown}(\mathcal{N}):=\Big(\big(\lambda^2\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\blacktriangledown\big)\overset{\partial_\lambda\triangledown}{\longrightarrow}\big(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\blacktriangledown\big)\Big)$$

$$S_\lambda^{\triangledown}(\mathcal{N}):=\Big(\big(\lambda^2\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\triangledown\big)\overset{\partial_\lambda\blacktriangledown}{\longrightarrow}\big(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\triangledown\big)\Big)$$

Example C.2 *Let us consider the case $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{R}_X$. We consider the $\mathcal{R}_X$-action given by the left multiplication. We have the isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_X\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\simeq\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$ given by $\sum\partial_\lambda^j\otimes\lambda^{2j}m_j\longmapsto\sum\partial_\lambda^j\lambda^{2j}m_j$. Under the isomorphism, $(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\triangledown$ is the left multiplication of $\partial_\lambda\lambda^2$, and $(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\blacktriangledown$ is the right multiplication of $-\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$. Hence, we naturally have $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\otimes\widetilde{\Theta}^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}}\simeq S_\lambda^{\triangledown}\big(\mathcal{R}_X\otimes\overline{\Theta}^\bullet_X\big)$.* ∎

C.1.3 Exchange

We define $\Psi : \mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ by $\Psi\big((\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)^j\triangledown\iota(m)\big) := (\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)^j\blacktriangledown\iota(m)$. By the construction, Ψ gives an isomorphism $\big(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\triangledown\big) \longrightarrow \big(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\blacktriangledown\big)$.

Lemma C.3 *Ψ also gives an isomorphism $\Psi : \big(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\blacktriangledown\big) \longrightarrow \big(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\triangledown\big)$.*

Proof It is enough to check that $\Psi\big((\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\blacktriangledown(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)^j\triangledown\iota(m)\big) = (\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\triangledown\Psi\big((\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)^j\triangledown\iota(m)\big)$ due to the decomposition (172). By the commutativity of $(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\blacktriangledown$ and $(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\triangledown$, we have only to check $\Psi\big((\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\blacktriangledown\iota(m)\big) = (\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\triangledown\iota(m)$. It follows from (174). ▮

We have the induced isomorphisms $\Psi : S^{\triangledown}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow S^{\blacktriangledown}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\Psi : S^{\blacktriangledown}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow S^{\triangledown}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{N})$.

Example C.4 *If $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{R}_X$, under the isomorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X \simeq \mathcal{R}_X\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$, the isomorphism $\Psi : \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$ is given by $\Psi\big(\sum\partial_\lambda^j m_j\big) = \sum m_j(-\partial_\lambda)^j$.* ▮

C.1.4 Bi-modules

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ denote the sheaf of subalgebras in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$ generated by $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$-module. An action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ on $\mathcal{B}$ means a morphism of sheaves $\rho(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda) : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\rho(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)(fP) = f\rho(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)(P) + (\lambda^2\partial_\lambda f)\,P$ for any local sections $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}$. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a sheaf of algebras over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$, we moreover impose the Leibniz rule $\rho(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)(PQ) = \rho(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)(P)Q + P\rho(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)(Q)$. If we are given a sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{B}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}$ equipped with an action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$, the sheaf $\mathcal{B}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}}\mathcal{O}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ is naturally a sheaf of algebras. The multiplication is given by $(P\otimes(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)^k)\cdot(Q\otimes(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)^\ell) = \sum_{j=0}^{k}(k:j)P\cdot\rho(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)^j(Q)\otimes(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)^{k+\ell-j}$, where $(k:j)$ denote the binomial coefficients.

We can naturally regard $\mathcal{R}_X$ as a left $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$. The action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ on $\mathcal{R}_X$ is given by $\rho(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)(P) = [\lambda^2\partial_\lambda, P]$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$ which satisfies the Leibniz rule $\rho(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)(PQ) = \rho(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)(P)\cdot Q + P\cdot\rho(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)(Q)$. The sheaf $\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ is naturally a sheaf of algebras. We have the isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$ given by $\sum P_j\otimes(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)^j \longmapsto \sum P_j(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)^j$.

Because $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$ is the center of $\mathcal{R}_X$, we have the naturally defined sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}}\mathcal{R}_X$ on $\mathcal{X}$. It is naturally an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$-module. The action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ is given by $\rho_1(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)(P\otimes Q) = \rho(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)(P)\otimes Q + P\otimes\rho(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)(Q)$. It satisfies the Leibniz rule. We set $\mathcal{A}_X := \mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}}\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$. It is naturally a sheaf of algebras. Let $k_i : \mathcal{R}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_X$ $(i = 1,2)$ be the morphism of algebras given by the inclusion to the i-th component. They are extended to the morphism of algebras $k_i : \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_X$.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be an $\mathcal{A}_X$-module. Let ℓ (resp. r) denote the $\mathcal{R}_X$-action or $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action on $\mathcal{N}$ induced by k_1 (resp. k_2). We set $\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle := \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty}\partial_\lambda^j\otimes\lambda^{2j}\mathcal{N}$. The $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action ℓ on $\mathcal{N}$ and the construction $\blacktriangledown$ give an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action $\ell\blacktriangledown$ on $\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$. The induced multiplication of $g \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$ and $c \in \mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ is denoted by $g(\ell\blacktriangledown)c$. We obtain an $\mathcal{R}_X$-action by taking the restriction, which is also denoted by $\ell\blacktriangledown$. For $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$, let $\ell\blacktriangledown(a)$ denote the endomorphism of the sheaves $\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ given by $m \longmapsto a(\ell\blacktriangledown)m$. We use the notation $\ell\triangledown$, $r\triangledown$ and $r\blacktriangledown$ similarly.

Lemma C.5 *We have $r\triangledown(a_1)\circ\ell\blacktriangledown(a_2) = \ell\blacktriangledown(a_2)\circ r\triangledown(a_1)$ for any $a_1, a_2 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$.*

Proof By using the explicit construction of $\triangledown$ and $\blacktriangledown$, we can observe that $r\triangledown(a_1)\circ\ell\blacktriangledown(a_2) = \ell\blacktriangledown(a_2)\circ r\triangledown(a_1)$ if $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{R}_X$. By the assumption, we have $r(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda) = \ell(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda)$. Hence, we have $r\triangledown(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2) = \ell\triangledown(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)$ and $r\blacktriangledown(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2) = \ell\blacktriangledown(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)$. By using Lemma C.1, we obtain $r\triangledown(a_1)\circ\ell\blacktriangledown(a_2) = \ell\blacktriangledown(a_2)\circ r\triangledown(a_1)$ if either one of a_1 or a_2 is $\partial_\lambda\lambda^2$. ▮

The following lemma is clear by construction.

Lemma C.6 *We have $r\triangledown(a_1)\circ\ell\triangledown(a_2) = \ell\triangledown(a_2)\circ r\triangledown(a_1)$ and $r\blacktriangledown(a_1)\circ\ell\blacktriangledown(a_2) = \ell\blacktriangledown(a_2)\circ r\blacktriangledown(a_1)$ for any $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{R}_X$.* ▮

As mentioned in the proof of Lemma C.5, we have $r\triangledown(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2) = \ell\triangledown(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)$ and $r\blacktriangledown(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2) = \ell\blacktriangledown(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)$, the morphism Ψ in §C.1.3 is independent of the choices of ℓ and r. It exchanges $(r\triangledown, \ell\blacktriangledown)$ and $(r\blacktriangledown, \ell\triangledown)$.

Example C.7 *We consider* $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{R}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}_X^{-1}$ *as an* $\mathcal{A}_X$*-module. Here, the* $\mathcal{R}_X$*-actions* ℓ *and* r *are induced by the left and the right multiplications. The action of* $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ *is given by* $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda(P) = [\lambda^2\partial_\lambda, P]$ *in* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$*.*

We have the isomorphism $\big(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle, \ell\triangledown\big) \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_X} \big(\mathcal{N}, \ell\big) = \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}_X^{-1} \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}_X^{-1}(d\lambda)^{-1}$*. Under the isomorphism,* $\ell\triangledown$ *is equal to the left multiplication, and* $r\blacktriangledown$ *is equal to the* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$*-action induced by the right multiplication.*

We have the isomorphism $\big(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle, r\triangledown\big) \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_X} \big(\mathcal{N}, r\big) = \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}_X^{-1} \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}_X^{-1}(d\lambda)^{-1}$*. Under the isomorphism,* $r\triangledown$ *is equal to the* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$*-action induced by the right multiplication, and* $\ell\blacktriangledown$ *is equal to the left multiplication.* ▌

C.1.5 Functoriality for inner homomorphisms

Let $\mathcal{N}_1$ be an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module. Let $\mathcal{N}_2$ be an $\mathcal{A}_X$-module. Let $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2^{\ell})$ be the sheaf of $\mathcal{R}_X$-homomorphisms from $\mathcal{N}_1$ to $(\mathcal{N}_2, \ell)$. It is equipped with the $\mathcal{R}_X$-action induced by r. It is naturally extended to an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action, where the action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ is given by $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda(f)(m) = \lambda^2\partial_\lambda(f(m)) - f\big(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda m\big)$. We have the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-actions $\triangledown$ and $\blacktriangledown$ on $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2^{\ell})\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$.

Let $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}\big)$ denote the sheaf of $\mathcal{R}_X$-homomorphisms $\mathcal{N}_1 \longrightarrow \big(\mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle, \ell\blacktriangledown\big)$. By Lemma C.5, we have the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action on $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}\big)$ induced by $r\triangledown$ on $\mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$. The induced $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action is also denoted by $r\triangledown$. By Lemma C.6, we have the $\mathcal{R}_X$-action on $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}\big)$ induced by $r\blacktriangledown$ on $\mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$. It is extended to an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action. The action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ is given by $(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda g)(m) = \lambda^2\partial_\lambda(\ell\blacktriangledown)(g(m)) - g(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda m)$. The induced $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action is denoted by $r\blacktriangledown$.

We define a morphism of sheaves $F : \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2^{\ell}\big)\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2^{\ell\blacktriangledown}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\big)$ given as follows. For any local section $\sum \partial_\lambda^j \otimes \lambda^{2j} g_j$ of $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2^{\ell}\big)\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ and any local section m of $\mathcal{N}_1$, we set

$$F\Big(\sum \partial_\lambda^j \otimes \lambda^{2j} g_j\Big)(m) := \sum \partial_\lambda^j \otimes \lambda^{2j} g_j(m).$$

If $\mathcal{N}_1$ is $\mathcal{R}_X$-coherent, then F is an isomorphism. We can check the following lemma by a direct computation.

Lemma C.8 *For local sections* $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$ *and* $b \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2^{\ell})\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$*, we have* $F(a\triangledown b) = a(r\triangledown)F(b)$ *and* $F(a\blacktriangledown b) = a(r\blacktriangledown)F(b)$*.* ▌

We have the following natural isomorphism by the decomposition (172):

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}\big) \simeq \mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\blacktriangledown}, \mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}\big) \tag{175}$$

Lemma C.9 *We have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}\big) \arrow[r, "b"] \arrow[d, "a_1"'] & \mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\blacktriangledown}, \mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}\big) \arrow[d, "a_2"'] \\
\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\lambda^2\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}\big) \arrow[r, "b"] & \mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\big(\lambda^2\mathcal{N}_1\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\blacktriangledown}, \mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}\big)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The horizontal arrows b *are* (175)*. The morphism* a_2 *is given by* $\partial_\lambda\triangledown$ *on* $\mathcal{N}_1\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$*. The morphism* a_1 *is determined by* $a_1(g)(m) = -\partial_\lambda(\ell\blacktriangledown)\big(g(m)\big) + g(\partial_\lambda m)$ *for any* $g \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}\big)$ *and* $m \in \lambda^2\mathcal{N}_1$*.*

Proof For any $g \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}\big)$ and $m = \lambda^2 m_1 \in \lambda^2\mathcal{N}_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(a_2 \circ b)(g)\big(\iota(\lambda^2 m_1)\big) &= b(g)\Big((\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\triangledown\iota(m_1)\big)\Big) = b(g)\Big(-(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\blacktriangledown\iota(m_1) + \iota(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2 m_1)\Big) \\ &= (-\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)(\ell\blacktriangledown)\big(g(m_1)\big) + g\big(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2 m_1\big) = -\partial_\lambda(\ell\blacktriangledown)\big(g(m)\big) + g(\partial_\lambda m)\end{aligned} \tag{176}$$

Thus, we obtain the claim of the lemma. ▌

Corollary C.10 *We have the following natural isomorphism of* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$*-complexes:*

$$S_\lambda^{\triangledown}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{N}_2^{\ell}\big)\simeq\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\big(S_\lambda^{\blacktriangledown}\mathcal{N}_1,\lambda^2\mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}\big)[1] \tag{177}$$

$$S_\lambda^{\blacktriangledown}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\big(\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{N}_2^{\ell}\big)\simeq\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\big(S_\lambda^{\triangledown}\mathcal{N}_1,\lambda^2\mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\triangledown}\big)[1] \tag{178}$$

Proof We obtain (177) from Lemma C.8 and Lemma C.9. We obtain (178) by exchanging $\triangledown$ and $\blacktriangledown$. ▮

C.2 Push-forward

C.2.1 Push-forward of $\mathcal{R}$-modules and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules

We recall the push-forward of $\mathcal{R}$-modules and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules. See [47] for more details. Let X be any complex manifold. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$ denote the sheaf of C^∞-functions F on $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\overline{\partial}_\lambda F=0$. Let $\Omega_X^{0,q}$ denote the sheaf of C^∞ $(0,q)$-forms on X. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_X$ denote the sheaf of C^∞-functions on X. We define

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}}_X^{\infty,j}:=\bigoplus_{p+q=j}p_\lambda^{-1}\Omega_X^{0,q}\otimes_{p_\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{C}_X^\infty}\big(\overline{\Omega}_X^p\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\big).$$

With the exterior derivative in the X-direction, we obtain the complexes $\big(\overline{\Omega}^\bullet_X,d\big)$ and $\big(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_X^{\infty,\bullet},d\big)$. The natural inclusion gives a quasi-isomorphism $\big(\overline{\Omega}^\bullet_X,d\big)\longrightarrow\big(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_X^{\infty,\bullet},d\big)$.

Let $f:X\longrightarrow Y$ be any morphism of complex manifolds. The induced morphism $\mathcal{X}\longrightarrow\mathcal{Y}$ is also denoted by f. We set $\mathcal{R}_{X,Y,f}:=\mathcal{R}_X\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}}f^{-1}\mathcal{R}_Y$. It is naturally a sheaf of algebras. It is also naturally an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$-module, and the action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ satisfies the Leibniz rule. Hence, we obtain the sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{A}_{X,Y,f}:=\mathcal{R}_{X,Y,f}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ as in the case of $\mathcal{A}_X$. We have a natural inclusion $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}_{X,Y,f}$ and $f^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}_{X,Y,f}$. We set $\mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X}:=\overline{\Omega}_X\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}}f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})$. It is naturally a left $\mathcal{R}_{X,Y,f}$-module. We have the natural action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ on $f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y)$ given by $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda(Q):=[\lambda^2\partial_\lambda,Q]$ in $f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y)$. It induces an action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ on $\mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X}$, with which $\mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X}$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{X,Y,f}$-module. We have a canonical locally free $\mathcal{R}_{X,Y,f}$-resolution $\big(\overline{\Omega}^\bullet_X[d_X]\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{R}_X\big)\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y}}f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})$ of $\mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X}$. It is also an $\mathcal{A}_{X,Y,f}$-resolution. We have the following natural quasi-isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{X,Y,f}$-complexes:

$$\big(\overline{\Omega}^\bullet_X[d_X]\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{R}_X\big)\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y}}f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})\longrightarrow\big(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_X^{\infty\bullet}[d_X]\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{R}_X\big)\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y}}f^{-1}\big(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}\big)$$

Let $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ be any $\mathcal{R}_X$-complex. We obtain the following $\mathcal{R}_Y$-complex:

$$\begin{aligned}f_\dagger\mathcal{M}^\bullet&:=f_!\Big(\Big(\big(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_X^{\infty,\bullet}[d_X]\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{R}_X\big)\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y}}f^{-1}\big(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}\big)\Big)\otimes_{\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{M}^\bullet\Big)\\&=f_!\Big(\Big(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_X^{\infty,\bullet}[d_X]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y}}f^{-1}\big(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}\big)\Big)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{M}^\bullet\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{179}$$

It induces a functor $D^b(\mathcal{R}_X)\longrightarrow D^b(\mathcal{R}_Y)$. We denote the right hand side of (179) by $Rf_!\big(\mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{M}^\bullet\big)$. If $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is cohomologically $\mathcal{R}_X$-coherent and good relative to f, then $f_\dagger\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is also cohomologically $\mathcal{R}_X$-coherent. If $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-complex, $f_\dagger\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is naturally an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-complex. Hence, (179) gives a functor $D^b(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X)\longrightarrow D^b(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y)$.

C.2.2 Another expression of the push-forward of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules

We put

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\mathcal{X}^{\infty,j}:=\bigoplus_{p+q=j}p_\lambda^{-1}\Omega_X^{0,q}\otimes_{p_\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{C}_X^\infty}\big(\widetilde{\Omega}_\mathcal{X}^p\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\big).$$

With the exterior derivative d, we obtain the complexes $\big(\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_\mathcal{X},d\big)$ and $\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\mathcal{X}^{\infty,\bullet},d\big)$, and we have the natural quasi-isomorphism $\big(\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_\mathcal{X},d\big)\longrightarrow\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_\mathcal{X}^{\infty,\bullet},d\big)$.

Let $f:X\longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. We set $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X,Y,f}:=\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\otimes_\mathbb{C}f^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$. We set $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Y\leftarrow X}:=\widetilde{\Omega}_\mathcal{X}\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y}}f^{-1}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_\mathcal{Y}^{-1}\big)$. It is naturally an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X,Y,f}$-module. We have a canonical locally free

$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X,Y,f}$-resolution $\big(\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X+1]\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\big)\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}})$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Y\leftarrow X}$. We have the following natural quasi-isomorphism of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\otimes f^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-complexes:

$$\big(\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X+1]\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\big)\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}})\longrightarrow\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\infty,\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X+1]\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\big)\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} f^{-1}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}}\big)$$

Let $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}$ be any $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-complex. We obtain the following $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-complex:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}:=f_!\Big(\Big(\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\infty,\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X+1]\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\big)\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}}\big)\Big)\otimes_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\Big)&\\ =f_!\Big(\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\infty,\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X+1]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}}\big)\Big)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\Big)&\quad(180)\end{aligned}$$

It induces a functor $D^b(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X)\longrightarrow D^b(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y)$. We denote the right hand side of (180) by $Rf_!\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes^L_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\mathcal{M}\big)$.

Lemma C.11 *We have the following natural isomorphism:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\infty,\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X+1]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}}\big)\Big)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\simeq&\\ S^{\triangledown}_{\lambda}\Big(\Big(\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{\infty\bullet}_{X}[d_X]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\big(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}^{-1}_{Y}\big)\Big)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\Big)&\quad(181)\end{aligned}$$

Proof Let ℓ and r denote the $\mathcal{R}_Y$-action (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-action) on $\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}^{-1}_{Y}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}}$) induced by the left and right multiplications. Let $\ell\blacktriangledown$ (resp. $r\triangledown$) denote the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-action on $\big(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}^{-1}_{Y}\big)\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ induced by ℓ and $\blacktriangledown$ (resp. r and $\triangledown$). We have the isomorphism $\overline{\Omega}^{-1}_{Y}\simeq\lambda^{-2}\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ given by $\tau\longmapsto\tau(d\lambda)^{-1}$. As mentioned in Example C.7, they induce the following isomorphism:

$$\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes(\lambda^{-2}\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}}),\ell,r\Big)\simeq\Big(\big(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}^{-1}_{Y}\big)\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\ell\blacktriangledown,r\triangledown\Big).\qquad(182)$$

We obtain (181) from (182). ▮

Corollary C.12 *We have the natural isomorphism $\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\simeq S^{\triangledown}_{\lambda}f_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-complexes. In particular, we have a natural isomorphism $\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\longrightarrow f_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}$ in the derived category of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-modules.* ▮

C.2.3 Trace morphisms

Let $\mathfrak{Db}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}$ be the sheaf of distributions F on $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\overline{\partial}_\lambda F=0$. We set

$$\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{j}_{X}:=\bigoplus_{p+q=j}p_\lambda^{-1}\Omega^{0,q}_{X}\otimes_{p_\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{X}}\big(\overline{\Omega}^{p}_{X}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\mathfrak{Db}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\big).$$

With the exterior derivative d in the X-direction, we obtain the complex $\big(\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{X},d\big)$. We have the natural quasi-isomorphism $(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{X},d)\longrightarrow(\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{X},d)$. We also set

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{Db}}^{j}_{\mathcal{X}}:=\bigoplus_{p+q=j}p_\lambda^{-1}\Omega^{0,q}_{X}\otimes_{p_\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{X}}\big(\widetilde{\Omega}^{p}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\widetilde{\mathfrak{Db}}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}\big).$$

With the exterior derivative, we obtain the complex $\big(\widetilde{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}},d\big)$. The natural inclusion $(\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}},d)\longrightarrow(\widetilde{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}},d)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Let $f:X\longrightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism. Recall that we have the trace morphism $\mathrm{tr}_f:\lambda^{d_X}f_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X]\longrightarrow\lambda^{d_Y}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}[d_Y]$ in the derived category of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-modules:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^{d_X}f_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X]\simeq f_!\Big(\lambda^{d_X}\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{X}[2d_X]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}f^{-1}\big(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}^{-1}_{Y}\big)\Big)\simeq f_!\big(\lambda^{d_X}\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{X}[2d_X]\big)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}\big(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}^{-1}_{Y}\big)&\\ \xrightarrow{a}\lambda^{d_Y}\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{Y}[2d_Y]\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}\big(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}^{-1}_{Y}\big)\simeq\lambda^{d_Y}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}[d_Y].&\quad(183)\end{aligned}$$

Here, a is given by the integration of currents along f multiplied by $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-d_X+d_Y}$. We also have the trace morphism $\widetilde{\mathrm{tr}}_f : \lambda^{d_X}\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X] \longrightarrow \lambda^{d_Y}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}[d_Y]$:

$$\lambda^{d_X}\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X] \simeq f_!\Bigl(\lambda^{d_X}\widetilde{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}[2d_X+1]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\bigl(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\bigr)\Bigr) \simeq f_!\bigl(\lambda^{d_X}\widetilde{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}[2d_X+1]\bigr)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}\bigl(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\bigr)$$
$$\xrightarrow{b}\lambda^{d_Y}\widetilde{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{Y}}[2d_Y+1]\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}\bigl(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\bigr)\simeq\lambda^{d_Y}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}[d_Y] \quad (184)$$

Here, b is given by the integration of currents along f multiplied by $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-d_X+d_Y}$. The following is clear by the construction of the morphisms.

Lemma C.13 *$\widetilde{\mathrm{tr}}_f$ is equal to the composite of $\lambda^{d_X}\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X]\longrightarrow\lambda^{d_X}f_{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X]$ and tr_f.*

Proof As in Lemma C.11, we can identify the morphism (184) with that obtained from (183) by the functor S^{∇}_{λ}. Hence, the claim is clear. ▮

C.2.4 Complement

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be any $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module. We have the morphism of sheaves

$$\Bigl(\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{\infty\,\bullet}_X[d_X]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})\Bigr)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\bigl(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\blacktriangledown\bigr)\longrightarrow$$
$$\Bigl(\bigl(\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{\infty\,\bullet}_X[d_X]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})\bigr)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}\mathcal{N}\Bigr)\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle \quad (185)$$

by $g\otimes(\partial_\lambda^j\otimes\lambda^{2j}m)\longmapsto\partial_\lambda^j\otimes(g\otimes\lambda^{2j}m)$. By construction, the following holds.

Lemma C.14 *The morphism* (185) *is an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-homomorphism with respect to the natural $f^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-action on the left hand side, and the $f^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-action $\blacktriangledown$ on the right hand side. The morphism* (185) *is compatible with the actions $(\partial_\lambda\lambda^2)\nabla$ on the both sides.* ▮

Corollary C.15 *The morphism* (185) *induces an isomorphism $f_{\dagger}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\blacktriangledown\bigr)\longrightarrow\bigl(f_{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet})\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\blacktriangledown\bigr)$. It also induces an isomorphism $f_{\dagger}S^{\blacktriangledown}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{M})\simeq S^{\blacktriangledown}_{\lambda}f_{\dagger}(\mathcal{M})$.* ▮

C.3 Duality

C.3.1 Duality of $\mathcal{R}$-modules and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules

Let X be a complex manifold with a hypersurface H. Set $d_X:=\dim X$. We naturally regard $\lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{R}_X(*H)\otimes\overline{\Omega}_X^{-1}$ as an $\mathcal{A}_X(*H)$-module. We take an $\mathcal{A}_X(*H)$-injective resolution $\mathcal{G}_0^{\bullet}$ of $\lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{R}_X(*H)\otimes\overline{\Omega}_X^{-1}[d_X]$. For any $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-module $\mathcal{N}$, let $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X(*H)}(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{G}_0^{p,\ell})$ denote the sheaf of $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-homomorphisms $\mathcal{N}\longrightarrow(\mathcal{G}_0^p,\ell)$. It is naturally an $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-module by r. If $\mathcal{N}$ is an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-module, it is naturally an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-module. The action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ is given by $(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda f)(m)=\lambda^2\partial_\lambda(f(m))-f(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda m)$.

For any $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-complex $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}$, we obtain the following $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-complex:

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}:=\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X(*H)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet},\mathcal{G}_0^{\bullet\,\ell}\bigr) \quad (186)$$

If $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}$ is an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-complex, then $\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}$ is naturally an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-complex. We shall often denote the right hand side of (186) by $R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X(*H)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet},\lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{R}_X(*H)\otimes\overline{\Omega}_X^{-1}\bigr)[d_X]$ if there is no risk of confusion.

C.3.2 Another expression of the duality of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules

We give another expression of the duality functor for $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules. We naturally regard $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$ as $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-module. Let ℓ (resp. r) denote the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-actions induced by the left multiplication (resp. the right multiplication). We take an injective $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-resolution $\mathcal{G}_1^{\bullet}$ of $\lambda^{d_X}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)\otimes$

$\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}[d_X+1]$. For any $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-module $\mathcal{N}$, let $\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)}(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{G}_1^{p,\ell})$ denote the sheaf of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-homomorphisms $\mathcal{N}\longrightarrow(\mathcal{G}_1^p,\ell)$. It is naturally an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-module induced by the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-action r.

For any $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-complex $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$, we obtain the following $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-complex:

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_{X(*H)}(\mathcal{M}^\bullet):=\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^\bullet,\mathcal{G}_1^{\bullet\,\ell}\bigr) \tag{187}$$

We shall denote the right hand side of (187) by $R\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^\bullet,\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\bigr)[d_X+1]$ if there is no risk of confusion.

We have the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-action $\ell\blacktriangledown$ on $(\mathcal{R}_X(*H)\otimes\overline{\Omega}_X^{-1})\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ induced by the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-action ℓ and the construction $\blacktriangledown$. We also have the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-action $r\triangledown$ on $(\mathcal{R}_X(*H)\otimes\overline{\Omega}_X^{-1})\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$ induced by the $\mathcal{R}(*H)$-action r and the construction $\triangledown$. Similarly, we have the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-actions $\ell\blacktriangledown$ and $r\triangledown$ on $\mathcal{G}_0^\bullet\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$. As in (182), we have the isomorphism

$$\Bigl(\bigl(\mathcal{R}_X(*H)\otimes\overline{\Omega}_X^{-1}\bigr)\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\ell\blacktriangledown,r\triangledown\Bigr)\simeq\Bigl(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)\otimes(\lambda^{-2}\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}),\ell,r\Bigr). \tag{188}$$

Hence, we may assume to have a quasi-isomorphism of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-complexes

$$\lambda^2\mathcal{G}_0^\bullet\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle[1]\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}_1^\bullet. \tag{189}$$

From Corollary C.10 and (189), we have the following morphisms of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-complexes:

$$S_\lambda^\triangledown\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X(*H)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^\bullet,\mathcal{G}_0^{\bullet\,\ell}\bigr)\simeq\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)}\bigl(S_\lambda^\blacktriangledown\mathcal{M}^\bullet,\lambda^2\mathcal{G}_0^\bullet\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}[1]\bigr)\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)}\bigl(S_\lambda^\blacktriangledown\mathcal{M}^\bullet,\mathcal{G}_1^{\bullet\,\ell}\bigr) \tag{190}$$

We also have the following natural quasi-isomorphisms:

$$S_\lambda^\triangledown\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X(*H)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^\bullet,\mathcal{G}_0^{\bullet\,\ell}\bigr)\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X(*H)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^\bullet,\mathcal{G}_0^{\bullet,\ell}\bigr) \tag{191}$$

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}^\bullet,\mathcal{G}_1^{\bullet\,\ell}\bigr)\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)}\bigl(S_\lambda^\blacktriangledown\mathcal{M}^\bullet,\mathcal{G}_1^{\bullet\,\ell}\bigr) \tag{192}$$

Proposition C.16 *If $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is cohomologically $\mathcal{R}_X(*H)$-coherent, then* (190) *is a quasi-isomorphism. Together with* (191) *and* (192), *we obtain the following isomorphisms in the derived category of cohomologically $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-coherent complexes:*

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_X\mathcal{M}^\bullet\xrightarrow{\ \simeq\ }\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_XS_\lambda^\blacktriangledown\mathcal{M}^\bullet\xrightarrow{\ \simeq\ }S_\lambda^\triangledown\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M}^\bullet\xrightarrow{\ \simeq\ }\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M}^\bullet$$

Proof For simplicity of the description, we omit to denote $(*H)$ in the proof. It is enough to prove the claim for an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$ which is coherent over $\mathcal{R}_X$. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma C.17 *Let $0\longrightarrow\mathcal{N}_1\longrightarrow\mathcal{N}_2\longrightarrow\mathcal{N}_3\longrightarrow0$ be an exact sequence of coherent $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be any injective $\mathcal{R}_X$-module. Then, the following is exact.*

$$\begin{aligned}0\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_3\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\bigr)&\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_2\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\bigr)\\&\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_1\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle,\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\bigr)\longrightarrow0\end{aligned} \tag{193}$$

Proof The sequence (193) is rewritten as follows:

$$0\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_3,\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\bigr)\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_2,\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\bigr)\xrightarrow{\beta}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\bigr)\longrightarrow0 \tag{194}$$

It is enough to prove that β is an epimorphism. For any non-negative integer L, we set $F_L\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle:=\bigoplus_{j=0}^L\partial_\lambda^j\otimes\lambda^{2j}\mathcal{I}$. They are naturally an $\mathcal{R}_X$-submodules of $\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle$. Let $F_L\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_i,\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\bigr)$ be the image of $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_i,F_L\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\bigr)$. Because $\mathcal{N}_i$ is $\mathcal{R}_X$-coherent, the filtration F is exhaustive on $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_i,\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\bigr)$. By construction, we have $\mathrm{Gr}_j^L\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_i,\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\bigr)\simeq\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_i,\lambda^{2j}\mathcal{I}\bigr)$. Because $\mathcal{I}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-injective, we have the surjectivity of $\mathrm{Gr}_j^L\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_2,\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\bigr)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}_j^L\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{I}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle\bigr)$. Then, we obtain the desired surjectivity. ∎

The claim of Proposition C.16 is reduced to the following lemma.

Lemma C.18 *For any coherent $\mathcal{R}_X$-module $\mathcal{N}$, the natural morphism*

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle, \lambda^2\mathcal{G}_0^{\bullet}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}[1]\bigr) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle, \mathcal{G}_1^{\bullet\,\ell}\bigr) \tag{195}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof The morphism (195) is a quasi-isomorphism if $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{R}_X$. It is enough to prove that (195) is a quasi-isomorphism locally around any point of X. We may assume to have an $\mathcal{R}_X$-free resolution $\mathcal{P}^{\bullet}$ of $\mathcal{N}$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle, \lambda^2\mathcal{G}_0^{\bullet}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}[1]\bigr) \arrow[r, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_2"'] & \mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{N}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle, \mathcal{G}_1^{\bullet\,\ell}\bigr) \arrow[d, "\alpha_3"'] \\
\operatorname{Tot}\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{P}^{\bullet}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle, \lambda^2\mathcal{G}_0^{\bullet}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle^{\ell\blacktriangledown}[1]\bigr) \arrow[r, "\alpha_4"] & \operatorname{Tot}\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\bigl(\mathcal{P}^{\bullet}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle, \mathcal{G}_1^{\bullet\,\ell}\bigr)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, $\operatorname{Tot}\mathcal{C}^{\bullet\bullet}$ denote the total complex of a double complex $\mathcal{C}^{\bullet\bullet}$. As remarked above, α_4 is a quasi-isomorphism. Because $(\mathcal{G}_1^{\bullet}, \ell)$ is $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-injective, α_3 is a quasi-isomorphism. As for α_2, note that $(\lambda^2\mathcal{G}_0^{\bullet}, \ell)$ is $\mathcal{R}_X$-injective. We have the isomorphism exchanging $\triangledown$ and $\blacktriangledown$ as in §C.1.3. Hence, α_2 is a quasi-isomorphism by Lemma C.17. Then, we obtain that α_1 is a quasi-isomorphism. Thus we obtain Lemma C.18, and hence Proposition C.16. ▮

C.3.3 Smooth case

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a smooth $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-module. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\vee}$ be the smooth $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H)$-module $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*H)}\bigl(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*H)\bigr)$ with the induced meromorphic connection. As remarked in [40], we have a natural isomorphism $\boldsymbol{D}_{X(*H)}\mathcal{M} \simeq \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{M}^{\vee}$ in the derived category. Together with Proposition C.16, we obtain $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_{X(*H)}\mathcal{M} \simeq \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{M}^{\vee}$.

We also have the isomorphism $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_{X(*H)}\mathcal{M} \simeq \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{M}^{\vee}$ given in a more direct and standard way, by using the Spencer resolution $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H) \otimes \widetilde{\Theta}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\bullet}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(*H)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&R\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X(*H)}}\bigl(\mathcal{M}, \lambda^{d_X}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H) \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\bigr)[d_X+1] \simeq \\
&\qquad \mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X(*H)}}\bigl(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H) \otimes \widetilde{\Theta}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{M}, \lambda^{d_X}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H) \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\bigr)[d_X+1] \simeq \\
&\qquad\qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H) \otimes \widetilde{\Theta}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\bullet} \otimes \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{M}^{\vee} \simeq \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{M}^{\vee}
\end{aligned} \tag{196}$$

Lemma C.19 *The isomorphisms $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_{X(*H)}\mathcal{M} \simeq \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{M}^{\vee}$ are equal.*

Proof We have the natural quasi-isomorphisms $S_{\lambda}^{\blacktriangledown}\bigl((\mathcal{R}_X(*H) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \overline{\Theta}_X^{\bullet}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{M}\bigr) \longrightarrow S_{\lambda}^{\blacktriangledown}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. We have the following natural isomorphisms:

$$S_{\lambda}^{\blacktriangledown}\bigl((\mathcal{R}_X(*H) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \overline{\Theta}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\bullet}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{M}\bigr) \simeq S_{\lambda}^{\triangledown}\bigl((\mathcal{R}_X(*H) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \overline{\Theta}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\bullet}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{M}\bigr) \simeq \bigl(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \widetilde{\Theta}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\bullet}\bigr) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{M}$$

The isomorphism in Proposition C.16 is expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X(*H)}}\bigl(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H) \otimes \widetilde{\Theta}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H) \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\bigr)[d_X+1] \simeq \\
&\qquad \mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{X(*H)}}\bigl(S_{\lambda}^{\blacktriangledown}\bigl(\mathcal{R}_X(*H) \otimes \overline{\Theta}_X^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{M}\bigr), \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X(*H) \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\bigr)[d_X+1] \simeq \\
&\qquad\quad S_{\lambda}^{\triangledown}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\bigl(\mathcal{R}_X(*H) \otimes \overline{\Theta}_X^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_X(*H) \otimes \overline{\Omega}_X^{-1}\bigr)[d_X] \simeq \\
&\qquad\qquad \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_{X(*H)}}\bigl(\mathcal{R}_X(*H) \otimes \overline{\Theta}_X^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_X(*H) \otimes \overline{\Omega}_X^{-1}\bigr)[d_X]
\end{aligned} \tag{197}$$

Then, the claim is clear by the constructions of the isomorphisms $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_{X(*H)}\mathcal{M} \simeq \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{M}^{\vee}$. ▮

C.4 Compatibility of push-forward and duality

C.4.1 Compatibility for $\mathcal{R}$-modules

Let us recall the compatibility of the push-forward and the duality for $\mathcal{R}$-modules studied in [40]. Let Y be a complex manifold.

We set $\mathcal{M}_{Y0} := (\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})^{\ell}\otimes^{\ell}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} (\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})$, where ${}^{\ell}\otimes^{\ell}$ means that we consider the actions ℓ for the tensor product over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. It is equipped with the $\mathcal{R}_Y$-actions r_i $(i = 1, 2)$ induced by the action r on the i-th factor. We also have the $\mathcal{R}_Y$-action $\ell \otimes \ell$ induced by the actions ℓ. We have the action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ on $\mathcal{M}_{Y0}$ induced by the actions on $\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}$ with the Leibniz rule. Each $\mathcal{R}_Y$-action with the action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ gives an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-action.

We set $\mathcal{M}_{Y1} := (\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})^{r}\otimes^{\ell}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} (\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})$, where ${}^{r}\otimes^{\ell}$ means that we consider the action r on the first factor and the action ℓ on the second factor for the tensor product over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. It is equipped with the $\mathcal{R}_Y$-action ℓ_1 induced by the action ℓ on the first factor, and the $\mathcal{R}_Y$-action r_2 induced by the action r on the second factor. We also have the $\mathcal{R}_Y$-action $r \otimes \ell$ induced by the action r on the first factor and the action ℓ on the second factor. As in the case of $\mathcal{M}_{Y0}$, we have the action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ on $\mathcal{M}_{Y1}$ with which each $\mathcal{R}_Y$-action is extended to an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-action.

Recall that we have a unique isomorphism of sheaves $\Psi_1 : \mathcal{M}_{Y1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{Y0}$ such that (i) $\Psi_1 \circ r_2 = r_2 \circ \Psi_1$, $\Psi_1 \circ \ell_1 = (\ell \otimes \ell) \circ \Psi_1$ and $\Psi_1 \circ (r \otimes \ell) = r_1 \circ \Psi_1$, (ii) Ψ_1 induces the identity on $\overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1} \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}$. Here, $\Psi_1 \circ r_2 = r_2 \circ \Psi_1$ means $\Psi_1(r_2(P)m) = r_2(P)\Psi_1(m)$ for any local sections $P \in \mathcal{R}_X$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}_{Y1}$, and the meaning of $\Psi_1 \circ \ell_1 = (\ell \otimes \ell) \circ \Psi_1$ and $\Psi_1 \circ (r \otimes \ell) = r_1 \circ \Psi_1$ are similar. The uniqueness is clear by the conditions (i) and (ii). Locally, Ψ is given as follows. For any local frame τ of $\overline{\Omega}_X^{-1}$, we have $\Psi_1\bigl((Q \otimes \tau) \otimes m\bigr) = (\ell \otimes \ell)(Q)\bigl((1 \otimes \tau) \otimes m\bigr)$ for $Q \in \mathcal{R}_X$ and $m \in \mathcal{R}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}_X^{-1}$. It is compatible with the actions of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$.

Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism. We obtain the morphism

$$\lambda^{d_X} f_\dagger\Bigl(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\bigl(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}\bigr)\Bigr)[d_X] \longrightarrow \lambda^{d_Y}\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}[d_Y] \tag{198}$$

in the derived category of $\mathcal{A}_Y$-modules as the composite of the following morphisms (see §C.1.4 for $\mathcal{A}_Y$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^{d_X} f_\dagger\Bigl(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\bigl(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}\bigr)\Bigr)[d_X]\\
&\quad\simeq \lambda^{d_X} f_!\Bigl(\Bigl(\bigl(\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X] \otimes \mathcal{R}_X\bigr) \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})\Bigr) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_X} \bigl(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\bigl(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}\bigr)\bigr)\Bigr)\\
&\qquad\simeq \lambda^{d_X} f_!\Bigl(\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\mathcal{M}_{Y0}\Bigr) \overset{a_1}{\simeq} \lambda^{d_X} f_!\Bigl(\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\mathcal{M}_{Y1}\Bigr)\\
&\qquad\quad\simeq \lambda^{d_X} f_!\Bigl(\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})\Bigr) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} \bigl(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}\bigr)\\
&\qquad\qquad\simeq \lambda^{d_X} f_\dagger(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X]) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} \bigl(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}\bigr) \xrightarrow{a_2} \lambda^{d_Y}\bigl(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}\bigr)[d_Y]
\end{aligned} \tag{199}$$

Here, a_1 is induced by Ψ_1^{-1}, and a_2 is induced by the trace morphism (see §C.2.3).

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{R}_X$-module which is good relative to f. Then, we have the natural morphism

$$f_\dagger \boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_Y f_\dagger\mathcal{M} \tag{200}$$

obtained as the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_\dagger \boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M} \simeq Rf_! R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Bigl(\mathcal{M}, \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})[d_X]\Bigr)\\
&\quad\longrightarrow Rf_! R\mathcal{H}om_{f^{-1}\mathcal{R}_Y}\Bigl(\mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_X} \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X} \otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_X} \bigl(\lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})\bigr)[d_X]\Bigr)\\
&\qquad\longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_Y}\Bigl(f_\dagger\mathcal{M}, \lambda^{d_X} f_\dagger\Bigl(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})\Bigr)[d_X]\Bigr)\\
&\qquad\quad\longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_Y}\Bigl(f_\dagger\mathcal{M}, \lambda^{d_Y}\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}[d_Y]\Bigr) \simeq \boldsymbol{D}_Y f_\dagger\mathcal{M}
\end{aligned} \tag{201}$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is also an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module, then (200) is a morphism in the derived category of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-modules.

C.4.2 Compatibility for $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules

We set $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y0} := (\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})^{\ell}\otimes^{\ell}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})$. The tensor product is taken as in the case of $\mathcal{M}_{Y0}$. We have the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-actions r_i $(i=1,2)$ and $\ell\otimes\ell$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y0}$ as in the case of $\mathcal{M}_{Y0}$. We set $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y1} := (\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})^{r}\otimes^{\ell}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})$, where the tensor product is taken as in the case of $\mathcal{M}_{Y1}$. We have the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-actions r_2, ℓ_1 and $r\otimes\ell$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y1}$ as in the case of $\mathcal{M}_{Y1}$.

We have a unique isomorphism of sheaves $\widetilde{\Psi}_1 : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y1}\longrightarrow\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y0}$ such that (i) $\widetilde{\Psi}_1\circ r_2 = r_2\circ\widetilde{\Psi}_1$, $\widetilde{\Psi}_1\circ\ell_1 = (\ell\otimes\ell)\circ\widetilde{\Psi}_1$, (ii) $\widetilde{\Psi}_1$ induces the identity on $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$. For any local frame τ of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}$, we have $\widetilde{\Psi}_1\big((Q\otimes\tau)\otimes m\big) = (\ell\otimes\ell)(Q)\big((1\otimes\tau)\otimes m\big)$.

Lemma C.20 *We have* $\widetilde{\Psi}_1\circ(r\otimes\ell) = r_1\circ\widetilde{\Psi}_1$.

Proof It is enough to prove the equality locally around any point of $\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{X}^0$. We may assume to have a frame τ of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}$ such that $r(v)(\tau^{-1}) = 0$ for any $v\in\widetilde{\Theta}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $Q\in\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$ and $m\in\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}$. For any $g\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(g)\widetilde{\Psi}_1\big((Q\otimes\tau)\otimes m\big) &= r_1(g)(\ell\otimes\ell)(Q)\big((1\otimes\tau)\otimes m\big) = (\ell\otimes\ell)(Q)\big((g\otimes\tau)\otimes m\big)\\ &= (\ell\otimes\ell)(Q)\big((1\otimes\tau)\otimes gm\big) = \widetilde{\Psi}_1\big((Q\otimes\tau)\otimes gm\big) = \widetilde{\Psi}_1\big((r\otimes\ell)(g)\big((Q\otimes\tau)\otimes m\big)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{202}$$

For any $v\in\widetilde{\Theta}_{\mathcal{X}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Psi}_1\Big((r\otimes\ell)(v)\big((Q\otimes\tau)\otimes m\big)\Big) &= \widetilde{\Psi}_1\big((-Qv\otimes\tau)\otimes m\big) + \widetilde{\Psi}(Q\otimes vm)\\ &= -(\ell\otimes\ell)(Qv)\big((1\otimes\tau)\otimes m\big) + (\ell\otimes\ell)(Q)\big((1\otimes\tau)\otimes vm\big) = (\ell\otimes\ell)(Q)\big((-v\otimes\tau)\otimes m\big)\\ &= (\ell\otimes\ell)(Q)r_1(v)\big((1\otimes\tau)\otimes m\big) = r_1(v)\widetilde{\Psi}_1\big((Q\otimes\tau)\otimes m\big) \end{aligned} \tag{203}$$

Thus, we are done. ▮

Let $f : X\longrightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism as in §C.4.1. We obtain the morphism

$$\lambda^{d_X}\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}f^{-1}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)\Big)[d_X+1]\longrightarrow\lambda^{d_Y}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}[d_Y+1] \tag{204}$$

in the derived category of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-modules as the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda^{d_X}\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}f^{-1}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)\Big)[d_X+1]\\ &\simeq\lambda^{d_X}f_!\Big[\Big(\big(\widetilde{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}[2d_X+2]\otimes\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\big)\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})\Big)\otimes_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}f^{-1}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)\big)\Big]\\ &\simeq\lambda^{d_X}f_!\Big(\widetilde{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}[2d_X+2]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}f^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y0}\Big)\overset{\widetilde{a}_1}{\simeq}\lambda^{d_X}f_!\Big(\widetilde{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}[2d_X+2]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}f^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y1}\Big)\\ &\simeq\lambda^{d_X}f_!\Big(\widetilde{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}[2d_X+2]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})\Big)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)\\ &\simeq\lambda^{d_X}\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X+1])\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\big)\xrightarrow{\widetilde{a}_2}\lambda^{d_Y}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)[d_Y+1] \end{aligned} \tag{205}$$

Here, $\widetilde{a}_1$ is induced by $\widetilde{\Psi}_1^{-1}$, and $\widetilde{a}_2$ is induced by the trace morphism (see §C.2.3).

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module which is good relative to f. Then, we have the natural isomorphism

$$\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_X\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_Y\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\mathcal{M} \tag{206}$$

in the derived category of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-modules, obtained as the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_X\mathcal{M} &\simeq Rf_!R\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\Big(\mathcal{M},\lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})[d_X+1]\Big)\\ &\longrightarrow Rf_!R\mathcal{H}om_{f^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y}\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes^{L}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\mathcal{M},\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes^{L}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\big(\lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})\big)[d_X+1]\Big)\\ &\longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y}\Big(\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\mathcal{M},\lambda^{d_X}\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})\Big)[d_X+1]\Big)\\ &\longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y}\Big(\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\mathcal{M},\lambda^{d_Y}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}[d_Y+1]\Big)\simeq\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_Y\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}. \end{aligned} \tag{207}$$

C.4.3 Comparison

Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of complex manifolds as above. We shall prove the following proposition in §C.4.4–C.4.5.

Proposition C.21 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module which is good relative to f. The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_X\mathcal{M} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_Y\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\mathcal{M} \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
f_{\dagger}\boldsymbol{D}_X\mathcal{M} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \boldsymbol{D}_Y f_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{208}$$

Here, the horizontal arrows are given in §C.4.1 *and* §C.4.2*, and the vertical arrows are given by the isomorphisms in Corollary* C.12 *and Proposition* C.16*.*

C.4.4 Step 1

We construct a natural transform $\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_X \longrightarrow \widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_Y f_{\dagger}$ modifying the constructions in §C.4.1 and §C.4.2.

We set $\mathcal{M}'_{Y0} := (\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})^{\ell} \otimes^{\ell}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} \big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)$. The tensor product is taken as in the case of $\mathcal{M}_{Y0}$. It is equipped with the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action r_2 induced by the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action r on the second factor. It is equipped with the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action $\ell \otimes \ell$ induced by the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-actions ℓ on the first and second factors. It is equipped with the $\mathcal{R}_X$-action r_1 induced by the $\mathcal{R}_X$-action r on the first factor. Together with the action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ by $\ell \otimes \ell$, it is extended to an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action which is also denoted by r_1.

We set $\mathcal{M}'_{Y1} := (\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})^{r} \otimes^{\ell}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} \big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)$. The tensor product is taken as in the case of $\mathcal{M}_{Y1}$. It is equipped with the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action r_2 induced by the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action r on the second factor. It is equipped with the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action $r \otimes \ell$ induced by the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-actions r and ℓ on the first and second factors, respectively. It is equipped with the $\mathcal{R}_X$-action ℓ_1 induced by the $\mathcal{R}_X$-action ℓ on the first factor. Together with the action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$ by $r \otimes \ell$, it is extended to an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-action which is also denoted by ℓ_1.

We have the isomorphism $\Psi'_1 : \mathcal{M}'_{1Y} \simeq \mathcal{M}'_{0Y}$ determined by the conditions (i) $\Psi'_1 \circ \ell_1 = (\ell \otimes \ell) \circ \Psi_1$ and $\Psi'_1 \circ r_2 = r_2 \circ \Psi_1$, (ii) Ψ'_1 induces the identity on $\overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1} \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}$. It also satisfies the condition $\Psi'_1 \circ (r \otimes \ell) = r_1 \circ \Psi'_1$, which can be checked by the argument in Lemma C.20.

Then, we obtain the morphism

$$\lambda^{d_X} f_{\dagger}\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)\Big)[d_X+1] \longrightarrow \lambda^{d_Y}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}[d_Y+1] \tag{209}$$

in the derived category of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y$-complexes, obtained as the composite of the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^{d_X} f_{\dagger}\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})\Big)[d_X+1] \\
&\quad \simeq \lambda^{d_X} f_{!}\Big(\Big(\big(\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X+1] \otimes \mathcal{R}_X\big) \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})\Big) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_X} \big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)\big)\Big) \\
&\qquad \simeq \lambda^{d_X} f_{!}\Big(\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X+1] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\mathcal{M}'_{Y0}\Big) \overset{a'_1}{\simeq} \lambda^{d_X} f_{!}\Big(\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X+1] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\mathcal{M}'_{Y1}\Big) \\
&\qquad\quad \simeq \lambda^{d_X} f_{!}\Big(\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X+1] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1})\Big) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} \big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big) \\
&\qquad\qquad \simeq \lambda^{d_X} f_{\dagger}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X+1]) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} \big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big) \xrightarrow{a'_2} \lambda^{d_Y}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\big)[d_Y+1]
\end{aligned} \tag{210}$$

Here a'_1 is induced by Ψ'_1, and a'_2 is induced by the trace morphism in §C.2.3. Then, for any $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$ which is good relative to f and coherent over $\mathcal{R}_X$, we obtain the morphism

$$\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_X\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_Y f_{\dagger}\mathcal{M} \tag{211}$$

as the composite of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_X\mathcal{M} &\simeq Rf_! R\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\Bigl(\mathcal{M}, \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})[d_X+1]\Bigr)\\
&\longrightarrow Rf_! R\mathcal{H}om_{f^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y}\Bigl(\mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_X}\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_{Y\leftarrow X}\otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}_X}\bigl(\lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})\bigr)[d_X+1]\Bigr)\\
&\longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y}\Bigl(f_\dagger\mathcal{M}, \lambda^{d_X}f_\dagger\Bigl(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})\Bigr)[d_X+1]\Bigr)\\
&\longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y}\Bigl(f_\dagger\mathcal{M}, \lambda^{d_Y}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}[d_Y+1]\Bigr)\simeq\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_Y f_\dagger\mathcal{M}
\end{aligned}\tag{212}$$

Lemma C.22 *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\lambda^{d_X}\widetilde{f}_\dagger\Bigl(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})\Bigr)[d_X+1] \arrow[r, "c_1"] \arrow[d] & \lambda^{d_Y}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}[d_Y+1] \arrow[d, "="'] \\
\lambda^{d_X}f_\dagger\Bigl(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1})\Bigr)[d_X+1] \arrow[r, "c_2"] & \lambda^{d_Y}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}[d_Y+1]
\end{tikzcd}\tag{213}$$

Here, c_1 and c_2 are given by (204) *and* (209)*, and the left vertical arrow is given in Proposition* C.16.

Proof We can regard $\mathcal{M}'_{Y0}$ as an $\mathcal{A}_Y$-module by $\ell\otimes\ell$ and r_1 with the action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$. We can also regard $\mathcal{M}'_{Y1}$ as an $\mathcal{A}_Y$-module by $r\otimes\ell$ and ℓ_1 with the action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$. As mentioned in Example C.7, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\bigl(\mathcal{R}_Y\otimes\overline{\Omega}_Y^{-1}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle, \ell\blacktriangledown, r\triangledown\bigr)\simeq\bigl(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y\otimes\lambda^{-2}\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1}, \ell, r\bigr)$$

It induces the following isomorphisms:

$$\Bigl(\mathcal{M}'_{Y0}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle, (\ell\otimes\ell)\blacktriangledown, r_1\triangledown\Bigr)\simeq\Bigl(\lambda^{-2}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y0}, \ell\otimes\ell, r_1\Bigr)\tag{214}$$

$$\Bigl(\mathcal{M}'_{Y1}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle, (r\otimes\ell)\triangledown, \ell_1\blacktriangledown\Bigr)\simeq\Bigl(\lambda^{-2}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y1}, r\otimes\ell, \ell_1\Bigr)\tag{215}$$

The isomorphisms are compatible with the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-actions r_2. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M}'_{Y1}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \lambda^{-2}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y1} \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{M}'_{Y0}\langle\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\rangle \arrow[r] & \lambda^{-2}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y0}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, the vertical arrows are induced by Ψ'_1 and $\widetilde{\Psi}_1$, and the horizontal arrows are as in (214) and (215). Hence, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\lambda^{d_X}f_!\Bigl(\widetilde{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}[2d_X+2]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y0}\Bigr) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{a}_1", "\simeq"'] \arrow[d] & \lambda^{d_X}f_!\Bigl(\widetilde{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_\lambda}[2d_X+2]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{Y1}\Bigr) \arrow[d] \\
\lambda^{d_X}f_!\Bigl(\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X+1]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\mathcal{M}'_{Y0}\Bigr) \arrow[r, "a'_1", "\simeq"'] & \lambda^{d_X}f_!\Bigl(\overline{\mathfrak{Db}}^{\bullet}_X[2d_X+1]\otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}\mathcal{M}'_{Y1}\Bigr)
\end{tikzcd}$$

We also have the compatibility of the trace morphism in Lemma C.13. Then, we obtain the claim of Lemma C.22 by construction of the morphisms. ∎

The natural transform $\widetilde{f}_\dagger\longrightarrow f_\dagger$ in Corollary C.12 induces $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_Y f_\dagger\longrightarrow\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_Y\widetilde{f}_\dagger$.

Lemma C.23 *The composite of* (211) *and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_Y f_\dagger\longrightarrow\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_Y\widetilde{f}_\dagger$ is equal to* (206).

Proof We obtain the claim by comparing the constructions (207) and (212) and by using Lemma C.22. ∎

C.4.5 Step 2

We consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_X \arrow[r, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[d, "\beta_1"'] & \widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_X S^{\blacktriangledown}_{\lambda} \arrow[r, "\alpha_2"] \arrow[d, "\beta_2"'] & f_{\dagger}\boldsymbol{D}_X \arrow[d, "\beta_3"'] \\
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_Y f_{\dagger} \arrow[r, "\gamma_1"] & \widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_Y f_{\dagger} S^{\blacktriangledown}_{\lambda} \arrow[r, "\gamma_2"] & \boldsymbol{D}_Y f_{\dagger}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{216}$$

The morphisms α_1 and γ_1 are the natural ones. The morphisms β_i $(i = 1, 2)$ are given in §C.4.4. The morphism β_3 is given in §C.2.4. The morphism α_2 is induced by $\widetilde{f}_{\dagger} \longrightarrow f_{\dagger}$ in Corollary C.12, and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_X S^{\blacktriangledown}_{\lambda} \simeq S^{\triangledown}_{\lambda}\boldsymbol{D}_X \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_X$ in §C.3.2. The morphism γ_2 is induced by $f_{\dagger}S^{\blacktriangledown}_{\lambda} \simeq S^{\blacktriangledown}_{\lambda} f_{\dagger}$ in §C.2.4, and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}_Y S^{\blacktriangledown}_{\lambda} \simeq S^{\triangledown}_{\lambda}\boldsymbol{D}_Y \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_Y$ in §C.3.2. The morphism β_3 is given in §C.4.1. Clearly, the left square is commutative. For the proof of Proposition C.21, it is enough to prove the commutativity of the right square.

We have the following expression:

$$\widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}S^{\blacktriangledown}_{\lambda}\mathcal{M} \simeq \lambda^{d_X} Rf_! \Big[\Big(\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X+1] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}})\Big) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} R\mathcal{H}om_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X}\big(S^{\blacktriangledown}_{\lambda}\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{X}}\big)[d_X+1]\Big] \tag{217}$$

It is rewritten as follows:

$$\lambda^{d_X} Rf_! \Big[\Big(\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X+1] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}})\Big) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} S^{\triangledown}_{\lambda} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_X\big)[d_X]\Big] \tag{218}$$

We have the natural morphism from (218) to the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^{d_X} Rf_! \Big[\big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_X[d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_Y)\big) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_X\Big)[d_X]\Big] \simeq \\
&\qquad \lambda^{d_X} Rf_! R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_Y)\Big)[d_X]
\end{aligned} \tag{219}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^{d_X} Rf_! \Big[\Big(\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X+1] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}})\Big) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} S^{\triangledown}_{\lambda} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_X\big)[d_X]\Big] \longrightarrow \\
&\qquad \lambda^{d_X} Rf_! \Big[\Big(\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X+1] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}})\Big) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_X\big)[d_X]\Big] \\
&\qquad\qquad \lambda^{d_X} Rf_! \Big[\big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_X[d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_Y)\big) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_X\Big)[d_X]\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{220}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^{d_X} Rf_! \Big[\Big(\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X+1] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_Y \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}^{-1}_{\mathcal{Y}})\Big) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} S^{\triangledown}_{\lambda} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_X\big)[d_X]\Big] \longrightarrow \\
&\qquad \lambda^{d_X} Rf_! \Big[\big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_X[d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_Y)\big) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} S^{\triangledown}_{\lambda} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_X\Big)[d_X]\Big] \\
&\qquad\qquad \lambda^{d_X} Rf_! \Big[\big(\overline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_X[d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_Y)\big) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_X \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_X\Big)[d_X]\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{221}$$

The composite of the morphisms (220) and (221) are equal. We have the following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
&Rf_! R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_X}\Big(\mathcal{M}, \lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_Y)\Big) \longrightarrow \\
&\qquad R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_Y}\Big(f_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}, f_{\dagger}\Big(\lambda^{d_X}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}[d_X] \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_Y)\Big)\Big) \\
&\qquad \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_Y}\Big(f_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}, \lambda^{d_X} f_{\dagger}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})[d_X] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} \big(\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_Y\big)\Big) \\
&\qquad\qquad \longrightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}_Y}\Big(f_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}, \lambda^{d_Y}\mathcal{R}_Y \otimes \overline{\Omega}^{-1}_Y[d_Y]\Big) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}f_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}
\end{aligned} \tag{222}$$

As the composite of the above morphisms, we obtain a morphism $G : \widetilde{f}_{\dagger}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{D}}S^{\blacktriangledown}_{\lambda}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{D}f_{\dagger}\mathcal{M}$. By construction, we can check that both $\beta_3 \circ \alpha_2$ and $\gamma_2 \circ \beta_2$ are equal to G. Indeed, $\beta_3 \circ \alpha_2$ is induced by (220), and $\gamma_2 \circ \beta_2$ is induced by (221). Thus, we obtain the commutativity of the right square of (216), and the proof of Proposition C.21 is finished. ∎

D Comparison of some $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules

D.1 Preliminary

D.1.1 A construction

Let $W_t = \mathbb{C}[t]\langle\partial_t\rangle$ be the Weyl algebra in the variable t. We set $W_t^{\mathrm{loc}} := W_t \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$. Let X be any smooth algebraic variety. Let $p : \mathbb{C}_t \times X \longrightarrow X$ be the projection. In the algebraic setting, we naturally identify $p_*\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_t\times X}$ with $\mathcal{D}_X \otimes W_t$. We naturally identify algebraic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_t\times X}$-modules and algebraic $\mathcal{D}_X\otimes W_t$-modules. We also naturally identify algebraic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_t^*\times X}$-modules and algebraic $\mathcal{D}_X\otimes W_t^{\mathrm{loc}}$-modules.

We regard $\mathbb{C}_\tau$ as the dual space of $\mathbb{C}_t$ by the pairing $(\tau,t)\longmapsto \tau t$. Let M be an algebraic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_t\times X}$-module. We have its partial Fourier-Laplace transform $\mathrm{FL}_X(M)$ on $\mathbb{C}_\tau\times X$. As a transform from $\mathcal{D}_X\otimes W_t$-modules to $\mathcal{D}_X\otimes W_\tau$-modules, it is described as the quotient of $\partial_t-\tau : M[\tau]\longrightarrow M[\tau]$. Here, the action of $\mathcal{D}_X\otimes W_\tau$ on $M[\tau]$ is given as follows. We have $v(m\tau^j)=(vm)\tau^j$ for a vector field v on X, and $\partial_\tau(m\tau^j)=jm\tau^{j-1}-tm\tau^j$.

By taking the restriction, we have the localized partial Fourier transform $\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M)$ on $\mathbb{C}_\tau^*\times X$. We set $\lambda:=\tau^{-1}$. We may naturally regard $\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M)$ as a $\mathcal{D}_X\otimes W_\lambda^{\mathrm{loc}}$-module. Let us explicit it more explicitly. We have the action of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda^*\times X}$ on $M[\lambda,\lambda^{-1}]$ given as follows. We have $v(m\lambda^j)=(vm)\lambda^j$ for a vector field v on X, and $\partial_\lambda(m\lambda^j)=tm\lambda^{j-2}+jm\lambda^{j-1}$. Then, the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda^*\times X}$-module $\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M)$ is described as the quotient of

$$M[\lambda,\lambda^{-1}] \overset{\partial_t-\lambda^{-1}}{\longrightarrow} M[\lambda,\lambda^{-1}].$$

The natural inclusion $M\longrightarrow M[\lambda,\lambda^{-1}]$ induces a $\mathcal{D}_X$-homomorphism $\mathrm{loc}: M\longrightarrow \mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M)$.

Let (M,F) be an algebraic filtered $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_t\times X}$-module, i.e., M is an algebraic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module, and F is a good filtration of M. Following [49], we set

$$G_0\,\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M,F) := \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \lambda^j\,\mathrm{loc}(F_jM)\subset \mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M)$$

on X. It is naturally an algebraic $\mathcal{R}_X$-module. It is also equipped with the action of $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda$. Thus, we obtain an algebraic $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module. Because $\partial_tF_j(M)\subset F_{j+1}(M)$, we have $\mathrm{loc}(F_jM)\subset\lambda\,\mathrm{loc}(F_{j+1}M)$, and hence $G_0\,\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M,F)=\sum_{j\geq N}\lambda^j\,\mathrm{loc}(F_jM)$ for any N.

We have the Rees module $R(M,F)=\sum F_jM\lambda^j$. We have the $\mathcal{R}_X$-homomorphism $R(M,F)\to\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M,F)$, and the image is $G_0\,\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M,F)$.

The quotient of the following is denoted by $G_0'\,\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M,F)$:

$$\lambda\,R(M,F)\overset{\partial_t-\lambda^{-1}}{\longrightarrow} R(M,F)$$

It is naturally an algebraic $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-module.

Lemma D.1 *The image of the induced morphism $G_0'\,\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M,F)\longrightarrow\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M)$ is $G_0\,\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M,F)$. If the multiplication of λ on $G_0'\,\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M,F)$ is injective, the natural morphism $G_0'\,\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M,F)\longrightarrow G_0\,\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M,F)$ is an isomorphism of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules.*

Proof The first claim is clear by the construction. The morphism $G_0'\,\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M,F)\longrightarrow G_0\,\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M,F)$ is an isomorphism after the localization with respect to λ. Then, the second claim follows. ▮

We may interpret the construction $G_0'\,\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}$ in terms of $\mathcal{R}$-modules. Let p denote the projection of $\mathbb{C}_t\times X$ onto X, as above. We have the algebraic $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_t\times X}$-module $\mathcal{L}(-t)=\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times\mathbb{C}_t\times X},\,d-d(\lambda^{-1}t)\big)$. Then, by definition, $G_0'\,\mathrm{FL}_X^{\mathrm{loc}}(M,F)$ is isomorphic to

$$\lambda\cdot p_\dagger^0\big(R(M,F)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)\big)\simeq\lambda\cdot\mathbb{R}^1p_*\Big(R(M,F)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)\xrightarrow{b}R(M,F)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)\otimes\big(\lambda^{-1}\Omega^1_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times X\times\mathbb{C}_t/\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times X}\big)\Big)$$

Here, b is induced by the meromorphic flat connection of $R(M,F)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)$. (See §3.2.4 for example.)

Proposition D.2 *Suppose that (M,F) is a filtered $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}_t\times X}$-module underlying an algebraic mixed Hodge module. Then, the natural morphism $G'_0\operatorname{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_X(M,F)\longrightarrow G_0\operatorname{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_X(M,F)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof By the assumption, we have a mixed Hodge module on $\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X$ whose underlying filtered $\mathcal{D}$-module (M',F') on $\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X$ satisfies $(M',F')_{|\mathbb{C}_t\times X}=(M,F)$. As explained in [40, §13.5], the analytification of $R(M',F')$ underlies an integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $(\mathcal{T},W)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X$. Let $\mathcal{V}(-t)=\bigl(\mathcal{L}(-t),\mathcal{L}(-t),C(-t)\bigr)$ denote the $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X}$-triple as in §3.2.1. As explained in [40, §11.3.2], we have a mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module $\bigl((\mathcal{T},W)\otimes\mathcal{V}(-t)\bigr)[*(t)_\infty]$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X$.

Lemma D.3 *The underlying $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X}$-module of $\bigl((\mathcal{T},W)\otimes\mathcal{V}(-t)\bigr)[*(t)_\infty]$ is the analytification of $R(M,F)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)$.*

Proof The claim is clear on $\mathbb{C}_t\times X$. Let Q be any point of X. It is enough to check the claim locally around (∞,Q). Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be the $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X}$-modules underlying $\mathcal{T}$ and $\bigl((\mathcal{T},W)\otimes\mathcal{V}(-t)\bigr)[*(t)_\infty]$, respectively. We have $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(*(t)_\infty)=\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)$.

Let $\kappa:=t^{-1}$. We consider $V\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_\kappa\times X}\subset\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_\kappa\times X}$ which is generated by $\lambda\Theta_{\mathbb{C}_\kappa\times X}(\log\kappa)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\lambda\times\mathbb{C}_\kappa\times X}$. We have the V-filtration $V(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M}$ along κ. Then, $V_c(\mathcal{M})$ is $V\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_\kappa\times X}$-coherent for any $c\in\mathbb{R}$. Let $q:\mathbb{C}_\kappa\times X\longrightarrow X$ be the projection. Note that $V_c(\mathcal{M})$ are $q^*\mathcal{R}_X$-coherent because $\mathcal{M}$ is regular. We take sections $s_1,\ldots,s_m$ of $V_c(\mathcal{M})$ which generates $V_c(\mathcal{M})$ over $q^*\mathcal{R}_X$. Let υ be the generator of $\mathcal{L}(-t)$ such that $\nabla\upsilon=\upsilon d(-\lambda^{-1}t)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ be the $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}_\kappa X}$-submodule of $\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)$ generated by $s_i\otimes\upsilon$ $(i=1,\ldots,m)$. Let us observe $\mathcal{M}_1=\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)$. It is enough to prove that $\kappa^{-n}(V_c\mathcal{M}\otimes\upsilon)\subset\mathcal{M}_1$ for any n. We have $V_c\mathcal{M}\otimes\upsilon\subset\mathcal{M}_1$ because $V_c(\mathcal{M})$ is generated by $s_1,\ldots,s_m$ over $q^*\mathcal{R}_X$. Suppose $\kappa^{-n}(V_c\mathcal{M}\otimes\upsilon)\subset\mathcal{M}_1$. Let f be any section of $V_c\mathcal{M}$. We have

$$\kappa\partial_\kappa\bigl(\kappa^{-n}f\otimes\upsilon\bigr)=-n\kappa^{-n}f\otimes\upsilon+\kappa^{-n}(\kappa\partial_\kappa f)\otimes\upsilon-\kappa^{-n-1}f\otimes\upsilon.$$

Hence, we obtain $\kappa^{-n-1}f\otimes\upsilon\in\mathcal{M}_1$.

Suppose $c<0$. For a sufficiently large N, $t^Ns_i\otimes\upsilon$ $(i=1,\ldots,m)$ are sections of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. We also have that t^Ns_i generates $V_{c-N}\mathcal{M}$. Hence, we obtain that $t^Ns_i\otimes\upsilon$ $(i=1,\ldots,m)$ generates $\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)$ over $\mathcal{R}_X$. It implies that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}=\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)$. ▮

Hence, the analytification of $p^0_\dagger\bigl(R(M,F)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)\bigr)$ underlies a mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module. It implies that the multiplication of λ on $p^0_\dagger\bigl(R(M,F)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)\bigr)$ is injective. Then, the claim follows from the Lemma D.1. ▮

D.1.2 Comparison

Let Y be a smooth projective variety. Let X be any smooth algebraic variety. Let $\pi_1:Y\times\mathbb{C}_t\times X\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}_t\times X$ and $\pi_2:Y\times\mathbb{C}_t\times X\longrightarrow X$ be the projections.

Let (M,F) be a filtered $\mathcal{D}$-module on $Y\times\mathbb{C}_t\times X$ which underlies a mixed Hodge module. We obtain filtered $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\pi^j_{1\dagger}(M,F)$ on $\mathbb{C}_t\times X$, which underlie mixed Hodge modules. Applying the construction in §D.1.1, we obtain $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $G_0\operatorname{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_X\pi^j_{1\dagger}(M,F)$.

Let $R(M,F)$ be the Rees module of (M,F). It is naturally an algebraic $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Y\times\mathbb{C}_t\times X}$-module. We have the $\mathcal{R}_{Y\times\mathbb{C}_t\times X}$-module $\mathcal{L}(-t)$. We obtain an algebraic $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Y\times\mathbb{C}_t\times X}$-module $R(M,F)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)$. It underlies a mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module (Lemma D.3). We obtain $\mathcal{R}_X$-modules $\pi^j_{2\dagger}\bigl(R(M,F)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)\bigr)$.

Proposition D.4 *We have natural isomorphisms $\lambda\cdot\pi^j_{2\dagger}\bigl(R(M,F)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)\bigr)\simeq G_0\operatorname{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_X\pi^j_{1\dagger}(M,F)$.*

Proof Note that we have $\pi^j_{1\dagger}\bigl(R(M,F)\bigr)\simeq R\bigl(\pi^j_{1\dagger}(M,F)\bigr)$ by the theory of mixed Hodge modules. Let $p_X:\mathbb{C}_t\times X\longrightarrow X$ denote the projection. We have $p_X\circ\pi_1=\pi_2$. Let $L(-t)$ denote the $\mathcal{D}$-module associated to $-t$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_t\times X$ as in §2.1.1. Let us recall the following lemma.

Lemma D.5 *For regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules M on $\mathbb{C}_t\times X$, we have $p^j_{X\dagger}M\otimes L(-t)=0$ for $j\neq 0$.*

Proof It is enough to consider the case $j=-1$. Let s be any section of M such that $\partial_t s - s = 0$, and let us check that $s=0$. We have the V-filtration of $V_\bullet M$ along $u=t^{-1}$. Suppose $s\neq 0$ around $(\infty,P)\in\mathbb{P}^1\times X$. Because M is regular along u, we have $a_0:=\min\{a\,|\,s\in V_aM\}$. Because $\partial_t s\in V_{<a_0}$, we also have $s\in V_{<a_0}$ which contradicts with the choice of a_0. Hence, we have $s=0$ around (∞,P). Let $M_1\subset M$ be the $\mathcal{D}$-submodule generated by s. We have $\mathrm{Supp}(M_1)\cap\{\infty\}\times X=\emptyset$. Let $P\in X$. By shrinking X appropriately, we take an algebraic function f on X such that $f(P)=0$ and df is nowhere vanishing. We have the V-filtrations V_f of M_1 and $M_1\otimes L(-t)$ along f. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Gr}^{V_f}(M\otimes L(-t))\simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{V_f}(M)\otimes L(-t)$. If s is non-zero around (t,P), there exists b such that s gives a non-zero section $[s]$ of $\mathrm{Gr}^{V_f}_b(M)$ satisfying $\partial_t[s]-[s]=0$. Hence, we can reduce the claim to the case $\dim X=0$, where it can be checked easily. Thus, we obtain Lemma D.5. ∎

By the lemma, we have $p^0_{X\dagger}\pi^j_{1\dagger}\bigl(R(M,F)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)\bigr)\simeq\pi^j_{2\dagger}\bigl(R(M,F)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-t)\bigr)$. Then, the claim of Proposition D.4 follows from Proposition D.2. ∎

D.2 $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules associated to subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^m$

D.2.1 Setting

We fix a homogeneous coordinate system $[z_0:\cdots:z_m]$ of $\mathbb{P}^m$. We set $V:=H^0\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m,\mathcal{O}(1)\bigr)$. We put $V_1:=\{\alpha_0z_0\,|\,\alpha_0\in\mathbb{C}\}\subset V$ and $V_2:=\bigl\{\sum_{i=1}^m\alpha_iz_i\,\big|\,\alpha_i\in\mathbb{C}\bigr\}\subset V$. We may regard α_0 and $(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m)$ as coordinate systems of V_1 and V_2, respectively.

We set $H_0:=\{z_0=0\}$. Let U be a smooth quasi-projective variety with an immersion $\iota_U:U\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}^m\setminus H_0$. For simplicity, we assume that there exists a hypersurface $H\subset\mathbb{P}^m$ for which $\iota_U(U)$ is a closed subset of $\mathbb{P}^m\setminus(H_0\cup H)$. We do not assume that H is smooth.

We set $V_2^*:=V_2\setminus\{0\}$. We shall construct some $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules on V_2^* associated to U.

D.2.2 A construction

We take a smooth projective variety Y with an open immersion $\iota_1:U\longrightarrow Y$ and a morphism $\iota_2:Y\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}^m$ such that (i) $D_Y:=Y\setminus U$ is normal crossing, (ii) $\iota_2\circ\iota_1=\iota_U$. We have $D_Y=\iota_2^*(H\cup H_0)$. We set $D_{Y,V_2^*}:=D_Y\times V_2^*$. We set $\iota_{2,V_2^*}:=\iota_2\times\mathrm{id}_{V_2^*}:Y\times V_2^*\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}^m\times V_2^*$. We have the meromorphic function

$$F^u_{Y,V_2^*}:=\iota^*_{2,V_2^*}\Bigl(\sum_{i=1}^m\alpha_iz_i/z_0\Bigr)$$

on $(Y,D_Y)\times V_2^*$. We obtain the integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_\star(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*})$ $(\star=*,!)$ and the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Y\times V_2^*}$-modules $\mathcal{L}_\star(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*})$. Let $\pi_{Y,V_2^*}:Y\times V_2^*\longrightarrow V_2^*$ be the projection. We obtain the integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{T}_\star(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*})$ and the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{V_2^*}$-modules $\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*})$.

If Y' and ι_i' be another choice, then we take Y'' with morphisms $\iota_1'':U\longrightarrow Y''$, $a:Y''\longrightarrow Y'$ and $b:Y''\longrightarrow Y$ such that $a\circ\iota_1''=\iota_1'$, $b\circ\iota_1''=\iota_1$, $\iota_2'\circ a=\iota_2\circ b=:\iota_2''$. Then, we have natural commutative diagram of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{T}_!(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*}) \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & \pi^0_{Y'',V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{T}_!(F^u_{Y'',V_2^*},D_{Y'',V_2^*}) \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & \pi^0_{Y',V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{T}_!(F^u_{Y',V_2^*},D_{Y',V_2^*}) \arrow[d] \\
\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*}) \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] & \pi^0_{Y'',V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(F^u_{Y'',V_2^*},D_{Y'',V_2^*}) \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] & \pi^0_{Y',V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(F^u_{Y',V_2^*},D_{Y',V_2^*})
\end{tikzcd}$$

In this sense, $\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{T}_\star(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*})$ and the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{V_2^*}$-modules $\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*})$ are independent of the choice of Y.

We shall observe that $\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*})$ are isomorphic to the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{V_2^*}$-modules given in [45].

D.2.3 Comparison with a construction of Reichelt-Sevenheck

Let $Z\subset\mathbb{P}^m\times V$ be the 0-set of the universal section $\sum\alpha_iz_i$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)\boxtimes\mathcal{O}_V$. The projections $q_1:Z\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}^m$ and $q_2:Z\longrightarrow V$ are smooth. We set $Z_U:=Z\times_{\mathbb{P}^m}U$. Let $\iota_{Z_U}:Z_U\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}^m\times V$ denote the natural inclusion. Let $\pi_V:\mathbb{P}^m\times V\longrightarrow V$ be the projection.

We have the variation of pure Hodge structure $(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F)$ with the canonical real structure, where the Hodge filtration F is given by $F_0=\mathcal{O}_{Z_U}$ and $F_{-1}=0$. We have the associated pure Hodge module which is also denoted by $(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F)$. We obtain the mixed Hodge modules $\iota_{Z_U\star}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F)$ $(\star=*,!)$ on $\mathbb{P}^m\times V$, and then $\pi^0_{V\dagger}\iota_{Z_U\star}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F)$ on $V=V_1\times V_2$. By applying the procedure in §D.1.1, and by taking the restriction to $V_2^*\subset V_2$, we obtain the following $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{V_2^*}$-modules:

$$G_0\,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{V_2}\,\pi^0_{V\dagger}\iota_{Z_U\star}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F)_{|V_2^*}$$

Proposition D.6 *We have isomorphisms of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_X$-modules:*

$$\lambda\cdot\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{L}_\star(F_Y^u,D_{Y,V_2^*})\simeq G_0\,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{V_2}\,\pi^0_{V\dagger}\iota_{Z_U\star}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F)_{|V_2^*}$$

We also have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\lambda\cdot\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{L}_!(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*}) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & G_0\,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{V_2}\,\pi^0_{V\dagger}\iota_{Z_U!}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F)_{|V_2^*} \arrow[d] \\
\lambda\cdot\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{L}_*(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*}) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & G_0\,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{V_2}\,\pi^0_{V\dagger}\iota_{Z_U*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F)_{|V_2^*}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof We set $Z_0:=Z\cap\bigl(\mathbb{P}^m\times V_1\times V_2^*\bigr)$. Let $\mathbb{P}_{V_1}$ denote the projective completion of V_1, i.e., $\mathbb{P}_{V_1}=\mathbb{P}(V_1^\vee\oplus\mathbb{C})$. Let $\overline{Z}_0$ be the closure of Z_0 in $\mathbb{P}^m\times\mathbb{P}_{V_1}\times V_2^*$. Let $\iota_{\overline{Z}_0}:\overline{Z}_0\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}^m\times\mathbb{P}_{V_1}\times V_2^*$ denote the natural inclusion. By the construction of $\overline{Z}_0$, we have the following equality of meromorphic functions on $\overline{Z}_0$:

$$\iota^*_{\overline{Z}_0}\alpha_0=-\iota^*_{\overline{Z}_0}\Bigl(\sum_{i=1}^m\alpha_iz_i/z_0\Bigr)$$

Let $Z_{0U}:=Z_U\cap Z_0$, and let $\overline{Z}_{0U}$ denote the closure of Z_{0U} in $\overline{Z}_0$. Let $q:\overline{Z}_{0U}\longrightarrow\mathbb{P}^m\times V_2^*$ denote the naturally induced morphism. Note that Z_{0U} is naturally isomorphic to $U\times V_2^*$, and that the restriction $q_{|Z_{0U}}$ is an immersion. We can take a smooth complex algebraic variety B with projective morphisms $\varphi_1:B\longrightarrow\overline{Z}_{0U}$ and $\varphi_2:B\longrightarrow Y\times V_2^*$, and an open immersion $j:U\times V_2^*\subset B$ such that (i) $q\circ\varphi_1=\iota_{Y,V_2^*}\circ\varphi_2$, (ii) $\varphi_a\circ j$ $(a=1,2)$ are the identity of $U\times V_2^*$, (iii) $D_B:=B\setminus j(U\times V_2^*)$ is a normal crossing hypersurface. We set $G:=-\varphi_1^*\alpha_0=\varphi_2^*F_Y^u$. We have the integrable mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{T}_\star(G,D_B)$ on B. Let $\mathcal{L}_\star(G,D_B)$ denote the underlying $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B$-modules. Then, we have the following natural isomorphisms and the commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\varphi_{2\dagger}\mathcal{L}_!(G,D_B) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{L}_!(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*}) \arrow[d] \\
\varphi_{2\dagger}\mathcal{L}_*(G,D_B) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \mathcal{L}_*(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*})
\end{tikzcd}$$

We also have the following:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\varphi_{1\dagger}\mathcal{L}_!(G,D_B) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & R\bigl(\iota_{Z_U!}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F)\bigr)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-\alpha_0) \arrow[d] \\
\varphi_{1\dagger}\mathcal{L}_*(G,D_B) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & R\bigl(\iota_{Z_U*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F)\bigr)\otimes\mathcal{L}(-\alpha_0)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then, the claim of the theorem follows from Proposition D.4. ∎

Reichelt and Sevenheck considered the image (M,F) of $\iota_{Z_U!}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F)\longrightarrow\iota_{Z_U*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F)$, that is the minimal extension of Z_U in $\mathbb{P}^m\times V$. They proved that $G_0\,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{V_2}\bigl(\pi^0_{V\dagger}(M,F)\bigr)_{|V_2^*}$ is isomorphic to the image of the natural morphism $G_0\,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{V_2}\,\pi^0_{V\dagger}\iota_{Z_U!}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F\bigr)_{|V_2^*}\longrightarrow G_0\,\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{V_2}\,\pi^0_{V\dagger}\iota_{Z_U*}\bigl(\mathcal{O}_{Z_U},F\bigr)_{|V_2^*}$.

Corollary D.7 *$G_0 \operatorname{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{V_2}\big(\pi_{V\dagger}(M,F)\big)_{|V_2^*}$ is isomorphic to the image of the natural morphism*

$$\lambda\cdot\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{L}_!(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*})\longrightarrow\lambda\cdot\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{L}_*(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*}).$$

In particular, $G_0 \operatorname{FL}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{V_2}\big(\pi_{V\dagger}(M,F)\big)_{|V_2^*}$ underlies a polarizable integrable pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-module.

Proof The first claim follows from Proposition D.6 and the result of Reichelt and Sevenheck mentioned above. Because the morphism of the mixed twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{T}_!(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*})\longrightarrow\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*})$ factors through the morphism of the pure twistor $\mathcal{D}$-modules

$$\operatorname{Gr}^W_{\dim U+m}\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{T}_!(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*})\longrightarrow\operatorname{Gr}^W_{\dim U+m}\pi^0_{Y,V_2^*\dagger}\mathcal{T}_*(F^u_{Y,V_2^*},D_{Y,V_2^*}),$$

the second claim follows. ∎

E Better behaved GKZ-systems and de Rham complexes

E.1 $\mathcal{D}$-modules

E.1.1 The $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to some better behaved GKZ-systems

We recall a special version of better behaved GKZ-systems introduced by L. Borisov and P. Horja [5]. Let $\mathcal{A}=\{\boldsymbol{a}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{a}_m\}\subset\mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset generating $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $K_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A})\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ denote the cone $\big\{\sum_{j=1}^m r_j\boldsymbol{a}_j\,\big|\,r_j\geq 0\big\}$ generated by $\mathcal{A}$. We set $K(\mathcal{A}):=K_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A})\cap\mathbb{Z}^n$. The semigroup $K(\mathcal{A})$ is the saturation of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}=\big\{\sum_{j=1}^m n_j\boldsymbol{a}_j\,\big|\,n_j\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\big\}$. We denote $\boldsymbol{a}_j=(a_{j1},\ldots,a_{jn})$.

Let $\Gamma\subset K(\mathcal{A})$ be any subset such that $\Gamma+\boldsymbol{a}\subset\Gamma$ for any $\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathcal{A}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\beta}\in\mathbb{C}^n$. The following system of differential equations $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$ for tuples of holomorphic functions $(\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}}\,|\,\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma)$ on any open subset $\mathbb{C}^m$ is called the better behaved GKZ-hypergeometric system associated to $(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$:

$$\partial_{x_j}\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}}=\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{a}_j}\quad(\forall\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma,\ \forall j=1,\ldots,m)$$

$$\Big(\sum_j a_{ji}x_j\partial_{x_j}+c_i-\beta_i\Big)\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}}=0\quad(\forall\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma,\ \forall i=1,\ldots,n)$$

Here, $(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$ denotes the standard coordinate system of $\mathbb{C}^m$.

Let us describe the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-module $M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$ corresponding to the system $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$. We introduce a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-module $Q(\mathcal{A},\Gamma)$ generated by Γ. Let $e(\boldsymbol{c})$ denote the element corresponding to $\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma$. So, we have $Q(\mathcal{A},\Gamma)=\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^m}\cdot e(\boldsymbol{c})$. We introduce the action of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$ on $Q(\mathcal{A},\Gamma)$ by $\partial_{x_j}e(\boldsymbol{c})=e(\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{a}_j)$. Let $J(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$ denote the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-submodule of $Q(\mathcal{A},\Gamma)$ generated by $\big(\sum_j a_{ji}x_j\partial_{x_j}+c_i-\beta_i\big)e(\boldsymbol{c})$ for $\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma$ and $i=1,\ldots,n$. We set $M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta}):=Q(\mathcal{A},\Gamma)/J(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

Let $U\subset\mathbb{C}^m$ be any open subset. An $\mathcal{O}$-homomorphism $\Phi:M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})_{|U}\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_U$ is uniquely determined by $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}}:=\Phi(e(\boldsymbol{c}))$ $(\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma)$. Conversely, any tuple of holomorphic functions $(\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}}\,|\,\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma)$ determines an $\mathcal{O}$-morphism $M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})_{|U}\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_U$. Then, the following lemma is clear by the construction.

Lemma E.1 *Let $U\subset\mathbb{C}^m$ be any open subset. The above correspondence induces a bijective correspondence between morphisms of $\mathcal{D}$-modules $M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})_{|U}\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_U$ and solutions of* $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$. ∎

Remark E.2 *In* [5]*, Borisov and Horja considered an m-tuple of a finitely generated abelian group, instead of a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. In that sense, we consider the only special case. However, we do not impose the existence of an element $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in(\mathbb{Z}^n)^\vee$ such that $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{a}_j)=1$ $(j=1,\ldots,m)$.* ∎

E.1.2 Twisted de Rham complexes

We recall some notation used in §6. Let $T^n := (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. We consider the morphism $\psi^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} : T^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ given by $\psi^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = (t^{\boldsymbol{a}_1}, \ldots, t^{\boldsymbol{a}_m})$, where $t^{\boldsymbol{a}_j} = \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{a_{ji}}$. Let $X^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the closure of the image of $\psi^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow X^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the normalization. Let $\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the complement of T^n in $\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Note that $\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[K(\mathcal{A})]$ and $X^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}]$.

The family of Laurent polynomials $\sum_{j=1}^m x_j t^{\boldsymbol{a}_j}$ induces a meromorphic function $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}) \times \mathbb{C}^m$. We also have the logarithmic closed one form $\kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i dt_i/t_i$. We obtain the relative algebraic de Rham complexes:

$$\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\beta})_{\bullet} := \Big(\Omega^{\bullet}_{(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}^m)/\mathbb{C}^m}(\log \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}^m), d + dF_{\mathcal{A}} - \kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \Big)$$

$$\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\beta})_{\circ} := \Big(\Omega^{\bullet}_{(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}, \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}) \times \mathbb{C}^m/\mathbb{C}^m}, d + dF_{\mathcal{A}} - \kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \Big)$$

Let $\check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}} : \check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ denote the projection. Each $\check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}*}\mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\beta})_{\star}$ is naturally a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-module by $\partial_{x_j} \bullet (g) = \partial_{x_j} g + \partial_{x_j} F_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot g$. The differential $d + dF_{\mathcal{A}} - \kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ of the complexes are compatible with the actions of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$. So, we obtain the following $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-modules

$$M_{\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \star} := \mathbb{R}^n \check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}*} \mathcal{C}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\beta})_{\star} \quad (\star = \bullet, \circ).$$

Remark E.3 *In the notation of* §6.1.6 *(see also Example* 6.13*), we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_{\mathcal{A},0,\bullet} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & M_{\mathcal{A},0,\circ} \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\pi_{\dagger} L_*(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}^m, \mathrm{id}) \arrow[r] & \pi_{\dagger} L_!(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}^m, \mathrm{id})
\end{tikzcd}$$

■

E.1.3 Comparison

Let $K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ}$ denote the intersection of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and the interior part of $K_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proposition E.4 *We have the following natural isomorphisms of* $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$*-modules:*

$$M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A}, K(\mathcal{A}), \boldsymbol{\beta}) \simeq M_{\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \bullet}, \qquad M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A}, K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \simeq M_{\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \circ}.$$

Proof Although the claim is rather obvious by definition, we give some more details. Let $\psi_{\Sigma_1} : X_{\Sigma_1} \longrightarrow \check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be any toric projective resolution. Set $D_{\Sigma_1} := X_{\Sigma_1} \setminus T$. According to [3], we have $R\psi_{\Sigma_1 *}\Omega^p_{X_{\Sigma_1}}(\log D_{\Sigma_1}) \simeq \Omega^p_{\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}}(\log \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Let $\mathcal{I}(p)$ denote the set of tuples of integers $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_p)$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_p \leq n$. For any $I \in \mathcal{I}(p)$, we set $\tau_I := \prod_{j=1}^p t_{i_j}^{-1} dt_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{i_p}$. Hence, we have $\Omega^p_{\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}}(\log \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a free sheaf over $\mathcal{O}_{\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ with a basis τ_I $(I \in S(p))$. Hence, we have

$$\check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}*}\mathcal{C}^p(\mathcal{A})_* \simeq \bigoplus_{I \in S(p)} \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{c} \in K(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^m} t^{\boldsymbol{c}} \tau_I.$$

We set $\omega := \tau_{\{1,\ldots,n\}}$. We have $\partial_{x_j}(t^{\boldsymbol{c}}\omega) = t^{\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{a}_j}\omega$. Hence, we have the isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-modules $Q(\mathcal{A}, K(\mathcal{A})) \simeq \check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}*}\mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{A})_{\bullet}$ given by $e(\boldsymbol{c}) \longmapsto t^{\boldsymbol{c}}\omega$.

Let ω_i denote the inner product of ω and $t_i \partial/\partial t_i$. We have $\omega = (dt_i/t_i)\omega_i$. We have

$$\big(d + dF_{\mathcal{A}} - \kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta})\big)(t^{\boldsymbol{c}}\omega_i) = \Big(c_i - \beta_i + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji} x_j t^{\boldsymbol{a}_j}\Big)(t^{\boldsymbol{c}}\omega) = \Big(c_i - \beta_i + \sum a_{ji} x_j \partial_{x_j}\Big)(t^{\boldsymbol{c}}\omega).$$

Hence, the image of $\check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}*}\mathcal{C}^{n-1}(\mathcal{A})_{\bullet} \longrightarrow \check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}*}\mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{A})_{\bullet}$ is identified with $J(\mathcal{A}, K(\mathcal{A}), \boldsymbol{\beta})$. Hence, we obtain the desired isomorphism $M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A}, K(\mathcal{A}), \boldsymbol{\beta}) \simeq M_{\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \bullet}$.

According to [8], the space of global sections of $\Omega^p_{(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}})}$ is $\bigoplus_{I\in S(p)}\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{c}\in K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ}}\mathbb{C}\cdot t^{\boldsymbol{c}}\tau_I$. Hence, we have

$$\check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}*}\mathcal{C}^p(\mathcal{A})_{\circ}=\bigoplus_{I\in S(p)}\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{c}\in K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^m}t^{\boldsymbol{c}}\tau_I.$$

Then, as in the case of $\bullet$, we obtain the desired isomorphism $M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},\boldsymbol{\beta})\simeq M_{\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta},\circ}$. ▮

E.1.4 Special cases

For any $\boldsymbol{p}=(p_1,\dots,p_m)\in\mathbb{Z}^m$, we put $\mathfrak{s}_+(\boldsymbol{p}):=\{j\,|\,p_j\geq 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_-(\boldsymbol{p}):=\{j\,|\,p_j\leq 0\}$. We set

$$\Box_{\boldsymbol{p}}=\prod_{j\in\mathfrak{s}_+(\boldsymbol{p})}\partial_{x_j}^{p_j}-\prod_{j\in\mathfrak{s}_-(\boldsymbol{p})}\partial_{x_j}^{-p_j}.$$

We have the morphism $\mathbb{Z}^m\longrightarrow\mathbb{Z}^n$ given by $\boldsymbol{p}=(p_1,\dots,p_m)\longmapsto\sum_{j=1}^m p_j\boldsymbol{a}_j$. Let $L_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the kernel.

Suppose that $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}+\boldsymbol{c}_0$ for an element $\boldsymbol{c}_0\in K(\mathcal{A})$. Then, as remarked in [5], $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is equivalent to the following ordinary GKZ-hypergeometric system $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{c}_0)$ for holomorphic functions $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0}$ on any open subset of $\mathbb{C}^m$:

$$\Box_{\boldsymbol{p}}\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0}=0\quad(\forall\boldsymbol{p}\in L_{\mathcal{A}})$$

$$\Big(c_{0i}-\beta_i+\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ji}x_j\partial_{x_j}\Big)\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0}=0\quad(i=1,\dots,n)$$

For any $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\in\mathbb{C}^n$, let $I(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ denote the left ideal of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$ generated by $\Box_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ $(\boldsymbol{p}\in L_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $-\gamma_i+\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ji}x_j\partial_{x_j}$ $(i=1,\dots,n)$. Then, we have a natural isomorphism $M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})\simeq\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{c}_0)$.

Suppose that we are given $\Gamma_1\subset\Gamma_2\subset K(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\Gamma_i=\boldsymbol{c}_i+\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}$. We have the natural morphism $M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma_1,\boldsymbol{\beta})\longrightarrow M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma_2,\boldsymbol{\beta})$ induced by the inclusion $Q(\mathcal{A},\Gamma_1)\subset Q(\mathcal{A},\Gamma_2)$. The above isomorphisms induce $g_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}:\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{c}_1,\boldsymbol{\beta})\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

We have an expression $\boldsymbol{c}_1=\boldsymbol{c}_2+\sum_{j=1}^m b_j\boldsymbol{a}_j$ $(b_j\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$. We have the morphism of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-modules $\widetilde{h}_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}:\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$ induced by the right multiplication of $B_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}=\prod_{j=1}^m\partial_j^{b_j}$. We have $\Box_{\boldsymbol{p}}\cdot B_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}=B_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}\cdot\Box_{\boldsymbol{p}}$. We also have

$$\Big(c_{1i}-\beta_i+\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ji}x_j\partial_{x_j}\Big)\cdot\prod_{j=1}^m\partial_j^{b_j}=\prod_{j=1}^m\partial_j^{b_j}\cdot\Big(c_{2i}-\beta_i+\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ji}x_j\partial_{x_j}\Big).$$

Hence, we have the induced morphism $h_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}:\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{c}_1,\boldsymbol{\beta})\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{\beta})$. It is easy to check $g_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}=h_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}$.

Let us consider some more special cases. Suppose that $K(\mathcal{A})=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}$, i.e., $X^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}=\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then, the system $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}(\mathcal{A},0)$, and we have $M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0)\simeq\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},0)$.

Suppose moreover that $K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ}=K(\mathcal{A})+\boldsymbol{c}_1$ for some $\boldsymbol{c}_1\in K(\mathcal{A})$. Then, $\mathrm{GKZ}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_1)$, and we have $M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0)\simeq\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_1)$.

The morphism $M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0)\longrightarrow M^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0)$ induces $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_1)\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/I(\mathcal{A},0)$. If $\boldsymbol{c}_1=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\boldsymbol{a}_i$ for some ℓ, it is equal to the morphism induced by the multiplication of $\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\partial_{x_j}$.

E.2 $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules

E.2.1 Systems of differential equations and the associated $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules

We continue to use the notation in §E.1. Let $\Gamma\subset K(\mathcal{A})$ be any subset satisfying $\Gamma+\boldsymbol{a}\subset\Gamma$ for any $\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathcal{A}$. We consider the following system of differential equations $\mathrm{GKZ}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$ for a tuple $\Phi_{\Gamma}=(\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}}\,|\,\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma)$ of holomorphic functions on any open subset of $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}^m=\{(\lambda,x_1,\dots,x_m)\}$:

$$\lambda\partial_{x_j}\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}}=\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{a}_j},\quad(\forall\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma,\ j=1,\dots,m)$$

$$\Big(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda + n\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda x_j \partial_{x_j}\Big)\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}} = 0, \quad (\forall \boldsymbol{c} \in \Gamma)$$

$$\Big(\lambda(c_i - \beta_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ji}\lambda x_j \partial_{x_j}\Big)\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}} = 0, \quad (\forall \boldsymbol{c} \in \Gamma,\ \ i = 1, \dots, n)$$

We describe the corresponding $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-module. We introduce a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}^m}$-module $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma)$ generated by Γ. Let $\overline{e}(\boldsymbol{c})$ denote the section corresponding to $\boldsymbol{c} \in \Gamma$. So, we have $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}^m}\overline{e}(\boldsymbol{c})$. It is an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-module by the actions $\lambda\partial_{x_j}\overline{e}(\boldsymbol{c}) = \overline{e}(\boldsymbol{c} + \boldsymbol{a}_j)$ and

$$\lambda^2\partial_\lambda \overline{e}(\boldsymbol{c}) = -n\lambda\overline{e}(\boldsymbol{c}) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j \lambda\partial_{x_j}\overline{e}(\boldsymbol{c}).$$

Let $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$ denote the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-submodule of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma)$ generated by $\big(\lambda(c_i-\beta_i) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ji}\lambda x_j\partial_{x_j}\big)\overline{e}(\boldsymbol{c})$ for $\boldsymbol{c}\in\Gamma$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. We set $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta}) := \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma)/\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

As in §E.1.1, we have the following.

Lemma E.5 *Let U be any open subset in $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}^m$. We have the natural bijective correspondence between the $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-homomorphisms $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})_{|U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$ and the solutions of $\mathrm{GKZ}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$ on U.*

E.2.2 Comparison with the twisted de Rham complexes

We use the notation in §E.1.2. Let $q : \mathbb{C}\times\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}\times\mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the projection. We set

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}}^k(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta})_\bullet := \lambda^{-k}q^*\Omega^k_{\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}}(\log \check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}), \qquad \overline{\mathcal{C}}^k(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta})_\circ := \lambda^{-k}q^*\Omega^k_{(\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}},\check{D}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}})}.$$

With the differential induced by $d + d(\lambda^{-1}F_{\mathcal{A}}) - \kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta})$, we obtain the complexes of sheaves $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^\bullet(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta})_\star$ ($\star = \bullet, \circ$).

Let $\check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbb{C}\times\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}\times\mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}^m$ denote the projection. Each $\check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}*}\overline{\mathcal{C}}^k(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta})_\star$ is naturally an $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-module by $\lambda\partial_{x_j}\bullet(g) = \lambda\partial_{x_j}g + \partial_{x_j}F_{\mathcal{A}}\cdot g$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$) and $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda\bullet(g) = \lambda^2\partial_\lambda g - F_{\mathcal{A}}\cdot g$. The differentials $d + d(\lambda^{-1}F_{\mathcal{A}}) - \kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ of the complexes are compatible with the actions of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$. So, we obtain the following $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$-modules

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta},\star} := \mathbb{R}^n\check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}*}\overline{\mathcal{C}}^\bullet(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta})_\star \quad (\star = \bullet, \circ).$$

Proposition E.6 *We have the following natural isomorphisms of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-modules:*

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),\boldsymbol{\beta}) \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta},\bullet}, \quad \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^\circ,\boldsymbol{\beta}) \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta},\circ}.$$

Proof The argument is similar to that in the proof of Proposition E.4. We give just an indication. We have

$$\check{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}*}\overline{\mathcal{C}}^p(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta})_\bullet = \bigoplus_{I\in S(p)}\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{c}\in K(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}^m}t^{\boldsymbol{c}}\lambda^{-p}\tau_I.$$

We have the following equalities.

$$\lambda\partial_{x_j}(t^{\boldsymbol{c}}\lambda^{-n}\omega) = t^{\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{a}_j}\lambda^{-n}\omega$$

$$\Big(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda + n\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{m}\lambda x_j\partial_{x_j}\Big)t^{\boldsymbol{c}}\lambda^{-n}\omega = 0$$

We also have the following:

$$\Big(\lambda(c_i - \beta_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ji}\lambda x_j\partial_{x_j}\Big)(t^{\boldsymbol{c}}\lambda^{-n}\omega) = \Big(d + d(\lambda^{-1}F_{\mathcal{A}}) - \kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta})\Big)(t^{\boldsymbol{c}}\lambda^{-n+1}\omega_i)$$

Then, we obtain the claim in the case for $\bullet$ as in the case of Proposition E.4. The claim for $\circ$ is obtained in a similar way. ∎

Remark E.7 *As studied in* §6.2.3, *we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_{\dagger}^{0}\mathcal{L}_{!}(\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^m,\mathrm{id}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \pi_{\dagger}^{0}\mathcal{L}_{*}(\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^m,\mathrm{id}) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
\check{\pi}_{*}\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A},0)_{\circ} \arrow[r] & \check{\pi}_{*}\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A},0)_{\bullet}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, Proposition E.6 *gives expressions of* $\pi_{\dagger}^{0}\mathcal{L}_{\star}(\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}^m,\mathrm{id})$ $(\star=*,!)$ *as systems of differential equations.* ∎

E.2.3 Special case

We use the notation in §E.1.4. For any $\boldsymbol{p}\in\mathbb{Z}^m$, we set

$$\overline{\Box}_{\boldsymbol{p}} := \prod_{j\in\mathfrak{s}_{+}(\boldsymbol{p})}(\lambda\partial_j)^{p_j} - \prod_{i\in\mathfrak{s}_{-}(\boldsymbol{p})}(\lambda\partial_j)^{-p_j}.$$

Suppose that $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}+\boldsymbol{c}_0$ for an element $\boldsymbol{c}_0\in K(\mathcal{A})$. Then, $\mathrm{GKZ}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is equivalent to the following system $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{c}_0)$ for $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0}$:

$$\overline{\Box}_{\boldsymbol{p}}\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0}=0 \quad (\forall \boldsymbol{p}\in L_{\mathcal{A}})$$

$$\Bigl(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda+n\lambda+\sum_{j=1}^{m}x_j\lambda\partial_{x_j}\Bigr)\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0}=0$$

$$\Bigl(\lambda(c_{0i}-\beta_i)+\sum_{j=1}^{m}a_{ji}x_j\lambda\partial_{x_j}\Bigr)\Phi_{\boldsymbol{c}_0}=0 \quad (i=1,\ldots,n)$$

For any $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\in\mathbb{Z}^m$, let $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ denote the left ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$ generated by $\overline{\Box}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ $(\boldsymbol{p}\in L_{\mathcal{A}})$, $\lambda^2\partial_\lambda+n\lambda+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\lambda x_j\partial_{x_j}$, and $-\lambda\gamma_i+\sum_{j=1}^{m}a_{ji}\lambda x_j\partial_{x_j}$ $(i=1,\ldots,n)$. Then, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma,\boldsymbol{\beta})\simeq\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{c}_0).$$

Suppose that we are given $\Gamma_1\subset\Gamma_2\subset K(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\Gamma_i=\boldsymbol{c}_i+\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}$. We have the natural morphism $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma_1,\beta)\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma_2,\beta)$ induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma_1)\subset\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A},\Gamma_2)$. The above isomorphisms induce $g_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}:\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{c}_1,\beta)\longrightarrow\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{c}_2,\beta)$.

We have an expression $\boldsymbol{c}_1=\boldsymbol{c}_2+\sum_{i=1}^{m}b_i\boldsymbol{a}_i$ $(b_i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$. We have the morphism of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$-modules $\widetilde{h}_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}:\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}\longrightarrow\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}$ induced by the right multiplication of $\overline{B}_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}:=\prod_{j=1}^{m}(\lambda\partial_{x_j})^{b_j}$. We have $\Box_{\boldsymbol{p}}\cdot\overline{B}_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}=\overline{B}_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}\cdot\Box_{\boldsymbol{p}}$. We have

$$\Bigl(\lambda(c_{2i}-\beta_i)+\sum_{j=1}^{m}a_{ji}x_j\lambda\partial_{x_j}\Bigr)\prod_{k=1}^{m}(\lambda\partial_{x_k})^{b_k}=\prod_{k=1}^{m}(\lambda\partial_{x_k})^{b_k}\Bigl(\lambda(c_{1i}-\beta_i)+\sum_{j=1}^{m}a_{ji}x_j\lambda\partial_{x_j}\Bigr)$$

We have $\bigl(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda+x_j\lambda\partial_{x_j}\bigr)\lambda\partial_{x_j}=\lambda\partial_{x_j}\bigl(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda+x_j\lambda\partial_{x_j}\bigr)$. Hence,

$$\Bigl(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda+n\lambda+\sum_{j=1}^{m}x_j\lambda\partial_{x_j}\Bigr)\cdot\prod_{k=1}^{m}(\lambda\partial_k)^{b_k}=\prod_{k=1}^{m}(\lambda\partial_k)^{b_k}\cdot\Bigl(\lambda^2\partial_\lambda+n\lambda+\sum_{j=1}^{m}x_j\lambda\partial_{x_j}\Bigr)$$

Hence, we have the induced morphism $h_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}:\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{c}_1,\boldsymbol{\beta})\longrightarrow\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{\beta})$. It is easy to see that $g_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}=h_{\boldsymbol{c}_2,\boldsymbol{c}_1}$.

Let us consider some more special cases. Suppose that $K(\mathcal{A})=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathcal{A}$, i.e., $X^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}=\check{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then, the system $\mathrm{GKZ}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}\bigl(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0\bigr)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},0)$, and we have $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0)\simeq\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},0)$.

Suppose moreover that $K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ}=K(\mathcal{A})+\boldsymbol{c}_1$ for some $\boldsymbol{c}_1\in K(\mathcal{A})$. Then, $\mathrm{GKZ}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{GKZ}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_1)$, and we have $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0)\simeq\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_1)$.

The morphism $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A})^{\circ},0)\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GKZ}}(\mathcal{A},K(\mathcal{A}),0)$ induces $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},-\boldsymbol{c}_1)\longrightarrow\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{C}^m}/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A},0)$. If $\boldsymbol{c}_1=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\boldsymbol{a}_j$ for some ℓ, it is equal to the morphism induced by the multiplication of $\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}(\lambda\partial_{x_j})$.

References

[1] A. Adolphson, *Hypergeometric functions and rings generated by monomials*, Duke Math. J. **73**, (1994), 269–290

[2] A. Adolphson, S. Sperber, *A-hypergeometric systems that come from geometry*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140**, (2012), 2033–2042

[3] V. V. Batyrev, *Variations of the mixed Hodge structure of affine hypersurfaces in algebraic tori*, Duke Math. J. **69**, (1993), 349–409.

[4] A. Beilinson, *How to glue perverse sheaves*, in; *K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986)*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1289**, Springer, Berlin, (1987), 42–51.

[5] L. Borisov, P. Horja, *On the better behaved version of the GKZ hypergeometric system*, Math. Ann. **357** (2013), 585–603.

[6] T.-M. Chiang, A. Klemm, S.-T. Yau, E. Zaslow, *Local mirror symmetry: calculations and interpretations*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3**, (1999), 495–565.

[7] D. A. Cox, J. B. Little, H. K. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **124**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.

[8] V. I. Danilov, *de Rham complex on toroidal variety*, Algebraic geometry (Chicago, IL, 1989), Lecture Notes in Math., **1479**, Springer, Berlin, (1991), 26–38.

[9] A. Douai, C. Sabbah, *Gauss-Manin systems, Brieskorn lattices and Frobenius structures. I.*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **53**, (2003), 1055–1116.

[10] A. Douai, C. Sabbah, *Gauss-Manin systems, Brieskorn lattices and Frobenius structures. II.*, Frobenius manifolds, Aspects Math., E36, Vieweg, Wiesbaden, (2004), 1–18.

[11] H. Esnault, C. Sabbah, J.-D. Yu, (with an appendix by M. Saito), *E_1-degeneration of the irregular Hodge filtration*, arXiv:1302.4537

[12] H. Fan, *Schrödinger equations, deformation theory and tt^*-geometry*, arXiv:1107.1290

[13] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **131**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[14] C. Hertling, *tt^* geometry, Frobenius manifolds, their connections, and the construction for singularities*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **555**, (2003), 77–161.

[15] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, A. V. Zelevinsky, *Generalized Euler integrals and A-hypergeometric functions.* Adv. Math. **84**, (1990), 255–271.

[16] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, A. V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants, and multidimensional determinants*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.

[17] A. Givental, *A mirror theorem for toric complete intersections*, In *Topological field theory, primitive forms and related topics (Kyoto,* 1996*)*, Progr. Math., **160**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, (1998) 141–175.

[18] C. Hertling, Y. Manin, *Unfoldings of meromorphic connections and a construction of Frobenius manifolds*, in *Frobenius manifolds*, Aspects Math., **E36**, Vieweg, Wiesbaden, (2004), 113–144.

[19] R. Hotta, K. Takeuchi, T. Tanisaki, *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, **236**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008.

[20] H. Iritani, *Convergence of quantum cohomology by quantum Lefschetz*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **610**, (2007), 29–69.

[21] H. Iritani, *An integral structure in quantum cohomology and mirror symmetry for toric orbifolds*, Adv. Math. **222**, (2009), 1016–1079.

[22] H. Iritani, *Quantum cohomology and periods*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **61** (2011), 2909–2958.

[23] H. Iritani, *Shift operators and toric mirror theorem*, arXiv:1411.6840

[24] H. Iritani, E. Mann, T. Mignon, *Quantum Serre in terms of quantum D-modules*, arXiv:1412.4523

[25] M. Kashiwara, *A study of variation of mixed Hodge structure*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **22**, (1986) 991–1024.

[26] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990

[27] M. Kashiwara, *D-modules and microlocal calculus,* Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **217**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, (2003).

[28] L. Katzarkov, M. Kontsevich, T. Pantev, *Hodge theoretic aspects of mirror symmetry*, In *From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt^*-geometry* Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **78**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2008) 87–174.

[29] Y. Konishi and S. Minabe, *Local Gromov-Witten invariants of cubic surfaces via nef toric degeneration*, Ark. Mat. **47**, (2009), 345–360.

[30] Y. Konishi, S. Minabe, *Local B-model and mixed Hodge structure*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **14**, (2010), 1089–1145.

[31] Y. Konishi, S. Minabe, *Mixed Frobenius Structure and Local A-model*, arXiv:1209.5550

[32] Y. Konishi, S. Minabe, *Local Quantum Cohomology and Mixed Frobenius Structure*, arXiv:1405.7476

[33] A. G. Kouchnirenko, Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor, Invent. Math. **32**, (1976), 1–31.

[34] E. Mann, T. Mignon, *Quantum D-modules for toric nef complete intersections*, arXiv:1112.1552

[35] T. Mochizuki, *Asymptotic behaviour of tame harmonic bundles and an application to pure twistor D-modules I, II*, Mem. AMS. **185**, (2007)

[36] T. Mochizuki, *Asymptotic behaviour of variation of pure polarized TERP structures*, Publ. RIMS. **47** (2011), 419–534.

[37] T. Mochizuki, *Wild harmonic bundles and wild pure twistor D-modules*, Astérisque **340**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2011.

[38] T. Mochizuki, *Harmonic bundles and Toda lattices with opposite sign II*, Comm. Math. Phys. **328**, 1159–1198, DOI:10.1007/s00220-014-1994-0, the second part of arXiv:1301.1718

[39] T. Mochizuki, *Holonomic D-modules with Betti structure*, arXiv:1001.2336, to apper in *Mém. Soc. Math. France*

[40] T. Mochizuki, *Mixed twistor D-modules*, Springer, 2015.

[41] T. Mochizuki, *A twistor approach to the Kontsevich complexes*, arXiv:1501.0415

[42] C. Peters and J. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structure*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[43] T. Reichelt, *Laurent polynomials, GKZ-hypergeometric systems and mixed Hodge modules*, Compos. Math. **150**, (2014), 911–941

[44] T. Reichelt, C. Sevenheck, *Logarithmic Frobenius manifolds, hypergeometric systems and quantum D-modules*, arXiv:1010.2118, to appear in J. Alg. Geom.

[45] T. Reichelt, C. Sevenheck, *Non-affine Landau-Ginzburg models and intersection cohomology*, arXiv:1210.6527

[46] T. Reichelt, C. Sevenheck, *Hypergeometric Hodge modules*, arXiv:1503.01004

[47] C. Sabbah, *Polarizable twistor D-modules* Astérisque, **300**, (2005)

[48] C. Sabbah, *Hypergeometric periods for a tame polynomial*, Port. Math. (N.S.) **63**, (2006), 173–226.

[49] C. Sabbah, *Fourier-Laplace transform of a variation of polarized complex Hodge structure*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **621**, (2008), 123–158

[50] C. Sabbah, *Wild twistor D-modules*, in *Algebraic analysis and around*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **54**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, (2009), 293–353.

[51] C. Sabbah, J.-D. Yu. *On the irregular Hodge filtration of exponentially twisted mixed Hodge modules*, arXiv:1406.1339

[52] K. Saito, A. Takahashi, *From primitive forms to Frobenius manifolds*, in *From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt*-geometry,* 31–48, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **78**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[53] M. Saito, *Modules de Hodge polarisables*, Publ. RIMS., **24**, (1988), 849–995.

[54] M. Saito, *On the structure of Brieskorn lattice*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **39**, (1989), 27–72.

[55] M. Saito, *Duality for vanishing cycle functors*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **25**, (1989), 889–921.

[56] M. Saito, *Induced D-modules and differential complexes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **117**, (1989), 361–387.

[57] M. Saito, *Mixed Hodge modules*, Publ. RIMS., **26**, (1990), 221–333.

[58] M. Schulze and U. Walther, *Hypergeometric D-modules and twisted GaussManin systems*, J. Algebra **322**, (2009), 3392–3409.

[59] C. Simpson, *Mixed twistor structures*, math.AG/9705006.

[60] J. Steenbrink and S. Zucker, *Variation of mixed Hodge structure. I*, Invent. Math. **80**, (1985), 489–542.

[61] J. Stienstra, *Resonant hypergeometric systems and mirror symmetry*, Integrable systems and algebraic geometry (Kobe/Kyoto, 1997), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, (1998), 412–452.

[62] J.-D. Yu, *Irregular Hodge filtration on twisted de Rham cohomology*, Manuscripta Math. **144**, (2014), 99–133

Address
Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
takuro@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp